

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL
District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC
DISTRICT #11
School ID 321100010089
Principal RONALD RIVERA
Telephone (718) 653-0835
Grades K-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	117	111	109
Grade 1	141	120	125
Grade 2	142	125	119
Grade 3	161	144	135
Grade 4	157	141	157
Grade 5	135	144	150
Grade 6	168	127	183
Ungraded Elementary	79	80	88
Grade 7	130	162	160
Grade 8	119	133	173
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	45	49	51
Total K-12	1394	1336	1450

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	24	23	25
Grade 8			
English	32	24	24
Mathematics		23	25
Science	20	29	24
Social Studies		22	32
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

School Profile

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1165	84%	1116	84%	1212	84%
Reduced-Price Lunch	104	7%	100	7%	108	7%
Student Stability*		100%		95%		87%
Limited English Proficient	242	17%	247	18%	263	18%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	1%	10	1%	17	1%
Black or African American	400	29%	385	29%	421	29%
Hispanic or Latino	648	46%	617	46%	661	46%
Asian or Native	134	10%	129	10%	138	10%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	203	15%	195	15%	213	15%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	200	4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		91%		91%		90%
Student Suspensions	51	N/A	64	5%	15	1%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

School Profile

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	164	232	407
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	19%	20%	24%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	1	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	1%	2%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	14	14	20
Percentage of Total	13%	15%	21%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	22%	23%	20%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	108	103	103
Total Other Professional Staff	16	14	12
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml



English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose Pl was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to all New York State public schools)

School in Good Standing

A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.

School in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

School in Corrective Action

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

School Planning for Restructuring

A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

★ School Restructuring (Year 1)

A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

School Restructuring (Year 2)

A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

Corrective Action

Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level
ELA	Corrective Action	ELA
 Math	♦ Good Standing	Math
 Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate

Title I Part A Funding

Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding

<u></u>					
2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	V	V	✓			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_				
Black or African American	/	'	•••••••	••••••••	•••••••	•••••••
Hispanic or Latino	X	~	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	V	V	•••••••			
White	/	V	••••••••	•••••••••	•••••••	•••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	✓				
Limited English Proficient	✓ SH	V	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	V	V	••••	•	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 6 of 8	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 1 of 1			

Restructuring (Year 2 & Above) 🔨

Accountability Status Levels

Federal Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Improvement (Year 2) Corrective Action Planning for Restructuring Restructuring (Year 1) Restructuring (Year 1) State Good Standing Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 8 Above)

AYP Status

Made AYI

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Corrective Action
Accountability Measures	6 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2006-07, the school will be Planning for Restructuring in 2007-08. If this school makes AYP in 2006-07, the school will remain In Corrective Action in 2007-08. [106]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		es
Student Group	<u> </u>	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (1027:973)		V	98%	/	126	118		
Ethnicity						'		
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (309:295)	V	V	98%	V	127	116		••••••
Hispanic or Latino (450:421)	X	V	97%	X	116	117	117	124
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (106:99)	/	V	97%	V	146	112	•••••••	••••••••••
White (161:157)	/	/	99%	V	139	114	•••••••	•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (151:141)	X	V	97%	X	59	113	76‡	73
Limited English Proficient (167:148)	✓ SH	V	96%	V SH	107	113	76	116
Economically Disadvantaged (859:819)	/	V	98%	V	138	118	•••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	X 6 of 8	3						

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target	
All Students (1018:976)	✓ ✓	✓	99%	✓ Citterion	142	82	2005 00	2000 07	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (303:291)	V	V	100%	V	136	80	••••••••	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (444:424)	V	V	99%	V	134	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (103:100)	/	V	100%	~	168	76	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	
White (167:160)	'	/	99%	~	157	78	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (149:142)	/	/	99%	'	90	77			
Limited English Proficient (168:154)	V	V	100%	V	127	78	•••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (852:821)	/	V	100%	~	152	82	•••••••	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 8 of 8								

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard		s Target
All Students (348:324)	V	Qualified	V	97%	<u>/</u>	131	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (113:104)		Qualified		95%	~	129	100	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino (146:137)		Qualified	'	96%	V	126	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (38:34)		_	—	_	~	159	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
White (51:49)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	100%	/	129	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (44:39)		Did not qualify	V	91%	X	85	100	100	86
Limited English Proficient (48:44)		Qualified	V	100%	~	105	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Economically Disadvantaged (295:277)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	/	97%	V	138	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Final AYP Determination	1 0	of 1							

AYP Status





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

Summary of 2005-06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50 [%]	100%
Grade 3	52%		99
Grade 4	45%		128
Grade 5	47%		144
Grade 6	38%		178
Grade 7	42%		154
Grade 8	31%		185
Mathematics			
Grade 3	72%		143
Grade 4	58%		156
Grade 5	58%		158
Grade 6	52%		194
Grade 7	53%		167
Grade 8	40%		194
Science			
Grade 4	53%		152
Grade 8	38%		184

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?


Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 3

All schools in this group are New York City elementary level schools. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for elementary level schools in this district.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	99	80%	52%	4%							
Female	45	93%	60%	2%							
Male	54	69%	44%	6%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-							
Black or African American	34	85%	59%	6%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Hispanic or Latino	43	79%	44%	0%	•••••			,			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	_	_	_				Ì			
White	13	77%	54%	15%	This te	est was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.			
Small Group Totals	9	67%	56%	0%				ľ			
General-Education Students	77	91%	62%	5%							
Students with Disabilities	22	41%	14%	0%	•••••			·			
English Proficient	96	_	_	-							
Limited English Proficient	3			<u> </u>	•••••			,			
Economically Disadvantaged	77	91%	62%	5%							
Not Disadvantaged	22	41%	14%	0%	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	99	80%	52%	4%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve		Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0	2-4 3-4 4				2-4 3-4 4 st was not given in 2004-05.		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	30	17	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	143	94%	72%	10%						
Female	65	95%	72%	8%						
Male	78	92%	72%	13%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-						
Black or African American	39	97%	79%	5%						
Hispanic or Latino	65	92%	62%	3%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	-	_	_				Ì		
White	22	86%	82%	23%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	17	100%	82%	35%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
General-Education Students	118	96%	73%	13%						
Students with Disabilities	25	84%	68%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	107	96%	77%	9%						
Limited English Proficient	36	86%	58%	14%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Ì		
Economically Disadvantaged	118	96%	73%	13%						
Not Disadvantaged	25	84%	68%	0%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	143	94%	72%	10%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.					

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	ichool Yea	r	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4				
All Students	128	84%	45%	1%					
Female	57	82%	51%	2%					
Male	71	85%	39%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	55	82%	40%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino	42	86%	36%	2%	New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	87%	87%	0%	and middle-level English language				
White	16	81%	44%	0%	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		these assessments cannot be directly				
General-Education Students	118	84%	48%	1%	compared to results from previously				
Students with Disabilities	10	80%	0%	0%	····· administered assessments.				
English Proficient	127	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	1	_		_					
Economically Disadvantaged	113	84%	50%	1%					
Not Disadvantaged	15	80%	7%	0%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	128	84%	45%	1%					


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent					0				
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	27	16	13	N/A	25	15	9	N/A	
Grade 4									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4					
All Students	156	86%	58%	12%						
Female	69	88%	61%	17%						
Male	87	84%	55%	7%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	55	85%	49%	11%						
Hispanic or Latino	55	87%	53%	4%	New assessments for elementary-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	86%	77%	27%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
White	24	83%	71%	17%	administered in 2006. Results from					
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	these assessments cannot be directl	-				
General-Education Students	145	85%	59%	12%	compared to results from previously					
Students with Disabilities	11	100%	36%	0%	administered assessments.					
English Proficient	126	89%	61%	14%						
Limited English Proficient	30	73%	43%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged	138	87%	62%	13%						
Not Disadvantaged	18	78%	22%	0%						
Migrant										
Not Migrant	156	86%	58%	12%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05			
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	152	88%	53%	11%	144	90%	52%	10%
Female	66	89%	52%	14%	66	83%	47%	6%
Male	86	86%	55%	8%	78	95%	56%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_
Black or African American	52	92%	48%	8%	38	97%	55%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	53	85%	53%	11%	61	89%	51%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	86%	77%	23%	14	_	_	_
White	25	84%	44%	4%	30	87%	57%	13%
Small Group Totals	•••••••	•••••••	•••••	•••••	15	80%	40%	7%
General-Education Students	142	87%	54%	11%	127	90%	54%	12%
Students with Disabilities	10	100%	40%	0%	17	88%	35%	0%
English Proficient	123	92%	59%	13%	114	95%	60%	13%
Limited English Proficient	29	69%	31%	0%	30	70%	23%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	135	87%	56%	12%	144	90%	52%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	17	94%	29%	0%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	152	88%	53%	11%	144	90%	52%	10%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year 2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	144	83%	47%	3%				
Female	65	86%	48%	2%				
Male	79	80%	46%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	49	86%	41%	2%				•
Hispanic or Latino	60	75%	42%	0%	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	90%	50%	10%				
White	25	92%	68%	8%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••				•
General-Education Students	123	89%	53%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	21	43%	10%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
English Proficient	127	87%	50%	3%				
Limited English Proficient	17	53%	24%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged	119	90%	52%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	25	48%	20%	0%	•••••••	••••	•••••••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	144	83%	47%	3%	••••••	•••••	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	Total		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested 0	2-4 3-4 4			Tested This tes	2-4 et was not giv	3-4 ven in 200	04-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	16	13	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	•	
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	158	91%	58%	5%				
Female	70	94%	50%	9%				
Male	88	89%	64%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	48	94%	46%	4%				
Hispanic or Latino	63	87%	57%	3%	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	94%	75%	0%				
White	31	94%	68%	13%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••	•••••			
General-Education Students	137	93%	60%	6%				
Students with Disabilities	21	76%	43%	0%				
English Proficient	126	95%	60%	6%				
Limited English Proficient	32	75%	47%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	133	93%	59%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	25	80%	48%	0%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	158	91%	58%	5%	•••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	o6 School Year 2004-05 School Year					•	
Accessments	Total	Total	Number sco	mber scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	178	84%	38%	3%				
Female	88	89%	44%	5%				
Male	90	80%	31%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	50	84%	34%	4%				
Hispanic or Latino	86	81%	36%	0%	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	91%	64%	9%				
White	31	90%	39%	6%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••		•••••			
General-Education Students	145	92%	44%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	33	48%	9%	0%				
English Proficient	169	86%	39%	3%				
Limited English Proficient	9	56%	11%	0%	••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	144	92%	44%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	34	50%	12%	0%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	178	84%	38%	3%			••••••	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	2 7	J 4	-		st was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	18	14	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	194	84%	52%	6%				
Female	96	84%	55%	7%				
Male	98	84%	48%	5%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	49	80%	47%	2%				·
Hispanic or Latino	95	85%	41%	4%	•••••			,
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	93%	86%	21%				Ì
White	36	83%	72%	11%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••			ì
General-Education Students	161	90%	59%	7%				
Students with Disabilities	33	55%	15%	3%	•••••			İ
English Proficient	167	84%	53%	7%				
Limited English Proficient	27	85%	44%	4%	•••••			· ·
Economically Disadvantaged	160	90%	59%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged	34	56%	18%	3%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	194	84%	52%	6%	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	06 School Year 2004-05 School Year					•	
Accessments	Total	Total	Number sco	ring at level(s): 3-4 4				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	154	92%	42%	3%				
Female	71	94%	46%	0%				
Male	83	89%	39%	6%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	53	91%	49%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	66	94%	29%	6%	•••••			,
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	93%	50%	0%				Ì
White	21	86%	62%	5%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
General-Education Students	130	97%	48%	4%				
Students with Disabilities	24	63%	8%	0%				ľ
English Proficient	144	92%	44%	3%				
Limited English Proficient	10	80%	10%	0%				,
Economically Disadvantaged	128	97%	48%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	26	65%	12%	0%	•••••	•••••	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Migrant								
Not Migrant	154	92%	42%	3%	••••••			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not giv	on in 200	14-05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent						was not giv		,4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	14	11	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06 \$	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ercentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 s not given in 2004-05.		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	167	87%	53%	5%					
Female	79	92%	57%	5%					
Male	88	82%	50%	6%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	53	87%	49%	2%				Ì	
Hispanic or Latino	70	89%	51%	4%				Ì	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	84%	63%	5%				Ì	
White	25	84%	60%	16%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••			i	
General-Education Students	142	96%	59%	6%					
Students with Disabilities	25	32%	20%	0%	•••••			Ì	
English Proficient	143	87%	57%	5%					
Limited English Proficient	24	83%	33%	8%	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	140	96%	60%	6%					
Not Disadvantaged	27	37%	19%	0%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	167	87%	53%	5%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05	School Year				
Assessments	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Nu						Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.		

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	185	87%	31%	1%						
Female	88	89%	32%	1%						
Male	97	86%	31%	0%		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	61	89%	23%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	91	87%	30%	0%	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	12	92%	58%	0%	and mid	ddle-level Eng	glish langu	age		
Pacific Islander		92%	36%	0%		d mathematic				
White	21	81%	48%	5%		stered in 200				
Small Group Totals						ssessments c		,		
General-Education Students	152	96%	38%	1%		ed to results stered assessi	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	33	45%	3%	0%	auminis	stereu assessi	nents.			
English Proficient	179	87%	32%	1%						
Limited English Proficient	6	83%	0%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged	149	97%	38%	1%						
Not Disadvantaged	36	44%	6%	0%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	185	87%	31%	1%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessifients	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent					U			
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	11	10	10	N/A	10	9	8	N/A
Grade 8								

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year
•	Total	Percentag	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4
All Students	194	81%	40%	5%	
Female	92	83%	37%	5%	
Male	102	79%	43%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Black or African American	59	80%	31%	3%	
Hispanic or Latino	92	82%	35%	2%	New assessments for elementary-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	16	81%	69%	19%	and middle-level English language
Pacific Islander	10	01%	09%	19%	arts and mathematics were
White	27	81%	63%	11%	administered in 2006. Results from
Small Group Totals	••••••		•••••	••••	these assessments cannot be directly
General-Education Students	162	88%	46%	6%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.
Students with Disabilities	32	44%	13%	0%	aummstered assessments.
English Proficient	174	82%	40%	6%	
Limited English Proficient	20	75%	40%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	160	89%	46%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	34	44%	12%	0%	
Migrant					
Not Migrant	194	81%	40%	5%	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				

School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	-4 4 Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	184	84%	38%	2%	134	91%	65%	7%
Female	88	88%	35%	0%	65	92%	69%	6%
Male	96	80%	41%	4%	69	90%	61%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	55	87%	27%	2%	38	92%	66%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	87	83%	37%	2%	62	89%	63%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	88%	69%	6%	16	100%	75%	6%
White	26	77%	46%	0%	18	89%	61%	11%
Small Group Totals	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	154	90%	43%	3%	115	96%	71%	8%
Students with Disabilities	30	53%	13%	0%	19	63%	26%	0%
English Proficient	165	84%	38%	2%	121	93%	69%	7%
Limited English Proficient	19	79%	42%	0%	13	77%	31%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	152	90%	43%	3%	134	91%	65%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	32	53%	16%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	184	84%	38%	2%	134	91%	65%	7%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year			2004-05 S C	hool Year		
-	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
Regents Science	0				0			


School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 12 56 50 3 121 640 13 85 41 2 141 Feb 2004 630 Feb 2003 13 66 41 3 123 635




	Number o	f students sco				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	6	76	52	0	134	691
Jan 2004	15	76	36	2	129	685
Jan 2003	18	86	31	6	141	685


School P.S. 89 WILLIAMSBRIDGE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #11

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 4 32 84 28 148 654 May 2005 9 53 71 26 159 645 May 2004 May 2003 7 43 85 14 149 645



	Number o	of students sco				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	11	48	78	10	147	722
May 2004	23	56	50	6	135	705
May 2003	39	69	41	2	151	698