

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School BALDWIN MIDDLE SCHOOL District BALDWIN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 280210030012 Principal JAMES BROWN Telephone (516) 377-9321 Grades 6-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning

2 Review School Accountability Status.

environment.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	403	407	401
Ungraded Elementary	23	22	22
Grade 7	430	404	408
Grade 8	443	437	424
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	26	33	38
Total K–12	1325	1303	1293

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch		21	
Grade 8			
English	25	23	25
Mathematics	25	23	24
Science	24	23	23
Social Studies	25	23	22
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reduced-Price Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Student Stability*		100%		96%		99%
Limited English Proficient	35	3%	35	3%	36	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	392	30%	497	38%	494	38%
Hispanic or Latino	161	12%	174	13%	191	15%
Asian or Native	62	5%	60	5%	57	4%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	710	54%	572	44%	551	43%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	129	N/A	72	5%	75	6%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	375	361	375
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	0	0
Percentage of Total	1%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	38%	40%	47%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	114	109	110
Total Other Professional Staff	12	12	12
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State schools receiving Tit	le I funds) (Applies to all New York State public schools)
• •	if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, juiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same a measure for two consecutive years while receir Title I funds is considered a School in Need of (Year 1) for the following year.	iving measure for two consecutive years is considered a School
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that make AYP on the accountability measure for w identified is considered a School in Need of Im (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to Title I funds.	vhich it wasmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedapprovementis considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that make AYP on the accountability measure for w identified is considered a School in Corrective following year, if it continues to receive Title I	vhich it wasmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedAction for theis considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not may on the accountability measure for which it was is considered a School Planning for Restructur the following year, if it continues to receive Tit	s identifiedmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedring foris considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does AYP on the accountability measure for which is is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) f following year, if it continues to receive Title I	t was identified does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was or the identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not r the accountability measure for which it was id considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for	entified is

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

2 School Accountability

School BALDWIN MIDDLE SCHOOL District BALDWIN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	Good Standing					
	Elementary/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA Good Standing	ELA				
	Math 📕 Good Standing	Math				
	Science Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received T	itle I Part A Funding				

litte i Part A Funding	fears the School Received Title Part A Funding					
	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
	NO	NO	NO			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	 ✓ 	¥	 ✓ 			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	✓	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino	✓	~	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<	~			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••
White	<	✓	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	 ✓ 				
Limited English Proficient	✓	~	••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged	~	 ✓ 	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✔ 8 of 8	🖌 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1			



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objective		25
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	r Target 2006–07
All Students (1278:1247)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	100%	~	170	119		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (489:480)	~	<	100%	~	166	117		
Hispanic or Latino (191:182)	<	V	98%	 ✓ 	159	114	•••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (56:55)	~	~	100%	~	187	109	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
White (542:530)	~	~	100%	~	175	117	••••••••••••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (140:136)	SH	v	99%	√ SH	107	113	96	116
Limited English Proficient (39:32)	~	-	-	~	156	105	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (34:33)	~	_	-	~	155	105	•••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation ²		rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	r Target 2006–07
All Students (1271:1236)	~	 	100%	 ✓ 	159	83		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (485:475)	~	 	100%	~	152	81		
Hispanic or Latino (189:180)	<	<	98%	~	139	78	••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (56:55)	~	<	100%	~	185	73		
White (541:526)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	169	81	•••••••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (139:136)	~	 	100%	~	132	77		
Limited English Proficient (39:30)	~	-	-	~	97	69	••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (32:32)	~	-	-	~	125	69	••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students errolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	-
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (432:415)	V	Qualified	 ✓ 	98%	V	192	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (158:151)		Qualified	~	97%	~	193	100		
Hispanic or Latino (69:67)	•••••••	Qualified	<	99%	~	178	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (17:16)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (188:181)	•••••••	Qualified	<	98%	~	196	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (34:32)		-	-	-	~	178	100		
Limited English Proficient (16:15)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (9:9)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	if 1							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	74%		402
Grade 7	76%		412
Grade 8	65%		417
Mathematics			
Grade 6	62%		411
Grade 7	63%		417
Grade 8	63%		428
Science			
Grade 8	90%		322

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 36

All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This Sch		`		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	-	e scoring at level(s			-					
	2-4	3-4	4	2	-4	3-4	4			
Ra	nge:									
100	0%									
Number of Students:										
Results by		School Year	• • • • • • •	,		School Year		14.3		
Student Group	Total		coring at level(s		otal		scoring at le			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	ested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students										
Female	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		••••••		•••••	••••••	•••••		
Male American Indian or Alaska Native										
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••		••••••						
Black or African American	••••••	•••••		•••••	Now or	cocomonto fo	r alamanta			
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••••••••••••••	•••••		••••••		sessments fo ddle-level En				
Pacific Islander						d mathematic		uge		
White	••••••	•••••		••••••		stered in 200		from		
Small Group Totals	•••••	•••••		••••••	these a	ssessments o	annot be o	directly		
General-Education Students						red to results	•	iously		
Students with Disabilities	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	adminis	stered assess	ments.			
nglish Proficient										
.imited English Proficient	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••	•••••			
Aigrant										
	••••••••••	•••••		••••	•••••	••••				

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0			<u>.</u>	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

	This Sch	ool			Similar Sc	hools		
	Percentag	e scoring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Ran	ige:							
1009	%							
Number of Students:								
Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at leve	el(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino					New as	sessments for	elementary	/-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••••••••••••		•••••		and mic	ddle-level Eng	lish langua	ge
Pacific Islander						d mathematics		
White						tered in 2006		
Small Group Totals						ssessments ca		-
General-Education Students						ed to results t tered assessm	•	usiy
Students with Disabilities					aumms	alereu assessii	ients.	
English Proficient								
_imited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••		•••••		•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	•••••••				••••••	••••		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	•••••••	•••••			••••••	••••		• • • • • • •
NOTES								
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of stu	udents has been suppr	essed. If a group h	as fewer than t	five students,				

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

	This Sch	ool		Sir	nilar Sc	hools		
	Percentag	e scoring at level(s	;):			scoring at level	s):	
	2-4	3-4	4	2-	4	3-4	4	
Ra	nge:							
100	0%							
2005-06								
2004-05								
Number of Students:								
Results by	2005-06	School Year		20	004-05	School Year		
Student Group	Total	Percentage so	coring at level(s	s): To	otal	Percentage	scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4 Te	sted	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
lispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
Vhite								
small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
itudents with Disabilities								
nglish Proficient								
imited English Proficient								
conomically Disadvantaged								
lot Disadvantaged								
1igrant								
Not Migrant								
IOTES								
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of s	tudents has been suppr	essed. If a group has	fewer than five st	udents,				

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	hool Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0				

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	<u>This Sch</u>				Similar So			
	-	e scoring at level(s):		-	scoring at level(5):	
	2-4	3-4	4	2	2-4	3-4	4	
Ran	ge:							
1009	%							
lumber of Students:								
						e 1 1 1 1		
Results by	-	School Year		-		School Year		
Student Group	Total	_	oring at level(s		Total		scoring at le	vel(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
emale			•••••					
1ale								
merican Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
lispanic or Latino								
sian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
acific Islander								
Vhite					This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
mall Group Totals					•			
Seneral-Education Students					-			
tudents with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	•			
nglish Proficient					-			
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	•			
conomically Disadvantaged								
lot Disadvantaged	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
1igrant								
lot Migrant	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	_	_	_	This test	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This Sch	ool			Similar Sc	hools		
	Percentage	e scoring at level	(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Ran	ge:							
1009	6							
Number of Students:								
Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentage s	scoring at lev	vel(s):	Total		scoring at lev	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				·····			05
White	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
imited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
1igrant								
Not Migrant								

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo		1/-)		Similar Sc		-1	
		•	coring at leve			-	scoring at level		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 668	Range:	598-785	650-78	85 7	05-785				
	1000/	97%				98%			
	100%		74%				80%		
								24%	
				1	5%				
Number of Students:		388	297	6	52				
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
-		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total Percentage scoring at leve					
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		402	97%	74%	15%				
Female		173	97%	73%	20%				
Male		229	96%	74%	12%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		141	95%	70%	12%				
Hispanic or Latino		59	97%	63%	8%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	23	100%	87%	17%				
Pacific Islander							st was not ai	on in 200/	1.05
White		179	97%	79%	20%		st was not giv	2002	-05.
Small Group Totals			0.001		100/				
General-Education Students		347	99%	82%	18%				
Students with Disabilities		55	78%	25%	0%				
English Proficient		397	97%	75%	16%				
Limited English Proficient		5	80%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged		15	87%	60%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		387	97%	74%	16%	_			
Migrant		•••••••••••••••••••							
Not Migrant		402	97%	74%	15%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	6	6	This test was not given in 2004-09			
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	o	0			was not yn		
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	10	9	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		92%				Similar Sc		-).	
		•				2-4	scoring at level(3–4	s): 4	
	Davas					2-4	5-4	4	
Mean Score: 655	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780				
	100%	92%				95%	700/		
			62%				78%		
								23%	
				1	7%				
Number of Students:		380	254		28				
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Grou	ир	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		411	92%	62%	7%				
Female		175	92%	57%	5%				
Male		236	93%	66%	8%				
American Indian or Alaska	Native								
Black or African American		140	94%	56%	4%				
Hispanic or Latino		66	80%	50%	3%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C	Other	24	100%	88%	8%				
Pacific Islander		د م				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
White		181	95%	67%	10%		st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Student	S	355	94%	66%	7%				
Students with Disabilities		56	80%	36%	7%				
English Proficient		396	94%	64%	7%				
Limited English Proficient		15	60%	13%	0%				
Economically Disadvantage	d	15	80%	27%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		396	93%	63%	7%	_			
Migrant									
Not Migrant		411	92%	62%	7%				

NOTES

Other	2005–06 Sc	hool Year:		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo Percentage se		1(c).		Similar Sc	:hools scoring at level(c).	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3–4	4	
Mean Score: 670	Range:	600-790	650-7		12-790				
		98%				98%			
	100%		76%				78%		
				1	4%			16%	
Number of Students:		403	314		59				
Doculto hy		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
Results by		Total	Percentage		level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
		412	98%	76%	14%				· ·
Female		193	97%	79%	13%				
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	219	98%	74%	15%		•••••	••••••	•••••
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American	•••••	181	97%	78%	10%				
Hispanic or Latino		49	96%	63%	6%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		15	100%	93%	13%				
Pacific Islander						This to			05
White		167	99%	77%	22%		st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals			4.0.00/						
General-Education Students	•••••	374	100%	82%	16%				
Students with Disabilities		38	79%	24%	0%				
English Proficient	•••••	410				• ••••••			
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged		7	100%	86%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		405	98%	76%	15%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		412	98%	76%	14%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	4	4 –			This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	4.05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	_		-		was not yn		,4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	6	5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol				Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 656	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 6	93-800						
	100%	95%	63%			96%	79%				
				ç	9%			26%			
Number of Students:		398	261		36						
mber of Students: esults by tudent Group Students nale le erican Indian or Alaska Native ick or African American panic or Latino an or Native Hawaiian/Other cific Islander ite all Group Totals neral-Education Students idents with Disabilities glish Proficient ited English Proficient onomically Disadvantaged		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total Percentage scoring at level(s			level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		417	95%	63%	9 %						
Female		194	95%	62%	11%						
Male		223	96%	63%	7%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e										
Black or African American		182	95%	56%	7%						
Hispanic or Latino		53	89%	43%	2%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		15	100%	80%	27%						
White		167	98%	74%	11%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		379	97%	65%	9%						
Students with Disabilities		38	82%	39%	3%						
English Proficient		409	96%	64%	9%						
imited English Proficient		8	75%	13%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged		8	100%	38%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		409	95%	63%	9%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		417	95%	63%	9%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	5	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	This test	: was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	_	s):		
		2-4	3-4	۷		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 663	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790					
		97%				97%				
	100%		6504				73%			
			65%							
				_	7%			10%		
Number of Students:		405	273		29					
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 S	ichool Year			
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Percentage scoring at le					
Student Grou	up	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		417	97%	65%	7%					
Female		206	98%	73%	9%					
Male		211	97%	58%	5%					
American Indian or Alaska	Native									
Black or African American		160	97%	57%	4%					
Hispanic or Latino		56	93%	59%	2%		essments fo		•	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/G	Other	17	100%	82%	6%		dle-level Eng	5	age	
Pacific Islander							mathematic ered in 200		rom	
White		184	98%	73%	11%		sessments c			
Small Group Totals		384	99%	71%	8%		ed to results		-	
General-Education Student	S			••••••		······ administ	ered assessi	ments.	-	
Students with Disabilities		33	73%	6%	0%					
English Proficient		415	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······-		• •••••				
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantage	20	10	80%	60%	10%			•••••		
Not Disadvantaged		407	98%	66%	7%					
Migrant			070/						•••••	
Not Migrant		417	97%	65%	7%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) [†] : Grade 8	14	13	13	N/A	8	8	7	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo				Similar Schools			
		-	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 661	Range:	616-775	650-7	75	701-775				
		95%				96%			
	100%		600/				79%		
			63%						
								21%	
				1	2%			2170	
Number of Students:		407	271		53				
		-							
Results by		2005–06 S e				2004-05	School Year		
Student Group	h			e scoring at level(s):		Total	-	scoring at le	
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		428	95%	63%	12%				
Female	•••••	213	96%	66%	11%		••••		
Male		215	94%	60%	13%				
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• •••••			
Black or African American	•••••	158	92%	56%	6%				
Hispanic or Latino		66	92%	52%	8%		sessments fo		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	17	100%	88%	35%		Idle-level En	5	age
Pacific Islander							l mathematic tered in 200		rom
White		187	98%	71%	18%		ssessments c		
Small Group Totals		205	050/	650/	100/		ed to results		-
General-Education Students	•••••	395	95%	65%	13%		tered assess	•	
Students with Disabilities		33	91%	45%	3%				
English Proficient		414	96%	64%	13%				
Limited English Proficient		14	79%	29%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged		9	89%	56%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		419	95%	63%	13%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		428	95%	63%	12%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s):			l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science


		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sc	hools		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 78	Range:	44-100	65-1	00 E	5-100				
	100%	99% 100%	90% 9		58%	99% 99%	88% 91	.%	47%
■ 2005-06 2004-05				2	7%			54	
Number of Students:		319 429	289	402 8	37 250		_		
Results by		2005–06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	ır	
-		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	le scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Grou	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		322	99 %	90%	27%	430	100%	93%	58%
Female		153	99%	87%	23%	223	100%	91%	56%
Male		169	99%	92%	31%	207	100%	96%	61%
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative								
Black or African American		132	100%	91%	19%	140	100%	92%	49%
Hispanic or Latino		58	95%	76%	10%	63	98%	87%	49%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	her	5	100%	100%	60%	29	100%	100%	83%
White		127	100%	94%	42%	198	100%	95%	64%
Small Group Totals		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••			•••••	•••••
General-Education Students		291	99%	91%	29%	388	100%	96%	63%
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	31	100%	74%	13%	42	100%	67%	10%
English Proficient		306	100%	92%	28%	421	100%	95%	59%
imited English Proficient		16	81%	38%	6%	9	89%	44%	11%
Economically Disadvantaged		9	100%	78%	33%	1	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged		313	99%	90%	27%	429	-	-	-
Migrant									
Not Migrant		322	99%	90%	27%	430	100%	93%	58%

NOTES

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
······					4.04				
Regents Science	100	100	100	84	101	101	101	76	

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	8	137	230	59	434	709	
Jan 2004	16	194	178	63	451	706	
Jan 2003	12	160	192	47	411	704	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	l:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	14	111	258	57	440	730	
May 2004	47	154	228	33	462	718	
May 2003	17	137	229	39	422	723	