

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School J.H.S. 14 SHELL BANK INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #22 School ID 332200010014 Principal ANN TULLY Telephone (718) 743-0220 Grades 6-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning

2 Review School Accountability Status.

environment.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	280	259	234
Ungraded Elementary	33	52	41
Grade 7	355	289	236
Grade 8	406	350	279
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	79	77	89
Total K–12	1153	1027	879

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	25	25	
Grade 8			
English	31	29	29
Mathematics	29	30	29
Science	31	26	29
Social Studies	31	29	29
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004–05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1144	99%	1019	99%	778	89%
Reduced-Price Lunch	6	1%	5	0%	49	6%
Student Stability*		95%		93%		92%
Limited English Proficient	93	8%	95	9%	76	9%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	1%	8	1%	10	1%
Black or African American	813	71%	719	70%	602	68%
Hispanic or Latino	149	13%	130	13%	107	12%
Asian or Native	76	7%	71	7%	69	8%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	106	9%	99	10%	91	10%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		90%		9%		89%	
Student Suspensions	12	N/A	22	2%	24	2%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	93	166	160
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	25%	42%	14%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	4	5
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	3%	6%	7%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	11	17	10
Percentage of Total	15%	25%	14%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	35%	33%	19%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	89	76	76
Total Other Professional Staff	10	10	11
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	4	2	4
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School J.H.S. 14 SHELL BANK INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #22

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to all New York State public schools)
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not I Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Acade	been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, emic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountabilit measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvemer (Year 1) for the following year.	measure for two consecutive years is considered a School
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for th following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	 School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identif is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following	

2 School Accountability

School J.H.S. 14 SHELL BANK INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #22


Summary

Secondary Level	tary/Middle Level	Elementa	
ELA	∧ Improvement (Year 2)	ELA	
Math	Corrective Action	Math	
Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing	Science	
	Good Standing		

Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
2004-05	2005-06	2006–07			
YES	YES	YES			
	2004-05	2004-05 2005-06			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	v					
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	✓	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	 ✓ 	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<	~			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
White	X	 ✓ 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	 ✓ 						
Limited English Proficient	✓	~	••••	••••••••••••	••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	v	 ✓ 	••••	••••••••••••	••••••••••	••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 6 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1					



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Improvement (Year 2)
Accountability Measures	6 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2006-07, the school will be In Corrective Action in 2007-08. If this school makes AYP in 2006-07, the school will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2007-08. [105]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target		
All Students (846:811)	V	 Image: Contract of the second s	98%	 Image: Contract of the second s	127	118	2005 00	2000 07	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (9:8)	-	_	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (590:571)	~	~	99%	~	128	117			
Hispanic or Latino (102:98)	<	~	98%	 ✓ 	114	112	••• •••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (79:74)	~	~	100%	~	135	111	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
White (104:60)	X	X	89%	~	135	110	••• •••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (139:131)	X	~	96%	X	99	113	109	109	
Limited English Proficient (68:60)	~	v	96%	~	112	110	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (705:678)	~	~	99%	~	133	118	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Final AYP Determination	🗙 6 of 8								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Corrective Action
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in Mathematics, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2006-07, the school will be Planning for Restructuring in 2007-08. If this school makes AYP in 2006-07, the school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [112]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07	
All Students (834:794)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	99%	 ✓ 	128	82			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (9:8)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (580:560)	~	~	99%	~	128	81			
Hispanic or Latino (101:96)	<	~	98%	 	113	76	•••••••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (81:72)	~	~	99%	~	150	75	•••••••••••••••		
White (63:58)	<	 	98%	 ✓ 	134	73	••••••••••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (139:132)	~	~	98%	~	95	77			
Limited English Proficient (69:61)	~	~	97%	~	111	74	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (694:662)	~	~	99%	~	135	82	••••••••		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (302:273)	~	Qualified	 	98%	v	129	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (204:190)		Qualified	~	98%	~	131	100		
Hispanic or Latino (42:37)		Qualified	<	95%	~	122	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (29:21)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (24:22)		–	-	-	-	-	-		-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (39:33)		-	_	-	~	112	100		
Limited English Proficient (25:18)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (262:240)		Qualified	~	99%	~	132	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	44%		242
Grade 7	38%		245
Grade 8	29%		280
Mathematics			
Grade 6	52%		239
Grade 7	43%		268
Grade 8	35%		293
Science			
Grade 8	40%		290

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 21

All schools in this group are New York City middle level schools. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for middle level schools in this district.

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This Sch				Similar Sc		
	-	e scoring at level(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s	:
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
Ra	inge:						
10	0%						
Number of Students:							
vulliber of Students.							
Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year	
Student Group	Total	Percentage so	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Percentage s	coring at level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4
All Students							
Female							
Male							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American							
Hispanic or Latino	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					sessments for	•
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other						ddle-level Eng	
Pacific Islander						d mathematics	
White						stered in 2006	
Small Group Totals							nnot be directly rom previously
General-Education Students	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					stered assessm	
Students with Disabilities							ients.
English Proficient							
Limited English Proficient							
Economically Disadvantaged							
Not Disadvantaged	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Migrant							
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
NOTES							

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

	This Sch	ool			Similar Sc	hools	
	Percentag	e scoring at level(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s	5):
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
Rang	ge:						
100%							
umber of Students:							
Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year	
•	Total	Percentage sc	oring at level((s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4
ll Students							
emale							
lale							
merican Indian or Alaska Native							
lack or African American							
ispanic or Latino					New as	sessments for	elementary-
sian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				and mi	ddle-level Eng	ılish language
acific Islander						d mathematic	
/hite							6. Results from
mall Group Totals							annot be directly
eneral-Education Students						ed to results stered assessn	from previously
tudents with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				aumms	stereu assessi	lients.
nglish Proficient							
imited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••	•••••			
conomically Disadvantaged ot Disadvantaged				•••••			
ligrant							

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	hool Year:		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Small Group Totals General-Education Students Students with Disabilities English Proficient Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged Migrant		This Sch	ool			Similar So	chools		
Range: 2005-06 2004-05 2005-06 2004-05 Number of Students: 2005-06 School Year Results by Student Group 2005-06 School Year Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Fernale Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested All Students Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Male All Students Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Male All Students Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Male Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Male Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Male Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Statican Indian or Alaska Native Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested		Percentag	e scoring at level(s	5):		Percentage	scoring at level(s):	
100% 2005-06 2004-05 2004-05 Number of Students: 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 All Students 2-4 Female 2-4 Male 2-4 American Indian or Alaska Native 2-4 Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other 2-4 Pacific Islander 2-4 White 2-4 Small Group Totals 2-4 General-Education Students 2-4 Students 2-4 English Proficient 2-4 Linited English Proficient 2-4 Economically Disadvantaged 2-4 Not Disadvantaged 2-4		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2005-06 2004-05 Number of Students: 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 All Students 2-4 3-4 4 Female 2-4 3-4 4 Male	Ran	ge:							
2004-05 2005-06 Students: 2005-06 Storl Year 2004-05 Storl Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): All Students 2-4 3-4 4 Female 2-4 3-4 4 Male 2-4 3-4 4 American Indian or Alaska Native 2-4 3-4 4 Black or African American 3-4 4 4 Hispanic or Latino 3-4 4 4 Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other 2-4 3-4 4 Small Group Totals 3-4 4 4 General-Education Students 3-4 4 4 Students with Disabilities 3-4 4 4 English Proficient 3-4 4 3-4 Limited English Proficient 3-4 4 3-4 Kuth Disadvantaged 3-4 4 3-4 4 Mitra 3-4 4 3-4 4 3-4 4 <td>100%</td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	100%	6							
2004-05 2005-06 Students: 2005-06 Stol Year 2004-05 Stol Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): All Students 2-4 3-4 4 Female 2-4 3-4 4 Male 2 3-4 4 American Indian or Alaska Native 3 3 4 Black or African American 3 3 4 Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other 3 3 4 Small Group Totals 3 3 4 3 General-Education Students 3 3 4 3 Students with Disabilities 3 3 4 3 English Proficient 3 3 4 3									
Number of Students: Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Male A A A A A A Male A A A A A A American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Black or African American </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 2-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Total 2-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Percenase scoring at level(s): Tested Percentage scoring	2004-05								
Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): All Students 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 All Students Emale Image: Standard Stan	Number of Students:								
Student Group Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): All Students 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 4 All Students	Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year		
All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Small Group Totals General-Education Students Students with Disabilities English Proficient Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged Migrant		Total	Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at l	evel(s):
Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Small Group Totals General-Education Students Students with Disabilities English Proficient Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged Migrant	Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
Male	All Students								
American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Small Group Totals General-Education Students Students with Disabilities English Proficient Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged Migrant	Female								
American Indian or Alaska Native	Male								
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Small Group Totals General-Education Students Students with Disabilities English Proficient Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged Migrant Migrant	American Indian or Alaska Native								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Small Group Totals General-Education Students Students with Disabilities English Proficient Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged Migrant	Black or African American								
Pacific Islander White Small Group Totals General-Education Students Students with Disabilities English Proficient Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged Migrant	Hispanic or Latino								
White	Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Small Group Totals	Pacific Islander								
General-Education Students Students with Disabilities English Proficient Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged Migrant	White								
Students with Disabilities									
English Proficient Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged Migrant	General-Education Students								
Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged Migrant Algorithm Algori	Students with Disabilities								
Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged Migrant	English Proficient								
Not Disadvantaged Migrant	-								
Migrant	Economically Disadvantaged								
	Not Disadvantaged								
Not Migrant	Migrant								
	Not Migrant								
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,	ine – symbol indicates that data for a group of stu	dents has been suppr	essed. It a group has	rewer than five	students,				

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S o	chool Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested				
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	0				

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Sch		. 1		Similar Sc		1	
		e scoring at level				scoring at level(
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Rang	ge:							
100%								
Number of Students:								
Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year		
-	Total	Percentage s	coring at level	(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native				.				
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White				.	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant								

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	ichool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	7	7	7	4	This test	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This Sch	nool			Similar Schools					
	Percentag	je scoring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Ra	inge:									
10	0%									
Number of Students:										
Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students										
Female	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Male										
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	* * * * * * *					
Pacific Islander										
White					This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	•••••••••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	* * * * * * *					
General-Education Students										
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • •					
English Proficient										
Limited English Proficient	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••		
Migrant										
	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Not Migrant										
NOTES										

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	Total Number scoring a			l(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	7	7	6	5	This test	was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.	

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Sc		-).	
		Percentage s 2–4	3-4	et(s): 4		2-4	coring at level(3–4	s): 4	
	D					2-4	5-4	4	
Mean Score: 642	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 (05-785				
	100%	92%				86%			
			44%				2204		
							33%		
				2	%			2%	
Number of Students:		223	107		4				
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		242	92 %	44%	2%				
Female		128	95%	48%	2%				
Male		114	89%	40%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive	3	-						
Black or African American		176	94%	47%	2%				
Hispanic or Latino		28	79%	25%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	er	18	100%	56%	0%				
White		17	-	-		This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	20	90%	40%	0%	•••••			
General-Education Students		210	96%	49%	2%				
Students with Disabilities		32	69%	16%	0%				
English Proficient		236	93%	45%	2%				
Limited English Proficient		6	67%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged		209	96%	49%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged		33	70%	15%	0%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		242	92%	44%	2%				

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	8	8	8	8	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	5	4	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo		14.)		Similar Sc			
		Percentage s					scoring at level		
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 648	Range:	616-780	650-7	'80 6	596-780				
	100%	89%							
	100%					74%			
			52%						
							36%		
				4	4%			4%	
Number of Students:		212	124		9				
Results by		2005–06 S e	chool Year	•		2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage		t level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		239	89%	52%	4%				
Female		130	90%	48%	4%				
Male		109	87%	57%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska N	ative	3	-						
Black or African American		171	89%	51%	3%				
Hispanic or Latino		28	79%	36%	4%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her	21	95%	67%	10%				
Pacific Islander						This tos	t was not ai	on in 2004	-05
White		16		<u>-</u>			st was not giv	2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		19	95%	63%	5%				
General-Education Students	•••••	208	92%	55%	4%				
Students with Disabilities		31	65%	32%	0%				
English Proficient	•••••	228	89%	52%	4%				
Limited English Proficient		11	91%	55%	9%				
Economically Disadvantaged	•••••	208	92%	55%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged		31	65%	32%	0%				
Migrant	•••••			••••••					
Not Migrant		239	89%	52%	4%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	Total	oring at leve	l(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	7	7	7	This test	was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.	

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo Percentage so)/(c):		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3–4		4	2-4	3–4	s): 4		
						2 4	54	4		
Mean Score: 634	Range:	600-790	650-7	90	712-790					
	100%	89%				84%				
			38%							
			3070				30%			
					3%			1%		
Number of Students:		217	92		7					
Results by		2005-06 Sc	hool Year:	1		2004-05	School Year			
	_	Total	Percentage	scoring a	it level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		245	89%	38%	3%					
Female		118	89%	46%	6%					
Male		127	88%	30%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive	2	-	-	-					
Black or African American		188	89%	38%	3%					
Hispanic or Latino		24	92%	33%	0%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	24	79%	38%	4%					
Pacific Islander		د م			÷ 70	This too			05	
White		7				inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		9	89%	33%	0%					
General-Education Students		200	95%	43%	4%					
Students with Disabilities		45	62%	16%	0%					
English Proficient		236	89%	39%	3%					
Limited English Proficient		9	78%	11%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged		200	95%	43%	4%					
Not Disadvantaged		45	62%	16%	0%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		245	89%	38%	3%					

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	5	5	This tost y	was not qiv	in 200	1 05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent				J	This test v	was not yn		,4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	21	16	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo				Similar Sc			
		Percentage so				-	coring at level(
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 638	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 6	93-800				
	100%	82%							
						74%			
			43%						
							30%		
				6	%			3%	
Number of Students:		220	115	1	.5				
Results by		2005-06 S o	:hool Year			2004-05	School Year		
mber of Students: esults by tudent Group Students male le herican Indian or Alaska Native ack or African American panic or Latino ian or Native Hawaiian/Other cific Islander hite hall Group Totals neral-Education Students idents with Disabilities glish Proficient hited English Proficient onomically Disadvantaged t Disadvantaged grant		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		268	82%	43%	6 %				
Female		133	84%	46%	5%				
Male		135	80%	40%	6%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	3							
Black or African American		193	85%	40%	5%				
Hispanic or Latino		29	76%	41%	3%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		31	81%	61%	13%				
White	•••••	12	-	-	-	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	•••••	15	53%	47%	0%				
General-Education Students		222	90%	50%	7%				
Students with Disabilities		46	43%	11%	0%				
English Proficient		236	83%	44%	6%				
Limited English Proficient		32	75%	31%	3%				
Economically Disadvantaged		222	90%	50%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged		46	43%	11%	0%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		268	82%	43%	6%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 Sc	hool Year:			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo Percentage so		u(s).		Similar Sch	nools coring at level(<i>c</i>).	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 632	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790				
	100%	83%				81%			
			29%				23%		
				2	%		2370	1%	
Number of Students:		232	82		5				
		232	02		J				
Results by		2005–06 Sc	hool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year		
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		280	83%	29 %	2%				
Female		145	86%	29%	4%				
Male		135	79%	30%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	3	-		-				
Black or African American		201	82%	28%	2%				
Hispanic or Latino		36	86%	31%	0%		essments fo		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		20	_	_	_		dle-level Eng		age
Pacific Islander					•••••		mathematic		
White		20	85%	35%			tered in 200 sessments c		
Small Group Totals		23	87%	35%	0%		ed to results		-
General-Education Students		250	86%	32%	2%		tered assessi	•	ouoty
Students with Disabilities		30	57%	7%	0%				
English Proficient		273	84%	30%	2%				
Limited English Proficient		7	29%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged		249	86%	32%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged		31	58%	6%	0%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		280	83%	29%	2%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	4	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4			_	2			_
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	15	10	8	N/A	25	20	17	N/A
Grade 8								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo Percentage se		<u>)(s):</u>			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4		2-4	3–4	4		
Mean Score: 633	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775					
	100%									
		74%				68%				
			35%							
			35%				26%			
				3	1%			3%		
Number of Students:		217	103		LO					
Results by	2005-06 S o	5-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year								
	Total	Percentage	scoring a	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		293	74%	35%	3%					
Female		153	75%	40%	3%					
Male		140	74%	30%	4%					
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	3								
Black or African American		200	77%	34%	2%					
Hispanic or Latino		39	62%	36%	8%	New ass	essments fo	r elementa	ry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		27	74%	44%	11%		dle-level Eng mathematic		age	
White						administ	ered in 200	6. Results f	rom	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		74%	33%			sessments c		-	
General-Education Students		259	77%	38%	4%		ed to results	•	ously	
Students with Disabilities	•••••		50%	12%	0%	administ	ered assess	nents.		
English Proficient		270	76%	36%	4%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	23	52%	22%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged		259	77%	38%	4%					
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	34	50%	15%	0%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant		293	74%	35%	3%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
ASSESSITETILS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This School Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 60	Range:	44-100	65-100	85-100			
2005-062004-05	100%	84% 83%	40% 43%	3% 9%	75% 76%	27% 32%	<u>2%</u> 3%
Number of Students:		244 261	115 135	10 27			
Poculte by		2005-06 Sch	ool Year		2004-05 S	chool Year	

Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
-	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
emale Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White Small Group Totals	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	290	84%	40%	3 %	313	83%	43 %	9 %	
Female	152	85%	41%	4%	181	86%	50%	9%	
Male	138	83%	38%	3%	132	80%	34%	8%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	197	85%	41%	4%	225	84%	43%	7%	
Hispanic or Latino	40	83%	35%	0%	34	85%	47%	12%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	75%	39%	11%	22	-	-	-	
White	22	-	-	-	31	81%	42%	10%	
Small Group Totals	25	88%	36%	0%	23	78%	43%	17%	
General-Education Students	259	86%	42%	4%	280	83%	45%	10%	
Students with Disabilities	31	65%	23%	0%	33	88%	24%	0%	
English Proficient	266	88%	42%	4%	283	86%	46%	10%	
Limited English Proficient	24	42%	8%	0%	30	63%	17%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	259	86%	42%	4%	313	83%	43%	9%	
Not Disadvantaged	31	65%	23%	0%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	290	84%	40%	3%	313	83%	43%	9%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		.(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	_		_	2	_	_	_
Regents Science	0				2	-	-	-

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

	This School	Similar Schools						
Grade 8	Percentage se	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830					
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	100% 90% 93%	38 27% 23%	% <u>2%</u> 0% 4%					

	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	l:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	32	196	77	6	311	684	
Jan 2004	41	270	89	2	402	684	
Jan 2003	33	246	152	17	448	689	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

	This School	Similar Schools						
Grade 8	Percentage se	coring at level(s	5):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882					
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	100% 87% 86%	42% 38% 32	% <u>2% 3% 1%</u>					

	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	l:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	42	151	133	8	334	709	
May 2004	56	192	137	13	398	708	
May 2003	121	199	145	5	470	698	