



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2005 – 06**

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY
PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **280405020000**
Superintendent **REGINA COHN**
Telephone **(516) 352-6257**
Grades **K-6, UE**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

4

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	118	99	0
Kindergarten	214	201	213
Grade 1	227	223	214
Grade 2	218	237	231
Grade 3	249	235	244
Grade 4	264	262	240
Grade 5	230	283	269
Grade 6	245	246	290
Ungraded Elementary	58	12	12
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1705	1699	1713

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	22	23	22
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reduced-Price Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	71	4%	97	6%	90	5%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	4	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	8	0%	14	1%	7	0%
Hispanic or Latino	160	9%	175	10%	194	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	590	35%	596	35%	606	35%
White	947	56%	910	54%	906	53%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	96%		97%		97%	
Student Suspensions	11	N/A	6	0%	3	0%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	198	186	449
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	2%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	1	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	1%	2%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	2	1	2
Percentage of Total	2%	1%	2%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	34%	35%	40%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	128	126	133
Total Other Professional Staff	13	13	13
Total Paraprofessionals*	42	43	48
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	4	4	4

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.


Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002–03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002–03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times [(Count\ of\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times [(Count\ of\ Cohort\ Members\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Cohort\ Members]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06\ PI + (200 - the\ 2005-06\ PI) \times 0.10$$

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

▲ Good Standing

Elementary/Middle Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

Secondary Level

ELA

Math

Graduation Rate

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004–05

2005–06

2006–07

YES

YES

YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	–	–				
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓				
White	✓	✓				
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓				
Limited English Proficient	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged	–	–				
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 5 of 5	✓ 5 of 5	✓ 1 of 1			

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal

- Good Standing ▲
- Improvement (Year 1) ▲
- Improvement (Year 2) ▲
- Improvement (Year 3) ▲
- Improvement (Year 4) ▲
- Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲

State

- Good Standing ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

5 of 5

Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (1051:1030)	✓	✓	100%	✓	187	119	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (4:4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (116:114)	✓	✓	100%	✓	167	112	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (389:374)	✓	✓	99%	✓	191	116	
White (542:538)	✓	✓	100%	✓	188	117	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (138:134)	✓	✓	98%	✓	136	113	
Limited English Proficient (26:22)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (18:18)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	✓ 5 of 5						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students
to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

5 of 5

Student groups making AYP in Mathematics



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (1052:1030)	✓	✓	100%	✓	192	83	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (4:4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (116:114)	✓	✓	100%	✓	179	76	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (391:373)	✓	✓	99%	✓	197	80	
White (541:539)	✓	✓	100%	✓	191	81	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (138:135)	✓	✓	99%	✓	160	77	
Limited English Proficient (25:22)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (18:18)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	✓ 5 of 5						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target





Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status










Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]




How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (242:236)		Qualified		99%		199	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (23:23)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (83:78)		Qualified		99%		200	100	
White (136:135)		Qualified		99%		199	100	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (36:34)	–	–	–	–		194	100	
Limited English Proficient (8:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (6:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

3

School Accountability Status

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

2006–07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing

4 schools identified 100% of total

GARDEN CITY PARK SCHOOL

HILLSIDE GRADE SCHOOL










MANOR OAKS WILLIAM BOWIE SCHOOL

NEW HYDE PARK ROAD SCHOOL

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	86%			234
Grade 4	91%			236
Grade 5	87%			269
Grade 6	91%			285
Mathematics				
Grade 3	94%			241
Grade 4	94%			240
Grade 5	93%			274
Grade 6	92%			289
Science				
Grade 4	99%			239

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	234	95%	86%	21%				
Female	124	98%	90%	21%				
Male	110	93%	82%	22%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino	32	—	—	—				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	91	97%	92%	22%				
White	107	96%	86%	22%				
Small Group Totals	36	89%	72%	17%				
General-Education Students	206	100%	93%	24%				
Students with Disabilities	28	64%	36%	0%				
English Proficient	233	—	—	—				
Limited English Proficient	1	—	—	—				
Economically Disadvantaged	5	100%	60%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	229	95%	87%	22%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	234	95%	86%	21%				

This test was not given in 2004-05.

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	—	—	—				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT):† Grade 3	7	6	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	241	98%	94%	49%				
Female	127	98%	94%	50%				
Male	114	96%	94%	49%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino	34	—	—	—				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	95	99%	97%	59%				
White	108	99%	95%	47%				
Small Group Totals	38	89%	84%	32%				
General-Education Students	213	100%	98%	54%				
Students with Disabilities	28	79%	64%	11%				
English Proficient	233	97%	94%	50%				
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	88%	25%				
Economically Disadvantaged	5	100%	100%	40%				
Not Disadvantaged	236	97%	94%	50%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	241	98%	94%	49%				

This test was not given in 2004-05.

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	—	—	—				

This test was not given in 2004-05.

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	236	97%	91%	25%				
Female	120	98%	90%	31%				
Male	116	97%	92%	19%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	22	91%	77%	18%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	79	99%	94%	25%				
Pacific Islander								
White	135	98%	92%	26%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	202	100%	96%	29%				
Students with Disabilities	34	85%	65%	3%				
English Proficient	233	—	—	—				
Limited English Proficient	3	—	—	—				
Economically Disadvantaged	5	100%	100%	20%				
Not Disadvantaged	231	97%	91%	25%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	236	97%	91%	25%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 4	5	5	5	N/A	2	—	—	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	240	100%	94%	53%				
Female	124	100%	93%	54%				
Male	116	100%	96%	53%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	23	100%	96%	26%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	82	100%	96%	70%				
White	135	100%	93%	48%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	206	100%	97%	59%				
Students with Disabilities	34	100%	76%	18%				
English Proficient	232	100%	94%	54%				
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	88%	25%				
Economically Disadvantaged	6	100%	100%	33%				
Not Disadvantaged	234	100%	94%	54%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	240	100%	94%	53%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	–	–	–	1	–	–	–

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	239	100%	99%	87%	267	100%	98%	84%
Female	123	100%	99%	86%	128	99%	97%	81%
Male	116	100%	99%	89%	139	100%	99%	87%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	23	100%	100%	70%	31	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	82	100%	100%	89%	92	100%	100%	87%
White	134	100%	99%	90%	142	100%	99%	87%
Small Group Totals					33	97%	85%	64%
General-Education Students	206	100%	100%	90%	236	100%	99%	88%
Students with Disabilities	33	100%	94%	73%	31	100%	90%	55%
English Proficient	231	100%	99%	89%	263	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	100%	50%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	6	100%	100%	67%				
Not Disadvantaged	233	100%	99%	88%	267	100%	98%	84%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	239	100%	99%	87%	267	100%	98%	84%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	269	99%	87%	24%				
Female	122	98%	89%	26%				
Male	147	99%	86%	22%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	30	93%	70%	23%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	102	99%	89%	24%				
White	137	100%	90%	24%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	235	100%	94%	26%				
Students with Disabilities	34	91%	41%	6%				
English Proficient	268	—	—	—				
Limited English Proficient	1	—	—	—				
Economically Disadvantaged	3	—	—	—				
Not Disadvantaged	266	—	—	—				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	269	99%	87%	24%				

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 5	4	—	—	N/A				
					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	274	98%	93%	50%				
Female	125	98%	91%	47%				
Male	149	99%	94%	53%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	32	97%	81%	25%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	104	100%	99%	60%				
White	138	97%	91%	49%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	240	99%	96%	57%				
Students with Disabilities	34	91%	71%	6%				
English Proficient	269	99%	93%	51%				
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	80%	40%				
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	271	-	-	-				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	274	98%	93%	50%				

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	285	99%	91%	27%				
Female	137	100%	94%	29%				
Male	148	99%	88%	25%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	25	100%	84%	12%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	104	99%	96%	30%				
White	156	99%	88%	28%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	251	100%	96%	30%				
Students with Disabilities	34	94%	50%	6%				
English Proficient	283	—	—	—				
Limited English Proficient	2	—	—	—				
Economically Disadvantaged	4	—	—	—				
Not Disadvantaged	281	—	—	—				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	285	99%	91%	27%				

This test was not given in 2004-05.

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0							
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): [†] Grade 6	3	—	—	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	289	99%	92%	42%				
Female	139	100%	93%	45%				
Male	150	97%	91%	38%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	26	100%	73%	15%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	106	99%	97%	55%				
White	157	98%	91%	37%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	254	100%	96%	44%				
Students with Disabilities	35	89%	63%	20%				
English Proficient	285	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	285	-	-	-				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	289	99%	92%	42%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level:						
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	1	20	134	108	263	693
Feb 2004	6	41	152	78	277	674
Feb 2003	6	32	100	87	225	680

District **NEW HYDE PARK-GARDEN CITY PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Test Date	Number of students scoring at each performance level:				Total Tested	Mean Score
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
May 2005	2	7	76	179	264	695
May 2004	4	5	116	152	277	683
May 2003	1	8	76	145	230	688