

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School P.S. 246-POE CENTER District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #10 School ID 321000010246 Principal BEVERLY MILLER Telephone (718) 584-6764 Grades K-6, UE

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning

2 Review School Accountability Status.

environment.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	59	61	85
Grade 1	105	114	126
Grade 2	147	117	111
Grade 3	123	148	126
Grade 4	107	111	125
Grade 5	132	122	115
Grade 6	149	124	107
Ungraded Elementary	53	51	51
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	875	848	846

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Common Branch	26	29	25
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	2004-05		5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	775	89%	775	91%	808	96%
Reduced-Price Lunch	38	4%	48	6%	21	2%
Student Stability*		84%		89%		89%
Limited English Proficient	264	30%	268	32%	281	33%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	1%	7	1%	8	1%
Black or African American	100	11%	94	11%	109	13%
Hispanic or Latino	699	80%	678	80%	667	79%
Asian or Native	62	7%	58	7%	46	5%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	9	1%	11	1%	16	2%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		91%		91%		92%
Student Suspensions	14	N/A	53	6%	19	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	62	59	175
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	13%	20%	13%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	4	5	4
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	6%	8%	6%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	8	12	12
Percentage of Total	12%	19%	18%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	35%	30%	33%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	67	68	65
Total Other Professional Staff	9	8	6
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	3	2
Principals	1	0	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School P.S. 246-POE CENTER District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #10

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status				
(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to all New York State public schools)				
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic	identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.				
School in Corrective Action	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)				
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not				
make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified				
identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for				
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School Planning for Restructuring	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)				
A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not				
on the accountability measure for which it was identified	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified				
is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for				
the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 1)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)				
A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that				
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified	does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was				
is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the	identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress				
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	(Year 5 and above) for the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following					

2 School Accountability

School P.S. 246-POE CENTER District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #10


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	🔺 Re	Restructuring (Year 2)				
	Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level			
	ELA	Restructuring (Year 2)	ELA			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math			
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the School Received Title	e I Part A Funding			

Years the School Received Title Part A Funding					
2004-05	2006–07				
YES	YES	YES			
	2004-05	2004-05 2005-06			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓ SH	 Image: A set of the set of the	v			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_				
Black or African American	 ✓ 	<		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino	✓ SH	<	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
White	–	–	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	✓ SH				
Limited English Proficient	✓SH	✓		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	 	 ✓ 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 5 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1			



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Restructuring (Year 2)
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2006-07, the school will be Restructuring (Year 3) in 2007-08. If this school makes AYP in 2006-07, the school will remain Restructuring (Year 2) in 2007-08. [109]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target				
All Students (515:483)	SH		99%	SH	112	117	107	121			
Ethnicity											
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-			
Black or African American (55:52)	<	~	100%	<	117	109					
Hispanic or Latino (415:391)	✓ SH	<	99%	✓ SH	110	116	105	119			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (34:29)	–	-	-	-	-	-		_			
White (7:7)	–	_	-	-	-	-	••••••••••••••••	-			
Other Groups											
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (94:87)	×	~	97%	X	61	111	68	75			
Limited English Proficient (164:144)	√ SH	~	96%	КК	76	113	68	88			
Economically Disadvantaged (414:389)	~	~	99%	 	123	116	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Final AYP Determination	X 5 of 6										

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students errolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	² Test Performance ³			Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	or Target 2006–07		
All Students (513:477)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	99%	 ✓ 	130	81				
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		
Black or African American (56:52)	<	~	100%	<	115	73				
Hispanic or Latino (412:386)	<	 ✓ 	100%	~	129	80	••• •••••	•••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (33:28)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		
White (8:7)	- -	_	-	–	-	-	••• •••••	-		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (93:86)	✔ SH	~	97%	√ SH	72	75	53	85		
Limited English Proficient (162:147)	<	~	100%	<	112	77				
Economically Disadvantaged (413:384)	~	~	100%	~	143	80	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6									

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor Qualification	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status		Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (141:127)	v	Qualified	 Image: A start of the start of	100%	v	154	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (10:7)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (119:109)		Qualified	<	100%	~	149	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:10)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (2:1)	• • • • • • • • • • •	–	-	-	-	-	-		–
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (29:26)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (52:46)		Qualified	~	100%	~	122	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (111:100)	• ••••	Qualified	~	100%	~	163	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3						
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%					
Grade 3	43%		83					
Grade 4	35%		111					
Grade 5	38%		108					
Grade 6	34%		102					
Mathematics								
Grade 3	55%		127					
Grade 4	44%		139					
Grade 5	45%		125					
Grade 6	42%		118					
Science								
Grade 4	59%		140					

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 3

All schools in this group are New York City elementary level schools. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for elementary level schools in this district.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage s				_	-			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 644	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 73	30-780					
	100%					85%				
	100/0	78%								
			43%				52%			
				0	%			3%		
Number of Students:		65	36	()					
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		83	78 %	43%	0%					
Female		36	78%	44%	0%					
Male		47	79%	43%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American		14	71%	36%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino		56	79%	41%	0%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander										
White		3	-		_	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.	
Small Group Totals		13	85%	62%	0%					
General-Education Students		74	82%	46%	0%					
Students with Disabilities		9	44%	22%	0%					
English Proficient		80	-	-	-					
imited English Proficient		3	-	_	_					
Economically Disadvantaged		72	82%	46%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		11	55%	27%	0%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		83	78%	43%	0%					

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 This test was not given in 2004-05. (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 44 19 14 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 3

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo		<u></u>			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage s 2–4	3-4		1	2-4	3–4	s): 4				
						2-4	5-4	4				
Mean Score: 652	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	703-770							
	100%	85%				88%						
	10070	05%					68%					
			55%									
								16%				
				<u> </u>	5%			10%				
Number of Students:		108	70		6							
Poculto by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
Results by		Total	Percentage		t level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
 All Students		127	85%	55%	5%							
Female		57	84%	49%	7%							
Male		70	86%	60%	3%		••••					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	1	-	-	-							
Black or African American		15	73%	40%	0%							
Hispanic or Latino		98	86%	53%	5%							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		9	100%	89%	11%							
Pacific Islander	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	This tos	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05			
White	•••••	4	-		_		t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		5 119	80% 87%	80% 55%	0% 5%							
General-Education Students	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••								
Students with Disabilities		8	63%	50%	0%							
English Proficient	•••••		87%	60%	6%							
Limited English Proficient		50	82%	48%	2%							
Economically Disadvantaged		116	87%	55%	5%							
Not Disadvantaged		11	64%	55%	0%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		127	85%	55%	5%							

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
ASSESSITETILS	Tested	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.	

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage s	-								
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 637	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775						
	100%					85%					
	100%	75%				85%					
							49%				
			35%								
				5	%			3%			
Number of Students:		83	39		5						
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		111	75%	35%	5%						
Female		52	81%	35%	8%						
Male		59	69%	36%	2%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		9	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino		90	76%	33%	3%	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	-	11	82%	64%	18%	and mic	ddle-level Eng	glish langua	age		
Pacific Islander		±± 	0270	0470	1070		d mathematic				
White		1					stered in 200				
Small Group Totals		10	60%	20%	0%		ssessments c		-		
General-Education Students		87	87%	43%	6%		ed to results stered assessr	•	ousty		
Students with Disabilities		24	29%	8%	0%			nemu.			
English Proficient		86	81%	44%	6%						
Limited English Proficient		25	52%	4%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged		87	87%	43%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged		24	29%	8%	0%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		111	75%	35%	5%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scc 2–4	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	Tested 0	2 7	<u> </u>	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	23	6	5	N/A	27	13	6	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	l			Similar Scl	hools		
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 646	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800				
	100%	700/				87%			
		78%					63%		
			44%						
								1 4 0 /	
				8	%			14%	
Number of Students:		108	61	1	.1				
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		139	78 %	44%	8%				
Female		59	83%	44%	10%				
Male		80	74%	44%	6%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American		10							
Hispanic or Latino		117	76%	41%	5%	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		10	90%	80%	40%		ldle-level En	5 5	age
Pacific Islander					4070		l mathematic		
White		2					tered in 200		
Small Group Totals		12	83%	42%	8%		ssessments c ed to results		-
General-Education Students			86%	51%	10%		tered assess	•	ously
Students with Disabilities		28	43%	14%	0%				
English Proficient		88	82%	55%	13%				
Limited English Proficient		51	71%	25%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged		110	87%	52%	10%				
Not Disadvantaged		29	41%	14%	0%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		139	78%	44%	8%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School						Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	_	el(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 67	Range:	45-100	65-1	3 OO	85-100							
	100%	91% _{88%}	59%			93% 86%	66%	5%				
2005-06 2004-05				19% 2	^{0%} 14%	н.			[%] 14%			
Number of Students:		127 120	83	66	28 19							
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004–05 School Year						
	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	t level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		140	91 %	59%	20%	136	88%	49 %	14%			
Female		59	97%	66%	27%	57	89%	54%	21%			
Male		81	86%	54%	15%	79	87%	44%	9%			
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve					2						
Black or African American		10	-		_	17	88%	59%	6%			
Hispanic or Latino		118	89%	55%	18%	111	87%	43%	14%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r	10	100%	90%	50%	6	-	-	-			
White		2	-	-	-			•••••				
Small Group Totals		12	100%	75%	17%	8	100%	100%	25%			
General-Education Students		112	92%	65%	24%	110	91%	55%	16%			
Students with Disabilities		28	86%	36%	4%	26	77%	19%	4%			
English Proficient		88	93%	76%	28%	91	95%	63%	21%			
imited English Proficient		52	87%	31%	6%	45	76%	20%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged		111	93%	66%	24%	132	-	_	-			
Not Disadvantaged		29	83%	34%	3%	4	-	-	-			
Migrant												
Not Migrant		140	91%	59%	20%	136	88%	49%	14%			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	_	-	_	0			

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo		14.3		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage so								
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 636	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795					
	100%	83%				89%				
			38%				47%			
				3	%			4%		
Number of Students:		90	41		3					
Results by		2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		108	83 %	38%	3 %					
Female		50	92%	40%	2%					
Male		58	76%	36%	3%					
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive	2	_	-						
Black or African American		15	87%	33%	7%					
Hispanic or Latino		86	84%	40%	2%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	5	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander						This too			05	
White						inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		7	71%	29%	0%					
General-Education Students		82	93%	48%	4%					
Students with Disabilities		26	54%	8%	0%					
English Proficient		90	87%	44%	3%					
Limited English Proficient		18	67%	6%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged		80	93%	49%	4%					
Not Disadvantaged		28	57%	7%	0%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		108	83%	38%	3%					

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	2 7	<u> </u>	7		was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	18	8	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

			This School			Similar Sc			
		Percentage s	_				scoring at level		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 642	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780				
	100%					84%			
	20070	76%				6470			
			45%				52%		
				6	%			10%	
Number of Students:		95	56		7				
Results by 2005-06 School Year					2004-05	School Year			
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		125	76%	45%	6%				
Female		58	76%	47%	7%				
Male		67	76%	43%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	2	-		_				
Black or African American		15	60%	40%	7%				
Hispanic or Latino		101	78%	46%	6%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		7	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		•		••••••					
White							st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals		9	78%	44%	0%				
General-Education Students		98	88%	52%	7%				
Students with Disabilities		27	33%	19%	0%				
English Proficient		90	81%	52%	8%				
Limited English Proficient		35	63%	26%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged		96	88%	53%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged		29	38%	17%	0%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		125	76%	45%	6%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	2 7	<u> </u>			t was not giv	• •	

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Sc			
		Percentage s				-	scoring at level		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 634	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785				
	100%	89%				88%			
	100%								
			0 494				39%		
			34%				5570		
				3	%			3%	
Number of Students:		91	35		3				
		2005-06 S e	shool Voo			2004.05	School Year		
Results by			Percentage		level(s).			scoring at le	vel(s).
Student Group		Total Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		102	89%	34%	3%	lested		5 1	
Female		48	90%	38%	6%				
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	54	89%	31%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American		16	94%	38%	6%				
Hispanic or Latino		78	90%	35%	3%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		6	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander					••••••	This too			05
White		1		<u>-</u>			st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		8	75%	25%	0%				
General-Education Students		77	97%	40%	4%				
Students with Disabilities		25	64%	16%	0%				
English Proficient		93	90%	38%	3%				
Limited English Proficient		9	78%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged		76	97%	39%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged		26	65%	19%	0%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		102	89%	34%	3%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	18	11	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics


		This Schoo	l coring at leve	l(c):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3–4	4			
						2 4	5 4	4			
Mean Score: 640	Range:	616-780	650-78	30 69	96-780						
	100%	82%				81%					
		0270				81%					
			42%				45%				
				3	%			5%			
Number of Students:		97	50	2	1						
Deculte by		2005-06 S e	chool Vear			2004-05	School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students		118	82%	42%	3%			<u> </u>			
Female		51	80%	39%	4%						
Male	•••••	67	84%	45%	3%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	1	-	_	-						
Black or African American		16	81%	44%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		93	82%	42%	4%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	6	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander						This too			05		
White		2					st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		9	89%	44%	0%						
General-Education Students		92	89%	47%	4%						
Students with Disabilities		26	58%	27%	0%						
English Proficient		92	86%	45%	2%						
Limited English Proficient		26	69%	35%	8%						
Economically Disadvantaged		91	89%	47%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged		27	59%	26%	0%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		118	82%	42%	3%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	l:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	20	43	38	5	106	631	
Feb 2004	6	63	27	1	97	633	
Feb 2003	23	71	28	2	124	625	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	l:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	16	31	71	16	134	643	
May 2004	14	50	43	10	117	636	
May 2003	31	48	49	10	138	627	