

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL
District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT
School ID 280215030008
Principal GEORGE AKST
Telephone (516) 295-7000
Grades 6-8

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

School Profile

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	275	246	241
Ungraded Elementary	0	6	0
Grade 7	290	259	248
Grade 8	308	288	264
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	5	0	0
Total K-12	878	799	753

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch			27
Grade 8			
English	18	15	21
Mathematics	18	16	22
Science	23	22	19
Social Studies	18	17	24
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science	24	18	
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

School Profile

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	182	21%	148	19%	150	20%
Reduced-Price Lunch	80	9%	66	8%	67	9%
Student Stability*		91%		94%		94%
Limited English Proficient	12	1%	0	0%	30	4%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	7	1%	5	1%
Black or African American	124	14%	146	18%	155	21%
Hispanic or Latino	214	24%	210	26%	216	29%
Asian or Native	31	4%	34	4%	28	4%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	508	58%	402	50%	349	46%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		94%		95%
Student Suspensions	13	N/A	11	1%	93	12%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

School Profile

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	300	313	259
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	39%	43%	48%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	90	82	71
Total Other Professional Staff	8	7	8
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml



English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to all New York State public schools)

∧ School in Good Standing

A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.

School in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

School in Corrective Action

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

School Planning for Restructuring

A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

School Restructuring (Year 1)

A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

∧ School Restructuring (Year 2)

A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL DISTRICT LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

Good Standing

Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA
 Math	♠ Good Standing	Math
 Science	★ Good Standing	Graduation Rate

Title I Part A Funding

Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding

<u> </u>					
2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	✓	V	✓					
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	/	/	•••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	/	/	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_ _	•••••••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
White	/	V	••••••••	•	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	✓						
Limited English Proficient	_		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	V	•••••••••	• ••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 1 of 1					

Accountability Status Levels

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

Federal State Good Standing ← Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) ← Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Improvement (Year 2) ← Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Corrective Action 🔥

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

AYP Status

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Did Not Make AYP

Restructuring (Year 1) ARestructuring (Year 2 & Above) A

Planning for Restructuring ∧

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject	^	Good Standing
(2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	ormance ³ Performanc		nce Objectiv	es
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (746:710)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	98%	<u>/</u>	160	118		2000 07
Ethnicity						,		,
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (155:143)	V	V	97%	V	127	113	•••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (218:206)	/	V	99%	/	149	114	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (29:28)	- -	<u>-</u>	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
White (339:328)	/	V	97%	/	179	116	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (130:124)	✓ SH	V	96%	✓ SH	92	113	87	103
Limited English Proficient (27:24)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	••••••••	<u> </u>
Economically Disadvantaged (285:265)	/	V	98%	~	141	115	••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (742:704)	V	<u> </u>	99%	<u> </u>	151	82		<u> </u>
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (153:141)	V	V	99%	V	113	77	••••••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino (216:204)	/	V	99%	/	141	78	•••••••	••• ••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (29:28)	-	- -	_	_	_	_	•••••••	<u> </u>
White (339:326)	/	V	98%	/	171	80	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (127:123)	/	V	98%	V	85	77		
Limited English Proficient (26:23)	- -	_	_	_	-	-	•••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (283:262)	/	V	99%	~	129	79	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005-06 2006-07		
All Students (260:239)	V	Qualified	<u> </u>	95%	<u> </u>	170	100			
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Black or African American (57:49)		Qualified		91%	~	141	100			
Hispanic or Latino (74:68)		Qualified	V	97%	V	157	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:9)		-	_	_	_	_	- -	- 		
White (115:109)	• • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	95%	~	188	100	· ····································		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (41:36)		Qualified	V	88%	~	119	100			
Limited English Proficient (9:8)		_	-	-	_	-	-	- -		
Economically Disadvantaged (89:79)	•••••••	Qualified	V	96%	~	144	100	•••••••••••		
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1								

AYP Status





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005-06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	69%		233
Grade 7	70%		235
Grade 8	56%		246
Mathematics			
Grade 6	60%		240
Grade 7	63%		237
Grade 8	56%		248
Science			
Grade 8	71%		245

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 32

All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

						•		
	This Sch				Similar Sc			
	_	e scoring at leve	l(s):			coring at level(s	5):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Ran	ge:							
1009	%							
lumber of Students:								
Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year		
Student Group	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at l	evel(s):
student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
ll Students								
emale	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
ale								
merican Indian or Alaska Native								
lack or African American	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
ispanic or Latino	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					sessments for		-
sian or Native Hawaiian/Other						ddle-level Eng		ıage
acific Islander	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					d mathematic		_
/hite	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					tered in 2006		
mall Group Totals						ssessments co		-
eneral-Education Students						ed to results tered assessr	•	lousty
tudents with Disabilities					auminis	itereu assessi	nents.	
nglish Proficient								
mited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • •			
conomically Disadvantaged								
ot Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
ligrant								
ot Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
OTES								
ne – symbol indicates that data for a group of stu ata for that group and the next smallest group(s)								
Other	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	ring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	_	0			

0

0

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:

Grade 4

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

	This Scho	ool		Similar Schools				
	Percentage	scoring at level	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Range	:							
100%								
Number of Students:								
Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
-emale					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
1ale								
American Indian or Alaska Native			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• • • • • • • •			
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino					New as	sessments fo	r elementaı	ry-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other						ddle-level Eng	-	age
Pacific Islander			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			d mathematic		
White			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			tered in 200		
Small Group Totals						ssessments c		-
General-Education Students					•	ed to results tered assessr	•	ousty
Students with Disabilities						10100 0330331	nents.	
English Proficient Limited English Proficient			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
NOTES								
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of stude data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are								
Other	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year		
_	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	_	_	_	0			

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School Percentage scori	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:								
	100%								
2005-06 2004-05									
Number of Students:									

Results by	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male	•		•				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			•				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Pacific Islander					.			
White								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient			•				•	
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Scho				Similar Sc			
	Percentage	scoring at level	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Range	:							
100%								
100%								
Number of Students:								
Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year	•	
Student Group	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):
-	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			••••
Male American Indian or Alaska Nativa								
American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•••••			
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Pacific Islander								
White	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	
Small Group Totals	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •			
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••		•••••
NOTES								
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of studer data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are								
Other	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year	•	
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	04-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.


School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This Sch	ool			Similar Sc	hools		
	Percentag	e scoring at leve	l(s):			scoring at level	(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Rang	e:							
100%								
Number of Students:								
Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year		
_	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	/el(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female	· · · · · · · • · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander							:- 2004	0.5
White	.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Migrant								
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
NOTES								
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of studdata for that group and the next smallest group(s) are		= :						
Other	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year	•	
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level(s):	Total	Number sc	oring at level	s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	233	94%	69%	16%						
Female	119	97%	69%	15%						
Male	114	92%	69%	18%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	52	81%	44%	10%						
Hispanic or Latino	68	97%	65%	9%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	75%	25%						
White	105	99%	84%	24%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
General-Education Students	199	99%	78%	19%						
Students with Disabilities	34	68%	15%	3%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	222	94%	70%	17%						
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	45%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	96	90%	56%	7%						
Not Disadvantaged	137	98%	78%	23%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	233	94%	69%	16%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	3 _		_	This tos	t was not air	on in 200	14-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent		_		_	IIIIs tes	it was not gi	ven in 2004-05.		
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	2	-	_	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
240	86%	60%	13%					
121	88%	55%	9%					
119	85%	64%	16%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
52	67%	42%	10%					
70	86%	54%	3%	•••••				
9	100%	67%	33%				·	
109	94%	71%	18%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004		
••••••	••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			•	
205	93%	66%	14%					
35	46%	23%	3%	•••••				
227	86%	61%	13%					
13	92%	38%	0%	•••••			İ	
97	76%	46%	4%					
143	93%	69%	18%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
240	86%	60%	13%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••		
	Total Tested 240 121 119 52 70 9 109 205 35 227 13 97 143	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 240 86% 121 88% 119 85% 52 67% 70 86% 9 100% 109 94% 205 93% 35 46% 227 86% 13 92% 97 76% 143 93%	Tested 2-4 3-4 240 86% 60% 121 88% 55% 119 85% 64% 52 67% 42% 70 86% 54% 9 100% 67% 109 94% 71% 205 93% 66% 35 46% 23% 227 86% 61% 13 92% 38% 97 76% 46% 143 93% 69%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 240 86% 60% 13% 121 88% 55% 9% 119 85% 64% 16% 52 67% 42% 10% 70 86% 54% 3% 9 100% 67% 33% 9 109 94% 71% 18% 205 93% 66% 14% 35 46% 23% 3% 227 86% 61% 13% 13 92% 38% 0% 97 76% 46% 46% 4% 143 93% 69% 18%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 240 86% 60% 13% 121 88% 55% 9% 119 85% 64% 16% 52 67% 42% 10% 70 86% 54% 3% 9 100% 67% 33% 109 94% 71% 18% This test 205 93% 66% 14% 35 46% 23% 3% 227 86% 61% 13% 13 92% 38% 0% 97 76% 46% 4% 143 93% 69% 18%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 240 86% 60% 13% 121 88% 55% 9% 119 85% 64% 16% 16% 16% 52 67% 42% 10% 70 86% 54% 3% 9 100% 67% 33% 33% This test was not given at level(s): 70 18% 71% 18%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at leve	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	235	95%	70%	15%					
Female	114	96%	73%	16%					
Male	121	93%	67%	14%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1			_					
Black or African American	42	88%	52%	10%					
Hispanic or Latino	68	94%	66%	4%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	_	_	-					
White	113	98%	79%	23%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	12	92%	67%	17%					
General-Education Students	190	100%	81%	18%					
Students with Disabilities	45	73%	22%	2%					
English Proficient	233	-	-	-					
Limited English Proficient	2		_	_				ľ	
Economically Disadvantaged	89	89%	61%	6%					
Not Disadvantaged	146	99%	75%	21%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	235	95%	70%	15%					


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0					was not giv		•
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	237	92%	63%	22%					
Female	114	90%	67%	21%					
Male	123	93%	60%	24%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_					
Black or African American	44	82%	36%	7%	• • • • • • •				
Hispanic or Latino	69	93%	59%	13%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	_	_	_					
White	112	95%	76%	33%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	12	92%	67%	33%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
General-Education Students	191	98%	74%	28%					
Students with Disabilities	46	63%	20%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
English Proficient	233	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	4	_		_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged	95	87%	45%	6%					
Not Disadvantaged	142	94%	75%	33%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	237	92%	63%	22%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4		Tested 2–4 3–4 4				
All Students	246	91%	56%	8%					
Female	123	91%	62%	11%					
Male	123	91%	50%	5%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	_	-	-					
Black or African American	55	82%	36%	4%					
Hispanic or Latino	68	87%	31%	6%	New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	9	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	and middle-level English language				
Pacific Islander	9	_	_ 		arts and mathematics were				
White	110	98%	78%	9%	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	13	92%	85%	31%	these assessments cannot be directly				
General-Education Students	206	96%	64%	9%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities	40	65%	15%	3%	duffillistered assessments.				
English Proficient	242	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	4		·····	<u> </u>					
Economically Disadvantaged	83	83%	33%	2%					
Not Disadvantaged	163	95%	68%	11%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	246	91%	56%	8%					


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent					0			
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	5	4	4	N/A	4	_	-	N/A
Grade 8								

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06 S	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	248	90%	56%	11%						
Female	121	92%	58%	10%						
Male	127	89%	54%	12%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-		-						
Black or African American	54	80%	30%	0%	• • • • • • •					
Hispanic or Latino	72	88%	42%	1%	New ass	essments for	r elementar	y-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	-	- -	_	and middle-level English language					
White	109	96%	75%	19%	administ	ered in 2006	5. Results fr	rom		
Small Group Totals	13	100%	85%	38%		sessments c		•		
General-Education Students	210	95%	62%	13%		d to results	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	38	66%	24%	0%	administ	ered assessr	nents.			
English Proficient	239	90%	56%	11%						
Limited English Proficient	9	89%	67%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	84	86%	40%	1%						
Not Disadvantaged	164	93%	64%	16%		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	248	90%	56%	11%		••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested 2–4 3–4 4				Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	245	96%	71%	23%	218	94%	67%	10%	
Female	122	95%	68%	20%	108	96%	69%	6%	
Male	123	97%	74%	27%	110	91%	66%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	_	-	1	-	_	_	
Black or African American	52	88%	48%	4%	47	87%	47%	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	71	96%	58%	6%	59	93%	59%	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	_	-	9	-	_	_	
White	108	99%	89%	43%	102	97%	79%	16%	
Small Group Totals	14	100%	86%	36%	10	90%	90%	30%	
General-Education Students	211	98%	78%	27%	170	98%	76%	12%	
Students with Disabilities	34	85%	29%	3%	48	79%	35%	0%	
English Proficient	236	96%	73%	24%	212	93%	68%	10%	
Limited English Proficient	9	100%	22%	0%	6	100%	33%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	84	92%	49%	11%	77	88%	53%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	161	98%	83%	30%	141	96%	75%	13%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	245	96%	71%	23%	218	94%	67%	10%	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
Regents Science	0				51	51	51	29

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Test Date	Number of students scoring at each performance level:				ıl:		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	16	103	114	47	280	708	
Jan 2004	11	106	116	50	283	710	
Jan 2003	18	135	118	25	296	699	

School LAWRENCE MIDDLE SCHOOL District LAWRENCE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Test Date	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	ıl:			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	34	73	153	25	285	719	
May 2004	18	70	157	39	284	727	
May 2003	35	90	150	30	305	719	