

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District NORTH SHORE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 280501060000 Superintendent ED MELNICK Telephone (516) 705-0350 Grades K-12

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



### View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

# Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	209	227	217
Grade 1	214	214	238
Grade 2	215	222	222
Grade 3	225	226	227
Grade 4	223	231	228
Grade 5	197	228	236
Grade 6	227	199	232
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	199	226	202
Grade 8	238	202	230
Grade 9	192	219	185
Grade 10	180	203	222
Grade 11	188	180	197
Grade 12	144	178	182
Ungraded Secondary	1	0	0
Total K-12	2652	2755	2818

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	18	18	18
Grade 8			
English	19	20	19
Mathematics	19	21	20
Science	19	21	19
Social Studies	19	22	19
Grade 10			
English	23	16	21
Mathematics	21	19	21
Science	17	21	21
Social Studies	19	21	18

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	55	2%	66	2%	55	2%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	66	2%	66	2%	85	3%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	56	2%	52	2%	54	2%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Black or African American	25	1%	27	1%	34	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	98	4%	108	4%	120	4%	
Asian or Native	133	5%	160	6%	170	6%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	2395	90%	2460	89%	2494	89%	

\* Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		96%		94%
Student Suspensions	21	N/A	17	1%	19	1%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	618	676	978
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	3%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	4	3	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	1%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	5	4	4
Percentage of Total	2%	2%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	48%	45%	45%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	250	256	253
Total Other Professional Staff	44	36	40
Total Paraprofessionals*	94	103	76
Assistant Principals	2	2	3
Principals	5	5	4

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

# **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

### Federal Title | Status

plies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

**New York State Status** (Applies to New York State districts)

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing         A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress.     </li> </ul>	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

# 2 District Accountability

District NORTH SHORE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)		Good Standing  Elementary/Middle Level Secondary Level						
	ELA	Good Standing	ELA	<ul> <li>Good Standing</li> </ul>				
	Math	A Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing				
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received T	itle I Part A Funding					

Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2004-05 2005-06 20		2006–07			
	YES	YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	-	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<	••••	–	–	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
White	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>		<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>			
Limited English Proficient	–	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	-	••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 1 of 1		



# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target		
All Students (1367:1356)			100%		184	119	2005-08	2006-07	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (21:21)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (46:43)	<	~	98%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	170	107	•••••••••••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (90:89)	<	<	100%	~	187	111	••••••	••• •••••	
White (1210:1203)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	184	119	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (209:206)	~	<b>~</b>	99%	~	148	114			
Limited English Proficient (17:16)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (72:72)	<	<b>v</b>	100%	~	160	111			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (1369:1352)	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	186	83		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (21:21)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (46:44)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	175	71	••••	••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (90:89)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	184	75		••••
White (1212:1198)	<	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	187	83	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (209:206)	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	99%	<b>~</b>	153	78		
Limited English Proficient (17:16)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (72:72)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	165	75		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6	6						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

		AYP		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (458:450)	<b>v</b>	Qualified	<b>v</b>	99%	<b>v</b>	192	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (9:9)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (14:14)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (27:27)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (408:400)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	192	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (69:65)		Qualified	~	94%	~	171	100		
Limited English Proficient (5:5)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (19:18)	• ••••	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

#### NOTES

2

#### <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

- **AYP Status** Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance

criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (188:181)	V	Interior	99%		192	146	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino (10:10)	_	_	-	_	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (167:162)	<	<	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	193	146	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (31:31)	~	-	-	~	165	137		
Limited English Proficient (6:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (5:5)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

- AYP Status Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
   Council and the second students in the 12th and the second students are students.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (188:181)		Interior	98%		192	138	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (10:10)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (167:162)	<	<	98%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	194	138	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (31:31)	~	-	-	~	174	129		
Limited English Proficient (6:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (5:5)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

#### N

 These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

**V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status those two years.
 For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

 $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objective	5	
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (180)	~	<b>~</b>	96%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (0)						
Hispanic or Latino (1)		-	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10)		-	-	-	-	-
White (169)	••••••	<	95%	55%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (21)		_	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1)		-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (0)						
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1				

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

### 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

### Federal Title | Status

### **New York State Status**

▲ Good Standing

GLEN HEAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL GLENWOOD LANDING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL NORTH SHORE MIDDLE SCHOOL NORTH SHORE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SEA CLIFF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

5 schools identified 100% of total

### Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	89%		225
Grade 4	83%		226
Grade 5	87%		246
Grade 6	80%		230
Grade 7	85%		194
Grade 8	83%		221
Mathematics			
Grade 3	94%		225
Grade 4	93%		228
Grade 5	90%		245
Grade 6	83%		233
Grade 7	75%		194
Grade 8	92%		222
Science			
Grade 4	97%		225
Grade 8	63%		16
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	92%		185
Mathematics	94%		185
	Percentage who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	90%	1	185

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Low Need Districts**

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 689	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780				
	100%	99%	0.00/			92%			
			89%			5270	69%		
				8	3%			7%	
Number of Students:		222	201		19	_			
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b>					School Year		
<b>Student Group</b>		Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	-		Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	scoring at le 3–4	
All Students		225	<u> </u>	3-4 <b>89%</b>	4 8%	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4
Female		122	99%	93%	10%				
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	103	98%	85%	7%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American	•••••	2	-		-				
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	8	-		-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	-	13	100%	92%	8%				
Pacific Islander			100 %	9270		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	<u> </u>
White		202	99%	91%	8%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		10	90%	60%	10%				
General-Education Students		197	100%	92%	9%				
Students with Disabilities		28	89%	68%	4%				
English Proficient		220	99%	90%	9%				
Limited English Proficient		5	80%	80%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged		14	100%	79%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		211	99%	90%	9%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		225	99%	89%	8%				
NOTES									

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Accoccmonts	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	٤l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			This tost y	was not qiv	in 200	4.05
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	۷			-		was not yiv		4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3								

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 691	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
	100%	100%	94%			94%					
						5170	81%				
				3	2%			25%			
								2370			
Number of Students:		224	211		2						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>					School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	5		Total	5	scoring at le			
	-p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		225	100%	94%	32%	_					
Female		123	99%	93%	31%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••		
Male		102	100%	94%	33%						
American Indian or Alaska			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• •••••					
Black or African American		2		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>						
Hispanic or Latino		8		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Other	14	100%	79%	29%						
White	••••••		100%	96%		This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	•••••		90%	70%	20%	• •••••	5				
General-Education Student	ts	197	100%	94%	35%						
Students with Disabilities		28	96%	89%	14%	• • • • • • • •					
English Proficient		219	100%	95%	32%						
Limited English Proficient	•••••		83%	50%	17%						
Economically Disadvantage	ed	14	100%	79%	14%						
Not Disadvantaged		211	100%	95%	33%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••		
Migrant											
Not Migrant			100%	94%	32%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••			
NOTES											

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	_	_	This test	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 686	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775						
	100%	98%				91%					
			83%			91%	69%				
							09%				
				1	9%			9%			
Number of Chudente		222	1.00		42						
Number of Students:		222	188		43						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
	0	Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Grou	μ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		226	98%	83%	<b>19</b> %						
Female		117	99%	89%	22%						
Male		109	97%	77%	16%						
American Indian or Alaska N	lative										
Black or African American		4									
Hispanic or Latino		8	-				sessments for		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	ther	14	100%	93%	14%		dle-level Eng		age		
Pacific Islander							mathematic				
White		200	98%	84%	21%		tered in 2006 ssessments c				
Small Group Totals		12	100%	58%	0%		ed to results		-		
General-Education Students		194	99%		22%		tered assessr	•	sucij		
Students with Disabilities		32	91%	53%	0%						
English Proficient		223		<u>-</u>							
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		14	93%	57%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		212	99%	85%	20%						
Migrant		•••••••		••••••							
Not Migrant		226	98%	83%	19%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	4	4	1	_	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	J		4	4	±	_	_	_	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	-	-	N/A	5	5	4	N/A	
Grade 4									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 702	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800						
	100%	97%	93%			93%					
							78%				
				4	9%						
								26%			
Number of Students:		222	212	1	11						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> o			/.		School Year				
<b>Student</b> Grou	un	Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le			
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		228	97%	93%	49%	_					
Female	•••••	119	99%	93%	46%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Male American Indian or Alaska	Nativo	109	95%	93%	51%						
Black or African American	Native		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		• •••••					
••••••••••••••••••		4 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••		 Now 250	accomonte foi	r olomonta	<b></b>		
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/(			••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			sessments for Idle-level Eng		-		
Pacific Islander		14	100%	93%	64%		l mathematic		-90		
White	•••••	202		93%		adminis	tered in 2006	6. Results f	rom		
Small Group Totals		12	92%	92%	17%		ssessments c				
General-Education Student	S	196	99%	96%	54%		ed to results	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	•••••	32	84%	72%	19%	adminis	administered assessments.				
English Proficient		224	_	_	-						
Limited English Proficient		4	_	_	_						
Economically Disadvantage	ed	14	93%	93%	21%						
Not Disadvantaged		214	98%	93%	50%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		228	97%	93%	49%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	1	-		-	

# This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	3-4       4         3-4       4         86%       80%         49       49         00l Year       49         Percentage scoring a       2-4         2-4       3-4         99%       94%         99%       94%         99%       98%         100%       97%         100%       91%         100%       84%         100%       96%         83%       83%			
		2-4	3-4	2	ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 87	Range:	45-100	65-1	3 00	35-100						
2005-06	100%	99% 99%	97% 9		<sup>2%</sup> 62%	97% 95%	86% 80		<sup>%</sup> 42%		
2004-05											
Number of Students:		223 227	218	220 1	.62 143						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r			
Student Grou	n	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Grou	<u>Р</u>	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		225	<b>99%</b>	97%	72%	229	99%	96%	<b>62%</b>		
Female		119	99%	97%	73%	107	99%	94%	60%		
Male		106	99%	97%	71%	122	99%	98%	65%		
American Indian or Alaska N	ative										
Black or African American		4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino		8	-	-	-	7	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her	14	100%	100%	79%	13	92%	85%	31%		
White		199	99%	97%	73%	205	100%	97%	65%		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	12	100%	92%	50%	11	100%	91%	45%		
General-Education Students		196	99%	98%	76%	198	99%	98%	67%		
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	29	97%	90%	48%	31	100%	84%	32%		
English Proficient		221	_	_	_	223	100%	96%	63%		
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4	-	-	-	6	83%	83%	33%		
conomically Disadvantaged		13	92%	85%	54%	14	100%	93%	43%		
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	212	100%	98%	73%	215	99%	96%	64%		
Migrant											
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	225	99%	97%		•••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	62%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	1	-	_	-

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State F	Public		
	Range:       608–795       608–795         100%       99%       8         100%       99%       8         244       2         244       2         244       2         Total       Percentian 113         Tested       1133         133       9         Native       5         5       8         9       10         Dther       14			el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):	
		2-4	3-4	Z	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 686	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795				
	100%	99%				94%			
			87%				67%		
							0170		
				2	7%				
								12%	
Number of Students:		244	214		56				
			shool Voor			2004.05	School Year		
Results by			Percentage		t lovol(s):			scoring at le	vol(s).
Student Group			2-4	3–4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students			99%	<b>87%</b>	27%	Tested	2 4	5 4	
Female			100%	93%	25%				
Male		133	98%	82%	29%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American		5	80%	60%	20%	•••••			
Hispanic or Latino		9	100%	89%	22%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•	14	100%	86%	14%				
Pacific Islander		·····				This too			05
White		218	100%	88%	28%		st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		100	4000/	<b>0 1 0 (</b>	2001				
General-Education Students		199	100%	94%	32%				
Students with Disabilities		47	96%	55%	6%				
English Proficient		244							
imited English Proficient		2	-	_	_				
Economically Disadvantaged		15	100%	67%	27%				
Not Disadvantaged		231	99%	88%	27%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		246	99%	87%	27%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			This tost	st was not given in 2004-05.				
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent				_			/en in 200	·4-0J.		
New York State English as a Second										
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 5										

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	۷	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 692	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	599-780						
	100%	96%	90%			90%					
			90%			90%	68%				
					4%		0070				
				4	4%			100/			
								19%			
Number of Students:		235	220	1	.08						
		233	220		.00						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year	•		2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		245	96%	90%	44%						
Female		112	96%	90%	42%						
Male		133	95%	89%	46%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		5	80%	60%	40%						
Hispanic or Latino		9	100%	89%	22%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		15	93%	87%	40%						
Pacific Islander					40 /0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
White		216	96%	91%	45%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		198	99%	95%	52%						
Students with Disabilities		47	81%	66%	11%						
English Proficient		242	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		16	81%	69%	38%						
Not Disadvantaged		229	97%	91%	45%			•••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	245	96%	90%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

an Score: 680		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 680	Range:	598-785	650-78	85 7	05-785						
	100%	99%				93%					
			80%			93 %					
							60%				
				20	5%						
								12%			
Number of Students:		227	185	6	i0						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		230	99%	<b>80</b> %	<b>26</b> %						
Female		115	100%	86%	31%						
Male		115	97%	75%	21%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American		2	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino		8	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	13	100%	92%	38%						
Pacific Islander			100%	9270	JO70						
White		207	99%	79%	26%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		10	90%	90%	10%						
General-Education Students		196	100%	88%	30%						
Students with Disabilities		34	91%	35%	6%						
English Proficient		230	99%	80%	26%						
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •									
Economically Disadvantaged		13	92%	54%	8%						
Not Disadvantaged		217	99%	82%	27%		••••				
Migrant											
Not Migrant		230	99%	80%	26%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>So</b>	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	4	_			This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	4.05
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-		-		was not yiv		4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	2	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6								

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 681	Range:	616-780	650-7	'80 G	96-780						
	100%	96%									
			83%			87%					
							60%				
				3	0%						
								13%			
Number of Students:		224	193		70						
		227	100								
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>				2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total			t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		233	96%	83%	30%						
Female		115	98%	83%	31%						
Male		118	94%	82%	29%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American		2	-								
Hispanic or Latino		8									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	14	100%	86%	43%						
Pacific Islander		±4			4J /0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
White		209	96%	83%	29%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		10	100%	70%	30%						
General-Education Students		199	99%	89%	34%						
Students with Disabilities		34	76%	44%	9%						
English Proficient		231	-	_	-						
imited English Proficient		2	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		13	92%	54%	8%						
Not Disadvantaged		220	96%	85%	31%		••••	••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant		233	96%	83%	30%		••••				
NOTES											

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This District				NY State Public					
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage se	coring at level(	s):			
	2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	600-790	650-7	90 7	712-790						
100%	100%	85%			92%					
			1	9%		56%	8%			
	194	164		36						
	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
	Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	194	100%	85%	19%						
	106	100%	90%	17%						
	88	100%	78%	20%						
/e										
	2									
	7	-	-	-						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	100%	70%	50%						
	19	100%	1970	J 70						
	166	100%	87%	21%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
	9	100%	56%	0%						
	174	100%	89%	21%						
	20	100%	45%	0%						
	194	100%	85%	19%						
	9	100%	56%	0%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	185	100%	86%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••					
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 600-790 100% 100% 100% 100% 194 2005-06 So Total Tested 194 106 88 re 2 7 19 166 9 174 20 194	Percentage scorrug at level         2-4       3-4         Range:       600-790       650-7         100%       85%         100%       85%         100%       85%         194       164         Percentage         Total       Percentage         Tested       2-4         106       100%         106       100%         88       100%         10       9         10       9         100%       9         100%       100%         100%       100%         100%       100%         100%       100%         100%       100%         100%       100%         100%       100%         100%       100%         100%       100%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       600-790       650-790       7         100%       100%       85%       1         100%       100%       85%       1         100%       100%       85%       1         194       164       1       1         194       164       1       1         194       164       1       1         194       106       2-4       3-4         106       100%       90%       3         106       100%       90%       3         106       100%       78%       3         106       100%       78%       3         106       100%       78%       3         106       100%       85%       3         106       100%       87%       3         119       100%       89%       3         100%       20       100%       89%         104       100%       85%       3         105       100%       45%       3         106       100%       85%       3 <tr< td=""><td>Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       600-790       650-790       712-790         100%       85%       19%       19%         100%       85%       19%       19%         194       164       36         Zoo5-o6 Scbook Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4         106       100%       90%       17%         106       100%       90%       17%         2       -       -       -         7       -       -       -         19       100%       78%       20%         re       2       -       -       -         19       100%       78%       20%         re       2       -       -       -         19       100%       78%       20%         9       100%       87%       21%         9       100%       89%       21%         9       100%       85%       19%         194       100%       85%       19%         9       <t< td=""><td>Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4         Range:       600-790       650-790       712-790       92%         100%       85%       19%       92%       92%         100%       194       164       36       92%         194       164       36       92%       10%         194       164       36       7012       7012         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       7012       7012         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       7012       7012         106       100%       90%       17%       7012         106       100%       90%       17%       7012         106       100%       78%       20%       7012         '''       -       -       -       -         106       100%       78%       20%       7012         '''       -       -       -       -       -         106       100%       87%       21%       7014       7014         109       100%       79%       5%       70%       7014       7</td><td>Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       2-4       3-4         Range:       600-790       650-790       712-790       92%       9       92%       9</td></t<><td>Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4         4         2-4         3-4         4           Range:         600-790         650-790         712-790         92%         92</td></td></tr<>	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       600-790       650-790       712-790         100%       85%       19%       19%         100%       85%       19%       19%         194       164       36         Zoo5-o6 Scbook Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4         106       100%       90%       17%         106       100%       90%       17%         2       -       -       -         7       -       -       -         19       100%       78%       20%         re       2       -       -       -         19       100%       78%       20%         re       2       -       -       -         19       100%       78%       20%         9       100%       87%       21%         9       100%       89%       21%         9       100%       85%       19%         194       100%       85%       19%         9 <t< td=""><td>Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4         Range:       600-790       650-790       712-790       92%         100%       85%       19%       92%       92%         100%       194       164       36       92%         194       164       36       92%       10%         194       164       36       7012       7012         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       7012       7012         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       7012       7012         106       100%       90%       17%       7012         106       100%       90%       17%       7012         106       100%       78%       20%       7012         '''       -       -       -       -         106       100%       78%       20%       7012         '''       -       -       -       -       -         106       100%       87%       21%       7014       7014         109       100%       79%       5%       70%       7014       7</td><td>Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       2-4       3-4         Range:       600-790       650-790       712-790       92%       9       92%       9</td></t<> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4         4         2-4         3-4         4           Range:         600-790         650-790         712-790         92%         92</td>	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4         Range:       600-790       650-790       712-790       92%         100%       85%       19%       92%       92%         100%       194       164       36       92%         194       164       36       92%       10%         194       164       36       7012       7012         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       7012       7012         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       7012       7012         106       100%       90%       17%       7012         106       100%       90%       17%       7012         106       100%       78%       20%       7012         '''       -       -       -       -         106       100%       78%       20%       7012         '''       -       -       -       -       -         106       100%       87%       21%       7014       7014         109       100%       79%       5%       70%       7014       7	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       2-4       3-4         Range:       600-790       650-790       712-790       92%       9       92%       9	Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4         4         2-4         3-4         4           Range:         600-790         650-790         712-790         92%         92		

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This tost	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent		_			This test	was not yn		4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct		NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 667	Range:	611-800	650-8	600 6	93-800					
	100%	100%								
			75%			87%				
							56%			
				1	4%			12%		
Number of Students:		194	145	2	28					
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		194	100%	75%	14%					
Female		106	100%	72%	12%					
Male		88	100%	78%	17%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e									
Black or African American		2								
Hispanic or Latino		7								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		20	100%	80%	25%					
Pacific Islander									<u> -</u>	
White		165	100%	75%	14%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		9	100%	56%	0%					
General-Education Students		174	100%	79%	16%					
Students with Disabilities		20	100%	35%	0%					
English Proficient		193								
Limited English Proficient		1	_	_	-					
Economically Disadvantaged		10	100%	50%	10%					
Not Disadvantaged		184	100%	76%	15%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		194	100%	75%	14%					

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	3			-		est was not given in 2004-05.				
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5					was not git		+ 05.		

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	2	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 679	Range:	602-790	650-7	90	15-790						
	100%	99%				91%					
			83%			91%					
							49%				
				1	0%			5%			
Number of Students:		218	184		23						
		ш.									
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> o				2004-05 \$	ichool Year				
Student Group		Total				Total		scoring at le			
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		221	99%	83%	10%						
Female	••••••	112	99%	92%	11%	• •••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••		
Male		109	98%	74%	10%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e			•••••							
Black or African American		4	<del>.</del>	·····-	<u>-</u>						
Hispanic or Latino		4		<u>-</u>			essments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		13	100%	85%	15%		dle-level En mathematic		age		
Pacific Islander	••••••••••••						ered in 200		rom		
White	••••••	200	99%	83%	11%		sessments c				
Small Group Totals		8 191	100%	88% 89%	0% 12%				-		
General-Education Students		••••••		•••••			<ul> <li>compared to results from previously</li> <li>administered assessments.</li> </ul>				
Students with Disabilities		30	90%	47%	0%						
English Proficient		221	99%	83%	10%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		5	100%	40%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		216	99%	84%	11%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		221	99%	83%	10%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	5	5	3	2
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) <sup>†</sup> : Grade 8	1	-	-	N/A	0			

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public				
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s	5):		
		2-4	3-4	2	ļ.	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 682	Range:	616-775	650-7	75	701-775					
	100%	99%	92%							
			5270			85%				
							54%			
				2	6%					
								10%		
Number of Students:		219	204		58					
Deculte by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	hool Vea			2004-05	School Year			
Results by			Percentage		t level(s).	Total		scoring at lev	vel(s)∙	
Student Group		Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
 All Students	•	222	99%	92%	26%	rested	2 7	5 -		
Female		113	98%	90%	23%					
Male	•••••	109	99%	94%	29%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative									
Black or African American		4	-		-					
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	-	-	New ass	sessments for	elementar	y-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Otl	her	13	100%	85%	23%		Idle-level Eng		ige	
Pacific Islander							I mathematic			
White		201	99%	92%	27%		tered in 2006			
Small Group Totals		8	100%	100%	0%		ssessments ca		-	
General-Education Students		193	99%	96%	30%		pared to results from previously inistered assessments.			
Students with Disabilities		29	93%	62%	3%					
English Proficient		221								
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged		5	100%	100%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		217	99%	92%	27%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		222	99%	92%	26%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	

# This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 66	Range:	44-100	65-10	8 00	5-100				
2005-06	100%	100% 88%	7 63%	25%	3%	91% 91%	64% 68	3% 189	, 25%
2004-05					0%				
Number of Students:		14 12	10	9	2 0				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	чр	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		16	88%	63%	13%	12	100%	75%	0%
emale		8	100%	63%	0%	4			
Male		8	75%	63%	25%	8	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska	Native								
Black or African American						1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C Pacific Islander	Dther	1	-	-	-				
White		14	–	-	-	11	-	-	-
Small Group Totals		16	88%	63%	13%	12	100%	75%	0%
General-Education Student	s	5	100%	80%	0%	2	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		11	82%	55%	18%	10	-	-	-
English Proficient		16	88%	63%	13%	12	100%	75%	0%
Limited English Proficient			•••••		••••••		••••		
Economically Disadvantage	d					1	-	_	_
Not Disadvantaged		16	88%	63%	13%	11	-	-	_
Aigrant									
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		16	88%	63%	13%	12	100%	75%	0%
Not Migrant		16	88%	63%	13%	12		100%	100% 75%


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year		2004-05 School Year				
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	5	5	4	3
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	۷			_	J	J	4	5
Regents Science	205	201	191	116	184	176	167	83

# **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	d:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	2	29	130	62	223	677	
Feb 2004	6	37	123	54	220	673	
Feb 2003	2	17	83	85	187	685	


	This School			NY State Pul	blic	
	Percentage so	coring at level(	5):	Percentage so	coring at level(s	):
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	99% 98% 99%	75% 70%	% 20% 16% 14%	93% 93% 91%	48% 47% 459	6 9% 11% 8%

#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	1	48	108	40	197	718	
Jan 2004	4	67	127	37	235	711	
Jan 2003	2	39	140	29	210	713	

# **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	0	9	87	135	231	690	
May 2004	1	12	113	96	222	676	
May 2003	3	7	66	110	186	683	

	This School		NY Sta	te Public	
	Percentage so	coring at level(s):	Percent	tage scoring at level	(s):
Grade 8	2-4	3-4 4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882	716-882 76	)-882		
<ul> <li>2004-05</li> <li>2003-04</li> <li>2002-03</li> </ul>	99% 97% 98%	90% 88% 92%	87% 86 5 24% <sup>31%</sup>	<sup>%</sup> 83% 55% <sup>58%</sup> 5	1% 9% 13% 9%

### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	2	19	142	37	200	742	
May 2004	6	21	149	57	233	741	
May 2003	7	15	172	86	280	744	

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	100%	97% 96%	92% 95%	66% 51%	76% 74%	69% 68%	28% 33%	
2002 Cohort								
2001 Cohort								

Results by	2002 Cohor		2001 Cohort*					
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	185	97%	<b>92</b> %	51%	184	96%	<b>95</b> %	66%
Female	101	99%	96%	54%	81	99%	96%	72%
Male	84	94%	87%	46%	103	94%	93%	61%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	10	90%	80%	10%	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
White	165	97%	93%	52%	173	97%	95%	65%
Small Group Totals	10	100%	90%	70%	11	91%	91%	73%
General-Education Students	154	99%	97%	57%	161	99%	98%	73%
Students with Disabilities	31	87%	68%	19%	23	78%	74%	17%
English Proficient	181	-	-	-	183	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	–	–	1	-	-	–
Economically Disadvantaged	5	80%	40%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	180	97%	93%	52%			••••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	185	97%	92%	51%				

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

 data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.
 2001 Cohort\*

 Other
 2002 Cohort\*
 2001 Cohort\*

 Assessments
 Number
 Number scoring at level(s):
 Number scoring at level(s):

ASSESSITIETILSof Students2-43-44of Students2-43-44New York State Alternate Assessment<br/>(NYSAA): High School Equivalent1---0

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

### This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public           Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort	100%	96% 97%	94% 96%	41% 47%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
-	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	185	96%	94%	41%	184	<b>97</b> %	96%	47%
Female	101	95%	92%	46%	81	99%	96%	49%
Male	84	96%	95%	36%	103	96%	96%	46%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	–	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	10	80%	80%	0%	1	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
White	165	97%	95%	43%	173	98%	97%	49%
Small Group Totals	10	90%	80%	50%	11	91%	91%	27%
General-Education Students	154	98%	97%	47%	161	100%	99%	51%
Students with Disabilities	31	84%	77%	10%	23	78%	74%	22%
English Proficient	181	-	_	-	183	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	–	1	-	-	–
Economically Disadvantaged	5	80%	60%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	180	96%	94%	42%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	185	96%	94%	41%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.									
Other	2002 Coh	ort*	2001 Cohort*						
Accocciments	Number	Number scoring at level(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):					

Assessments of Students of Students 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 1 \_ \_ \_ (NYSAA): High School Equivalent


\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	185	90%	0%	2%	7%	1%
	2001	184	<b>91</b> %	1%	0%	5%	3%
Female	2002	101	94%	0%	1%	5%	0%
	2001	81	95%	0%	0%	5%	0%
Male	2002	84	86%	0%	4%	10%	1%
	2001	103	87%	2%	0%	6%	5%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	3	_		_		
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	10	80%	0%	0%	20%	0%
	2001	1	_	-	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	7	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	10	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	165	92%	0%	2%	6%	1%
	2001	173	91%	1%	0%	6%	2%
Small Group Totals	2002	10	80%	0%	10%	10%	0%
	2001	11	91%	0%	0%	0%	9%
General-Education Students	2002	154	92%	0%	3%	5%	0%
	2001	161	94%	0%	0%	4%	2%
Students with Disabilities	2002	31	81%	0%	0%	16%	3%
	2001	23	70%	9%	0%	13%	9%
English Proficient	2002	181	_	-	_	_	_
5	2001	183	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2002	4	_	_	_		_
5	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	5	80%	0%	0%	20%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	180	91%	0%	2%	7%	1%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	185	90%		2%	7%	1%

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2002 Cohort

2001 Cohort

### Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 96% 72% 1% 1% 1% 1% 5% 2%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	183	96%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Female	80	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Male	103	92%	2%	1%	1%	4%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	1			-		-
Asian or Native	11	_	-	-	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	171	95%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Small Group Totals	12	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	161	98%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Students with Disabilities	22	82%	9%	5%	5%	0%
English Proficient	181	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	_		_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	182	-		_	_	_
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	183	96%	1%	1%	1%	2%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.