

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC
DISTRICT #14
District ID 331400010000
Superintendent JAMES QUAIL
Telephone (718) 302-7600
Grades PK-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	520	611	608
Kindergarten	1277	1175	1165
Grade 1	1551	1588	1456
Grade 2	1520	1407	1447
Grade 3	1610	1407	1374
Grade 4	1676	1471	1300
Grade 5	1614	1629	1418
Grade 6	1561	1446	1523
Ungraded Elementary	604	634	675
Grade 7	1499	1502	1438
Grade 8	1532	1470	1457
Grade 9	2114	1659	1696
Grade 10	1328	1260	1350
Grade 11	597	904	820
Grade 12	440	663	745
Ungraded Secondary	797	751	703
Total K-12	19720	18966	18567

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	24	25	24
Grade 8			
English	30	24	25
Mathematics	29	28	26
Science	30	29	27
Social Studies	30	27	26
Grade 10			
English	23	27	29
Mathematics	26	25	22
Science	20	25	25
Social Studies	24	29	28

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Demographic Factors

	200	2003-04		4-05	200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	16396	83%	10854	57%	14606	79%
Reduced-Price Lunch	1233	6%	1675	9%	623	3%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	2619	13%	2708	14%	2700	15%
Racial/Ethnic Origin					'	
American Indian or Alaska Native	30	0%	36	0%	46	0%
Black or African American	4858	25%	4766	25%	4720	25%
Hispanic or Latino	12610	64%	12157	64%	11854	64%
Asian or Native	536	3%	495	3%	485	3%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1686	9%	1512	8%	1462	8%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004	. –05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate						
Student Suspensions	263	N/A	481	2%	419	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	1526	2784	4681
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	20%	24%	14%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	92	79	60
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	6%	6%	4%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	239	250	212
Percentage of Total	17%	17%	15%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	33%	31%	31%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers			
Total Other Professional Staff			
Total Paraprofessionals*			
Assistant Principals			
Principals			

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006-07)

Improvement (Year 3)

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Level			
ELA	→ Improvement (Year 3)	ELA	♠ Improvement (Year 3)		
Math	♦ Good Standing	Math	♦ Good Standing		
Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	♠ Good Standing		

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	V	✓	✓	✓ SH	✓	✓		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		-	_			
Black or African American	V	~	•••••••	V SH	~	••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	/	~	••••••••	X	~	•••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	V	V	•••••••	_	- -	••••••		
White	~	V	•••••••	_		••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	X		X	X			
Limited English Proficient	X	~	••••••••	X	X	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	V	V	•••••••	✓ SH	V	•••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 6 of 8	X 7 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	X 3 of 6	X 4 of 6	✓ 1 of 1		

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Improvement (Year 2) ∧

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) Improvement (Year 3) 🔨 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Improvement (Year 4) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

<i>y •</i>		3 3 3
Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Improvement (Year 3)
Accountability Measures	6 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 4) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 3) in 2007-08. [208]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objective		es
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (9283:8882)	V	<u> </u>	98%	<u>/</u>	132	121		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (23:22)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (1912:1824)	V	V	98%	V	119	119	•••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (6207:5939)	/	V	98%	V	129	121	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (300:285)	/	V	98%	~	169	116	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
White (841:812)	/	V	98%	V	167	118	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (1994:1359)	X	X	94%	X	73	119	83‡	86
Limited English Proficient (1268:1156)	X	V	95%	X	96	119	99	106
Economically Disadvantaged (3980:3849)	/	V	99%	~	134	120	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Final AYP Determination	X 6 of 8							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (9314:8850)	/	V	99%	V	133	85		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (22:19)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (1906:1795)	V	V	98%	V	111	83		
Hispanic or Latino (6238:5940)	~	V	99%	V	133	85	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (301:285)	/	/	100%	~	181	80		
White (847:811)	/	V	99%	V	167	82	••••••••	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (1985:1351)	X	X	94%	X	77	83	66‡	89
Limited English Proficient (1273:1202)	/	V	99%	~	104	83	•••••••	•••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (3976:3830)	V	V	99%	~	132	84	•••••••	•••••••
Final AYP Determination	X 7 of 8							

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or

secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005-06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95%participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

- Did Not Make AYP
- **Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

		AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Ctatura	Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State		s Target	
uous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07	
:s (2996:2799)		Qualified	/	97%	V	139	100			
ian or Alaska Native		-	-	-	-	-	_		_	
an American		Qualified	V	96%	~	124	100	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
atino (1995:1873)		Qualified	'	98%	'	138	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
/e Hawaiian/Other Pacific 85)		Qualified	/	99%	~	171	100	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
90)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	96%	~	169	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
ıps										
Disabilities		Did not qualify	V	91%	X	88	100	97	89	
sh Proficient		Qualified	/	97%	~	103	100	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	/	98%	~	136	100	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Disadvantaged	✓ 1 o			98%			136	136 100	136 100	

AYP Status





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

	4	
Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Improvement (Year 3)
Accountability Measures	3 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 4) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 3) in 2007-08. [208]


How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (791:843)	✓SH	<u>✓</u>	99%	✓SH	137	150	133	143
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (335:364)	✓ SH	V	99%	V SH	145	148	134	151
Hispanic or Latino (428:453)	X	V	98%	X	130	149	133	137
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)		_	_	_	_	_		_
White (22:20)		_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (59:90)	X	V	98%	X	91	144	97	102
Limited English Proficient (64:84)	X	~	97%	X	75	143	96‡	88
Economically Disadvantaged (484:564)	V SH	V	98%	✓ SH	140	149	136	146
Final AYP Determination	X 3 of 6							

AYP Status







 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (791:843)		V	99%	V	146	142		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (335:364)	/	V	99%	V	150	140	•••••••••	•••••••
Hispanic or Latino (428:453)	/	/	98%	/	142	141	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	- -	_	-	_	_	_	_	-
White (22:20)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (59:90)	X	/	98%	X	96	136	98	106
Limited English Proficient (64:84)	X	V	95%	X	120	135	133‡	128
Economically Disadvantaged (484:564)	~	V	98%	~	147	141	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••
Final AYP Determination	X 4 of 6							

AYP Status



Made AYP



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or

secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004-05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator	^	Good Standing
(2006-07) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
•	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	Progres	ss Target	
All Students (696)	V	V	56%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (296)		V	55%	55%			
Hispanic or Latino (367)		~	57%	55%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10)		_	-	-	_	-	
White (23)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	-		_	-	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (82)		V	35%	55%	33%	36%	
Limited English Proficient (72)	•••••	X	49%	55%	54%	50%	
Economically Disadvantaged (455)	V	64%	55%			
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1					

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

1 school identified 3% of total

J.H.S. 318 EUGENO MARIA DEHOSTOS SCHOOL

New York State Status

$\overline{}$	Good Standing
• • • • • •	25 schools identified 68% of total
	HIGH SCHOOL OF ENTERPRISE, BUSINESS & TECHNOLOGY
	MS 577-CONSELYEA PREP SCHOOL
	MS 582
	P.S. 16 LEONARD DUNKLY SCHOOL
	P.S. 17 HENRY D. WOODWORTH SCHOOL
	P.S. 18 EDWARD BUSH SCHOOL
	P.S. 23 CARTER G. WOODSON SCHOOL
	P.S. 31 SAMUEL F. DUPONT SCHOOL P.S. 34 OLIVER H. PERRY SCHOOL
	P.S. 59 WILLIAM FLOYD SCHOOL
	P.S. 84 JOSE DE DIEGO SCHOOL
	P.S. 110 MONITOR SCHOOL
	P.S. 120 CARLOS TAPIA SCHOOL
	P.S. 132 THE CONSELYEA
	P.S. 147 ISSAC REMSEN SCHOOL
	P.S. 157 BENJAMIN FRANKLIN SCHOOL
	P.S. 196 TEN EYCK SCHOOL
	P.S. 250 GEORGE H. LINDSEY SCHOOL
	P.S. 257 JOHN F. HYLAN SCHOOL
	P.S. 297 ABRAHAM STOCKTON SCHOOL
	P.S. 319
	P.S. 380 JOHN WAYNE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
	URBAN ASSEMBLY SCHOOL FOR URBAN ENVIRONMENT
	WILLIAMSBURG HIGH SCHOOL FOR ARCHITECTURE AND DESIGN
	WILLIAMSBURG PREP SCHOOL
^	Improvement (Year1)
	1 school identified 3% of total
	HIGH SCHOOL OF LEGAL STUDIES
	Improvement (Year 2)
	1 school identified 3% of total
	PROGRESS HIGH SCHOOL
<u></u>	Corrective Action
	1 school identified 3% of total
	EL PUENTE ACADEMY
<u>^</u>	Planning for Restructuring
	2 schools identified 5% of total
	HARRY VAN ARSDALE HIGH SCHOOL
	P.S. 19 ROBERTO CLEMENTE SCHOOL

Restructuring (Year 1)

School Accountability Status

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District continued

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Restructuring (Year 1) (continued) 2 schools identified 5% of total AUTOMOTIVE HIGH SCHOOL J.H.S. 126 JOHN ERICSSON SCHOOL Restructuring (Year 3) 4 schools identified 11% of total

J.H.S. 33 MARK HOPKINS J.H.S. 49 WILLIAM J. GAYNOR

J.H.S. 50 JOHN D. WELLS

J.H.S. 71 JUAN MOREL CAMPOS

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at o	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	59%		1161
Grade 4	60%		1208
Grade 5	56%		1401
Grade 6	39%		1541
Grade 7	39%		1435
Grade 8	32%		1450
Mathematics			
Grade 3	70%		1481
Grade 4	71%		1378
Grade 5	58%		1524
Grade 6	40%		1637
Grade 7	38%		1520
Grade 8	28%		1538
Science			
Grade 4	71%		1382
Grade 8	33%		1509
	Percentage	e of students that	2002
	scored at o	or above Level 3	Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	43%		1109
Mathematics	46%		1109
	Percentage	e of students	2002
	who gradu		Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	47%		1109

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

NYC Public Schools

This is New York City, a uniquely large and complex district with high student needs relative to district resource capacity.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05	2004-05 School Year						
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	1161	88%	59%	4%						
Female	584	91%	64%	6%						
Male	577	85%	53%	2%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	_	-	-						
Black or African American	289	86%	52%	3%				,		
Hispanic or Latino	708	86%	56%	3%	•••••			,		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	46	_	_	_				·		
White	116	96%	84%	14%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	48	98%	90%	13%				İ		
General-Education Students	975	93%	66%	5%						
Students with Disabilities	186	58%	22%	0%	•••••					
English Proficient	1131	89%	60%	4%						
Limited English Proficient	30	50%	30%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged	459	91%	61%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	702	86%	58%	4%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	1161	88%	59%	4%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	17	17	15	14	This tes	t was not giv	004-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	289	129	96	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	1481	90%	70%	19%							
Female	726	91%	71%	19%							
Male	755	88%	69%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	_							
Black or African American	293	85%	63%	15%				i			
Hispanic or Latino	988	89%	67%	13%	•••••			İ			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	52	_	_	_				Ì			
White	146	98%	97%	50%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004				
Small Group Totals	54	96%	94%	56%	•••••			·			
General-Education Students	1229	93%	77%	22%							
Students with Disabilities	252	71%	38%	3%	•••••			Ì			
English Proficient	1150	92%	76%	22%							
Limited English Proficient	331	80%	51%	8%				i			
Economically Disadvantaged	587	93%	75%	17%							
Not Disadvantaged	894	87%	67%	20%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	1481	90%	70%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Total	Number sco	ring at leve	l(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	16	16	13	13	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Yea	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	1208	88%	60%	4%						
Female	598	91%	64%	5%						
Male	610	86%	56%	4%		•••••••	• • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-						
Black or African American	238	82%	45%	1%						
Hispanic or Latino	777	88%	59%	4%	New assessments for	or elementa	ıry-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	_	-	<u> </u>	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
White	159	97%	81%	10%	administered in 200	6. Results 1	from			
Small Group Totals	34	97%	79%	6%	these assessments		,			
General-Education Students	1030	95%	67%	5%	compared to results	•	iously			
Students with Disabilities	178	51%	20%	1%	administered assess	ments.				
English Proficient	1164	90%	62%	5%						
Limited English Proficient	44	55%	18%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged	475	96%	64%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	733	83%	58%	4%		••••••••				
Migrant										
Not Migrant	1208	88%	60%	4%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2–4 3–4 4				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	15	15	10	10	25	25	23	17
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	161	112	95	N/A	157	90	66	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total Percentage scoring	at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4	4			
All Students	1378	90%	71%	20%					
Female	673	90%	70%	18%					
Male	705	90%	72%	22%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-					
Black or African American	241	84%	57%	10%					
Hispanic or Latino	924	90%	71%	18%	New assessments for elem-	entary-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	38	_	_	-	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
White	172	96%	90%	42%	administered in 2006. Resu	lts from			
Small Group Totals	41	100%	90%	34%	these assessments cannot	•			
General-Education Students	1175	94%	77%	22%	compared to results from p	reviously			
Students with Disabilities	203	63%	38%	5%	administered assessments.				
English Proficient	1171	92%	75%	22%					
Limited English Proficient	207	75%	47%	8%					
Economically Disadvantaged	525	95%	78%	22%					
Not Disadvantaged	853	86%	67%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1378	90%	71%	20%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):					Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	10141	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	19	16	15	13	25	25	22	19	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	19	16	13	13	25	25	23	19	

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	1382	93%	71%	27%	1539	87%	60%	20%	
Female	675	94%	71%	28%	768	89%	60%	20%	
Male	707	93%	70%	26%	771	85%	60%	20%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	_		_	3			_	
Black or African American	240	89%	60%	13%	276	80%	46%	11%	
Hispanic or Latino	926	94%	70%	26%	1055	87%	59%	17%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	41	-	_	-	42	-	-	-	
White	172	98%	87%	49%	163	97%	84%	45%	
Small Group Totals	44	95%	77%	39%	45	98%	87%	60%	
General-Education Students	1181	97%	77%	30%	1350	92%	65%	22%	
Students with Disabilities	201	72%	36%	10%	189	56%	26%	6%	
English Proficient	1174	95%	75%	30%	1315	91%	65%	22%	
Limited English Proficient	208	83%	46%	9%	224	67%	32%	7%	
Economically Disadvantaged	529	98%	76%	25%	1378	86%	58%	18%	
Not Disadvantaged	853	91%	67%	28%	161	94%	78%	39%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1382	93%	71%	27%	1539	87%	60%	20%	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Total Number scoring at le			evel(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	Number scoring at level(2-4 3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	13	13	12	10	24	23	20	17	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent									

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	1401	91%	56%	7%						
Female	718	93%	59%	7%						
Male	683	89%	53%	6%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	80%	20%						
Black or African American	280	88%	43%	3%				İ		
Hispanic or Latino	939	91%	55%	5%	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	38	100%	82%	16%						
White	139	95%	81%	21%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				,		
General-Education Students	1201	96%	61%	7%						
Students with Disabilities	200	65%	23%	1%				Ì		
English Proficient	1333	92%	58%	7%						
Limited English Proficient	68	74%	21%	0%				ľ		
Economically Disadvantaged	595	95%	58%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	806	89%	54%	7%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	1401	91%	56%	7%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sc	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	21	20	18	16	This tos	t was not air	on in 200	M 05	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	21	20	10	10	This test was not given in 2004-05				
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	107	77	62	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	1524	86%	58%	16%							
Female	771	89%	57%	14%							
Male	753	83%	59%	18%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	_	_							
Black or African American	281	75%	42%	4%				,			
Hispanic or Latino	1043	87%	58%	13%	•••••			,			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	42	_	_	_				·			
White	155	94%	83%	45%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004				
Small Group Totals	45	93%	87%	58%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			ľ			
General-Education Students	1313	91%	64%	18%							
Students with Disabilities	211	53%	20%	3%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
English Proficient	1337	88%	62%	17%							
Limited English Proficient	187	72%	35%	7%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged	643	91%	61%	14%							
Not Disadvantaged	881	82%	56%	17%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	1524	86%	58%	16%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	23	23	21	17	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	1541	87%	39%	4%					
Female	763	89%	43%	5%					
Male	778	84%	35%	3%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-					
Black or African American	360	79%	30%	1%					
Hispanic or Latino	1032	88%	39%	3%	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	39	_	_	_					
White	106	98%	61%	14%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	43	91%	63%	21%	•••••				
General-Education Students	1316	93%	44%	4%					
Students with Disabilities	225	50%	6%	0%	•••••				
English Proficient	1450	88%	41%	4%					
Limited English Proficient	91	59%	5%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged	686	90%	36%	2%					
Not Disadvantaged	855	84%	42%	5%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1541	87%	39%	4%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	15	14	14	14	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	73	42	30	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6								

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	1637	76%	40%	6%					
Female	808	78%	40%	6%					
Male	829	75%	40%	7%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	20%	0%	0%					
Black or African American	362	63%	26%	1%				Ì	
Hispanic or Latino	1101	79%	41%	5%				ľ	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	46	96%	85%	39%				İ	
White	123	89%	61%	20%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••				ì	
General-Education Students	1407	83%	46%	7%					
Students with Disabilities	230	33%	5%	0%				,	
English Proficient	1473	78%	42%	7%					
Limited English Proficient	164	56%	21%	1%				ľ	
Economically Disadvantaged	724	78%	36%	4%					
Not Disadvantaged	913	75%	43%	8%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1637	76%	40%	6%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	2004-05 School Year						
Accoccimonts	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	20	19	19	18	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	1435	87%	39%	3%						
Female	709	90%	45%	3%						
Male	726	84%	34%	3%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	67%	50%	0%						
Black or African American	333	81%	25%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	946	88%	40%	3%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	63	97%	76%	17%						
White	87	93%	63%	8%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	•••••	••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••					
General-Education Students	1242	92%	44%	4%						
Students with Disabilities	193	56%	8%	0%				•		
English Proficient	1352	89%	41%	3%						
Limited English Proficient	83	64%	7%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged	684	90%	37%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged	751	85%	42%	4%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	1435	87%	39%	3%						


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessifients	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	18	18	16	14	This tost	was not qiv	on in 200	14-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	10	10	10	14	IIIIs test	was not giv		,4-03.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	79	51	39	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	1520	79%	38%	3%					
Female	748	80%	39%	3%					
Male	772	77%	37%	4%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	71%	57%	0%					
Black or African American	328	68%	23%	1%					
Hispanic or Latino	1017	80%	38%	2%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	67	96%	76%	30%					
White	101	87%	57%	9%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••	•••••				
General-Education Students	1332	84%	42%	4%					
Students with Disabilities	188	40%	9%	0%					
English Proficient	1353	81%	41%	4%					
Limited English Proficient	167	62%	18%	1%					
Economically Disadvantaged	731	80%	35%	2%					
Not Disadvantaged	789	78%	41%	5%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1520	79%	38%	3%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	17	16	12	11	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06 S	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4			
All Students	1450	83%	32%	2%				
Female	709	86%	38%	2%				
Male	741	80%	26%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	_	-	-				
Black or African American	330	78%	25%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	959	84%	31%	1%	New assessments for elementary-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	52	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	and middle-level English language			
Pacific Islander	52	_	_ 		arts and mathematics were			
White	106	89%	43%	7%	administered in 2006. Results from			
Small Group Totals	55	87%	60%	11%	these assessments cannot be directly			
General-Education Students	1232	90%	37%	2%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.			
Students with Disabilities	218	45%	4%	0%	administered assessments.			
English Proficient	1373	85%	34%	2%				
Limited English Proficient	77	48%	4%	1%				
Economically Disadvantaged	690	87%	28%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	760	80%	36%	3%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1450	83%	32%	2%				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	7	7	6	14	14	14	11
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	89	55	41	N/A	107	74	55	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4
All Students	1538	68%	28%	3%	
Female	752	69%	29%	4%	
Male	786	67%	28%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	325	61%	22%	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	1030	67%	26%	2%	New assessments for elementary-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	55	_	-	_ _	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were
White	126	81%	42%	8%	administered in 2006. Results from
Small Group Totals	57	93%	72%	28%	these assessments cannot be directly
General-Education Students	1333	73%	32%	4%	compared to results from previously
Students with Disabilities	205	33%	3%	0%	administered assessments.
English Proficient	1365	71%	31%	4%	
Limited English Proficient	173	42%	9%	1%	
Economically Disadvantaged	743	65%	22%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	795	70%	34%	5%	
Migrant					
Not Migrant	1538	68%	28%	3%	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	ring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	7	6	6	18	18	18	13
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	ľ	0	6	16	10	10	15

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1509	80%	33%	3%	1482	82%	40%	6%
Female	737	82%	32%	2%	767	83%	36%	6%
Male	772	78%	35%	4%	715	81%	44%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	_	-
Black or African American	318	76%	26%	0%	311	74%	25%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	1012	80%	31%	2%	1012	83%	40%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	54	-	_	-	57	-	-	_
White	123	89%	56%	11%	101	92%	69%	14%
Small Group Totals	56	95%	68%	18%	58	93%	71%	29%
General-Education Students	1306	84%	38%	4%	1282	87%	45%	7%
Students with Disabilities	203	54%	3%	0%	200	47%	9%	0%
English Proficient	1344	84%	37%	4%	1323	85%	42%	7%
Limited English Proficient	165	52%	8%	0%	159	56%	23%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	727	81%	27%	1%	1326	81%	38%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	782	79%	39%	5%	156	90%	52%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1509	80%	33%	3%	1482	82%	40%	6%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total Number scoring at leve		el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	6	6	6	13	13	13	10
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent		0		0	13	13	13	10
Regents Science	0				10	5	3	0


District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 100 462 662 174 1398 651 643 133 689 160 647 Feb 2004 1625 Feb 2003 99 511 656 350 1616 658




	Number o	of students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	172	958	328	33	1491	682
Jan 2004	153	885	440	86	1564	689
Jan 2003	134	777	476	106	1493	692


District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score May 2005 93 269 708 473 1543 660 137 426 855 341 650 May 2004 1759 May 2003 91 360 839 462 1752 656



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	349	649	529	62	1589	703
May 2004	395	552	549	148	1644	705
May 2003	385	572	536	88	1581	703

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1109	60%	43%	5%	825	64%	45%	5%
Female	508	66%	48%	6%	335	69%	48%	6%
Male	601	56%	38%	5%	490	61%	43%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	469	65%	47%	7%	344	64%	48%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	608	57%	39%	3%	442	64%	43%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	_	_	_	12	75%	58%	25%
White	25	52%	40%	12%	27	52%	41%	7%
Small Group Totals	7	71%	57%	43%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
General-Education Students	910	70%	50%	6%	696	71%	51%	6%
Students with Disabilities	199	17%	7%	1%	129	28%	15%	0%
English Proficient	987	65%	46%	6%	727	66%	48%	6%
Limited English Proficient	122	25%	12%	0%	98	50%	27%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	735	63%	44%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	374	55%	40%	4%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1109	60%	43%	5%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t <u>*</u>			2001 Cohort*			
Assassments	Number	Number sco	ring at leve	l(s):	Number Number scoring at le			(s):
Assessments	of Students	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	18	18	18	16	0			
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	10	10	10	10	0			

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1109	66%	46%	3%	825	64%	42%	3%
Female	508	72%	47%	3%	335	67%	42%	4%
Male	601	62%	44%	3%	490	61%	43%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	469	68%	49%	3%	344	67%	40%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	608	65%	43%	3%	442	61%	44%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	_	_	_	12	83%	67%	8%
White	25	60%	28%	0%	27	59%	41%	4%
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	14%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	
General-Education Students	910	77%	54%	3%	696	72%	48%	4%
Students with Disabilities	199	19%	6%	1%	129	20%	14%	0%
English Proficient	987	68%	47%	3%	727	65%	43%	3%
Limited English Proficient	122	51%	30%	3%	98	56%	41%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	735	67%	47%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	374	66%	43%	2%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1109	66%	46%	3%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	l(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	17	17	17	16	0			

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:




	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	1109	47%	4%	7%	27%	14%
	2001	825	47%	2%	3%	32%	16%
emale	2002	508	55%	4%	5%	25%	12%
	2001	335	50%	1%	2%	32%	14%
1ale	2002	601	41%	5%	9%	29%	16%
	2001	490	44%	2%	4%	32%	18%
American Indian	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	469	48%	5%	7%	26%	14%
African American	2001	344	46%	2%	3%	33%	17%
lispanic or Latino	2002	608	47%	4%	7%	28%	15%
- P	2001	442	47%	2%	4%	31%	16%
Asian or Native	2002	6	_		_	_	···· -
Iawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	12	67%	0%	0%	25%	8%
Vhite	2002	25	44%	12%	12%	24%	8%
VIIIC	2002	27	41%	0%	7%	37%	15%
small Group Totals	2002	7	71%	0%	0%	14%	14%
General-Education Students	2002	910	54%	0%	8%	28%	10%
	2001	696	50%	0%	3%	33%	13%
tudents with Disabilities	2002	199	18%	24%	5%	22%	32%
	2001	129	27%	12%	4%	22%	35%
nglish Proficient	2002	987	50%	4%	7%	25%	14%
	2001	727	47%	2%	4%	31%	16%
imited English Proficient	2002	122	26%	8%	9%	39%	17%
-	2001	98	43%	1%	2%	37%	17%
conomically Disadvantaged	2002	735	49%	5%	6%	27%	13%
lot Disadvantaged	2002	374	44%	4%	9%	26%	17%
1igrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	1109	47%	4%	7%	27%	14%

NOTES

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #14

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	815	56%	4%	6%	10%	25%
Female	320	63%	3%	4%	7%	23%
Male	495	51%	4%	6%	12%	26%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	335	56%	3%	5%	10%	26%
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	439	56%	4%	6%	10%	24%
Asian or Native	13	62%	0%	0%	8%	31%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	28	50%	4%	14%	14%	18%
Small Group Totals		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
General-Education Students	652	63%	0%	6%	10%	21%
Students with Disabilities	163	25%	19%	5%	10%	42%
English Proficient	720	57%	3%	6%	10%	24%
Limited English Proficient	95	45%	7%	3%	14%	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	529	62%	4%	6%	7%	22%
Not Disadvantaged	286	45%	4%	5%	16%	30%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	815	56%	4%	6%	10%	25%

NOTES