

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School P.S. 206 J.F. LAMB SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #22 School ID 332200010206 Principal GEMMA CARLETTO Telephone (718) 743-5598 Grades PK-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning

2 Review School Accountability Status.

environment.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Pre-K	36	36	72
Kindergarten	139	113	143
Grade 1	144	141	122
Grade 2	161	134	134
Grade 3	134	148	131
Grade 4	165	124	146
Grade 5	152	157	130
Grade 6	107	73	101
Ungraded Elementary	19	37	38
Grade 7	110	99	76
Grade 8	77	104	96
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	6	7	6
Total K–12	1214	1137	1123

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	28	24	24
Grade 8			
English		27	29
Mathematics		31	25
Science		31	25
Social Studies		29	25
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	868	71%	811	71%	790	70%
Reduced-Price Lunch	155	13%	125	11%	128	11%
Student Stability*		94%		99%		98%
Limited English Proficient	214	18%	214	19%	188	17%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	3	0%	4	0%
Black or African American	116	10%	90	8%	81	7%
Hispanic or Latino	102	8%	97	9%	94	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	559	46%	524	46%	530	47%
White	434	36%	423	37%	414	37%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	5	N/A	32	3%	11	1%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	71	171	237
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	6%	15%	7%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	1	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	1%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	4	6	6
Percentage of Total	5%	8%	8%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	60%	53%	22%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	77	83	78
Total Other Professional Staff	5	8	8
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	0	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to all New York State public schools)
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not be Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Acaden	een identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, nic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	measure for two consecutive years is considered a School
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	 School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifie is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following	

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

2 School Accountability

School P.S. 206 J.F. LAMB SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #22


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	🔺 G	▲ Good Standing						
	Elemen	ntary/Middle Level	Secondary Level					
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA					
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math					
	Science	Good Standing	Graduation Rate					
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the School Received T	tle I Part A Funding					

litle i Part A Funding	Years the School Received little i Part A Funding					
	2004-05 2005-06 2006-0		2006–07	•07		
	YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	V	v	V					
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	 	 		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
White	<	✓	••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	V	 ✓ 						
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<	 ✓ 	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✔ 8 of 8	🖌 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1					



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (701:687)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	100%	 ✓ 	181	118		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (65:64)	~	~	100%	~	164	110		
Hispanic or Latino (48:47)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	160	108	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (324:319)	~	~	99%	~	184	116		••••
White (263:256)	<	<	100%	~	186	115	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (55:54)	~	~	100%	~	144	109		
Limited English Proficient (79:74)	~	~	99%	~	147	111		
Economically Disadvantaged (535:522)	~	~	100%	~	182	117		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students errolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07	
All Students (704:685)	~	~	100%	 ✓ 	186	82			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (68:63)	~	 	100%	~	162	74			
Hispanic or Latino (48:47)	<	<	100%	 	153	72	••• •••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (325:321)	~	<	100%	~	195	80	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
White (262:253)	<	<	100%	~	186	79	••• •••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (54:53)	~	 	100%	~	172	73			
Limited English Proficient (79:75)	~	<	100%	~	163	75	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (541:523)	~	<	100%	~	185	81	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (259:249)	nuous Enrollment) ¹ Status Qualification Criterion Tested Criterion Index Standard zoo nts (259:249) Qualified Qualified Index Standard zoo idian or Alaska Native -								
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (32:31)		_	-	-	~	165	100		
Hispanic or Latino (23:23)		-	-	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (114:112)				100%	~	194	100		
White (89:82)	• ••••	Qualified	~	99%	~	183	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (25:24)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (24:23)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (196:188)		Qualified	~	100%	~	182	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	of 1							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at o	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	94%		110
Grade 4	90%		144
Grade 5	88%		124
Grade 6	86%		93
Grade 7	82%		72
Grade 8	59%		93
Mathematics			
Grade 3	96%		133
Grade 4	94%		156
Grade 5	85%		131
Grade 6	89%		101
Grade 7	87%		82
Grade 8	64%		101
Science			
Grade 4	91%		157
Grade 8	71%		101

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 1

All schools in this group are New York City elementary level schools. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for elementary level schools in this district.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo		<u>al(a)</u> .		Similar Sc			
		2-4	scoring at lev 3–4	et(s): 4		2-4	scoring at level(3–4	s): 4	
Mean Score: 691	Range:	616-780	650-7		30-780	Ζ-4	5-4	4	
	Range.		000-1	80 I	30-100				
	100%	100%	94%			95%	700/		
							79%		
				1	3%			10%	
Number of Students:		110	103	1					
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
		Total		e scoring at	level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		110	100%	94%	13%				
Female		51	100%	94%	18%				
Male		59	100%	93%	8%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American		3							
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	_	_				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		56	100%	98%	18%				
Pacific Islander									<u>-</u>
White		48	100%	94%	6%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		6	100%	50%	17%				
General-Education Students		100	100%	94%	14%				
Students with Disabilities		10	100%	90%	0%				
English Proficient		109	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient		1			_				
Economically Disadvantaged		88	100%	93%	15%				
Not Disadvantaged		22	100%	95%	5%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		110	100%	94%	13%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	25	21	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo		1/)		Similar Sc			
		Percentage s	-				scoring at level(
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 699	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770				
		98%	96%			96%	0.00/		
	100%						88%		
				4	8%				
								40%	
Number of Students:		131	128		5 4				
Number of Students.		131	120		J4				
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		133	98%	96%	48 %				
Female		59	98%	98%	53%				
Male		74	99%	95%	45%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		2	-						
Hispanic or Latino		5	_		_				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe		72	99%	97%	56%				
Pacific Islander		· -					t was not si	an in 2004	05
White			100%	96%	43%	inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		7	86%	86%	14%				
General-Education Students		121	98%	98%	50%				
Students with Disabilities		12	100%	83%	33%				
English Proficient		107	99%	98%	55%				
Limited English Proficient		26	96%	88%	19%				
Economically Disadvantaged		109	98%	97%	48%				
Not Disadvantaged		24	100%	92%	50%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		133	98%	96%	48%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0					t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo Percentage s		el(s).		Similar S	chools scoring at level	(5).	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Maan Caara (07	Denera					2 7	5 4		
Mean Score: 687	Range:	612-775	650-7	(15)	16-775				
	100%	97%	90%			94%			
							77%		
				1	9%			13%	
								10%	
Number of Students:		140	129	2	27				
Results by		2005–06 S					5 School Year		
Student Gro	un	Total	-	e scoring at		Total	_	e scoring at leve	
	чр	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		144	97%	90%	19%				
Female		62	98%	89%	24%			•••••	
Male		82	96%	90%	15%				
American Indian or Alaska		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••							
Black or African Americar	ו	7	100%						
Hispanic or Latino			83%	67%			ssessments fo		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	/Other	76	100%	95%	21%		iddle-level En nd mathematio	5 5 5	Je
White							istered in 200		m
Small Group Totals		49	9070	00 /0	10 /0	these	assessments o	annot be dire	ectly
General-Education Studer)tc	128	98%	94%	21%	compa	ared to results	from previou	usly
Students with Disabilities		16	88%	56%	0%	· ····· admin	istered assess	ments.	
English Proficient		141	- 00		-				
Limited English Proficient	•••••					• • • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantag		101	98%	92%	18%				
Not Disadvantaged			95%		21%	• ••••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • •
Migrant			5570	0470	21/0				
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••			•••••	••••••	

NOTES

Not Migrant

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

144

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2–4 3–4 4				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	10	8	6	N/A	9	8	7	N/A

90%

19%

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

97%

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo		()		Similar Sch		<u> </u>	
		-	coring at level			_	coring at level(
	_	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 701	Range:	622-800	650-80	0 7	02-800				
	100%	99%	94%			95%	85%		
	100%						05%		
				4	8%			38%	
								38%	
Number of Students:		155	147	7	'5				
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Gro	up	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		156	99%	94%	48 %				
Female		65	98%	97%	49%				
Male		91	100%	92%	47%				
American Indian or Alaska	Native								
Black or African American		7	100%	86%	14%				
Hispanic or Latino		12	100%	92%	25%		sessments fo		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/	Other	85	100%	99%	58%		Idle-level Eng	5	age
Pacific Islander							l mathematic tered in 200		rom
White		52	98%		42%		ssessments c		
Small Group Totals		139	99%	94%	53%		ed to results		-
General-Education Student	ts						tered assessi	•	,
Students with Disabilities		17	100%	94%	6%				
English Proficient		143	100%	94%	51%	• •••••			
Limited English Proficient	1	13	92%	92%	15%				
Economically Disadvantage	ea	112	99%	95%	49%	• ••••••	••••		
Not Disadvantaged		44	100%	93%	45%				
Migrant		450	0.00%		400/	• •••••	••••		•••••
Not Migrant		156	99%	94%	48%				

NOTES

Other Assessments	2005-06 S e	chool Year	2004–05 School Year						
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Scho		1/)		Similar Sch		1/)	
		-	scoring at lev			Percentage s	_		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 82	Range:	45-100	65-10	30 OC	85-100				
	100%	99% 98%	91% 9		^{5%} 46%	98% 95%	87% 81		[%] 42%
 ■ 2005-06 2004-05 									
Number of Students:		155 125	143 1	14	86 59				
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r	
_		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		157	99 %	91 %	55%	127	98%	90 %	46 %
Female		67	99%	94%	57%	57	96%	86%	40%
Male		90	99%	89%	53%	70	100%	93%	51%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		7	100%	71%	29%	5	100%	80%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		12	100%	67%	17%	8	88%	88%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	87	100%	97%	67%	72	99%	89%	46%
White		51	96%	90%	47%	42	100%	93%	62%
Small Group Totals								••••••	•••••
General-Education Students		140	99%	94%	59%	121	98%	92%	49%
Students with Disabilities		17	100%	65%	18%	6	100%	50%	0%
English Proficient		144	99%	94%	59%	117	100%	95%	48%
Limited English Proficient		13	92%	62%	8%	10	80%	30%	30%
Economically Disadvantaged		114	98%	94%	54%	101	98%	89%	43%
Not Disadvantaged		43	100%	84%	56%	26	100%	92%	62%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		157	99%	91%	55%	127	98%	90%	46%

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo					Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	-	el(s):			coring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 683	Range:	608-795	650-7	95	711-795							
		100%	88%			96%						
	100%		00%				76%					
				2	4%			100/				
				2				19%				
Number of Students:		124	109		30							
			ah a al Ma a				Cabaal Vaar					
Results by		2005-06 S			t lovel(c).		School Year					
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le				
All Students		124	2-4 100%	3-4 88%	4 24%	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
Female		54	100%	83%	30%							
Male	•••••		100%	91%	20%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••			
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	10	10070	51/0	2070							
Black or African American	•••••	5	100%	80%	0%	• • • • • • • •						
Hispanic or Latino		7	100%	71%	29%							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1000/		220/							
Pacific Islander		71	100%	89%	23%							
White		41	100%	90%	29%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals												
General-Education Students		118	100%	89%	25%							
Students with Disabilities		6	100%	67%	17%							
English Proficient		121		<u>-</u>								
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-							
Economically Disadvantaged		92	100%	87%	24%							
Not Disadvantaged		32	100%	91%	25%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		124	100%	88%	24%							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 This test was not given in 2004-05. (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 8 6 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo		-1/-)		Similar Sc		(-)	
		Percentage s 2–4	3-4		1	2-4	scoring at level(3–4		
Mean Score: 691	Dango	619-780	650-7		+ 599-780	2-4	5-4	4	
	Range:	619-180	650-7	80 6	599-180				
	100%	95%	85%			94%	79%		
							19%		
				4	2%			220/	
								32%	
Number of Students:		124	111		55				
Results by		2005–06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
Student Group		Total	Percentage		t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at le		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		131	95%	85%	42%				
Female		58	93%	84%	33%				
Male		73	96%	85%	49%				
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive								
Black or African American		5	80%	40%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino		10	70%	30%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	75	97%	95%	49%				
Pacific Islander			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				st was not ai	on in 2004	05
White			98%	85%	44%		st was not giv	/en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		124	0.6%	000/	4.40/				
General-Education Students		124	96%	88%	44%				
Students with Disabilities		7	71%	29%	14%				
English Proficient	•••••	120	99%	89%	46%				
Limited English Proficient		11	45%	36%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	•••••		95%	86%	44%				
Not Disadvantaged		32	94%	81%	34%				
Migrant	•••••								
Not Migrant		131	95%	85%	42%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This tes	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo					Similar Schools				
		-	coring at leve			_	scoring at level				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 679	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785						
		100%	0.004			95%					
	100%		86%								
							63%				
				2	2%						
				2	2 70			14%			
Number of Students:		93	80		20						
							Cabaal Vaar				
Results by		2005–06 S	Percentage		t lovol(c);		School Year	scoring at le	vol(c):		
Student Group)	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4 teven(s).	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	ver(s). 4		
∎ All Students		93	100%	86%	22%	Testeu	۲-4	5-4	4		
Female		42	100%	90%	29%						
Male	•••••		100%	82%	16%	• •••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	••••		
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive										
Black or African American	•••••	16	100%		38%	• • • • • • • • •					
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	5	100%	80%	20%	• •••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	33	100%	82%	12%						
Pacific Islander			100%	82%	12% 						
White		39	100%	90%	23%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		89	-	-	-						
Students with Disabilities		4	-	-	-						
English Proficient		90	-								
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		69	100%	88%	14%						
Not Disadvantaged		24	100%	79%	42%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		93	100%	86%	22%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	6	6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol scoring at leve	1(c):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3–4		Ļ	2-4	3–4	4				
Mean Score: 686	Range:	616-780	650-78		• 596-780	2 4	5 4	4				
	nange.		050 10	00 0	50 100							
	100%	100%	89%			91%						
							69%					
				3	5%							
				3	J 90			22%				
Number of Students:		101	90		35							
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		101	100%	89%	35%							
Female		45	100%	87%	36%							
Male		56	100%	91%	34%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e											
Black or African American		18	100%	89%	17%							
Hispanic or Latino		5	100%	80%	0%							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		37	100%	89%	32%							
Pacific Islander					JZ /0	· •······ -· · ·		·	0.5			
White		41	100%	90%	49%	I his te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals												
General-Education Students			-		-							
Students with Disabilities		4	-	-	-							
English Proficient		91	100%	95%	38%							
Limited English Proficient		10	100%	40%	0%							
Economically Disadvantaged		78	100%	86%	29%							
Not Disadvantaged		23	100%	100%	52%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		101	100%	89%	35%							

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo		1(-)		Similar Scl		-)	
		-	coring at leve 3–4			2-4	coring at level(3–4		
	_	2-4		4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 673	Range:	600-790	650-79	90 7	12-790				
	100%	99%	0.20/			93%			
	100%		82%						
							57%		
				1	8%				
								7%	
Number of Students:		71	59	-	L3				
Decults by		2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
Results by		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students		72	99%	82%	18%				
Female		33	97%	85%	27%				
Male		39	100%	79%	10%		••••	••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		10	-		-				
Hispanic or Latino		4	_						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		26	100%	77%	19%				
Pacific Islander						This tos	t was not aiv	on in 2004	05
White		32	97%	84%	22%	· ·····	t was not giv	2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		14	100%	86%	7%				
General-Education Students		66	98%	85%	18%				
Students with Disabilities		6	100%	50%	17%				
English Proficient		71							
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged		51	98%	80%	14%				
Not Disadvantaged		21	100%	86%	29%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		72	99%	82%	18%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 This test was not given in 2004-05. (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 7 7 7 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 7

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This Schoo		1/2)		Similar Schools					
	•					-				
	2-4	3-4	2	ł	2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	611-800	650-80	00 6	593-800						
	99%	070/			90%					
100%		87%			50%					
						58%				
			3	0%						
							12%			
	81	71		25						
	-			-						
			-		Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••				•••••		
	45	100%	87%	29%						
ve										
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				• •••••					
		100%	60%	20%						
r	29	100%	90%	45%						
	37	97%		27%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
•••••				2170	• • • • • • • •	-				
	76	99%	87%	33%	_					
•••••	6	100%		0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	74	99%								
•••••	8	100%	75%	13%	• • • • • • • • •					
	61	98%	85%	30%						
•••••	21	100%	90%	33%						
•••••	82	99%	 87%	30%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••		
	Range: 100%	Percentage si 2-4 Range: 611-800 99% 100% 81 2005-06 Sc Total Tested 82 37 45 Ve 11 5 r 29 37 76 6 74 8 6 74 8 61 21	Percentage scoring at leve 2-4 3-4 Range: 611-800 650-80 99% 87% 99% 100% 99% 87% 100% 99% 87% 100% 99% 87% 100% 99% 87% 100% 81 71 2005-06 Scbool Year 70 Total Percentage Tested 2-4 82 99% 37 97% 45 100% ve 11 100% r 29 100% 37 97% 37 99% 37 97% 6 100% 37 76 99% 37 76 99% 8 100% 8 100% 61 98% 21 100% 61 98%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 611-800 650-800 6 99% 87% 100% 87% 100% 100% 87% 100% 100% 100% 87% 100% 100% 81 71 100% 10% 100% 100%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 99% 87% 30% 87% 100% 99% 87% 30% 100% 81 71 25 Ecosp-o6 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 82 99% 87% 30% 37 97% 86% 32% 45 100% 87% 29% ve 11 100% 73% 9% r 29 100% 60% 20% r 29 100% 87% 33% of 100% 73% 9% 8 a 100% 83% 0% 32% a 100% 83% 32% 3% a 100% 75% 13% 61 98% 85% 30% a 100% 75% 13% 61 98	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 90% 100% 99% 87% 90% 90% 100% 99% 87% 30% 90% 81 71 25 2004-05 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 1 71 25 70tal Tested 37 97% 86% 32% 70tal 37 97% 86% 32% 70% 45 100% 87% 29% 76 76 99% 87% 33% 76 76 99% 87% 33% 76 76 99% 87% 33% 76 76 99% 87% 33% 76 76 99% 87% 33% 76 76 99% 85% 30% <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 90% 58% 100% 99% 87% 30% 90% 58% 58% 100% 99% 87% 30% 90% 58%<td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 100% 99% 87% 90% 99% 87% 30% 12% 81 71 25 2004-05 58% 2005-06 Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 37 97% 86% 32% </td></td>	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 90% 58% 100% 99% 87% 30% 90% 58% 58% 100% 99% 87% 30% 90% 58% <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 100% 99% 87% 90% 99% 87% 30% 12% 81 71 25 2004-05 58% 2005-06 Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 37 97% 86% 32% </td>	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 100% 99% 87% 90% 99% 87% 30% 12% 81 71 25 2004-05 58% 2005-06 Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 37 97% 86% 32%		

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School Percentage sco	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 657	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
	100%	97%	59%	3%	94%	50%	4%	
Number of Students:		90	55	3				

Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	93	97 %	59 %	3%					
Female	48	98%	63%	4%					
Male	45	96%	56%	2%			•••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	24	96%	38%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino	10 – – – New assessments for ele								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	96%	64%	8%		iddle-level Er Id mathemati	5 5	age	
White	33	100%	76%	3%	admini	administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be direc			
Small Group Totals	11	91%	45%	0%					
General-Education Students	85	98%	62%	4%		red to results	•	iously	
Students with Disabilities	8	88%	25%	0%	admini	stered assess	sments.		
English Proficient	89	-	-	-					
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged	74	97%	59%	4%					
Not Disadvantaged	19	95%	58%	0%		••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	93	97%	59%	3%				• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Not Migrant	93	97%	59%	3%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent New York State English as a Second 7 9 Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 7 6 N/A 9 8 N/A Grade 8

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo Percentage s		(a)(a)		Similar Sch	nools coring at level(ch	
		2-4	3-4	4 (s).		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 661	Range:	616-775	650-	775 7	01-775				
	100%	94%				87%			
	20070		64%	1	1%		54%	11%	
Number of Students:		95	65		.1				
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		101	94%	64%	11%				
Female		53	91%	64%	11%				
Male		48	98%	65%	10%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	9	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American		25	92%	48%	4%				
Hispanic or Latino		11	-	-	-	New ass	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		27	100%	100%	26%	and middle-level English langua arts and mathematics were			age
White		37	95%	62%	5%		tered in 200		
Small Group Totals		12	83%	25%	8%		ssessments c		
Seneral-Education Students		93	94%	67%	12%	compar	compared to results from previously		

General-Education Students	93	94%	67%	12%		 compared to results from previously administered assessments. 		
Students with Disabilities	8	100%	38%	0%				
English Proficient	90	96%	64%	11%				
Limited English Proficient	11	82%	64%	9%				
Economically Disadvantaged	82	93%	63%	12%				
Not Disadvantaged	19	100%	68%	5%			•	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	101	94%	64%	11%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Total		oring at leve			
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Scho Percentage s	ol scoring at leve	el(s):		Similar Sch Percentage so		l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 72	Range:	44-100	65-10	8 00	5-100				
 2005-06 2004-05 Number of Students: 	100%	97% 98% 98 102	71% 7	2	38% 0% 20 39	91% 90%	56% 58		% 15% ■
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r	
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		101	97%	71%	20%	104	98 %	75%	38%
Female		53	96%	66%	13%	43	100%	77%	28%
Male	••••••	48	98%	77%	27%	61	97%	74%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	е	1	-	-	-				


American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	25	100%	64%	4%	17	94%	82%	29%
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	-	-	12	100%	92%	42%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	100%	85%	41%	33	97%	76%	42%
White	37	92%	76%	19%	42	100%	67%	36%
Small Group Totals	12	100%	42%	8%				•••••
General-Education Students	93	97%	72%	22%	93	99%	78%	40%
Students with Disabilities	8	100%	63%	0%	11	91%	45%	18%
English Proficient	90	100%	77%	21%	90	99%	81%	43%
Limited English Proficient	11	73%	27%	9%	14	93%	36%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	82	96%	70%	20%	95	98%	75%	36%
Not Disadvantaged	19	100%	79%	21%	9	100%	78%	56%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	101	97%	71%	20%	104	98%	75%	38%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 Sc				2004-05 School Year			
-	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at		oring at level	level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
Regents Science	0				0			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	0	17	59	45	121	688	
Feb 2004	2	35	73	39	149	672	
Feb 2003	0	42	57	36	135	669	


	This School			Similar S	chools	
	Percentage so	coring at level(s)	:	Percentag	el(s):	
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	99% 100% 95%	58% 51% 43%	8% 10% 3%			

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score			
Jan 2005	1	39	47	8	95	704			
Jan 2004	0	33	27	7	67	702			
Jan 2003	6	59	46	3	114	692			

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number of students scoring at each performance level:				el:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	0	5	39	83	127	692	
May 2004	3	17	84	62	166	670	
May 2003	2	29	53	60	144	676	

	This Schoo	ι		Similar S	chools		
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882				
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	98% 100% 93	9% 79% 80% 76	% 19% 16% 16%				

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score		
May 2005	2	20	62	20	104	739		
May 2004	0	15	49	12	76	736		
May 2003	8	21	72	19	120	733		