



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2005 – 06**

District **JERICO UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **280515030000**

Superintendent **HENRY GRISHMAN**

Telephone **(516) 203-3600**

Grades **K-12**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	179	166	177
Grade 1	181	192	186
Grade 2	248	186	208
Grade 3	250	256	201
Grade 4	235	261	273
Grade 5	236	235	271
Grade 6	291	246	241
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	276	304	254
Grade 8	296	283	309
Grade 9	251	305	293
Grade 10	269	262	309
Grade 11	252	270	265
Grade 12	246	253	268
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	3210	3219	3255

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	21	21	21
Grade 8			
English	23	23	26
Mathematics	24	22	22
Science	24	20	24
Social Studies	24	25	21
Grade 10			
English	19	20	21
Mathematics	15	15	18
Science	21	20	19
Social Studies	18	13	21

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	21	1%	8	0%	20	1%
Reduced-Price Lunch	6	0%	8	0%	8	0%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	90	3%	60	2%	68	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	58	2%	51	2%	63	2%
Hispanic or Latino	30	1%	37	1%	45	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	540	17%	585	18%	688	21%
White	2582	80%	2546	79%	2459	76%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	96%		96%		95%	
Student Suspensions	38	N/A	68	2%	52	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	924	988	1193
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	4	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	1%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	2	5	3
Percentage of Total	1%	1%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	55%	57%	62%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	329	340	338
Total Other Professional Staff	35	38	38
Total Paraprofessionals*	115	114	121
Assistant Principals	4	4	4
Principals	5	5	5

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002–03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002–03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times [(Count\ of\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times [(Count\ of\ Cohort\ Members\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Cohort\ Members]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06\ PI + (200 - the\ 2005-06\ PI) \times 0.10$$

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

▲ Good Standing

Elementary/Middle Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

Secondary Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Graduation Rate ▲ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004–05

2005–06

2006–07

YES

YES

YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	✓	✓		–	–	
Hispanic or Latino	–	–		–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓		✓	✓	
White	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Limited English Proficient	–	–		–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged	–	–		–	–	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 5 of 5	✓ 5 of 5	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal

- Good Standing ▲
- Improvement (Year 1) ▲
- Improvement (Year 2) ▲
- Improvement (Year 3) ▲
- Improvement (Year 4) ▲
- Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲

State

- Good Standing ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

5 of 5

Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (1570:1549)	✓	✓	100%	✓	191	119	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (38:37)	✓	–	–	✓	176	106	
Hispanic or Latino (30:29)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (348:336)	✓	✓	100%	✓	192	116	
White (1154:1147)	✓	✓	100%	✓	191	119	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (175:172)	✓	✓	98%	✓	158	114	
Limited English Proficient (30:26)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (14:14)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	✓ 5 of 5						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

5 of 5

Student groups making AYP in Mathematics



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (1572:1546)	✓	✓	100%	✓	194	83	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (40:38)	✓	✓	100%	✓	179	70	
Hispanic or Latino (30:29)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (351:336)	✓	✓	100%	✓	196	80	
White (1151:1143)	✓	✓	99%	✓	194	83	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (176:172)	✓	✓	98%	✓	171	78	
Limited English Proficient (29:27)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (14:14)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	✓ 5 of 5						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Good Standing

Accountability Measures

1 of 1

Student groups making AYP in Science



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (589:580)	✓	Qualified	✓	100%	✓	197	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (16:15)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (7:6)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (130:125)		Qualified	✓	100%	✓	196	100	
White (436:434)		Qualified	✓	100%	✓	197	100	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (72:70)		Qualified	✓	97%	✓	183	100	
Limited English Proficient (9:7)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (7:7)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	✓ 1 of 1							

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

4 of 4

Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
							2005–06	2006–07
All Students (271:270)	✓	✓	100%	✓	198	147		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (5:4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (52:52)	✓	✓	100%	✓	200	141		
White (213:213)	✓	✓	100%	✓	198	146		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (46:46)	✓	✓	100%	✓	187	140		
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

† This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students
to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

4 of 4

Student groups making AYP in Mathematics



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
							2005–06	2006–07
All Students (271:270)	✓	✓	100%	✓	199	139		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (5:4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (52:52)	✓	✓	100%	✓	200	133		
White (213:213)	✓	✓	100%	✓	200	138		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (46:46)	✓	✓	100%	✓	196	132		
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

† This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students
to Determine AYP Status







Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (251)			100%	55%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)					
Black or African American (7)		–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (2)		–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (43)			100%	55%	
White (199)			100%	55%	
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (34)			100%	55%	
Limited English Proficient (2)		–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (1)		–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1			

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **JERICO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

2006–07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing

5 schools identified 100% of total

CANTIAGUE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

GEORGE A. JACKSON SCHOOL

JERICO MIDDLE SCHOOL







JERICO SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

ROBERT SEAMAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL




District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance



Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.



English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	94%			199
Grade 4	98%			274
Grade 5	99%			271
Grade 6	90%			241
Grade 7	89%			254
Grade 8	82%			298


Mathematics

Grade 3	99%		204
Grade 4	99%		277
Grade 5	99%		273
Grade 6	94%		242
Grade 7	90%		257
Grade 8	88%		304

Science

Grade 4	100%		278
Grade 8	87%		147

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	97%			272
Mathematics	98%			272

Graduation Rate	Percentage of students who graduated			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
2002 Cohort	98%			272

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	199	100%	94%	31%				
Female	103	100%	93%	36%				
Male	96	100%	95%	25%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	100%	100%	33%				
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	100%	33%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	50	100%	98%	40%				
White	137	100%	92%	27%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	179	100%	97%	32%				
Students with Disabilities	20	100%	70%	15%				
English Proficient	193	100%	94%	31%				
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	83%	17%				
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	197	-	-	-				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	199	100%	94%	31%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 3	4	-	-	N/A				
					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **JERICO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	204	100%	99%	76%				
Female	106	100%	100%	74%				
Male	98	99%	98%	79%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	100%	100%	33%				
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	100%	50%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	55	100%	100%	87%				
White	137	99%	99%	74%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	184	100%	100%	78%				
Students with Disabilities	20	95%	90%	60%				
English Proficient	193	99%	99%	75%				
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	100%	91%				
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	202	-	-	-				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	204	100%	99%	76%				

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	274	100%	98%	38%				
Female	120	100%	98%	47%				
Male	154	100%	98%	32%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	55	100%	98%	47%				
White	206	100%	98%	37%				
Small Group Totals	13	100%	100%	23%				
General-Education Students	241	100%	100%	43%				
Students with Disabilities	33	100%	88%	6%				
English Proficient	274	100%	98%	38%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	272	-	-	-				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	274	100%	98%	38%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 4	2	-	-	N/A	3	-	-	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **JERICO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	277	100%	99%	72%				
Female	120	100%	98%	69%				
Male	157	100%	99%	75%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino	4	—	—	—				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	57	100%	100%	86%				
White	206	100%	99%	70%				
Small Group Totals	14	100%	93%	43%				
General-Education Students	244	100%	99%	75%				
Students with Disabilities	33	100%	94%	48%				
English Proficient	275	—	—	—				
Limited English Proficient	2	—	—	—				
Economically Disadvantaged	2	—	—	—				
Not Disadvantaged	275	—	—	—				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	277	100%	99%	72%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	—	—	—	3	—	—	—

District **JERICO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	278	100%	100%	95%	258	100%	100%	96%
Female	120	100%	100%	94%	121	100%	100%	93%
Male	158	100%	100%	96%	137	100%	100%	99%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	—	—	—	5	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic or Latino	4	—	—	—	5	100%	100%	80%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	57	100%	100%	96%	48	100%	100%	96%
White	207	100%	100%	96%	200	100%	100%	97%
Small Group Totals	14	100%	100%	86%				
General-Education Students	245	100%	100%	96%	237	100%	100%	97%
Students with Disabilities	33	100%	100%	91%	21	100%	100%	90%
English Proficient	276	—	—	—	254	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	2	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	2	—	—	—				
Not Disadvantaged	276	—	—	—	258	100%	100%	96%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	278	100%	100%	95%	258	100%	100%	96%

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	—	—	—	3	—	—	—

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	271	100%	99%	43%				
Female	131	100%	98%	53%				
Male	140	100%	99%	33%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	100%	43%				
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	100%	50%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	54	100%	100%	50%				
White	204	100%	98%	41%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	244	100%	99%	45%				
Students with Disabilities	27	100%	96%	19%				
English Proficient	269	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	270	-	-	-				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	271	100%	99%	43%				

This test was not given in 2004-05.

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 5	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

This test was not given in 2004-05.

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **JERICO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	273	100%	99%	75%				
Female	131	100%	98%	76%				
Male	142	100%	99%	75%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	100%	100%	50%				
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	100%	50%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	56	100%	98%	82%				
White	203	100%	99%	75%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	245	100%	100%	78%				
Students with Disabilities	28	100%	93%	50%				
English Proficient	270	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	272	-	-	-				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	273	100%	99%	75%				

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	241	99%	90%	33%				
Female	129	99%	92%	40%				
Male	112	99%	88%	24%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino	3	—	—	—				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	58	98%	93%	48%				
White	177	99%	90%	28%				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	17%				
General-Education Students	215	100%	97%	36%				
Students with Disabilities	26	92%	38%	4%				
English Proficient	241	99%	90%	33%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	2	—	—	—				
Not Disadvantaged	239	—	—	—				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	241	99%	90%	33%				

This test was not given in 2004-05.

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0							
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): [†] Grade 6	1	—	—	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	242	99%	94%	47%				
Female	129	99%	94%	43%				
Male	113	99%	95%	50%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino	3	—	—	—				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	60	98%	95%	70%				
White	176	99%	95%	40%				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	17%				
General-Education Students	217	100%	97%	52%				
Students with Disabilities	25	92%	68%	0%				
English Proficient	241	—	—	—				
Limited English Proficient	1	—	—	—				
Economically Disadvantaged	2	—	—	—				
Not Disadvantaged	240	—	—	—				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	242	99%	94%	47%				

This test was not given in 2004-05.

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0							

This test was not given in 2004-05.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	254	98%	89%	17%				
Female	123	99%	90%	17%				
Male	131	98%	88%	17%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	80%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	7	100%	71%	29%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	47	98%	91%	26%				
White	195	98%	89%	15%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	230	100%	93%	19%				
Students with Disabilities	24	88%	54%	0%				
English Proficient	252	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	252	-	-	-				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	254	98%	89%	17%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 7	4	-	-	N/A				
					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	257	99%	90%	39%				
Female	124	99%	86%	33%				
Male	133	99%	93%	44%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	80%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	8	100%	88%	38%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	50	98%	96%	56%				
White	194	99%	89%	36%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	233	100%	94%	43%				
Students with Disabilities	24	92%	46%	0%				
English Proficient	251	99%	90%	39%				
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	100%	33%				
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	255	-	-	-				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	257	99%	90%	39%				

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	298	98%	82%	15%				
Female	151	98%	89%	21%				
Male	147	97%	74%	10%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino	2	—	—	—				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	66	97%	82%	27%				
White	223	99%	83%	12%				
Small Group Totals	9	78%	44%	11%				
General-Education Students	264	99%	88%	17%				
Students with Disabilities	34	85%	38%	0%				
English Proficient	298	98%	82%	15%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	5	100%	60%	20%				
Not Disadvantaged	293	98%	82%	15%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	298	98%	82%	15%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 8	7	7	7	N/A	4	—	—	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	304	98%	88%	40%				
Female	154	98%	91%	45%				
Male	150	98%	85%	36%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino	3	—	—	—				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	72	99%	93%	61%				
White	222	98%	88%	35%				
Small Group Totals	10	90%	50%	10%				
General-Education Students	270	99%	91%	45%				
Students with Disabilities	34	88%	62%	6%				
English Proficient	298	98%	88%	41%				
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	83%	33%				
Economically Disadvantaged	5	100%	80%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	299	98%	88%	41%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	304	98%	88%	40%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	1	—	—	—

District **JERICO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	147	99%	87%	14%	146	100%	97%	40%
Female	71	100%	90%	8%	78	100%	94%	40%
Male	76	99%	84%	18%	68	100%	100%	41%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	—	—	—	5	100%	100%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	2	—	—	—				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	29	100%	79%	10%	32	100%	97%	50%
White	111	99%	90%	15%	109	100%	96%	39%
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	0%				
General-Education Students	116	100%	93%	13%	125	100%	98%	47%
Students with Disabilities	31	97%	65%	16%	21	100%	86%	0%
English Proficient	140	99%	87%	13%	145	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	86%	29%	1	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	4	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Not Disadvantaged	143	—	—	—	144	—	—	—
Migrant								
Not Migrant	147	99%	87%	14%	146	100%	97%	40%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
Regents Science	158	158	158	141	133	133	133	125

District **JERICO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4 3-4 4
Range: 603-800 645-800 692-800



NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:


Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	0	11	113	132	256	699
Feb 2004	0	13	103	113	229	695
Feb 2003	1	5	70	151	227	702

Grade 8

This School

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4 3-4 4
Range: 658-830 697-830 737-830



NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	0	35	139	104	278	730
Jan 2004	3	39	155	103	300	730
Jan 2003	0	36	133	66	235	724

District **JERICO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4 3-4 4
Range: 602-810 637-810 678-810



NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:


Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	0	0	25	234	259	721
May 2004	0	1	36	191	228	704
May 2003	1	2	15	212	230	711

Grade 8

This School

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4 3-4 4
Range: 681-882 716-882 760-882



NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	3	21	143	116	283	755
May 2004	6	19	168	106	299	751
May 2003	0	14	174	59	247	746

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	272	97%	97%	74%	252	98%	98%	75%
Female	126	98%	98%	85%	120	98%	98%	75%
Male	146	97%	97%	64%	132	99%	99%	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	—	—	—	7	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	52	100%	100%	77%	44	98%	98%	73%
White	215	97%	97%	73%	199	99%	99%	77%
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	80%	9	89%	89%	33%
General-Education Students	225	100%	100%	84%	217	99%	99%	82%
Students with Disabilities	47	87%	85%	28%	35	94%	94%	31%
English Proficient	271	—	—	—	250	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	3	—	—	—				
Not Disadvantaged	269	—	—	—				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	272	97%	97%	74%				

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	—	—	—	0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	272	98%	98%	81%	252	98%	98%	67%
Female	126	98%	98%	79%	120	97%	96%	63%
Male	146	98%	98%	83%	132	100%	100%	71%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	—	—	—	7	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	52	100%	100%	85%	44	95%	95%	80%
White	215	98%	98%	81%	199	99%	99%	67%
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	40%	9	100%	89%	11%
General-Education Students	225	100%	100%	87%	217	99%	99%	73%
Students with Disabilities	47	91%	91%	51%	35	94%	94%	31%
English Proficient	271	—	—	—	250	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	3	—	—	—				
Not Disadvantaged	269	—	—	—				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	272	98%	98%	81%				

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	—	—	—	0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District **JERICO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	272	98%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	2001	252	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Female	2002	126	98%	1%	1%	1%	0%
	2001	120	98%	0%	0%	3%	0%
Male	2002	146	98%	0%	0%	2%	0%
	2001	132	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	2002	4	—	—	—	—	—
	2001	7	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	2002	1	—	—	—	—	—
	2001	2	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2002	52	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	44	98%	0%	0%	2%	0%
White	2002	215	97%	0%	0%	2%	0%
	2001	199	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Small Group Totals	2002	5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	9	89%	0%	0%	11%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	225	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	2001	217	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2002	47	94%	2%	0%	4%	0%
	2001	35	94%	0%	0%	6%	0%
English Proficient	2002	271	—	—	—	—	—
	2001	250	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	2002	1	—	—	—	—	—
	2001	2	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	3	—	—	—	—	—
Not Disadvantaged	2002	269	—	—	—	—	—
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	272	98%	0%	0%	1%	0%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District **JERICHO UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	251	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Female	119	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Male	132	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	7	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	2	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	43	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
White	199	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Small Group Totals	9	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	217	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Students with Disabilities	34	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
English Proficient	249	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	2	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	1	—	—	—	—	—
Not Disadvantaged	250	—	—	—	—	—
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	251	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.