

## The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH
SCHOOL DISTRICT
District ID 280251070000
Superintendent MARC BERNSTEIN
Telephone (516) 872-5601
Grades 7-12, US

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

#### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

### Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

**2** Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

**3** View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### **District Profile**

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	744	705	725
Grade 8	811	751	706
Grade 9	767	847	777
Grade 10	755	785	840
Grade 11	773	720	764
Grade 12	673	775	701
Ungraded Secondary	43	0	36
Total K-12	4566	4583	4549

# **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
23	22	22
23	23	22
23	23	24
24	23	26
25	26	25
23	24	22
25	21	21
24	24	19
	23 23 23 24 25 23 25	23 22 23 23 23 23 24 23 25 26 23 24 25 21

# **Average Class Size Information**

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **District Profile**

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	116	3%	104	2%	135	3%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	69	2%	66	1%	71	2%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	165	4%	146	3%	153	3%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	0%	2	0%	1	0%	
Black or African American	742	16%	836	18%	907	20%	
Hispanic or Latino	743	16%	812	18%	893	20%	
Asian or Native	545	12%	626	14%	677	15%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	2532	55%	2307	50%	2071	46%	

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	286	N/A	322	7%	325	7%

# **Demographic Factors Information**

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **District Profile**

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	1375	1478	1429
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	1	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	8	3
Percentage of Total	0%	2%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	25%	28%	31%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	366	370	353
Total Other Professional Staff	43	44	47
Total Paraprofessionals*	57	32	55
Assistant Principals	6	7	8
Principals	4	4	4

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

# **Teacher Qualifications Information**

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

# **Staff Counts Information**

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

#### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## **Effective Annual Measurable Objective** (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100  $\times$  [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

#### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### **New York State Status**

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Summary**

## Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

#### Good Standing

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA	♠ Good Standing
Math	<b>♦</b> Good Standing	Math	♠ Good Standing
Science	★ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	★ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
YES	YES	YES

## On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_		
Black or African American	<b>/</b>	~	•••••••	<b>V</b>	~	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	<b>/</b>	~	••••••••	<b>V</b>	~	•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	••••••	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	••••••	
White	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••	<b>V</b>	~	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		
Limited English Proficient			••••••••		_	••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<b>V</b>	•••••••		_	••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>✓</b> 7 of 7	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	<b>✓</b> 6 of 6	<b>✓</b> 6 of 6	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1	

#### AYP Status

Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### **Accountability Status Levels**

# Federal State Good Standing ← Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) ← Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) /

Improvement (Year 4) ∧

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup> S	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target	
<b>All Students</b> (1476:1430)	V	V	99%	<u>/</u>	169	119			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (330:317)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	159	116	••••••••	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (311:297)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>/</b>	162	116	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••• ••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (224:216)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	~	184	114	•••••••	•••••••	
White (610:599)	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	99%	<b>/</b>	173	118	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (203:188)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	96%	<b>/</b>	114	114			
Limited English Proficient (33:24)	_ _	_	_	_	_	-	•••••••	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (124:116)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	~	156	112	•••••••		
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 7 of 7								

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	cion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performar	nce Objective	es
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
<b>All Students</b> (1475:1423)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	99%	<u> </u>	175	83		<u> </u>
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (331:317)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	162	80	••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (312:295)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>/</b>	166	80	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (225:216)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	~	192	78	•••••••	•••
White (606:594)	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	99%	<b>/</b>	180	82	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (201:185)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	95%	<b>V</b>	123	78		
Limited English Proficient (32:24)	-	-	- -	_	_	_	•••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (125:116)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	~	168	76	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 7 of 7							

#### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performa	nce Objectives
American Indian or Alaska Native 1:1) Black or African American	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target
All Students (719:679)	<u>✓</u>	Qualified	<u> </u>	97%	<u>✓</u>	184	100	
Ethnicity								1
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (172:162)	• • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>V</b>	98%	~	181	100	
Hispanic or Latino (153:144)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>V</b>	97%	<b>V</b>	174	100	•
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (99:95)	• • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>V</b>	100%	~	193	100	•
White (294:277)	• • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>~</b>	95%	~	189	100	· ·····
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (84:75)		Qualified	<b>V</b>	90%	~	157	100	
Limited English Proficient (17:12)	••••••	_	_	-	_	_	<u> </u>	_
Economically Disadvantaged (50:45)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>V</b>	100%	~	171	100	•

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
  - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]


### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (720:672)	✓	<u> </u>	100%	<u> </u>	194	150	2003 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (133:113)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	~	188	144	•••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (115:106)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	189	144	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (109:103)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	~	197	144	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
White (362:349)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	197	148	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (61:57)	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	168	141		
Limited English Proficient (20:21)	- -	_	_	_	-	_		_
Economically Disadvantaged (25:25)	- -	_	-	_	-	_		-
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 6 of 6							

#### **AYP Status**







Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

  If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]


### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Particip		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (720:672)	✓ ✓	<u> </u>	100%	<u>/</u>	195	142	2003 00	2000 07	
Ethnicity								1	
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Black or African American (133:113)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	195	136	•••••••••	•••	
Hispanic or Latino (115:106)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	188	136	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (109:103)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	~	197	136	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
White (362:349)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	196	140	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (61:57)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	177	133			
Limited English Proficient (20:21)	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (25:25)	- -	_	-	_	-	_		-	
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 6 of 6	1							

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Indicator (2006–07)		
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objective	S	
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (738)	~	<b>V</b>	93%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		_	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (129)	• • • • • • • •	<b>/</b>	87%	55%		•
Hispanic or Latino (110)		<b>/</b>	87%	55%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (108	3)		96%	55%		
White (389)		<b>~</b>	95%	55%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (79)		~	81%	55%		
Limited English Proficient (33)		~	67%	55%		
Economically Disadvantaged (20)		_	_	-	_	_
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1				

#### NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

# **Graduation Rate Information**

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## **School Accountability Status**

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

#### Federal Title I Status

#### **New York State Status**



#### **Good Standing**

4 schools identified 100% of total

VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL

VALLEY STREAM MEMORIAL JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL

VALLEY STREAM NORTH HIGH SCHOOL

VALLEY STREAM SOUTH HIGH SCHOOL

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Summary of 2005–06 District Performance**

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or a	f students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 7	69%		715
Grade 8	76%		686
Mathematics			
Grade 7	80%		<b>T</b> 720
Grade 8	77%		699
Science			
Grade 8	79%		472
	Percentage or scored at or a	f students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	93%	•	705
Mathematics	94%		705
	Percentage o		2002 Cohort
<b>Graduation Rate</b>	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	94%	'	705

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

#### This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Low Need Districts**

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Grade 5

## **Overview of District Performance**

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Dist	trict			NY State F	Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage s	coring at level	(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Range	•							
100%								
Number of Students:								
Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Yeaı	r	
_	Total	Percentage s	coring at le	vel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female ····				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • •			
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Hispanic or Latino		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This tes	st was not giv	ven in 200	04-05.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3		
Small Group Totals General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities		····· •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
English Proficient								
imited English Proficient		·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
4 digrant								
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Not Migrant								
<b>NOTES</b> The — symbol indicates that data for a group of studen	its has been suppi	ressed. If a group has	fewer than fi	ve students,				
ata for that group and the next smallest group(s) are	suppressed to pro	tect the privacy of in	dividual stude	ents.				
Other	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year	r	
Assessments	Total	Number scor			Total		oring at lev	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
NYSAAN Crada E Equivalent	3	-	-	_	This tes	st was not giv	ven in 200	04-05.
NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent								
lew York State English as a Second	-							
anguage Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct		NY State	NY State Public			
		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4 4			
	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780					
	100%								
Number of Students:									
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004-05	School Year			
		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at lev	vel(s):		

Results by	2005-06	School Year	•		2004-05	School Year	•	
	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female					<b></b>			
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
White					Inis te	st was not giv	en in 2004	I-05. 
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students					• • • • •			
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant					<b>.</b>			
Not Migrant								

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> d	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This Dist	rict			NY State P	ublic		
	Percentage	e scoring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Ran	ge:							
1009	6							
Number of Students:								
rumber of students.								
Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year		
_	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female					· · · · · · <del>·</del> · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native					• • • • • • •			
Black or African American					• • • • • •			
Hispanic or Latino					• • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······ This tos	t was not six	on in 2004	ΩE
White			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•••••			
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		••••			
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant		••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Not Migrant								

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	School Year 2004				chool Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(		el(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	10	10	8	5		s test was not given in 2004-				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	et		NY State	Public	
		Percentage se	coring at level(s):	:	Percentage	scoring at level(s)	:
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range:						
	100%						
Number of Students:							
Deculte by		2005-06 50	hool Year		2004-05	School Year	


Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year	•		
•	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students									
Female									
Male									
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino				•					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•	•	• • • • • •				
Pacific Islander									
White					This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities									
English Proficient									
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•	•					
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•	•				•	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	10	10	8	5	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.
(NTSAA). Oracle o Equivalent								

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Percentage scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4  t was not given in 2004-05.		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	715	96%	69%	11%				
Female	333	97%	74%	14%				
Male	382	96%	64%	8%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	143	97%	64%	9%				
Hispanic or Latino	146	95%	60%	6%	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	122	97%	79%	13%				
White	304	97%	71%	13%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••				
General-Education Students	629	99%	75%	12%				
Students with Disabilities	86	79%	22%	0%				
English Proficient	707	96%	69%	11%				
Limited English Proficient	8	88%	25%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	72	96%	54%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	643	96%	70%	12%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	715	96%	69%	11%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments  New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	Total Tested	Number sco	J		Total	Number sco			
	14	13	3-4 12	5	Tested This test	2-4 was not giv	3-4 ven in 200	4 )4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	8	6	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	ested 2-4 3-4 4			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	720	96%	80%	20%					
Female	333	97%	83%	22%					
Male	387	96%	78%	19%			••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	148	95%	70%	13%	••••				
Hispanic or Latino	149	96%	71%	13%	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	124	97%	93%	35%					
White	299	97%	84%	21%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••				
General-Education Students	637	99%	85%	23%					
Students with Disabilities	83	77%	39%	1%	•••••				
English Proficient	705	96%	81%	21%					
Limited English Proficient	15	100%	47%	0%	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	74	95%	66%	11%					
Not Disadvantaged	646	97%	82%	22%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	720	96%	80%	20%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> d	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	14	13	11	7	This test	was not giv	ren in 2004	4-05.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 S	chool Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	686	98%	76%	9%						
Female	333	98%	81%	11%						
Male	353	98%	72%	8%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American	164	98%	64%	5%	• • • • • • •					
Hispanic or Latino	140	96%	71%	5%	New ass	essments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	94	_	<del>-</del>	<u> </u>	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
White	287	99%	80%	11%	administ	ered in 2006	5. Results f	rom		
Small Group Totals	95	100%	94%	17%		sessments c		•		
General-Education Students	615	99%	82%	10%	•	d to results	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	71	89%	27%	0%	administ	ered assessr	nents.			
English Proficient	681	98%	77%	9%						
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	20%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	45	96%	64%	7%						
Not Disadvantaged	641	98%	77%	10%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	686	98%	76%	9%	•	•••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	10	10	6	<u>4</u> 5	Tested 0	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	12	10	10	N/A	9	8	6	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year	
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Percentage scoring at level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4
All Students	699	97%	77%	12%	
Female	339	97%	78%	12%	
Male	360	96%	76%	12%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	
Black or African American	165	97%	66%	5%	
Hispanic or Latino	147	91%	68%	5%	New assessments for elementary-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	99	_	_	_	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were
White	287	99%	83%	15%	administered in 2006. Results from
Small Group Totals	100	98%	91%	24%	these assessments cannot be directly
General-Education Students	629	98%	81%	13%	compared to results from previously
Students with Disabilities	70	81%	39%	1%	administered assessments.
English Proficient	682	97%	78%	12%	
Limited English Proficient	17	71%	47%	6%	
Economically Disadvantaged	48	94%	69%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	651	97%	77%	12%	
Migrant					
Not Migrant	699	97%	77%	12%	

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	10	10	6	5	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	10	10	0	J	O				

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	472	98%	79%	12%	537	97%	84%	26%
Female	228	98%	77%	9%	260	99%	86%	22%
Male	244	98%	80%	14%	277	96%	83%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_				
Black or African American	129	99%	78%	6%	100	95%	71%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	115	94%	70%	8%	120	97%	81%	24%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	50	-	_	_	68	99%	85%	31%
White	177	100%	83%	16%	249	98%	91%	30%
Small Group Totals	51	98%	84%	20%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	409	98%	82%	13%	465	99%	89%	28%
Students with Disabilities	63	97%	56%	5%	72	88%	51%	7%
English Proficient	455	99%	80%	12%	522	98%	84%	26%
Limited English Proficient	17	65%	29%	0%	15	80%	73%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	93%	66%	7%	29	97%	83%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	431	99%	80%	12%	508	97%	84%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	472	98%	79%	12%	537	97%	84%	26%


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	10	10	7	4	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	10	10		4	0			
Regents Science	214	213	212	139	167	167	167	127

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	el:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	15	283	327	95	720	707	
Jan 2004	14	289	352	128	783	710	
Jan 2003	27	299	328	66	720	702	

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance leve	ıl:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	31	136	465	101	733	733	
May 2004	38	164	458	136	796	733	
May 2003	30	171	432	100	733	731	

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	705	95%	93%	51%	810	90%	88%	50%
Female	352	97%	94%	61%	406	93%	91%	59%
Male	353	93%	91%	41%	404	86%	84%	41%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	2	-	_	-
Black or African American	120	93%	88%	30%	146	85%	82%	33%
Hispanic or Latino	114	90%	88%	32%	137	79%	72%	32%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	107	_	_	_	119	_	_	_
White	363	96%	95%	60%	406	93%	93%	59%
Small Group Totals	108	97%	96%	63%	121	97%	95%	59%
General-Education Students	642	97%	95%	55%	720	92%	91%	55%
Students with Disabilities	63	76%	63%	10%	90	71%	66%	8%
English Proficient	683	95%	93%	52%	763	91%	90%	52%
Limited English Proficient	22	77%	68%	14%	47	74%	60%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	92%	88%	32%				
Not Disadvantaged	680	95%	93%	51%	·····	•••••	•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	705	95%	93%	51%	·····	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••


#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
Accessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number sco	(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1				2			
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	1			_	2			_

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*				
•	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	705	96%	94%	25%	810	89%	83%	26%
Female	352	97%	95%	27%	406	91%	86%	28%
Male	353	95%	92%	24%	404	87%	81%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	_	_
Black or African American	120	94%	91%	13%	146	85%	75%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	114	92%	88%	18%	137	77%	69%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	107	_	_	_	119	_	_	_
White	363	97%	96%	28%	406	93%	89%	32%
Small Group Totals	108	99%	95%	37%	121	95%	91%	39%
General-Education Students	642	98%	96%	27%	720	92%	88%	29%
Students with Disabilities	63	83%	71%	8%	90	66%	51%	4%
English Proficient	683	97%	95%	26%	763	90%	84%	27%
imited English Proficient	22	82%	64%	14%	47	79%	70%	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	92%	80%	16%				
Not Disadvantaged	680	96%	94%	26%		•••••	•••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	705	96%	94%	25%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••

#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	705	94%	0%	2%	3%	1%
	2001	810	85%	1%	2%	10%	2%
Female	2002	352	95%	0%	1%	3%	1%
	2001	406	91%	1%	0%	7%	1%
Male	2002	353	92%	1%	2%	3%	2%
	2001	404	79%	0%	4%	14%	3%
American Indian	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
Black or	2002	120	90%	1%	3%	6%	1%
African American	2001	146	75%	3%	3%	18%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	114	87%	1%	1%	8%	4%
•	2001	137	74%	0%	5%	18%	4%
Asian or Native	2002	107	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	119	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	363	96%	0%	2%	1%	1%
	2001	406	90%	0%	1%	6%	2%
Small Group Totals	2002	108	97%	0%	1%	2%	0%
•	2001	121	92%	0%	1%	7%	1%
General-Education Students	2002	642	95%	0%	1%	3%	1%
	2001	720	87%	0%	2%	9%	2%
Students with Disabilities	2002	63	76%	5%	5%	10%	5%
	2001	90	68%	6%	4%	18%	4%
English Proficient	2002	683	94%	0%	2%	2%	1%
	2001	763	86%	1%	2%	10%	2%
Limited English Proficient	2002	22	68%	0%	0%	27%	5%
-	2001	47	64%	0%	9%	23%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	25	88%	0%	0%	12%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	680	94%	0%	2%	3%	1%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	705	94%	0%	2%	3%	1%

#### **NOTES**

District VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	787	93%	1%	4%	1%	2%
Female	396	96%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Male	391	88%	1%	7%	1%	3%
American Indian	2	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native						
Black or	142	89%	4%	4%	2%	1%
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	128	85%	0%	11%	1%	3%
Asian or Native	116	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	399	94%	0%	3%	0%	2%
Small Group Totals	118	97%	0%	2%	0%	1%
General-Education Students	704	94%	0%	4%	1%	2%
Students with Disabilities	83	83%	7%	5%	1%	4%
English Proficient	743	94%	1%	3%	0%	2%
Limited English Proficient	44	66%	0%	25%	5%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	765	92%	1%	4%	1%	2%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	787	93%	1%	4%	1%	2%

#### NOTES