

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL
District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT
School ID 280225020002
Principal JOHN KOHNKEN
Telephone (516) 992-7230
Grades K-6

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	92	96	105
Grade 1	102	92	98
Grade 2	115	104	93
Grade 3	115	114	108
Grade 4	106	114	115
Grade 5	114	107	113
Grade 6	121	114	109
Ungraded Elementary	5	0	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	770	741	741

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	22	21	22
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

School Profile

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	2005-0	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reduced-Price Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Student Stability*		82%		96%		98%
Limited English Proficient	10	1%	7	1%	13	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic or Latino	21	3%	18	2%	22	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0%	3	0%	3	0%
White	746	97%	720	97%	716	97%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	3-04	200	4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	1	N/A	2	0%	2	0%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

School Profile

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	70	75	221
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	43%	54%	56%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	54	54	53
Total Other Professional Staff	3	3	3
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) <math>\div$ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to all New York State public schools)

∧ School in Good Standing

A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.

School in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

School in Corrective Action

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

School Planning for Restructuring

A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

School Restructuring (Year 1)

A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

♦ School Restructuring (Year 2)

A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

Good Standing

Elemen	tary	/Middle Level	Secondary Level
ELA		Good Standing	ELA
 Math		Good Standing	Math
Science		Good Standing	Graduation Rate

Title I Part A Funding

Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
NO	NO	NO

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	V	V	✓			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••
Hispanic or Latino	_	_	•••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_ _	••••		••••••	••••••
White	~	V	•••••••••	•••••••	•••••••	•••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓				
Limited English Proficient	_		•••••••••	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged		•••••••	••••	•••••••	•••••••	•••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 1 of 1			

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Improvement (Year 2) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target Corrective Action ∧

Corrective Action Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Planning for Restructuring Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Did Not Make AYP

AYP Status

Restructuring (Year 1) 🔥

Restructuring (Year 2 & Above)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performar	nance Objectives	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target 2006–07
All Students (441:438)	V	<u> </u>	100%	<u> </u>	185	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (14:14)	_	_	_	_	_	_	••••••••	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	_	-	_	_	-	-	•••••••	_
White (423:421)	V	/	100%	V	185	117	•••••••	•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (66:65)	/	V	98%	~	148	110		
Limited English Proficient (4:4)	_	_	-	_	-	-		_
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	•••••••	•••••		•				•••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3	}						

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

AYI		Participat	Participation ²		rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (443:439)	V	<u> </u>	100%	<u> </u>	190	81		<u> </u>	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (15:14)	_	_	_	_	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	_	_	_	_	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	
White (424:422)	~	/	100%	/	191	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (66:66)	/	/	100%	V	170	74			
Limited English Proficient (4:4)	-	_	-	_	-	_	••••••••	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	••••••	•••••					••••••••	•••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3	3							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)		Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	ormance ³	Performa	nce Objectives
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	ge Met Performance State Criterion Index Standard		Progress Target	
All Students (116:113)	V	Qualified	<u> </u>	99%	<u> </u>	199	100	,
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)			••••	••••			•••	
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (5:4)		_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	_	<u> </u>	_
White (110:108)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	99%	~	199	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (21:20)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (2:2)		-	_	-	_	_	<u> </u>	_
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	••••••		***************************************		***************************************		••••	••••••
Final AYP Determination	1 1 c	of 1						

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Total

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005-06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

Percentage of students that

		scored at or above Level 3						
English Language Arts	0%	%	50%	100%	6			
Grade 3	92%				109			
Grade 4	84%				112			
Grade 5	84%				110			
Grade 6	83%				105			
Mathematics								
Grade 3	93%				109			
Grade 4	93%				116			
Grade 5	90%				111			
Grade 6	90%				105			
Science								
Grade 4	99%				115			

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: **Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction**. Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject

and grade level. How are Need/Resource Capacity

(N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?


Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 18

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in school districts with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for elementary level schools in these districts.

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
109	98%	92%	17%						
57	96%	88%	21%						
52	100%	96%	13%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
1			_	• • • • • • •					
3				•••••					
	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Т	_	_	_						
104	99%	92%	18%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
5	80%	80%	0%						
98	98%	93%	18%						
11	100%	82%	9%						
109	98%	92%	17%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • •					
109	98%	92%	17%	••••••	••••••••••				
109	98%	92%	17%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	Total Tested 109 57 52 1 3 1 104 5 98 11 109	Total Tested 2-4 109 98% 57 96% 52 100% 1 - 3 - 1 - 104 99% 5 80% 98 98% 11 100% 109 98%	Tested 2-4 3-4 109 98% 92% 57 96% 88% 52 100% 96% 1 3 1 104 99% 92% 5 80% 80% 98 98% 93% 11 100% 82% 109 98% 92% 109 98% 92%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 109 98% 92% 17% 57 96% 88% 21% 52 100% 96% 13% 1	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 109 98% 92% 17% 57 96% 88% 21% 52 100% 96% 13% 1 3 1 104 99% 92% 18% This tested Total Tested Tested Tested Total Tested Tested This tested Total Tested Tested Tested Total Tested Tested Total Tested Total Tested Total Tested Total	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 109 98% 92% 17% 57 96% 88% 21% 52 100% 96% 13% 1	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested <th< td=""></th<>		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0	2 7		-		was not giv		•	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea		2004-05	2004-05 School Year						
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
109	99%	93%	32%							
57	98%	88%	23%							
52	100%	98%	42%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
1	_		_				,			
3			- -	•••••			,			
	••••	•••••	••••••				ľ			
		_ 	_ 							
104	100%	93%	31%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.			
5	80%	80%	60%				İ			
98	99%	93%	34%							
11	100%	91%	18%				ľ			
109	99%	93%	32%							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	•••••			·			
109	99%	93%	32%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
109	99%	93%	32%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••				
	Total Tested 109 57 52 1 3 1 104 5 98 11 109	Total Tested 2-4 109 99% 57 98% 52 100% 1 - 3 - 1 - 104 100% 5 80% 98 99% 11 100% 109 99%	Tested 2-4 3-4 109 99% 93% 57 98% 88% 52 100% 98% 1 3 1 104 100% 93% 5 80% 80% 98 99% 93% 11 100% 91% 109 99% 93% 109 99% 93%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 109 99% 93% 32% 57 98% 88% 23% 52 100% 98% 42% 1 3 1 1 104 100% 93% 31% 580% 80% 60% 98 99% 93% 34% 11 100% 91% 18% 109 99% 93% 32%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 109 99% 93% 32% 57 98% 88% 23% 52 100% 98% 42% 1 3 1 104 100% 93% 31% This tested Total Tested Tested Tested Total Tested Tested This tested Total Tested Tested Tested This tested Total Tested Tested Total Tested	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 109 99% 93% 32% 57 98% 88% 23% 52 100% 98% 42% 1	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 109 99% 93% 32% 57 98% 88% 23% 52 100% 98% 42% 1 3 1 104 100% 93% 31% This test was not given in 2004 5 80% 80% 60% 98 99% 93% 34% 11 100% 91% 18% 109 99% 93% 32%			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4 4			Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	: was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06 \$	2005-06 School Year					2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			al	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Test	ted	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	112	99%	84%	14%								
Female	57	100%	86%	18%								
Male	55	98%	82%	11%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••								
Hispanic or Latino	4			<u> </u>	1	New ass	sessments for	r elementa	ry-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	ā	and middle-level English language						
Pacific Islander	1	_			ā	arts and	l mathematic	s were				
White	107	99%	84%	14%			tered in 2000					
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%			ssessments c		•			
General-Education Students	93	100%	91%	17%		•	ed to results tered assessr	•	iously			
Students with Disabilities	19	95%	47%	0%		aummis	tereu assessi	nents.				
English Proficient	112	99%	84%	14%								
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged												
Not Disadvantaged	112	99%	84%	14%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant												
Not Migrant	112	99%	84%	14%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S (chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	-				Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	_	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	-	-	N/A	0				

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




2005-06	2005-06 School Year					2004-05 School Year				
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	sted	2-4	3-4	4		
116	100%	93%	41%							
58	100%	97%	41%							
58	100%	90%	41%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •						
5	_		<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	New asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ıry-		
	••••				and midd	le-level Enq	glish langu	age		
1		_ 	_		arts and r	nathematic	s were			
110	100%	95%	43%							
6	100%	67%	17%	• • • • • • •				•		
95	100%	96%	47%		•		•	iously		
21	100%	81%	14%	• • • • • • • •	aummste	reu assessi	nents.			
114	_	_	_							
2	_		_							
116	100%	93%	41%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
116	100%	93%	41%	• • • • • • • • • •		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Total Tested 116 58 58 58 1 110 6 95 21 114 2	Total Tested 2-4 116 100% 58 100% 58 100% 58 100% 58 100% 5 - 1 - 110 100% 6 100% 95 100% 21 100% 114 - 2 - 116 100%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 116 100% 93% 58 100% 97% 58 100% 90% 5 1 110 100% 95% 6 100% 67% 95 100% 96% 21 100% 81% 114 2 116 100% 93%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 116 100% 93% 41% 58 100% 97% 41% 58 100% 90% 41% 58 1 110 100% 95% 43% 6 100% 67% 17% 95 100% 96% 47% 21 100% 81% 114 2 2 116 100% 93% 41%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Te 116 100% 93% 41% 58 100% 97% 41% 58 100% 90% 41% 5	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 116 100% 93% 41% 58 100% 97% 41% 58 100% 90% 41% 58 100% 90% 41% 58 100% 90% 41% 110 100% 95% 43% administe these assocompared administe 21 100% 81% 14% 114 2 2	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 116 100% 93% 41% 41% 41% 58 100% 90% 41%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 116 100% 93% 41%		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	115	100%	99%	78%	113	99%	93%	65%
Female	58	100%	100%	79%	47	100%	96%	66%
Male	57	100%	98%	77%	66	98%	91%	65%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••
Hispanic or Latino	5		-	-	3	-	·····	·····
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
White	109	100%	99%	79%	109	_		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	67%	113	99%	93%	65%
General-Education Students	95	100%	100%	83%	92	100%	99%	73%
Students with Disabilities	20	100%	95%	55%	21	95%	67%	33%
English Proficient	113	_	_	-	112	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2		_	_	1	_		
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	115	100%	99%	78%	113	99%	93%	65%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	115	100%	99%	78%	113	99%	93%	65%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	110	99%	84%	25%						
Female	46	100%	78%	26%						
Male	64	98%	88%	25%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	•		•••••	•••••						
Hispanic or Latino	2	-			•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••						
Pacific Islander	1	_ 	_	_						
White	107	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals	110	99%	84%	25%						
General-Education Students	91	100%	91%	30%						
Students with Disabilities	19	95%	47%	5%						
English Proficient	110	99%	84%	25%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged	110	99%	84%	25%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	110	99%	84%	25%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4				Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	2 7	3 4	7		was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	·	
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	111	97%	90%	48%				
Female	46	96%	87%	39%				
Male	65	98%	92%	54%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	•••••	••••••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • •			
Hispanic or Latino	3			<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_				
White	107	-	_	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	111	97%	90%	48%				
General-Education Students	91	99%	95%	52%				
Students with Disabilities	20	90%	70%	30%	• • • • • • •			
English Proficient	110	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1			_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	111	97%	90%	48%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	111	97%	90%	48%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTE

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accesments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	105	100%	83%	27%					
Female	53	100%	85%	26%					
Male	52	100%	81%	27%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	•••••	••••	•••••	••••••					
Hispanic or Latino	4			- -	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	•••••				
Pacific Islander									
White	101	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	105	100%	83%	27%					
General-Education Students	92	100%	91%	29%					
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	23%	8%					
English Proficient	104	_	_	-					
Limited English Proficient	1	_		-					
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	105	100%	83%	27%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	105	100%	83%	27%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number sco	J	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not giv	on in 200	14-05
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent					Tills test	was not giv		4-03.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6								

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percer	ercentage scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-	4 3	-4	4
105	99%	90%	30%					
53	98%	89%	32%					
52	100%	90%	29%	••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	•••••				·
4	_			•••••				ľ
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	•••••				,
101	-	-	-	This	test was no	t given i	n 2004-0	5.
105	99%	90%	30%					ĺ
92	99%	96%	35%					
13	100%	46%	0%	•••••				,
104	_	_	_					
1	_	- -	<u> </u>	•••••				Ì
105	99%	90%	30%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
105	99%	90%	30%	••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Total Tested 105 53 52 4 101 105 92 13 104 1	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 105 99% 53 98% 52 100% 4 - 101 - 105 99% 92 99% 13 100% 104 - 1 - 105 99% 105 99%	Tested 2-4 3-4 105 99% 90% 53 98% 89% 52 100% 90% 4 105 99% 90% 92 99% 96% 13 100% 46% 104 1 105 99% 90%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 105 99% 90% 30% 53 98% 89% 32% 52 100% 90% 29% 4 101 105 99% 90% 30% 92 99% 96% 35% 13 100% 46% 0% 104 1 105 99% 90% 30%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 105 99% 90% 30% 53 98% 89% 32% 52 100% 90% 29% 4 101 This form 105 99% 90% 30% 92 99% 96% 35% 13 100% 46% 0% 104 1 105 99% 90% 30%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage described 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2- 105 99% 90% 30% 53 98% 89% 32% 52 100% 90% 29% 4 101 This test was not 105 99% 90% 30% 92 99% 96% 35% 13 100% 46% 0% 104 1 105 99% 90% 30%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scor Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3 105 99% 90% 30% 53 98% 89% 32% 52 100% 90% 29% 4 101 This test was not given in 105 99% 90% 30% 92 99% 96% 35% 13 100% 46% 0% 104 1 105 99% 90% 30%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Total Tested Percentage scoring at level Tested Percentage scoring at level Tested 2-4 3-4 105 99% 90% 30% 30% 53 98% 89% 32% 52 100% 90% 29% 52 100% 90% 29% 52 100% 90% 30% 52 99% 90% 30%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.	

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	ıl:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	4	10	59	42	115	685	
Feb 2004	3	15	65	20	103	670	
Feb 2003	1	10	48	49	108	686	

School NORMAN J. LEVY LAKESIDE SCHOOL District MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance leve	el:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	1	5	34	73	113	692	
May 2004	0	7	51	46	104	678	
May 2003	1	2	33	72	108	689	