

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC
DISTRICT # 7

School ID 320700010031
Principal CAROL RUSSO
Telephone (718) 292-4397

Grades PK-7, UE

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	36	35	54
Kindergarten	83	72	74
Grade 1	95	81	83
Grade 2	103	85	73
Grade 3	128	109	100
Grade 4	133	120	85
Grade 5	145	117	108
Grade 6	105	86	101
Ungraded Elementary	15	27	29
Grade 7	0	0	82
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	807	697	735

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	29	23	26
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

School Profile

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	2005-0	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	722	89%	526	75%	566	77%
Reduced-Price Lunch	49	6%	72	10%	46	6%
Student Stability*		57%		63%		92%
Limited English Proficient	122	15%	125	18%	139	19%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	2	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	242	30%	181	26%	198	27%
Hispanic or Latino	540	67%	494	71%	511	70%
Asian or Native	17	2%	15	2%	16	2%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	6	1%	5	1%	8	1%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		200	3-04	200	4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		92%		92%
Student Suspensions	7	N/A	4	0%	5	1%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

School Profile

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	54	58	192
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	9%	14%	14%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	3	5
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	4%	6%	8%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	5	8	13
Percentage of Total	9%	15%	22%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	9%	9%	10%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	64	59	61
Total Other Professional Staff	3	2	3
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml



English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to all New York State public schools)

School in Good Standing

A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.

School in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

School in Corrective Action

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

School Planning for Restructuring

A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

School Restructuring (Year 1)

A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

∧ School Restructuring (Year 2)

A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

Good Standing

Elemen	ntary/Middle Level	Secondary Level
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA
 Math	♠ Good Standing	Math
 Science	Good Standing	Graduation Rate

Title I Part A Funding

Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding

9					
2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	V	V	✓			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	/	/	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Hispanic or Latino	~	V	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	- -	•••••••••		••••••	••••••
White	_	- -	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	✓ SH				
Limited English Proficient	✓ SH	V	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	V	V	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 1 of 1			

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Improvement (Year 2) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) Corrective Action Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

✓ Made AYP✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

AYP Status

SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status Restructuring (Year 1) ARestructuring (Year 2 & Above) A

Planning for Restructuring ∧

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objective		es
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (479:457)	✓ ✓	<u>✓</u>	98%	<u>/</u>	124	117	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity						,		
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (161:155)	V	V	99%	V	130	114	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (307:291)	V	V	97%	V	121	116	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)	- -	_	_	_	-	_	•••••••••	_
White (3:3)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (53:46)	✓ SH	✓	96%	✓ SH	61	108	20	75
Limited English Proficient (69:63)	✓ SH	V	97%	V SH	94	110	20	105
Economically Disadvantaged (342:330)	/	V	97%	V	129	116	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target	
<u> </u>	Status			-			2005-00	2000-07	
All Students (478:451)		<u> </u>	97%	V	142	81			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (161:151)	~	V	98%	V	148	78		•••	
Hispanic or Latino (305:289)	V	V	97%	V	138	80	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)	- -		-	_	_	_		_	
White (4:3)		_	-	_	_	-	••••••••	_	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (52:45)	✓ SH	V	96%	✓ SH	67	72	20	80	
Limited English Proficient (68:62)	V	V	96%	V	108	74	•••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (341:329)	V	V	98%	~	147	80	•••••••		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6								

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2005-06	
All Students (79:74)	V	Qualified	<u> </u>	96%	<u> </u>	157	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (30:30)		_	_	-	~	163	100		
Hispanic or Latino (45:40)		Qualified		93%	V	153	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		-	_	-	_	_	_	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
White (2:2)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		-	-	-	-	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (7:6)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (10:8)		_	_	-	_	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (56:53)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	96%	~	164	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1							

AYP Status





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Summary of 2005-06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Perce		Total Tested		
English Language Arts	C)%	50%	100	%
Grade 3	39%			·	66
Grade 4	39%				77
Grade 5	32%				108
Grade 6	44%				100
Grade 7	49%				77
Mathematics					
Grade 3	60%				99
Grade 4	62%				77
Grade 5	43%				114
Grade 6	66%				97
Grade 7	51%				79
Science					
Grade 4	62%				76

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction. Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject

and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?


Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 1

All schools in this group are New York City elementary level schools. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for elementary level schools in this district.

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
66	77%	39%	2%					
34	79%	41%	3%					
32	75%	38%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
28	79%	36%	0%	•••••			•	
38	76%	42%	3%	•••••				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••		•••••			·	
				This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••		•••••				
58	79%	41%	2%					
8	63%	25%	0%	•••••			•	
64	_	_	_					
2	-		_	•••••			İ	
48	83%	44%	2%					
18	61%	28%	0%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
66	77%	39%	2%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested 66 34 32 28 38 58 64 2 48 18	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 66 77% 34 79% 32 75% 28 79% 38 76% 58 79% 8 63% 64 - 2 - 48 83% 18 61%	Tested 2-4 3-4 66 77% 39% 34 79% 41% 32 75% 38% 28 79% 36% 38 76% 42% 58 79% 41% 8 63% 25% 64 2 48 83% 44% 18 61% 28%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 66 77% 39% 2% 34 79% 41% 3% 32 75% 38% 0% 28 79% 36% 0% 38 76% 42% 3% 58 63% 25% 0% 64 2 48 83% 44% 2% 18 61% 28% 0%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 66 77% 39% 2% 34 79% 41% 3% 32 75% 38% 0% 28 79% 36% 0% 38 76% 42% 3% This tested 58 79% 41% 2% 8 63% 25% 0% 64 2 48 83% 44% 2% 18 61% 28% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 66 77% 39% 2% 34 79% 41% 3% 32 75% 38% 0% 28 79% 36% 0% 38 76% 42% 3% This test was not given by the second s	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 66 77% 39% 2% 34 79% 41% 3% 32 75% 38% 0% 28 79% 36% 0% 38 76% 42% 3% This test was not given in 2004 8 63% 25% 0% 64 2 48 83% 44% 2% 18 61% 28% 0%	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	Total		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested 0	2-4	3-4	4	Tested This tes	2-4 et was not giv	3-4 ven in 200	4)4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	28	16	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06 \$	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	99	83%	60%	11%						
Female	54	76%	54%	15%						
Male	45	91%	67%	7%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	31	_	_	-						
Hispanic or Latino	67	79%	60%	12%	••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_					ľ		
White		••••	•••••	•••••	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals	32	91%	59%	9%	•••••					
General-Education Students	88	85%	60%	11%	_					
Students with Disabilities	11	64%	55%	9%	•••••					
English Proficient	72	85%	67%	14%						
Limited English Proficient	27	78%	41%	4%	••••			Ì		
Economically Disadvantaged	72	83%	58%	13%						
Not Disadvantaged	27	81%	63%	7%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	99	83%	60%	11%		•••••	•••••••			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	: was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4				
All Students	77	71%	39%	1%					
Female	39	74%	46%	3%					
Male	38	68%	32%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	31	-	_	_					
Hispanic or Latino	44	68%	34%	2%	New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	••••••		and middle-level English language				
Pacific Islander					arts and mathematics were				
White	2	-	-	-	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	33	76%	45%	0%	these assessments cannot be directly				
General-Education Students	70	77%	41%	1%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities	7	14%	14%	0%	administered assessments.				
English Proficient	72	75%	42%	1%					
Limited English Proficient	5	20%	0%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged	55	73%	36%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	22	68%	45%	5%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	77	71%	39%	1%					


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent				0				
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	5	5	5	N/A	13	8	5	N/A
Grade 4								

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4				
All Students	77	86%	62%	27%					
Female	41	85%	61%	29%					
Male	36	86%	64%	25%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	29	_	-	_					
Hispanic or Latino	44	80%	55%	32%	New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	••••••••	•••••	••••••	and middle-level English language				
Pacific Islander	۷	_	_	_	arts and mathematics were				
White	2	-	_	-	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	33	94%	73%	21%	these assessments cannot be directly				
General-Education Students	70	91%	67%	30%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities	7	29%	14%	0%	duministered assessments.				
English Proficient	68	87%	65%	31%					
Limited English Proficient	9	78%	44%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged	56	89%	61%	23%					
Not Disadvantaged	21	76%	67%	38%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	77	86%	62%	27%					

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	76	93%	62%	20%	110	87%	46%	16%
Female	40	93%	65%	23%	63	84%	48%	13%
Male	36	94%	58%	17%	47	91%	45%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_
Black or African American	30	_	_	_	32	91%	63%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	42	90%	60%	26%	73	85%	37%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	_	_	4	-	_	_
White	2			-			••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	34	97%	65%	12%	5	100%	80%	60%
General-Education Students	70	96%	66%	21%	102	91%	50%	18%
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	17%	0%	8	38%	0%	0%
English Proficient	67	94%	66%	22%	91	89%	52%	20%
Limited English Proficient	9	89%	33%	0%	19	79%	21%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	96%	65%	19%	95	86%	43%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	22	86%	55%	23%	15	93%	67%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	76	93%	62%	20%	110	87%	46%	16%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 This test was not given in 2004-05.			
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	108	84%	32%	4%					
Female	58	84%	38%	5%					
Male	50	84%	26%	2%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	35	_	_	_	••••				
Hispanic or Latino	71	82%	27%	6%	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		•••••••••	••••••						
Pacific Islander	2		_ 						
White					This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	37	89%	43%	0%					
General-Education Students	90	91%	39%	4%					
Students with Disabilities	18	50%	0%	0%	••••				
English Proficient	100	84%	34%	4%					
Limited English Proficient	8	88%	13%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged	72	89%	33%	6%					
Not Disadvantaged	36	75%	31%	0%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	108	84%	32%	4%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year			School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	en in 200	 04-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	4	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level Tested 2–4 3–4				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	114	80%	43%	12%						
Female	61	84%	44%	11%						
Male	53	75%	42%	13%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	38	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	73	82%	37%	14%	• • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••						
Pacific Islander		_ 	_ 	_ 						
White	1		_	_	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals	41	76%	54%	10%						
General-Education Students	97	87%	51%	14%						
Students with Disabilities	17	41%	0%	0%						
English Proficient	100	80%	48%	14%						
Limited English Proficient	14	79%	7%	0%	• • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	79	85%	47%	14%						
Not Disadvantaged	35	69%	34%	9%	•	•••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	114	80%	43%	12%	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.	

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	100	93%	44%	5%				
Female	49	94%	49%	6%				
Male	51	92%	39%	4%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	35	_	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	63	94%	40%	3%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••	••••••					
Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_				
White	1	-	-	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	37	92%	51%	8%				
General-Education Students	88	97%	50%	6%				
Students with Disabilities	12	67%	0%	0%	••••			
English Proficient	90	97%	49%	6%				
Limited English Proficient	10	60%	0%	0%	••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	69	97%	51%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	31	84%	29%	3%	••••••	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	100	93%	44%	5%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year			School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	ven in 200)4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	-	_	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
97	89%	66%	23%				
49	90%	71%	24%				
48	88%	60%	21%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
35				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			ľ
60	87%	67%	23%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	••••	••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • •			İ
Τ			_ 				
1	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
37	92%	65%	22%				ľ
86	95%	73%	26%				
11	36%	9%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			ľ
86	92%	71%	26%				
11	64%	27%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			İ
68	96%	78%	26%				
29	72%	38%	14%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
97	89%	66%	23%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Total Tested 97 49 48 35 60 1 1 37 86 11 86 11 68 29	Total Percentagy Tested 2-4 97 89% 49 90% 48 88% 35 - 60 87% 1 - 37 92% 86 95% 11 36% 86 92% 11 64% 68 96% 29 72%	Tested 2-4 3-4 97 89% 66% 49 90% 71% 48 88% 60% 35 60 87% 67% 1 37 92% 65% 86 95% 73% 11 36% 9% 86 92% 71% 11 64% 27% 68 96% 78% 29 72% 38%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 97 89% 66% 23% 49 90% 71% 24% 48 88% 60% 21% 35 60 87% 67% 23% 1 37 92% 65% 22% 86 95% 73% 26% 11 36% 9% 0% 86 92% 71% 26% 11 64% 27% 0% 68 96% 78% 26% 29 72% 38% 14%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 97 89% 66% 23% 49 90% 71% 24% 48 88% 60% 21% 35 60 87% 67% 23% 1 1 - 1 1 - 37 92% 65% 22% 86 95% 73% 26% 11 36% 9% 0% 86 92% 71% 26% 11 64% 27% 0% 68 96% 78% 26% 29 72% 38% 14%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 97 89% 66% 23% 49 90% 71% 24% 48 88% 60% 21% 35 60 87% 67% 23% 1 1 This test was not given as a second sec	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 97 89% 66% 23% 49 90% 71% 24% 48 88% 60% 21% 35 60 87% 67% 23% 1 1 1 1 36% 95% 73% 26% 11 36% 9% 0% 86 92% 71% 26% 11 64% 27% 0% 68 96% 78% 26% 29 72% 38% 14%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.	

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	77	90%	49%	3%						
Female	38	95%	47%	5%						
Male	39	85%	51%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	25	_	_	_	••••					
Hispanic or Latino	50	92%	52%	2%	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	າ	••••	••••••		•••••					
Pacific Islander	2		_							
White					This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	27	85%	44%	4%						
General-Education Students	73	-	-	_						
Students with Disabilities	4	_	_	_	••••					
English Proficient	74	_	-	-						
Limited English Proficient	3	_								
Economically Disadvantaged	59	92%	56%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged	18	83%	28%	6%	••••••	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	77	90%	49%	3%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	en in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



2005-06	005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year							
Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
79	90%	51%	4%					
38	87%	47%	5%					
41	93%	54%	2%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
24		_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
53	92%	53%	2%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
······	••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
2		_						
				This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.	
26	85%	46%	8%					
75	-	-	-					
4	_	_	_	• • • • • • • •				
74	91%	53%	4%					
5	80%	20%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			İ	
60	92%	55%	5%					
19	84%	37%	0%	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
79	90%	51%	4%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••		
	Total Tested 79 38 41 24 53 2 26 75 4 74 5 60 19	Total Percentagy Tested 2-4 79 90% 38 87% 41 93% 24 - 53 92% 2 - 26 85% 75 - 4 - 74 91% 5 80% 60 92% 19 84%	Tested 2-4 3-4 79 90% 51% 38 87% 47% 41 93% 54% 24 53 92% 53% 2 26 85% 46% 75 4 74 91% 53% 5 80% 20% 60 92% 55% 19 84% 37%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 79 90% 51% 4% 38 87% 47% 5% 41 93% 54% 2% 24 53 92% 53% 2% 2 26 85% 46% 8% 75 4 74 91% 53% 4% 5 80% 20% 0% 60 92% 55% 5% 19 84% 37% 0%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 79 90% 51% 4% 38 87% 47% 5% 41 93% 54% 2% 24 53 92% 53% 2% 2 This tested 26 85% 46% 8% 75 74 91% 53% 4% 5 80% 20% 0% 60 92% 55% 5% 19 84% 37% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 79 90% 51% 4% 38 87% 47% 5% 41 93% 54% 2% 24 53 92% 53% 2% 2 This test was not given by the second se	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 79 90% 51% 4% 38 87% 47% 5% 41 93% 54% 2% 24 53 92% 53% 2% 2 This test was not given in 2004 26 85% 46% 8% 75 74 91% 53% 4% 5 80% 20% 0% 60 92% 55% 5% 19 84% 37% 0%	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total Number scoring at le Tested 2-4 3-4	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Test Date	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	l:		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	10	57	30	7	104	637
Feb 2004	18	66	36	5	125	632
Feb 2003	21	72	52	14	159	637

School PS/MS 31 WILLIAM L GARRISON SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	el:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	8	32	52	27	119	651	
May 2004	11	27	64	18	120	647	
May 2003	15	50	73	29	167	646	