



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2005 – 06**

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**
District ID **261101060000**
Superintendent **DAVID DIMBLEBY**
Telephone **(585) 392-1000**
Grades **K-12, UE**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	287	273	310
Grade 1	300	313	281
Grade 2	302	300	320
Grade 3	281	314	315
Grade 4	314	292	319
Grade 5	348	318	297
Grade 6	352	347	331
Ungraded Elementary	51	45	59
Grade 7	397	384	361
Grade 8	333	396	396
Grade 9	369	343	400
Grade 10	385	378	337
Grade 11	385	398	389
Grade 12	337	377	382
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	4441	4478	4497

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	21	22	22
Grade 8			
English	16	23	24
Mathematics	21	22	23
Science	22	21	22
Social Studies	20	24	24
Grade 10			
English	23	21	21
Mathematics	19	21	19
Science	20	23	18
Social Studies	21	21	22

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	358	8%	472	11%	555	12%
Reduced-Price Lunch	234	5%	291	6%	399	9%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	36	1%	65	1%	50	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	16	0%	20	0%	20	0%
Black or African American	79	2%	83	2%	97	2%
Hispanic or Latino	50	1%	57	1%	67	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	37	1%	56	1%	62	1%
White	4259	96%	4262	95%	4251	95%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	103	N/A	127	3%	144	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	917	933	1246
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	4%	3%	2%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	7	8	7
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	2%	2%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	16	16	9
Percentage of Total	4%	4%	2%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate			
	13%	14%	13%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	368	372	362
Total Other Professional Staff	44	43	43
Total Paraprofessionals*	110	90	91
Assistant Principals	7	8	8
Principals	5	5	5

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002–03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002–03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005\text{--}06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005\text{--}06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

▲ Good Standing

Elementary/Middle Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

Secondary Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Graduation Rate ▲ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004–05

2005–06

2006–07

YES

YES

YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–
White	✓	✓	–	✓	✓	–
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓	–	✓	✓	–
Limited English Proficient	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	–	✓	✓	–
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing ▲ | Good Standing ■ |
| Improvement (Year 1) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 2) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 3) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 4) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■ |

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**



















Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (2090:2054)			100%		169	120	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (12:11)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (44:42)			100%		140	107	
Hispanic or Latino (33:31)		–	–		174	105	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (28:28)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (1973:1942)			100%		170	120	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (233:228)			99%		109	115	112 118
Limited English Proficient (20:19)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (402:388)			100%		148	116	
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 6						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.


AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**



















Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (2086:2022)			99%		177	84	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (12:11)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (46:42)			100%		138	71	
Hispanic or Latino (33:31)		–	–		174	69	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (28:28)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (1967:1910)			99%		178	84	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (231:226)			99%		135	79	
Limited English Proficient (20:20)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (401:381)			99%		160	80	
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 6						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.




² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**











Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (726:707)		Qualified		99%		193	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (12:10)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (12:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (691:674)		Qualified		99%		193	100	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (79:78)		Qualified		100%		173	100	
Limited English Proficient (7:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (134:131)		Qualified		100%		183	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**














Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (379:364)			100%		195	148	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (9:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (362:349)			100%		195	148	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (44:42)			100%		179	139	
Limited English Proficient (2:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (52:51)			100%		186	141	
Final AYP Determination	 4 of 4						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.


AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**














Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]




How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (379:364)			100%		196	140	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (9:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (362:349)			100%		197	140	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (44:42)			100%		181	131	
Limited English Proficient (2:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (52:51)			100%		184	133	
Final AYP Determination	 4 of 4						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**







Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07	
All Students (368)			94%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (8)		–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (5)		–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		–	–	–	–	–
White (353)			94%	55%		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (42)			74%	55%		
Limited English Proficient (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (35)			97%	55%		
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1					

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

2006–07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing

5 schools identified 100% of total

HILTON HIGH SCHOOL
MERTON WILLIAMS MIDDLE SCHOOL
NORTHWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
QUEST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
VILLAGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	75%			336
Grade 4	78%			328
Grade 5	82%			305
Grade 6	71%			341
Grade 7	69%			360
Grade 8	61%			396
Mathematics				
Grade 3	84%			335
Grade 4	82%			329
Grade 5	83%			281
Grade 6	74%			345
Grade 7	77%			359
Grade 8	79%			396
Science				
Grade 4	93%			326
Grade 8	94%			389

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
Secondary Level				
English	90%			396
Mathematics	92%			396

	Percentage of students who graduated			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
Graduation Rate				
2002 Cohort	89%			396

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:


Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 674	616-780	650-780	730-780			
Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780			
Number of Students:	317	252	22	92%	69%	7%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	336	94%	75%	7%				
Female	153	95%	80%	10%				
Male	183	94%	70%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	11	100%	45%	9%				
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	314	94%	76%	6%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	11	100%	82%	9%				
General-Education Students	296	97%	82%	7%				
Students with Disabilities	40	73%	20%	0%				
English Proficient	333	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	75	87%	59%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	261	97%	80%	8%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	336	94%	75%	7%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 3	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 679	Range: 624-770			650-770 703-770		
						
Number of Students:	316	281	77			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	335	94%	84%	23%				
Female	151	94%	85%	19%				
Male	184	95%	83%	26%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	12	67%	50%	8%				
Hispanic or Latino	7	100%	100%	14%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-				
White	311	95%	85%	24%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	20%				
General-Education Students	295	97%	88%	25%				
Students with Disabilities	40	75%	50%	5%				
English Proficient	331	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	76	89%	68%	13%				
Not Disadvantaged	259	96%	88%	26%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	335	94%	84%	23%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 671	612-775	650-775	716-775			
Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775			
Number of Students:	309	255	28	309	255	28



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	328	94%	78%	9%				
Female	141	96%	83%	11%				
Male	187	93%	74%	6%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	8	100%	88%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-				
White	313	94%	78%	9%				
Small Group Totals	7	86%	57%	0%				
General-Education Students	306	96%	82%	9%				
Students with Disabilities	22	73%	23%	0%				
English Proficient	323	94%	78%	9%				
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	40%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	59	86%	63%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	269	96%	81%	10%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	328	94%	78%	9%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 4	1	-	-	N/A	1	-	-	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	329	97%	82%	25%				
Female	143	96%	80%	23%				
Male	186	97%	84%	26%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	8	100%	63%	25%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-				
White	314	97%	84%	25%				
Small Group Totals	7	86%	43%	0%				
General-Education Students	308	97%	84%	26%				
Students with Disabilities	21	86%	62%	5%				
English Proficient	323	97%	83%	25%				
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	50%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	60	93%	68%	12%				
Not Disadvantaged	269	97%	86%	28%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	329	97%	82%	25%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	326	99%	93%	51%	290	100%	92%	51%
Female	141	100%	91%	50%	141	100%	90%	52%
Male	185	99%	95%	51%	149	99%	93%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	8	100%	75%	25%	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	80%
White	311	99%	94%	52%	274	100%	92%	50%
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	43%	11	100%	91%	45%
General-Education Students	305	99%	94%	53%	267	100%	93%	53%
Students with Disabilities	21	100%	76%	19%	23	100%	78%	22%
English Proficient	320	99%	93%	51%	285	100%	92%	51%
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	100%	50%	5	100%	60%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	97%	82%	35%	43	100%	86%	40%
Not Disadvantaged	266	100%	96%	55%	247	100%	93%	53%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	326	99%	93%	51%	290	100%	92%	51%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 675	608-795	650-795	711-795			
Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
Number of Students:	300	251	54	94%	67%	12%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	305	98%	82%	18%				
Female	142	98%	87%	21%				
Male	163	99%	78%	15%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-				
Black or African American	3	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	20%				
White	290	99%	82%	18%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	10	90%	80%	0%				
General-Education Students	271	100%	87%	20%				
Students with Disabilities	34	88%	41%	3%				
English Proficient	304	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	53	98%	74%	11%				
Not Disadvantaged	252	98%	84%	19%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	305	98%	82%	18%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 5	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	281	98%	83%	23%				
Female	130	99%	83%	27%				
Male	151	97%	82%	19%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-				
Black or African American	3	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	40%				
White	266	98%	83%	23%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	10	100%	60%	20%				
General-Education Students	248	100%	88%	26%				
Students with Disabilities	33	88%	45%	0%				
English Proficient	279	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	48	98%	65%	13%				
Not Disadvantaged	233	98%	86%	25%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	281	98%	83%	23%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 667	Range: 598-785			650-785 705-785		
Number of Students:	334	243	48			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	341	98%	71%	14%				
Female	171	98%	76%	16%				
Male	170	98%	66%	12%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	100%	67%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	67%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	20%				
White	321	98%	71%	15%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	305	100%	77%	15%				
Students with Disabilities	36	83%	19%	3%				
English Proficient	340	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	70	91%	54%	9%				
Not Disadvantaged	271	100%	76%	15%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	341	98%	71%	14%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 6	2	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	345	97%	74%	20%				
Female	173	98%	73%	18%				
Male	172	97%	75%	22%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	100%	50%	20%				
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	83%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	60%				
White	324	97%	74%	20%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	308	99%	78%	23%				
Students with Disabilities	37	84%	43%	0%				
English Proficient	341	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	74	96%	54%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged	271	97%	79%	24%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	345	97%	74%	20%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 662	600-790	650-790	712-790			
Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790			
Number of Students:	354	249	24	92%	56%	8%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	360	98%	69%	7%				
Female	170	99%	75%	8%				
Male	190	98%	64%	6%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-				
Black or African American	9	100%	67%	22%				
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	50%	0%				
White	337	98%	70%	7%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	8	100%	50%	0%				
General-Education Students	331	100%	73%	7%				
Students with Disabilities	29	83%	24%	0%				
English Proficient	359	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	61	95%	46%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	299	99%	74%	8%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	360	98%	69%	7%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 7	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	359	97%	77%	24%				
Female	168	98%	77%	25%				
Male	191	96%	76%	23%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-				
Black or African American	9	78%	44%	11%				
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	67%	17%				
White	336	97%	77%	24%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	25%				
General-Education Students	331	98%	79%	26%				
Students with Disabilities	28	79%	43%	4%				
English Proficient	357	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	61	95%	62%	11%				
Not Disadvantaged	298	97%	80%	27%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	359	97%	77%	24%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public			
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 661	Range: 602-790			650-790			715-790
Number of Students:	382	242	27				

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	396	96%	61%	7%				
Female	199	98%	63%	9%				
Male	197	95%	59%	5%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	10	80%	20%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-				
White	376	97%	62%	7%				
Small Group Totals	10	100%	60%	0%				
General-Education Students	342	99%	68%	8%				
Students with Disabilities	54	78%	15%	0%				
English Proficient	395	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	75	91%	43%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	321	98%	65%	8%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	396	96%	61%	7%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				1	-	-	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	396	98%	79%	12%				
Female	197	96%	79%	14%				
Male	199	99%	80%	10%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	10	90%	60%	10%				
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4	-	-	-				
Pacific Islander								
White	376	98%	80%	11%				
Small Group Totals	10	100%	80%	30%				
General-Education Students	341	99%	85%	13%				
Students with Disabilities	55	87%	44%	4%				
English Proficient	395	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	75	96%	65%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	321	98%	83%	14%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	396	98%	79%	12%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	389	100%	94%	43%	389	99%	93%	51%
Female	192	100%	92%	38%	204	100%	93%	50%
Male	197	99%	95%	49%	185	98%	92%	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	10	100%	80%	10%	7	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	7	100%	71%	43%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	369	100%	94%	44%	371	99%	93%	50%
Small Group Totals	10	100%	100%	50%	11	100%	91%	73%
General-Education Students	334	100%	97%	48%	334	100%	96%	57%
Students with Disabilities	55	98%	73%	15%	55	93%	75%	16%
English Proficient	388	-	-	-	386	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	74	100%	88%	27%	68	96%	84%	37%
Not Disadvantaged	315	100%	95%	47%	321	100%	94%	54%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	389	100%	94%	43%	389	99%	93%	51%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				0			

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
Range: 603-800	645-800	692-800

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
-----	-----	---



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	2	54	159	78	293	677
Feb 2004	9	81	173	55	318	665
Feb 2003	15	72	191	69	347	665

Grade 8

This School


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
Range: 658-830	697-830	737-830

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
-----	-----	---



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	8	178	185	23	394	701
Jan 2004	16	146	150	24	336	700
Jan 2003	13	172	174	26	385	699

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4
Range: 602-810 637-810 678-810

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	0	22	162	109	293	673
May 2004	2	34	179	106	321	668
May 2003	8	24	196	125	353	668

Grade 8

This School


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4
Range: 681-882 716-882 760-882

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	19	94	234	47	394	730
May 2004	19	74	189	53	335	730
May 2003	18	71	251	47	387	730

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	Percentage scoring at level(s):			2001 Cohort*	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	396	92%	90%	44%	391	92%	92%	48%
Female	186	95%	94%	52%	187	93%	93%	59%
Male	210	90%	87%	36%	204	91%	90%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Black or African American	7	86%	86%	0%	11	91%	91%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	1	–	–	–	5	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
White	381	92%	90%	45%	372	93%	92%	49%
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	13%	8	63%	63%	50%
General-Education Students	344	95%	94%	49%	336	96%	96%	54%
Students with Disabilities	52	73%	62%	12%	55	67%	64%	15%
English Proficient	392	–	–	–	389	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	59	85%	80%	25%				
Not Disadvantaged	337	94%	92%	47%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	396	92%	90%	44%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	Number scoring at level(s):			2001 Cohort*	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	–	–	–	1	–	–	–

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	396	93%	92%	36%	391	93%	91%	32%
Female	186	96%	96%	39%	187	94%	92%	35%
Male	210	91%	89%	32%	204	92%	90%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Black or African American	7	100%	71%	14%	11	82%	82%	27%
Hispanic or Latino	1	–	–	–	5	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
White	381	93%	92%	36%	372	94%	92%	33%
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	38%	8	63%	63%	13%
General-Education Students	344	97%	96%	39%	336	97%	95%	35%
Students with Disabilities	52	73%	65%	15%	55	65%	65%	15%
English Proficient	392	–	–	–	389	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	59	86%	81%	24%				
Not Disadvantaged	337	95%	94%	38%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	396	93%	92%	36%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	2001 Cohort*
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	2

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	396	89%	0%	4%	4%	4%
	2001	391	88%	0%	3%	6%	3%
Female	2002	186	94%	0%	2%	1%	3%
	2001	187	92%	0%	2%	4%	2%
Male	2002	210	85%	0%	5%	6%	4%
	2001	204	84%	0%	3%	8%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2002	1	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	1	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	2002	7	86%	0%	0%	14%	0%
	2001	11	82%	0%	0%	9%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	1	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	5	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2002	6	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	2	–	–	–	–	–
White	2002	381	89%	0%	4%	4%	4%
	2001	372	88%	0%	3%	6%	2%
Small Group Totals	2002	8	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	8	63%	0%	0%	13%	25%
General-Education Students	2002	344	92%	0%	2%	2%	3%
	2001	336	93%	0%	1%	4%	2%
Students with Disabilities	2002	52	69%	0%	12%	13%	6%
	2001	55	58%	2%	9%	20%	11%
English Proficient	2002	392	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	389	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	2002	4	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	2	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	59	73%	0%	5%	12%	10%
	2001	59	63%	0%	0%	13%	25%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	337	92%	0%	3%	2%	2%
	2001	337	92%	0%	3%	2%	2%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2001	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	396	89%	0%	4%	4%	4%
	2001	396	88%	0%	3%	6%	3%


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District **HILTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	389	93%	0%	3%	1%	3%
Female	185	95%	0%	2%	1%	2%
Male	204	91%	0%	3%	0%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	10	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	5	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	–	–	–	–	–
White	371	94%	0%	3%	0%	3%
Small Group Totals	8	63%	0%	0%	13%	25%
General-Education Students	338	96%	0%	2%	0%	2%
Students with Disabilities	51	73%	2%	10%	4%	12%
English Proficient	388	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	41	93%	0%	2%	0%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	348	93%	0%	3%	1%	3%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	389	93%	0%	3%	1%	3%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.