

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT
District ID 280406030000
Superintendent CHARLES CARDILLO
Telephone (516) 267-7705
Grades K-12

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

### Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

**2** Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

**3** View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## **District Profile**

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	217	221	221
Grade 1	217	256	249
Grade 2	211	225	261
Grade 3	219	215	227
Grade 4	196	229	218
Grade 5	222	201	234
Grade 6	202	227	211
Ungraded Elementary	22	14	0
Grade 7	203	226	240
Grade 8	221	215	223
Grade 9	198	201	192
Grade 10	204	193	199
Grade 11	195	204	195
Grade 12	173	192	199
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	2700	2819	2869

# **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	21	21	21
Grade 8			
English	19	21	22
Mathematics	20	19	25
Science	22	23	24
Social Studies	21	23	24
Grade 10			
English	24	24	26
Mathematics	17	18	15
Science	18	23	19
Social Studies	24	25	22

# **Average Class Size Information**

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **District Profile**

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	77	3%	70	2%	70	2%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	12	0%	34	1%	12	0%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	63	2%	76	3%	64	2%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	8	0%	2	0%	
Black or African American	137	5%	128	5%	125	4%	
Hispanic or Latino	80	3%	83	3%	86	3%	
Asian or Native	300	11%	336	12%	361	13%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	2182	81%	2264	80%	2295	80%	

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	73	N/A	82	3%	67	2%

# Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **District Profile**

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	663	670	999
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	0	1
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	56%	55%	57%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	247	243	253
Total Other Professional Staff	35	35	35
Total Paraprofessionals*	117	103	93
Assistant Principals	4	4	4
Principals	4	4	4

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

# **Teacher Qualifications Information**

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

# **Staff Counts Information**

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# **Effective Annual Measurable Objective** (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) <math>\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100  $\times$  [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### **New York State Status**

(Applies to New York State districts)

### District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

### ♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Summary**

### **Overall Accountability** Status (2006-07)

### **Good Standing**

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	l
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA	♣ Good Standing
Math	<b>♦</b> Good Standing	Math	<b>♦</b> Good Standing
Science	<b>♦</b> Good Standing	Graduation Rate	♠ Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

<u></u>					
2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_					
Black or African American	~	~	•••••••	_	_	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	•••••••	_	_	••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	••••••	- -	_ _	••••••	
White	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	•••••••••	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	X		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	_	 -	•••••••••	_		••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••••		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 6 of 7	<b>X</b> 6 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1	

### **AYP Status**

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

### **Accountability Status Levels**

#### Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Improvement (Year 3) 🔨

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

Improvement (Year 4) ∧ ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

<b>y</b> -		
Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	6 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation <sup>2</sup> Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
<b>All Students</b> (1363:1341)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	186	119		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (69:66)	<b>V</b>	•	99%	<b>V</b>	120	110		•••
Hispanic or Latino (38:37)	<b>V</b>	_		<b>V</b>	151	106	••••••••	•••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (142:140)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	193	113		••••••
White (1112:1096)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	191	119	••••••••	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (224:148)	X	X	94%	~	136	113		
Limited English Proficient (23:22)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	•••••••	-
Economically Disadvantaged (53:52)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	137	109	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 6 of 7							

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	6 of 7	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performar	nce Objective	es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
<b>All Students</b> (1363:1333)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	191	83		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (69:66)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	126	74		
Hispanic or Latino (38:35)	<b>/</b>	_		<b>V</b>	163	70	••••••••	•••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (143:140)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	199	77		
White (1111:1090)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	194	83	••••••••	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (222:146)	X	X	94%	~	147	77		
Limited English Proficient (23:22)	- -	_	-	_	_	_		_
Economically Disadvantaged (53:51)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	~	145	73	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 6 of 7							

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or

secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005-06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95%participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
All Students (449:430)	V Status	Qualified	- Criterion	97%	<u>~</u>	195	100	2003 00 2000 0	
 Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (20:20)		_	-	-	_	_	_ _	_	
Hispanic or Latino (13:12)		_	_	-	_	-	<u> </u>	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (41:40)		Qualified		98%	~	198	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
White (375:358)		Qualified	~	96%	~	198	100	• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (51:44)		Qualified	<b>/</b>	90%	~	164	100		
Limited English Proficient (7:7)		_	_	_	_	-	_	<del>-</del>	
Economically Disadvantaged (15:15)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	_	_	_	- -		

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYF



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
  - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup> All Students (211:202)	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
	<b>✓</b>	<u>/</u>	100%	<u>/</u>	198	146		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (12:10)	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (11:11)	_	_	-	_	-		_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (28:26)	_	_	_	-	_	_		_
White (160:155)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	199	146	••••••••	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (30:24)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (5:2)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged (2:3)	- -	_	_	_	_	_		_
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 2 of 2							

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	<sup>2</sup> Test Performance <sup>3</sup>			Performance Objectives			
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target		
All Students (211:202)	V	<u>/</u>	100%	<u>/</u>	198	138				
Ethnicity								,		
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (12:10)	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino (11:11)	_	_	-	_	-		_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (28:26)	_	_	_	_	_	_		-		
White (160:155)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	199	138	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (30:24)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (5:2)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (2:3)	- -	_	-	_	_	_		<u> </u>		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2									

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

#### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator	^	Good Standing
(2006-07) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
•	<b>V</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
<b>Student Group</b>		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP Criterion		Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (196)	~	<b>~</b>	97%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (13)		-	-	-	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino (6)		_	-	_	-	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (24)		_	-	-	_	-		
White (153)	• • • • • • • •	~	99%	55%				
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (35)		~	86%	55%				
Limited English Proficient (2)		-	-	_	_	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (1)		_		-	_			
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1						

#### NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

# **Graduation Rate Information**

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

# **School Accountability Status**

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

#### **New York State Status**



### **Good Standing**

4 schools identified 100% of total

MANHASSET MIDDLE SCHOOL MANHASSET SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL MUNSEY PARK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL SHELTER ROCK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Summary of 2005–06 District Performance**

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at o	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	90%		218
Grade 4	91%		212
Grade 5	93%		232
Grade 6	87%		211
Grade 7	84%		■ 237
Grade 8	79%		221
Mathematics			
Grade 3	96%		220
Grade 4	96%		216
Grade 5	93%		229
Grade 6	93%		212
Grade 7	89%		238
Grade 8	87%		220
Science			
Grade 4	99%		218
Grade 8	90%		130
	_	of students that r above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English Mathematics	97%		206
	Percentage who gradua	of students ited	2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	96%	1	206

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


### This District's N/RC Category:

### **Low Need Districts**

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	ır		2004-05	School Year	chool Year		
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	218	99%	90%	11%					
Female	91	100%	95%	18%					
Male	127	98%	87%	7%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	9	89%	33%	0%	• • • • • • •				
Hispanic or Latino	5		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	100%	17%					
White	185	99%	92%	12%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
General-Education Students	192	100%	97%	13%					
Students with Disabilities	26	92%	38%	4%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
English Proficient	214	_	_	-					
Limited English Proficient	4	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····	<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged	10	90%	50%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	208	100%	92%	12%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	218	99%	90%	11%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments  New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested 2	2-4	3-4	4	Tested This tes	t was not giv	3–4 ven in 200	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	ır		2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	Tested 2–4	3-4	4		
All Students	220	100%	96%	57%						
Female	93	100%	97%	59%						
Male	127	99%	96%	55%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	-						
Black or African American	9	89%	78%	11%						
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	_	_	• • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	100%	100%	67%						
White	184	100%	97%	59%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	17%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
General-Education Students	194	99%	99%	62%						
Students with Disabilities	26	100%	77%	15%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	213	100%	97%	57%						
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	86%	43%	• • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	10	100%	80%	20%						
Not Disadvantaged	210	100%	97%	59%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	220	100%	96%	57%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accocciments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	212	99%	91%	25%						
Female	105	99%	93%	30%						
Male	107	99%	89%	20%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	5	80%	40%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	7	100%	57%	0%	New ass	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	92%	38%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
White	187	99%	94%	25%	adminis	tered in 200	6. Results f	rom		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••		ssessments c		•		
General-Education Students	194	99%	95%	26%	•	ed to results	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	18	94%	50%	6%	adminis	tered assessr	nents.			
English Proficient	209	_	_	-						
Limited English Proficient	3	_		<u> </u>						
Economically Disadvantaged	9	89%	33%	11%						
Not Disadvantaged	203	100%	94%	25%	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	212	99%	91%	25%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent									
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	3	_	_	N/A	3	-	-	N/A	
Grade 4									

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	ır		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4				
All Students	216	100%	96%	56%					
Female	105	99%	99%	55%					
Male	111	100%	93%	58%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	5	100%	100%	40%					
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	67%	17%	New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	100%	79%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
White	191	99%	96%	57%	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	these assessments cannot be directly				
General-Education Students	199	99%	97%	59%	compared to results from previously				
Students with Disabilities	17	100%	82%	24%	administered assessments.				
English Proficient	210	100%	97%	58%					
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	67%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	63%	13%					
Not Disadvantaged	208	100%	97%	58%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	216	100%	96%	56%					

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	218	99%	99%	83%	230	100%	98%	82%	
Female	107	98%	98%	83%	122	100%	98%	79%	
Male	111	100%	100%	82%	108	99%	98%	85%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	5	100%	100%	40%	12	100%	75%	25%	
Hispanic or Latino	7	100%	100%	57%	6	83%	83%	50%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	100%	85%	26	100%	100%	88%	
White	193	99%	99%	84%	186	100%	100%	85%	
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••	••••••	
General-Education Students	199	99%	99%	86%	202	100%	100%	88%	
Students with Disabilities	19	95%	95%	42%	28	96%	86%	39%	
English Proficient	212	99%	99%	84%	227	-	-	_	
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	100%	17%	3	-	-	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	9	100%	100%	33%	11	91%	64%	18%	
Not Disadvantaged	209	99%	99%	85%	219	100%	100%	85%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	218	99%	99%	83%	230	100%	98%	82%	

#### NOTES

2005-06 <b>S</b> c	chool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
2	-	-	-	0				
	Total	Total Number sco Tested 2-4	Tested 2–4 3–4	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level (s): Total Number scor	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4	

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	232	99%	93%	33%						
Female	119	99%	94%	32%						
Male	113	98%	91%	34%			••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	11	91%	64%	0%	••••					
Hispanic or Latino	5	60%	60%	20%	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	29	100%	93%	41%						
White	187	100%	95%	34%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••					
General-Education Students	203	100%	97%	35%						
Students with Disabilities	29	90%	66%	14%	•••••					
English Proficient	230	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	–	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	9	89%	67%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	223	99%	94%	34%	••••••	•••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	232	99%	93%	33%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments  New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4  3-4  4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4		
	1	-	-	4		t was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	229	99%	93%	47%							
Female	119	99%	92%	45%							
Male	110	99%	94%	48%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	11	-	-	_				j			
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	_	••••			İ			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	100%	100%	70%				İ			
White	184	99%	94%	46%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004				
Small Group Totals	15	93%	67%	13%				·			
General-Education Students	201	100%	96%	52%							
Students with Disabilities	28	96%	75%	11%				Ì			
English Proficient	226	_	_	_							
Limited English Proficient	3	_	_	_				i			
Economically Disadvantaged	9	89%	67%	22%							
Not Disadvantaged	220	100%	94%	48%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	229	99%	93%	47%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accoccimonts	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	211	99%	87%	32%					
Female	119	98%	88%	36%					
Male	92	99%	86%	26%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	16	88%	44%	13%					
Hispanic or Latino	5	80%	60%	0%	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	100%	96%	43%					
White	167	100%	91%	33%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••					
General-Education Students	190	99%	92%	35%					
Students with Disabilities	21	90%	43%	0%	•••••				
English Proficient	208	_	_	-					
Limited English Proficient	3	_	<del></del>	<del>-</del>	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	10	90%	60%	10%					
Not Disadvantaged	201	99%	89%	33%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	211	99%	87%	32%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessifients	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	This tost	was not qiv	on in 200	14-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	т	_				was not giv		74-03.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	-	_	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	212	97%	93%	49%					
Female	119	97%	92%	44%					
Male	93	97%	95%	56%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	16	75%	50%	6%	••••				
Hispanic or Latino	5	80%	80%	40%	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	100%	67%					
White	167	99%	97%	51%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••				
General-Education Students	191	99%	97%	54%					
Students with Disabilities	21	76%	57%	5%	•••••				
English Proficient	208	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_	_	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	10	90%	70%	10%					
Not Disadvantaged	202	97%	95%	51%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	212	97%	93%	49%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> C	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assassments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s)				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	237	99%	84%	17%						
Female	114	99%	85%	19%						
Male	123	99%	83%	15%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American	12	92%	8%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	10	_	-	_	• • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	92%	29%						
White	190	100%	89%	17%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals	11	91%	64%	18%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
General-Education Students	210	100%	89%	19%						
Students with Disabilities	27	93%	44%	4%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	236	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	1	_	- -	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	9	89%	33%	11%						
Not Disadvantaged	228	100%	86%	18%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	237	99%	84%	17%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-		st was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	ır		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	238	97%	89%	53%						
Female	115	97%	90%	51%						
Male	123	98%	88%	55%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	-	-						
Black or African American	12	67%	33%	0%	• • • • • • •					
Hispanic or Latino	10		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	26	100%	100%	73%						
White	189	100%	92%	56%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals	11	82%	73%	18%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
General-Education Students	211	100%	95%	58%						
Students with Disabilities	27	78%	44%	15%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	236	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	9	67%	56%	11%						
Not Disadvantaged	229	99%	90%	55%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	238	97%	89%	53%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004–05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
221	221	221	98%	79%	13%					
111	100%	81%	14%							
110	96%	76%	12%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
15	80%	13%	0%							
6	100%	67%	0%	New as:	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-			
26	96%	85%	15%		and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
174	100%	84%	14%	adminis	tered in 200	6. Results 1	rom			
•••••••••••	••••	•••••	•••••				•			
197	100%	86%	14%			•	iously			
24	83%	17%	0%	adminis	tered assessi	nents.				
221	98%	79%	13%							
•••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
6	100%	17%	0%							
215	98%	80%	13%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •			
221	98%	79%	13%	••••••	•••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Total Tested  221 111 110  15 6 26 174  197 24 221 6 215	Total Percentag Tested 2-4  221 98%  111 100%  110 96%  15 80%  6 100%  26 96%  174 100%  24 83%  221 98%  6 100%  25 98%	Tested 2-4 3-4  221 98% 79%  111 100% 81%  110 96% 76%  15 80% 13%  6 100% 67%  26 96% 85%  174 100% 84%  197 100% 86%  24 83% 17%  221 98% 79%  6 100% 17%  215 98% 80%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4  221 98% 79% 13%  111 100% 81% 14%  110 96% 76% 12%  15 80% 13% 0%  6 100% 67% 0%  26 96% 85% 15%  174 100% 84% 14%  197 100% 86% 14%  24 83% 17% 0%  221 98% 79% 13%  6 100% 17% 0%  215 98% 80% 13%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total Tested           221         98%         79%         13%           111         100%         81%         14%           110         96%         76%         12%           15         80%         13%         0%           6         100%         67%         0%         New assumed and mice arts and administrates and admi	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4  221 98% 79% 13%  111 100% 81% 14%  110 96% 76% 12%  15 80% 13% 0%  6 100% 67% 0% New assessments for and middle-level Engarts and mathematic administered in 200 these assessments of 174 100% 84% 14%  197 100% 86% 14%  24 83% 17% 0%  221 98% 79% 13%  6 100% 17% 0%  215 98% 80% 13%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4  221 98% 79% 13%  111 100% 81% 14%  110 96% 76% 12%  15 80% 13% 0%  6 100% 67% 0% New assessments for elementa and middle-level English languarts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results for these assessments cannot be compared to results from previous diministered assessments.  24 83% 17% 0%  221 98% 79% 13%  6 100% 17% 0%  215 98% 80% 13%			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	-	-	N/A	5	5	4	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4			
All Students	220	97%	87%	24%				
Female	110	98%	85%	20%				
Male	110	95%	89%	27%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	60%	20%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	6 100% 50% 0% New assessments for elem							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	100%	96%	41%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were			
White	172	99%	93%	24%	administered in 2006. Results from			
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	these assessments cannot be directly			
General-Education Students	196	100%	95%	26%	compared to results from previously			
Students with Disabilities	24	71%	25%	4%	administered assessments.			
English Proficient	219	_	_	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	_		- -				
Economically Disadvantaged	6	67%	0%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	214	98%	90%	24%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	220	97%	87%	24%				

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested		4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_		1	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1			_	1			_

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	ır	
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	130	98%	90%	34%	139	100%	94%	54%
Female	71	100%	89%	25%	59	100%	93%	44%
Male	59	97%	92%	44%	80	100%	94%	61%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	87%	40%	0%	18	100%	72%	28%
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	100%	40%	6	100%	100%	50%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	94%	44%	21	100%	95%	57%
White	94	100%	97%	37%	94	100%	97%	59%
Small Group Totals	••••••	•••••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••	•••••
General-Education Students	106	100%	99%	40%	111	100%	99%	64%
Students with Disabilities	24	92%	50%	8%	28	100%	71%	14%
English Proficient	129	-	-	-	134	100%	93%	54%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	_	_	5	100%	100%	60%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	100%	50%	0%	10	100%	70%	30%
Not Disadvantaged	124	98%	92%	35%	129	100%	95%	56%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	130	98%	90%	34%	139	100%	94%	54%


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year			2004-05 <b>S</b> d	04-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1		_		1			_	
Regents Science	83	83	82	76	75	75	75	66	


District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 4 89 118 227 698 16 96 78 194 Feb 2004 4 16 688 Feb 2003 23 80 105 209 689 1




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance level:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	2	43	109	56	210	724	
Jan 2004	0	36	97	88	221	732	
Jan 2003	4	44	118	31	197	714	


District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 1 52 170 230 701 May 2005 2 49 144 200 697 May 2004 5 May 2003 0 7 66 137 210 691



	Number o	of students sco	ring at each p	erformance level:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	9	18	135	53	215	743
May 2004	6	16	114	85	221	752
May 2003	6	33	97	67	203	743

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	206	98%	97%	75%	205	93%	92%	77%
Female	104	97%	97%	80%	97	98%	98%	81%
Male	102	98%	97%	71%	108	88%	87%	72%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	10	_	-	-	15	67%	60%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	91%	55%	7	71%	71%	57%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	100%	100%	82%	23	100%	100%	78%
White	156	98%	98%	79%	160	95%	95%	83%
Small Group Totals	11	82%	82%	27%	••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••
General-Education Students	180	98%	98%	82%	168	97%	97%	85%
Students with Disabilities	26	96%	92%	31%	37	73%	70%	41%
English Proficient	202	_	_	_	203	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	3	_	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	203	_	-	_	••••••	•••••	••••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	206	98%	97%	75%	••••••	••••••	••••••	•••••


#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	2001 Cohor	hort*					
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohor	2001 Cohort*				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	206	98%	97%	66%	205	94%	92%	59%		
Female	104	96%	95%	70%	97	97%	94%	65%		
Male	102	99%	98%	61%	108	92%	91%	54%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	-						
Black or African American	10	_	_	-	15	60%	47%	7%		
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	100%	45%	7	86%	71%	29%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	96%	96%	89%	23	100%	100%	87%		
White	156	99%	98%	67%	160	97%	96%	61%		
Small Group Totals	11	73%	73%	9%	••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••		
General-Education Students	180	98%	98%	73%	168	99%	98%	68%		
Students with Disabilities	26	92%	88%	15%	37	73%	68%	16%		
English Proficient	202	-	-	-	203	-	-	-		
_imited English Proficient	4	_	_	_	2	_	_	_		
Economically Disadvantaged	3	_	_	-						
Not Disadvantaged	203	_	-	_		•••••		•••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	206	98%	97%	66%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••		

#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	2001 Cohor	hort*					
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort
2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	206	96%	0%	0%	3%	1%
	2001	205	94%	0%	0%	2%	3%
Female	2002	104	95%	0%	0%	2%	3%
	2001	97	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Male	2002	102	96%	0%	0%	4%	0%
	2001	108	88%	1%	0%	5%	6%
American Indian	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	10	_	_	_	_	_
African American	2001	15	87%	0%	0%	0%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	11	82%	0%	0%	18%	0%
•	2001	7	71%	14%	0%	14%	0%
Asian or Native	2002	28	96%	0%	0%	4%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	23	96%	0%	0%	4%	0%
White	2002	156	98%	0%	0%	1%	1%
	2001	160	95%	0%	0%	2%	3%
Small Group Totals	2002	11	73%	0%	0%	18%	9%
General-Education Students	2002	180	98%	0%	0%	1%	1%
	2001	168	96%	0%	0%	1%	3%
Students with Disabilities	2002	26	81%	0%	0%	15%	4%
	2001	37	84%	3%	0%	8%	5%
English Proficient	2002	202	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	203	_	_	<u> </u>		_
Limited English Proficient	2002	4	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	3	_	_	_	_	-
Not Disadvantaged	2002	203	_	_	_	_	_
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	206	96%	0%	0%	3%	1%

#### **NOTES**

District MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	208	95%	1%	0%	0%	3%
Female	100	98%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Male	108	93%	1%	0%	0%	6%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	15	87%	0%	0%	0%	13%
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	7	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%
Asian or Native	24	96%	4%	0%	0%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	162	96%	1%	0%	0%	3%
Small Group Totals		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
General-Education Students	168	97%	0%	0%	0%	3%
Students with Disabilities	40	88%	8%	0%	0%	5%
English Proficient	206	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Economically Disadvantaged	3	_	_	_	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	205	_	_	_	_	_
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	208	95%	1%	0%	0%	3%

#### NOTES