



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2005 – 06**

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE  
SCHOOL DISTRICT**  
District ID **280230020000**  
Superintendent **LAWRENCE MCGOLDRICK**  
Telephone **(516) 285-9881**  
Grades **K-6, UE**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## Use this report to:

### **1** Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### **2** Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

### **3** View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

### **4** Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	189	165	147
Grade 1	214	199	175
Grade 2	220	216	199
Grade 3	224	217	227
Grade 4	223	222	236
Grade 5	222	218	230
Grade 6	204	224	227
Ungraded Elementary	6	28	24
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
<b>Total K-12</b>	1502	1489	1465

### Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
<b>Common Branch</b>	22	22	22
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	155	10%	186	12%	187	13%
Reduced-Price Lunch	132	9%	134	9%	111	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	222	15%	231	16%	233	16%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	0%	5	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	572	38%	563	38%	612	42%
Hispanic or Latino	356	24%	380	26%	389	27%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	306	20%	295	20%	294	20%
White	262	17%	246	17%	170	12%

\* Not available at the district level.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		97%		96%
Student Suspensions	4	N/A	7	0%	3	0%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
<b>Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers</b>			
Total Number of Core Classes	222	235	470
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
<b>Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate</b>			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
<b>Individuals Teaching Out of Certification</b>			
Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	0%
<b>Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate</b>	47%	49%	50%

## Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	121	122	119
Total Other Professional Staff	12	12	8
Total Paraprofessionals*	42	30	10
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	3	3	3

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.


## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml).



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002–03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002–03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

### Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml).

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

#### ▲ Good Standing

##### Elementary/Middle Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

##### Secondary Level

ELA

Math

Graduation Rate

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

**2004–05**

**2005–06**

**2006–07**

YES

YES

YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>	✓	✓	✓			
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	✓	✓				
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓				
White	✓	✓				
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	✓	✗				
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓				
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓				
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	✓ 8 of 8	✗ 7 of 8	✓ 1 of 1			

#### AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal                        | State  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing ▲                | ■ Good Standing                                |
| Improvement (Year 1) ▲         | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)         |
| Improvement (Year 2) ▲         | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)         |
| Improvement (Year 3) ▲         | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)         |
| Improvement (Year 4) ▲         | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)         |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲ | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2006–07)**

**Accountability Measures** 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06    2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (960:924)			100%		172	118	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (397:392)			100%		167	116	
Hispanic or Latino (240:226)			99%		167	115	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (202:189)			100%		187	114	
White (121:117)			99%		177	112	
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (73:53)			95%		94	109	109    105
Limited English Proficient (99:85)			100%		144	111	
Economically Disadvantaged (213:201)			99%		157	114	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 8 of 8						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2006–07)**

**Accountability Measures** 7 of 8 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics  
 Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06    2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (958:918)			100%		185	82	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (393:387)			100%		177	80	
Hispanic or Latino (241:226)			99%		187	79	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (202:189)			100%		195	78	
White (122:116)			98%		191	76	
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (72:53)			94%		134	73	
Limited English Proficient (98:85)			100%		172	75	
Economically Disadvantaged (215:201)			99%		178	78	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		7 of 8					

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2006–07)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (248:231)		Qualified		99%		197	100	
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (103:100)		Qualified		100%		194	100	
Hispanic or Latino (69:60)		Qualified		96%		197	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (46:41)		Qualified		100%		200	100	
White (30:30)		–	–	–		200	100	
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (10:9)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (29:24)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (61:55)		Qualified		98%		196	100	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# 3 School Accountability Status

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## 2006–07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

### New York State Status

#### Good Standing

3 schools identified 100% of total

CLEARSTREAM AVENUE SCHOOL

FOREST ROAD SCHOOL

SHAW AVENUE SCHOOL

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
<b>English Language Arts</b>				
Grade 3	74%			221
Grade 4	76%			237
Grade 5	80%			231
Grade 6	75%			232
<b>Mathematics</b>				
Grade 3	91%			232
Grade 4	84%			245
Grade 5	88%			237
Grade 6	86%			235
<b>Science</b>				
Grade 4	96%			244

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:


#### Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 676	616-780	650-780	730-780			
Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780			
Number of Students:	211	163	18	211	163	18



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>8%</b>				
Female	122	97%	83%	11%				
Male	99	94%	63%	5%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	90	93%	72%	7%				
Hispanic or Latino	55	93%	67%	7%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	52	100%	85%	13%				
White	24	100%	71%	4%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	214	96%	75%	8%				
Students with Disabilities	7	71%	29%	0%				
English Proficient	196	96%	77%	9%				
Limited English Proficient	25	92%	48%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	45	89%	58%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	176	97%	78%	9%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	221	95%	74%	8%				

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 3	10	5	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>32%</b>				
Female	127	98%	94%	34%				
Male	105	98%	89%	30%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	89	97%	92%	26%				
Hispanic or Latino	58	100%	90%	28%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	59	100%	93%	46%				
White	26	96%	88%	31%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	223	98%	93%	33%				
Students with Disabilities	9	100%	56%	0%				
English Proficient	197	98%	93%	34%				
Limited English Proficient	35	100%	83%	20%				
Economically Disadvantaged	53	94%	83%	30%				
Not Disadvantaged	179	99%	94%	32%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	232	98%	91%	32%				

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 673	612-775	650-775	716-775			
Number of Students:	225	181	36			

## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>15%</b>				
Female	107	95%	79%	15%				
Male	130	95%	75%	15%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	103	93%	66%	16%				
Hispanic or Latino	61	95%	75%	10%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	43	100%	98%	19%				
White	30	93%	83%	20%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	229	96%	78%	16%				
Students with Disabilities	8	75%	25%	0%				
English Proficient	217	95%	77%	15%				
Limited English Proficient	20	95%	70%	15%				
Economically Disadvantaged	53	91%	75%	9%				
Not Disadvantaged	184	96%	77%	17%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	237	95%	76%	15%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	–	–	–
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 4	9	8	8	N/A	20	14	9	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>28%</b>				
Female	111	97%	84%	18%				
Male	134	95%	84%	37%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	103	91%	77%	20%				
Hispanic or Latino	68	99%	82%	22%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	44	100%	93%	36%				
White	30	100%	97%	57%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	237	96%	84%	29%				
Students with Disabilities	8	88%	63%	13%				
English Proficient	217	96%	87%	31%				
Limited English Proficient	28	93%	61%	7%				
Economically Disadvantaged	58	91%	78%	22%				
Not Disadvantaged	187	97%	86%	30%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	245	96%	84%	28%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>71%</b>
Female	110	99%	96%	65%	124	98%	94%	68%
Male	134	100%	96%	69%	107	99%	97%	74%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	103	100%	94%	59%	94	97%	94%	68%
Hispanic or Latino	66	100%	97%	61%	54	100%	94%	63%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	45	98%	96%	87%	46	100%	100%	80%
White	30	100%	100%	80%	37	100%	95%	76%
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	236	100%	96%	68%	218	99%	95%	72%
Students with Disabilities	8	100%	88%	50%	13	100%	92%	54%
English Proficient	215	100%	97%	70%	208	99%	97%	75%
Limited English Proficient	29	97%	86%	45%	23	100%	83%	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	98%	93%	50%	47	100%	91%	45%
Not Disadvantaged	184	100%	97%	73%	184	98%	96%	77%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	244	100%	96%	67%	231	99%	95%	71%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 675	608-795	650-795	711-795			
	98%	80%	16%	94%	67%	12%
Number of Students:	226	185	36			

## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>16%</b>				
Female	123	98%	85%	15%				
Male	108	98%	75%	17%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	94	95%	76%	13%				
Hispanic or Latino	51	100%	76%	10%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	54	100%	91%	20%				
White	32	100%	81%	25%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	215	100%	83%	16%				
Students with Disabilities	16	75%	38%	6%				
English Proficient	218	98%	82%	16%				
Limited English Proficient	13	92%	54%	8%				
Economically Disadvantaged	46	93%	72%	9%				
Not Disadvantaged	185	99%	82%	17%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	231	98%	80%	16%				

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 5	8	7	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>35%</b>				
Female	125	97%	86%	33%				
Male	112	97%	90%	37%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	94	94%	83%	23%				
Hispanic or Latino	53	100%	94%	26%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	57	100%	93%	54%				
White	33	97%	82%	45%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	222	99%	91%	37%				
Students with Disabilities	15	67%	40%	0%				
English Proficient	216	97%	88%	37%				
Limited English Proficient	21	100%	81%	14%				
Economically Disadvantaged	51	96%	90%	24%				
Not Disadvantaged	186	97%	87%	38%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	237	97%	88%	35%				

### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>20%</b>				
Female	118	98%	75%	25%				
Male	114	96%	75%	16%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	103	96%	75%	19%				
Hispanic or Latino	58	98%	69%	17%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	41	98%	80%	24%				
White	30	97%	83%	23%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	214	100%	81%	22%				
Students with Disabilities	18	61%	11%	0%				
English Proficient	222	97%	77%	21%				
Limited English Proficient	10	90%	30%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	47	91%	66%	17%				
Not Disadvantaged	185	98%	78%	21%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	232	97%	75%	20%				

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 6	4	–	–	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>32%</b>				
Female	120	98%	84%	37%				
Male	115	98%	89%	27%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	104	95%	82%	24%				
Hispanic or Latino	59	100%	85%	34%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	41	100%	93%	44%				
White	31	100%	97%	39%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	218	100%	89%	34%				
Students with Disabilities	17	76%	53%	0%				
English Proficient	221	98%	88%	33%				
Limited English Proficient	14	100%	57%	14%				
Economically Disadvantaged	48	96%	81%	25%				
Not Disadvantaged	187	98%	88%	34%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	235	98%	86%	32%				

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	3	25	107	73	208	684
Feb 2004	0	32	115	54	201	676
Feb 2003	2	35	94	89	220	681

District **VALLEY STREAM 30 UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	3	9	58	161	231	694
May 2004	0	10	88	121	219	686
May 2003	0	14	89	131	234	686