

## The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL
SCHOOL DISTRICT
District ID 260803060000
Superintendent JEFFREY CRANE
Telephone (585) 342-5500
Grades K-12

### **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

### Use this report to:

Get District
Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

**2** Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### **District Profile**

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	215	220	238
Grade 1	250	234	254
Grade 2	232	262	251
Grade 3	275	238	275
Grade 4	273	276	258
Grade 5	308	284	291
Grade 6	301	315	291
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	336	317	349
Grade 8	330	336	314
Grade 9	336	347	351
Grade 10	366	331	367
Grade 11	358	365	335
Grade 12	369	350	368
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	3949	3875	3942

# **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	20	20	20
Grade 8			
English	22	24	21
Mathematics	23	25	23
Science	23	22	19
Social Studies	23	24	22
Grade 10			
English	24	23	25
Mathematics	23	23	21
Science	23	22	24
Social Studies	25	23	23

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **District Profile**

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	248	6%	263	7%	315	8%
Reduced-Price Lunch	142	4%	170	4%	178	5%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	36	1%	44	1%	58	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	0%	11	0%	7	0%
Black or African American	203	5%	226	6%	292	7%
Hispanic or Latino	140	4%	153	4%	169	4%
Asian or Native	91	2%	82	2%	86	2%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3506	89%	3403	88%	3388	86%

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		98%		98%		97%
Student Suspensions	133	N/A	148	4%	126	3%

# **Demographic Factors Information**

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **District Profile**

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	835	789	1051
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	0	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	4	0	1
Percentage of Total	1%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	8%	8%	8%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	271	269	272
Total Other Professional Staff	33	40	40
Total Paraprofessionals*	113	122	124
Assistant Principals	5	5	5
Principals	6	6	6

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

# **Teacher Qualifications Information**

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

# **Staff Counts Information**

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## **Effective Annual Measurable Objective** (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100  $\times$  [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### **New York State Status**

(Applies to New York State districts)

### District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

### ♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Summary**

## Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

### Good Standing

Elementary/Middle Level		Secondary Level	
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
 Math	<b>♠</b> Good Standing	Math Good Standing	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

### **Title I Part A Funding**

### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

<u></u>				
2004-05 2005-06		2006-07		
YES	YES	YES		

## On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>✓</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	•••••••	_	- -	···· •····		
Hispanic or Latino	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	- -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••	_		•••••••		
White	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b>	V		<b>✓</b>	V			
Limited English Proficient	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	- -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<b>/</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	- -	•••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>✓</b> 7 of 7	✓ 7 of 7	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1	<b>✓</b> 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1		

### AYP Status

Made AY

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Accountability Status Levels**

# Federal State Good Standing ♠ ■ Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) ♠ ■ Requiring Acad

Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)
Improvement (Year 2) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Improvement (Year 3) 
Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Improvement (Year 4) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
Improvement (Year 5 & Above) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (1800:1780)	V	<u> </u>	100%	<u>/</u>	175	119		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (144:141)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	162	113	•••••••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino (91:86)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	140	111	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (33:33)	<b>V</b>	- -	_	<b>V</b>	182	105	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
White (1527:1515)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	178	119	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (150:141)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	95%	<b>/</b>	118	113		
Limited English Proficient (27:24)	_ _	_	_	_	-	-	•••••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (298:294)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	154	116	••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 7 of 7							

#### † The

**NOTES** 

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (1803:1781)	✓	✓	100%	✓ Citterion	181	83	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (143:139)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	168	77	••••••••	•••••••
Hispanic or Latino (92:86)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	152	75	••••••••	•••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (33:33)	~	_	_	~	194	69	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
White (1530:1518)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	~	184	83	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (151:144)	<b>/</b>	<b>✓</b>	97%	<b>V</b>	138	77		
Limited English Proficient (27:26)	_	_	_	_	_	-	•••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (297:293)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	164	80	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 7 of 7							

### NOTES 1 These

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

AYP		Participat	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005-06 2006-07		
<b>/</b>	Qualified	·	99%	<u> </u>	192	100			
	Qualified		100%	~	186	100			
	_	_	_	_	_	<u> </u>	_		
	_	_	_	_	-	_	_		
• • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>V</b>	99%	~	194	100			
	Qualified	<b>V</b>	95%	~	171	100			
	_	_	_	_	-	_	_		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>/</b>	100%	~	183	100			
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification  Qualified  Qualified  Qualified  Qualified  Qualified  ———————————————————————————————————	Safe Harbor Qualification  Qualified  Qualified  Qualified  Qualified  Qualified  Qualified  Qualified  Qualified  Qualified  Qualified	Safe Harbor Qualification  Qualified  Qualified	Safe Harbor Qualification  Qualified  Qualified	Safe Harbor   Status   Qualification   Criterion   Tested   Criterion   Tested   Criterion   Index	Status         Safe Harbor Qualification         Met Criterion         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         State Standard           Qualified         V         99%         V         192         100           Qualified         V         100%         V         186         100           —         —         —         —         —         —           Qualified         V         99%         V         194         100           Qualified         V         95%         V         171         100		

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
  - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]


### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>			Performance Objectives			
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target		
All Students (365:340)	V	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	194	148		<u> </u>		
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (21:16)	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	_		
					_		_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:10)	-	-	_	_	-	_		_		
White (324:304)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	195	148	••••••••	•••••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (56:43)	<b>/</b>	<b>✓</b>	95%	<b>V</b>	153	139				
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_		
Economically Disadvantaged (30:28)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	_		
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 3 of 3									

#### **AYP Status**







**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

#### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (365:340)	<b>✓</b>	<u>/</u>	99%	<u> </u>	192	140		2000 07
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (21:16)	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (11:10)	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:10)	-	_	_	-	_	_		_
White (324:304)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	192	140	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (56:43)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	96%	<b>V</b>	137	131		
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged (30:28)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3	}						

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

#### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP Criterion		Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (340)	~	<b>~</b>	88%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (20)		_	-	-	_	-		
Hispanic or Latino (9)		_	-	-	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9)		_	-	-	_	_		
White (302)	• • • • • • • •	~	89%	55%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (38)		~	58%	55%				
Limited English Proficient (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (31)			90%	55%				
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1						

#### NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- <sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

# Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## **School Accountability Status**

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

#### **New York State Status**

**Good Standing** 

10 schools identified 100% of total

**BRIARWOOD SCHOOL** 

**BROOKVIEW SCHOOL** 

**COLEBROOK SCHOOL** 

DAKE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL

IRONDEQUOIT HIGH SCHOOL

IROQUOIS MIDDLE SCHOOL

LISTWOOD SCHOOL

ROGERS MIDDLE SCHOOL

SENECA SCHOOL

SOUTHLAWN SCHOOL

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Summary of 2005-06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	_	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested		
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%		
Grade 3	82%		272		
Grade 4	80%		■ 257		
Grade 5	88%		288		
Grade 6	83%		285		
Grade 7	77%		354		
Grade 8	59%		314		
Mathematics					
Grade 3	84%		274		
Grade 4	88%		260		
Grade 5	94%		289		
Grade 6	83%		■ 287		
 Grade 7	83%		■ 356		
Grade 8	70%		314		
Science					
Grade 4	93%		257		
Grade 8	93%		315		
	_	f students that	2002		
		above Level 3	Cohort		
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%		
English	87%		366		
Mathematics	89%		366		
	Percentage o		2002 Cohort		
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%		
2002 Cohort	86%	- 1	366		

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


### This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Average Need Districts**

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	School Year					
_	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	272	97%	82%	9%						
Female	135	98%	83%	12%						
Male	137	97%	81%	7%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	21	95%	86%	0%				·		
Hispanic or Latino	13	85%	46%	8%				,		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	67%	0%						
White	232	98%	84%	10%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	•••••			•		
General-Education Students	264	98%	84%	9%						
Students with Disabilities	8	63%	13%	0%				ľ		
English Proficient	267	98%	83%	9%						
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	40%	0%				·		
Economically Disadvantaged	30	90%	67%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged	242	98%	84%	10%	••••••	••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	272	97%	82%	9%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3								

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı	
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	274	98%	84%	28%				
Female	134	98%	81%	29%				
Male	140	98%	86%	27%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	20	95%	65%	15%				
Hispanic or Latino	13	92%	54%	0%	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	83%	33%				
White	235	98%	87%	31%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••			
General-Education Students	265	98%	85%	29%				
Students with Disabilities	9	89%	56%	0%				
English Proficient	266	98%	85%	29%				
Limited English Proficient	8	88%	50%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	31	97%	65%	10%				
Not Disadvantaged	243	98%	86%	30%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	274	98%	84%	28%	•••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accocciments	Total	Number sco	er scoring at level(s):						
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	•		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	257	93%	80%	16%					
Female	140	95%	82%	19%					
Male	117	91%	78%	12%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	31	97%	74%	10%	•••••				
Hispanic or Latino	13	77%	62%	15%	New ass	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	83%	0%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from				
White	207	93%	82%	17%					
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••		ssessments c		•	
General-Education Students	245	96%	83%	17%	•	ed to results	•	ously	
Students with Disabilities	12	33%	25%	0%	adminis	tered assess	ments.		
English Proficient	256	-	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	1		-	<u> </u>	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	42	88%	69%	10%					
Not Disadvantaged	215	94%	82%	17%	•••••	••••••••••••			
Migrant									
Not Migrant	257	93%	80%	16%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	School Year 2004-05 School Year					ı	
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4  3-4  4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4  3-4  4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	<u> </u>	_	3	-		4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	-	-	N/A	8	5	3	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 Sch	ercentage scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total F	ercentage sco	ring at leve	el(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	260	97%	88%	36%							
Female	141	96%	85%	36%							
Male	119	97%	91%	36%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	30	97%	93%	20%	• • • • • •						
Hispanic or Latino 15 80% 60% 13% New assess								for elementary-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	33%	-level Englis athematics v		je				
White	209	98%	89%	40%	administere	inistered in 2006. Results fr					
Small Group Totals	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		sments can		-			
General-Education Students	248	98%	90%	38%	•	o results fro d assessme	•	ısly			
Students with Disabilities	12	75%	42%	0%	····· auministere	eu assessmei	ils.				
English Proficient	256	_	_	_							
Limited English Proficient	4	<del>-</del>	_	<del></del>	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	44	93%	82%	25%							
Not Disadvantaged	216	98%	89%	38%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	260	97%	88%	36%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number sc						(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			2				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1				2	_		_	

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	257	100%	93%	59%	269	100%	93%	60%
Female	138	100%	93%	59%	132	100%	92%	61%
Male	119	100%	93%	60%	137	99%	93%	59%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	-
Black or African American	32	100%	88%	47%	21	100%	76%	24%
Hispanic or Latino	13	100%	92%	31%	10	100%	100%	40%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	83%	67%	8	-	_	_
White	206	100%	94%	63%	229	100%	94%	65%
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••	9	100%	89%	44%
General-Education Students	246	100%	93%	62%	253	100%	94%	62%
Students with Disabilities	11	100%	82%	0%	16	100%	81%	31%
English Proficient	255	-	-	-	259	100%	95%	62%
Limited English Proficient	2	_		_	10	90%	30%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	100%	86%	43%	34	100%	88%	50%
Not Disadvantaged	215	100%	94%	62%	235	100%	94%	61%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	257	100%	93%	59%	269	100%	93%	60%

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	3 - V						oring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			2					
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1				3	_		_		

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	288	99%	88%	24%				
Female	143	99%	91%	28%				
Male	145	99%	84%	21%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	_				
Black or African American	28	93%	79%	14%				i
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	83%	17%	•••••			İ
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	_	_	_				Ì
White	236	100%	89%	27%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	12	100%	92%	8%	•••••			·
General-Education Students	269	100%	91%	26%				
Students with Disabilities	19	95%	42%	0%				Ì
English Proficient	283	99%	88%	25%				
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	0%				İ
Economically Disadvantaged	44	98%	86%	14%				
Not Disadvantaged	244	100%	88%	26%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	288	99%	88%	24%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments  New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
	1	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> –		t was not giv	3-4 ven in 200	<del></del>	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	289	99%	94%	53%				
Female	143	99%	92%	49%				
Male	146	100%	95%	56%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	-				
Black or African American	28	96%	86%	29%				
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	92%	33%	• • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	_	_	_				Ì
White	237	100%	95%	57%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	12	100%	100%	50%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
General-Education Students	270	100%	96%	56%				
Students with Disabilities	19	89%	68%	11%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
English Proficient	283	99%	94%	53%				
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	67%	33%	• • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged	45	98%	89%	38%				
Not Disadvantaged	244	100%	95%	55%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	289	99%	94%	53%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Number sco	Total	Number scoring at level(s):					
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	285	100%	83%	25%							
Female	144	100%	85%	31%							
Male	141	99%	81%	18%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	_							
Black or African American	17	100%	47%	0%				i			
Hispanic or Latino	15	93%	67%	0%	•••••			İ			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_				Ì			
White	248	100%	86%	27%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	40%	•••••			·			
General-Education Students	260	100%	86%	27%							
Students with Disabilities	25	100%	52%	0%	•••••			Ì			
English Proficient	278	100%	83%	25%							
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	57%	0%				Ì			
Economically Disadvantaged	45	98%	56%	7%							
Not Disadvantaged	240	100%	88%	28%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	285	100%	83%	25%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	2 – – –		-	This test was not given in 200			4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	_	_	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	287	99%	83%	30%						
Female	146	99%	82%	29%						
Male	141	99%	84%	30%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	_						
Black or African American	17	88%	59%	0%				i		
Hispanic or Latino	15	100%	67%	7%	•••••			İ		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_				Ì		
White	250	100%	86%	34%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%	•••••			·		
General-Education Students	262	100%	85%	32%						
Students with Disabilities	25	96%	56%	12%				Ì		
English Proficient	279	99%	84%	31%						
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	38%	0%				İ		
Economically Disadvantaged	46	98%	46%	11%						
Not Disadvantaged	241	100%	90%	34%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	287	99%	83%	30%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	2004-05 School Year							
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	354	98%	77%	11%						
Female	191	99%	80%	12%						
Male	163	96%	73%	10%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American	32	97%	66%	3%						
Hispanic or Latino	22	95%	45%	0%	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	_	_	_						
White	293	98%	81%	13%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	0%	•••••					
General-Education Students	323	100%	81%	12%						
Students with Disabilities	31	81%	29%	0%	•••••					
English Proficient	354	98%	77%	11%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	78	94%	56%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	276	99%	83%	13%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	354	98%	77%	11%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> –	Tested This test	2-4 was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4 )4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	356	97%	83%	24%						
Female	192	98%	86%	26%						
Male	164	97%	79%	23%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	-						
Black or African American	32	94%	78%	19%				i		
Hispanic or Latino	23	87%	65%	0%	•••••			İ		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	_	_	_				Ì		
White	294	99%	84%	27%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	43%	•••••			·		
General-Education Students	325	99%	88%	27%						
Students with Disabilities	31	84%	32%	0%				Ì		
English Proficient	356	97%	83%	24%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••		•••••	•••••				İ		
Economically Disadvantaged	78	96%	72%	13%						
Not Disadvantaged	278	98%	86%	28%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	356	97%	83%	24%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> d	2004-05 School Year							
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05	2004-05 School Year						
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	314	96%	59%	5%						
Female	169	96%	66%	7%						
Male	145	96%	52%	3%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	13	_	<u> </u>	_	• • • • • • •					
Hispanic or Latino	14	79%	14%	7%	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••	•••••••		and mid	ddle-level En	glish langu	age		
Pacific Islander	1		_		arts and mathematics were					
White	286	97%	63%	5%		tered in 200				
Small Group Totals	14	86%	36%	7%		ssessments o		•		
General-Education Students	281	98%	64%	6%	•	ed to results tered assess	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	33	76%	15%	0%	auminis	itereu assess	ments.			
English Proficient	314	96%	59%	5%						
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••	••••	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	53	89%	36%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged	261	97%	64%	6%	••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	314	96%	59%	5%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	4	3	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	2	-	-	N/A	0			

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	2005-06 School Year					2004–05 School Year							
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	scoring at le	at level(s):							
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested		2-4	3-4	4					
All Students	<b>314</b> 167			97%	70%	15%								
Female				167	167	167	167	167	167	98%	71%	19%		
Male	147	97%	69%	10%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
American Indian or Alaska Native														
Black or African American	13	_	-	_	•••••									
Hispanic or Latino	14	86%	36%	0%	New	assess	ments for	elementa	ıry-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1		•••••	••••••	and	middle-	-level Eng	lish langu	age					
Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_	arts and mathematics were									
White	286	99%	73%	16%				6. Results						
Small Group Totals	14	86%	36%	7%				annot be o	•					
General-Education Students	281	99%	73%	16%			o results d assessn	from previ	iously					
Students with Disabilities	33	85%	42%	0%	aumi	nistere	u assessii	ients.						
English Proficient	313	-	_	_										
Limited English Proficient	1	_	<del></del>	_	•••••									
Economically Disadvantaged	53	96%	53%	2%										
Not Disadvantaged	261	98%	74%	17%	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Migrant														
Not Migrant	314	97%	70%	15%	••••••	· · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	ring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	7	6	6	2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	ı	ľ	0	U	3			

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	315	99%	93%	46%	328	100%	96%	54%
Female	167	99%	92%	43%	173	100%	96%	52%
Male	148	99%	93%	49%	155	100%	97%	57%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	_	_	_	18	100%	100%	39%
Hispanic or Latino	13	92%	62%	8%	9	100%	89%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	_	_	6	-	_	_
White	288	100%	94%	49%	293	100%	97%	56%
Small Group Totals	14	100%	86%	21%	8	100%	88%	75%
General-Education Students	281	100%	95%	50%	301	100%	98%	58%
Students with Disabilities	34	94%	74%	12%	27	100%	81%	15%
English Proficient	313	_	_	-	328	100%	96%	54%
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	52	98%	83%	25%	52	100%	90%	40%
Not Disadvantaged	263	100%	95%	50%	276	100%	97%	57%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	315	99%	93%	46%	328	100%	96%	54%


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> C	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at level(s		.(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	4	4	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	0	4	4	2	_	_	_	
Regents Science	0				0				


District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 8 43 151 69 271 675 50 152 65 271 Feb 2004 4 672 Feb 2003 5 57 154 82 298 674




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	5	123	174	40	342	708
Jan 2004	11	117	166	35	329	705
Jan 2003	8	137	159	25	329	701


District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 0 13 90 172 275 691 May 2005 2 106 158 277 685 May 2004 11 May 2003 1 11 124 159 295 682



	Number o	of students sco	ring at each p				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	6	72	211	48	337	734	
May 2004	7	43	208	75	333	741	
May 2003	25	105	172	28	330	723	

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2001 Cohort*						
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	366	90%	87%	53%	356	87%	85%	48%
Female	191	88%	86%	54%	174	90%	88%	53%
Male	175	91%	88%	51%	182	84%	82%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	17	94%	82%	18%	22	95%	91%	32%
Hispanic or Latino	13	92%	92%	23%	11	73%	73%	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	80%	60%	9	100%	100%	44%
White	326	89%	87%	56%	314	86%	84%	51%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
General-Education Students	317	92%	92%	59%	319	88%	87%	53%
Students with Disabilities	49	71%	53%	12%	37	76%	68%	8%
English Proficient	365	_	_	-	355	_	_	_
_imited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	32	94%	84%	44%				
Not Disadvantaged	334	89%	87%	54%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	366	90%	87%	53%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••	••••••


#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
Accessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	1				3		_	_

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2001 Cohor	t*					
_	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	366	93%	89%	40%	356	92%	90%	42%
Female	191	93%	90%	36%	174	94%	91%	42%
Male	175	93%	89%	43%	182	90%	88%	42%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	17	100%	88%	24%	22	95%	91%	27%
Hispanic or Latino	13	92%	85%	23%	11	73%	73%	27%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	80%	80%	40%	9	100%	100%	44%
White	326	93%	90%	41%	314	92%	90%	43%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	•••••
General-Education Students	317	97%	96%	44%	319	96%	94%	46%
Students with Disabilities	49	67%	43%	12%	37	59%	51%	5%
English Proficient	365	-	-	-	355	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged	32	94%	81%	28%				
Not Disadvantaged	334	93%	90%	41%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	366	93%	89%	40%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••

#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-	

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



2002	Cohort
2001	Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	366	86%	0%	6%	7%	1%
	2001	356	83%	0%	5%	9%	4%
Female	2002	191	87%	1%	7%	5%	1%
	2001	174	87%	0%	5%	6%	2%
Male	2002	175	85%	0%	5%	10%	1%
	2001	182	78%	0%	4%	12%	5%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	17	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
African American	2001	22	82%	0%	0%	14%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	13	85%	0%	15%	0%	0%
·	2001	11	64%	0%	18%	0%	18%
Asian or Native	2002	10	80%	0%	0%	10%	10%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	9	78%	0%	11%	11%	0%
White	2002	326	85%	0%	6%	8%	0%
	2001	314	83%	0%	4%	9%	3%
Small Group Totals	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	••••••	•••••••	••••
General-Education Students	2002	317	91%	0%	5%	3%	0%
	2001	319	85%	0%	4%	8%	3%
Students with Disabilities	2002	49	51%	2%	10%	33%	4%
	2001	37	65%	0%	8%	16%	11%
English Proficient	2002	365	_	_	_	_	_
3	2001	355	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
, and the second	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	32	81%	0%	13%	6%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	334	86%	0%	5%	7%	1%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	366	86%	0%	6%	7%	1%

#### **NOTES**

District WEST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	354	90%	0%	6%	1%	2%
Female	172	91%	0%	6%	1%	1%
Male	182	89%	1%	6%	1%	3%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	22	91%	0%	5%	5%	0%
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	9	67%	0%	22%	0%	11%
Asian or Native	9	89%	0%	11%	0%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	314	91%	0%	6%	1%	2%
Small Group Totals			•••••••		•••••••	***************************************
General-Education Students	314	93%	0%	5%	0%	2%
Students with Disabilities	40	68%	3%	15%	8%	8%
English Proficient	354	90%	0%	6%	1%	2%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	35	94%	0%	0%	0%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	319	90%	0%	7%	1%	2%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	354	90%	0%	6%	1%	2%

#### NOTES