

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School P.S. 43 District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #27 School ID 342700010043 Principal JOHN QUATTROCCHI Telephone (718) 327-5860 Grades PK-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning

2 Review School Accountability Status.

environment.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	48	40	35
Kindergarten	138	121	130
Grade 1	134	133	136
Grade 2	168	128	125
Grade 3	152	156	126
Grade 4	130	147	153
Grade 5	143	140	155
Grade 6	140	128	137
Ungraded Elementary	67	56	75
Grade 7	122	125	125
Grade 8	52	99	104
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	28	22	21
Total K-12	1274	1255	1287

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	30	21	19
Grade 8			
English		25	27
Mathematics		22	26
Science		25	23
Social Studies		25	25
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	979	77%	981	78%	1098	85%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	137	11%	132	11%	104	8%	
Student Stability*		100%		99%		97%	
Limited English Proficient	80	6%	80	6%	93	7%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	1%	4	0%	8	1%	
Black or African American	835	66%	820	65%	861	67%	
Hispanic or Latino	362	28%	363	29%	357	28%	
Asian or Native	29	2%	30	2%	34	3%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	41	3%	38	3%	27	2%	

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		92%		91%	
Student Suspensions	6	N/A	13	1%	26	2%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	102	215	400
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	15%	25%	4%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	5	3	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	5%	3%	2%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	16	22	5
Percentage of Total	15%	21%	5%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	22%	19%	29%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	109	106	109
Total Other Professional Staff	17	19	16
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	3	3	3
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I fur	New York State Statusnds)(Applies to all New York State public schools)
	ns not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accour measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Impro (Year 1) for the following year.	measure for two consecutive years is considered a School
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does make AYP on the accountability measure for which i identified is considered a School in Need of Improve (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	t was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does make AYP on the accountability measure for which i identified is considered a School in Corrective Actio following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	t was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AV on the accountability measure for which it was iden is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I fu	tified make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified r is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not m AYP on the accountability measure for which it was is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	dentified does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make a the accountability measure for which it was identified considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the for	ed is

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

2 School Accountability

School P.S. 43 District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #27


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	🔨 G	▲ Good Standing					
	Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA				
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the School Received T	tle I Part A Funding				

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2004-05	2006-07	6–07			
	YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	 Image: A set of the set of the					
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	✓	<	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	✓	<	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
White	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	~						
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1					



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (843:818)	~	 	99%	 Image: A start of the start of	147	118		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (576:561)	~	~	99%	~	148	117		
Hispanic or Latino (217:210)	<	 ✓ 	99%	~	143	114	••• •••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (26:24)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (18:17)		_	-	-	-	-	••• •••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (118:113)	✓ SH	~	97%	√ SH	95	112	60	106
Limited English Proficient (35:35)	<	-	-	~	123	106	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (667:648)	~	~	100%	~	154	118	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students errolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (850:806)	 	~	100%		147	82		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (585:555)	 	 	100%	~	144	81		
Hispanic or Latino (218:207)	<	<	99%	~	151	78	•••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (24:22)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (17:16)	-	–	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (116:111)	 	~	99%	~	88	76		
Limited English Proficient (34:33)	<	-	-	~	148	69		
Economically Disadvantaged (671:639)	<	 	100%	~	154	82	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (267:246)	V	Qualified	 ✓ 	98%	v	158	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (183:170)		Qualified	~	98%	~	160	100		
Hispanic or Latino (68:62)		Qualified	<	99%	~	150	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:7)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (4:4)		–	-	-	-	-	-		-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (36:29)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (15:15)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (215:201)		Qualified	~	100%	~	163	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	76%		127
Grade 4	60%		148
Grade 5	58%		169
Grade 6	37%		140
Grade 7	40%		118
Grade 8	44%		111
Mathematics			
Grade 3	89%		131
Grade 4	69%		152
Grade 5	60%		172
Grade 6	42%		142
Grade 7	38%		123
Grade 8	40%		111
Science			
Grade 4	74%		156
Grade 8	45%		104

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 3

All schools in this group are New York City elementary level schools. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for elementary level schools in this district.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		<u>This Schoo</u>				Similar Schools					
		Percentage se					scoring at level(
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 673	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780						
	100%	95%				85%					
	100%		76%			03%					
							52%				
				Ę	5%			3%			
Number of Students:		121	96		6						
			h a al Ma au				Cabaal Vaar				
Results by	2005-06 S o					School Year					
Student Grou	ID	Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le			
All Students	- F	Tested 127	2-4	3-4 76%	4 5%	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
Female		70	95% 96%	80%	5% 6%						
Male		57	95%	70%	4%	• ••••••	•••••	•••••			
American Indian or Alaska	Native	1	-	-							
Black or African American		. 80	93%	76%	 5%	• • • • • • • • •					
Hispanic or Latino			100%	74%	3%	• •••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	other					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Pacific Islander		2	-	-	-						
White		5	-	-	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		8	100%	75%	13%						
General-Education Students	5	118	97%	78%	5%						
Students with Disabilities		9	78%	44%	0%						
English Proficient		118	95%	76%	5%						
Limited English Proficient		9	100%	67%	0%						
Economically Disadvantage	d	107	96%	78%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged		20	90%	65%	5%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		127	95%	76%	5%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	4	1	This test	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	2	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo Percentage se		1(c).		Similar Sc	hools scoring at level(c).		
		2-4	3-4		1	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 681	Range:	624-770	650-7		703-770					
	100%	95%	89%			88%				
	100%			2	23%		68%	16%		
Number of Students:		124	117		30					
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		131	95%	89 %	23%					
Female		70	96%	90%	27%					
Male		61	93%	89%	18%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	1								
Black or African American		83	92%	87%	18%					
Hispanic or Latino		40	100%	95%	33%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		2	-	-	-					
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5				This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8	100%	88%	25%					
General-Education Students		123	95%	90%	24%					
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8	88%	75%	0%					
English Proficient		121	94%	90%	24%					
Limited English Proficient		10	100%	80%	10%					
Economically Disadvantaged		111	95%	90%	23%					
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	20	95%	85%	20%			••••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	131	95%	89%	23%			•••••		

NOTES

Other	2005–06 Sc	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	5		Total Number scoring at leve		l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	6	6	4	4	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 659	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775				
	100%	91%	60%	7%	85%	49%	<u>3%</u>	
Number of Students:		135	89	10				

2005-06 \$	School Yea	r	2004–05 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4			
148	91%	60%	7%				
67	93%	64%	10%				
81	90%	57%	4%				
1	-	-	-				
102	91%	63%	8%				
39	90%	49%	0%	New assessments for elementary-			
5	_	_	_	and middle-level English language			
J				arts and mathematics were			
1	-	-	-	administered in 2006. Results from			
7	100%	86%	29%	these assessments cannot be directly			
131	95%	65%	8%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.			
17	59%	24%	0%	administered assessments.			
140	93%	62%	7%				
8	63%	25%	0%				
124	94%	63%	7%				
24	75%	46%	4%				
148	91%	60%	7%				
	Total Tested 148 67 81 1 102 39 5 5 1 1 7 131 17 140 8 124 24	Total Tested Percentag 2-4 148 91% 67 93% 81 90% 1 - 102 91% 39 90% 5 - 1 - 7 100% 131 95% 140 93% 8 63% 124 94% 24 75%	Tested 2-4 3-4 148 91% 60% 67 93% 64% 81 90% 57% 1 - - 102 91% 63% 39 90% 49% 5 - - 1 - - 102 91% 63% 39 90% 49% 5 - - 1 - - 7 100% 86% 131 95% 65% 17 59% 24% 140 93% 62% 8 63% 25% 124 94% 63% 24 75% 46%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 148 91% 60% 7% 67 93% 64% 10% 81 90% 57% 4% 1 - - - 102 91% 63% 8% 39 90% 49% 0% 5 - - - 1 - - - 102 91% 63% 8% 39 90% 49% 0% 5 - - - 1 - - - 102 91% 63% 8% 39 90% 49% 0% 11 - - - 7 100% 86% 29% 131 95% 65% 8% 140 93% 62% 7% 8 63% 25% 0% 124 94%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S a	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	3	-	-	N/A	3	-	-	N/A

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo Percentage s	ol scoring at leve	el(s):		Similar So Percentage	chools scoring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 666	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800				
	100%	92%	69%		8%	87%	63%	14%	
lumber of Students:		140	105		28				
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		152	92%	69 %	18 %				
emale		68	90%	72%	22%				
Male			94%	67%	15%		•••••	••••••	•••••

Male	84	94%	67%	15%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	105	93%	67%	18%	
Hispanic or Latino	39	87%	72%	15%	New assessments for elementary-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were
White	1	-	-	-	administered in 2006. Results from
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	38%	these assessments cannot be directly
General-Education Students	136	96%	74%	21%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.
Students with Disabilities	16	56%	25%	0%	administered assessments.
English Proficient	142	93%	71%	20%	
Limited English Proficient	10	80%	40%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	129	95%	73%	19%	
Not Disadvantaged	23	74%	48%	13%	
Migrant					
Not Migrant	152	92%	69%	18%	

NOTES

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	_	-	-	3	-	-	-

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo Percentage s					Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 72	Range:	45-100	65-10		5-100						
 ■ 2005-06 2004-05 	100%	96% 97%	74% 8		27%	93% 86%	66% 55	5% 20'	[%] 14%		
Number of Students:		149 154	115 1	26	24 42						
Results by		2005-06 S e				2004-05 \$	ichool Yea	ır			
Student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		156	96%	74%	15%	158	97 %	80%	27%		
Female		72	94%	79%	14%	78	99%	81%	22%		
Male		84	96%	69%	17%	80	96%	79%	31%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	1									
Black or African American		105	95%	75%	17%	109	97%	79%	26%		
Hispanic or Latino		42	95%	69%	10%	39	100%	85%	31%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		7	-	-	-	6	-	-	-		
White		1	-	-	-	4	-	-			
Small Group Totals	••••••	9	100%	78%	22%	10	90%	70%	20%		
General-Education Students		139	99%	79%	17%	139	99%	86%	28%		
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	17	65%	29%	0%	19	84%	32%	16%		
English Proficient		145	96%	76%	17%	153	97%	80%	27%		
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11	91%	45%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged		132	98%	78%	17%	146	97%	78%	25%		
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	24	79%	50%	4%	12	100%	100%	42%		
Migrant						1	-	_	-		
Not Migrant	•••••	156	96%	74%		157	_	_	_		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	3	-	_	_

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage s				_	-				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 649	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 73	11-795						
	100%	92%				89%					
	100%										
			58%				47%				
				4	%			4%			
Number of Students:		156	98	6	5						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		169	92%	58%	4%						
Female		85	92%	56%	4%						
Male		84	93%	60%	4%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American		119	92%	56%	5%						
Hispanic or Latino		38	95%	61%	0%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	7	100%	71%	0%						
Pacific Islander		•				·····					
White		4				inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		5	60%	60%	0%						
General-Education Students		141	99%	66%	4%						
Students with Disabilities		28	61%	18%	0%						
English Proficient		168			_						
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		133	98%	65%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged		36	69%	31%	0%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		169	92%	58%	4%						

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			This tost y	t was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	۷	_		-		was not yiv		,4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo				Similar Sc			
		Percentage so				_	coring at level		
		2-4	3-4	2		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 657	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	599-780				
	100%	88%				0.40/			
	100%		600/			84%			
			60%				52%		
				1	0%			10%	
Number of Students:		151	104		18				
Results by		2005-06 S a	:hool Year			2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage		t level(s):	Total		e scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		172	88%	60%	10%				
Female		87	90%	54%	5%				
Male		85	86%	67%	16%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	1	_						
Black or African American		122	88%	58%	7%				
Hispanic or Latino		39	90%	64%	18%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		7	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		••••••		This tos	t was not ai	on in 2004	05
White		3	.				t was not giv	/en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		11	82%	73%	18%				
General-Education Students		144	95%	68%	11%				
Students with Disabilities		28	50%	21%	7%				
English Proficient		170	_						
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged		135	95%	68%	11%				
Not Disadvantaged		37	62%	32%	8%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		172	88%	60%	10%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	2004-05 School Year							
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.	

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo Percentage se				Similar Sc	hools scoring at level(c).	
		2-4	3-4	۷. دري.	Ļ	2-4	3-4	s). 4	
Mean Score: 639	Range:	598-785	650-7		05-785				
		93%				0.00%			
	100%	5570				88%			
			37%				39%		
				3	3%			3%	
Number of Students:		130	52		4				
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
-		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		140	93%	37%	3%				
Female		59	98%	36%	5%				
Male		81	89%	38%	1%		•••••	••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e								
Black or African American		96	92%	36%	1%				
Hispanic or Latino		37	95%	38%	8%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		4	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander						This tos	t was not all	an in 2004	05
White							st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		7	100%	43%	0%				
General-Education Students		124	98%	41%	3%				
Students with Disabilities		16	50%	6%	0%				
English Proficient		140	93%	37%	3%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		110	98%	40%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged		30	73%	27%	3%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		140	93%	37%	3%				

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This tost y	was not giv	on in 200	4-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	۷۲						200	4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):					Similar Schools					
		-	-				scoring at level(
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 643	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 69	96-780							
	100%	84%				81%						
						81%						
			42%				45%					
				5	%			5%				
Number of Students:		119	59	-	7							
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		142	84%	42%	5 %							
Female		59	83%	34%	2%							
Male		83	84%	47%	7%							
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve											
Black or African American		99	81%	41%	5%							
Hispanic or Latino		38	89%	37%	5%							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	2	_		_							
Pacific Islander		۔۲	-		_							
White		3				This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.			
Small Group Totals		5	100%	80%	0%							
General-Education Students		125	88%	46%	6%							
Students with Disabilities		17	53%	12%	0%							
English Proficient		142	84%	42%	5%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		109	86%	41%	6%							
Not Disadvantaged		33	76%	42%	3%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		142	84%	42%	5%							

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Total Number scoring at		oring at leve	l(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent						Ū.		

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo Percentage so		ما(د).		<u>Similar Sc</u>	hools scoring at level(c).	
		2-4	3–4	et(s): 4	L	2-4	3–4	s): 4	
Mean Score: 642	Range:	600-790	650-7		12-790		0 1		
		96%							
	100%	5070				90%			
			40%				38%		
				-	3%			2%	
Number of Chudente		112	47					2 70	
Number of Students:		113	47		3				
Results by	2005–06 Sc	hool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		118	96%	40 %	3%				
Female		55	95%	42%	4%				
Male		63	97%	38%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		86	98%	47%	2%				
Hispanic or Latino		26	92%	23%	4%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	4	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		·····				······			05
White		2					st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		6	83%	17%	0%				
General-Education Students		104	98%	43%	3%				
Students with Disabilities		14	79%	14%	0%				
English Proficient		117	_						
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged		96	98%	42%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged		22	86%	32%	0%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		118	96%	40%	3%				

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			This tost	was not giv	in 200	1 05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	د 	_		-	This test	was not yiv		
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	4	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

			This School				Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 639	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 6	93-800						
	100%	86%				82%					
	100,0					82%					
			38%				38%				
			50%				5070				
				5	%			4%			
Number of Students:		106	47		5						
Results by 2005-0		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		123	86%	38%	5%						
Female		54	87%	43%	4%						
Male		69	86%	35%	6%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		88	88%	36%	5%						
Hispanic or Latino		28	86%	36%	4%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•	5	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander					•••••				0.5		
White		2	-			This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		7	71%	71%	14%						
General-Education Students		110	89%	43%	5%						
Students with Disabilities		13	62%	0%	0%						
English Proficient		118	86%	38%	5%						
Limited English Proficient		5	100%	40%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged		100	90%	41%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged		23	70%	26%	4%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		123	86%	38%	5%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 Se	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol coring at leve	l(s)·			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-	-	3–4			
Mean Score: 644	Range:	602-790	650-79	90 7:	L5-790						
	100%	92%				879	%				
			44%	19	<u>%</u>			30%	1%		
Number of Students:		102	49	1	<u>_</u>						
Results by		2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year					
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total Percentage scoring at leve					
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	ested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		111	92%	44%	1%						
Female		60	95%	47%	0%						
Male		51	88%	41%	2%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	2									
Black or African American		77	92%	45%	1%						
Hispanic or Latino		27	89%	37%	0%		New asse	essments for	r element	ary-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othei Pacific Islander		2	-	-	-			lle-level Eng mathematic		uage	
White		3	–	-	–			ered in 2000			
Small Group Totals		7	100%	57%	0%			essments c		-	
General-Education Students		94	97%	50%	1%		compared to results from previously				
Students with Disabilities		17	65%	12%	0%		administered assessments.				
English Proficient		107	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient		4	_	_							
Economically Disadvantaged		85	96%	47%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		26	77%	35%	4%						

Not Migrant

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

111

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			<u>.</u>
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				3	-	-	N/A

44%

1%

92%

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		<u>This Schoo</u>	l			Similar Schools					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 639	Range:	616-775	650-7	75	701-775						
	100%	86%									
						76%					
			40%				2 40/				
							34%				
					2%			4%			
Number of Students:		96	44		2						
• • • •		2005-06 S o	chool Voar			2004-05	School Year				
Results by		Percentage		t lovel(s):			scoring at le	vol(s).			
Student Group		Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4 vet		
 All Students	•	111	86%	40%	2%	Testeu	2 7	5 4	4		
Female		60	90%	37%	2%						
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	51	82%	43%	2%		••••	••••••	•••••		
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative	2	-	-	-						
Black or African American		77	86%	39%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		27	85%	37%	0%	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	ner	2	_	_	_		dle-level Eng		age		
Pacific Islander		ے 					d mathematic				
White							tered in 2000 ssessments c				
Small Group Totals		7	100%	57%	29%		ed to results		-		
General-Education Students			98%	46%	2%		tered assessr	•	ousty		
Students with Disabilities		17	24%	6%	0%						
English Proficient		107	_		_						
Limited English Proficient		4	-	_	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		85	98%	44%	1%						
Not Disadvantaged		26	50%	27%	4%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		111	86%	40%	2%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science


		This Schoo	This School			Similar Sch	Similar Schools			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 62	Range:	44-100	65-10	8 00	5-100					
 ■ 2005-06 2004-05 	100%	94% 92%	6 45%	.2%	% 4%	78% 81%	27% 35	% 2%	5 4%	
Number of Students:		98 93	47	63	1 4	_		_	_	
Results by			2005–06 School Year			2004-05 \$	ichool Yea	r		
Student Grou	10	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
	чр	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		104	94%	45%	1%	101	92%	62%	4%	
Female		58	93%	38%	2%	53	94%	58%	4%	
Male		46	96%	54%	0%	48	90%	67%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska	Native	2	_							
Black or African American		72	93%	50%	1%	69	91%	59%	3%	
Hispanic or Latino		25	96%	28%	0%	21	95%	76%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C Pacific Islander	Other	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
White		3	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals		7	100%	57%	0%	11	91%	55%	18%	
General-Education Student	S	91	95%	49%	1%	87	94%	67%	3%	
Students with Disabilities		13	92%	15%	0%	14	79%	36%	7%	
English Proficient		100	-	_	-	95	96%	64%	4%	
Limited English Proficient		4				6	33%	33%	0%	
Economically Disadvantage	d	82	94%	49%	0%	91	91%	62%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged		22	95%	32%	5%	10	100%	70%	0%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		104	94%	45%	1%	101	92%	62%	4%	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
-	Total Number scoring at level(s):			l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
Regents Science	0				0				

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	el:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	11	58	70	21	160	651	
Feb 2004	16	64	52	8	140	636	
Feb 2003	6	58	45	14	123	648	

	This School			Similar S	chools	
	Percentage se	coring at level(s	5):	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	95%	44%	% 5% 2% 5%			

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	5	52	39	5	101	695	
Jan 2004	12	31	18	1	62	681	
Jan 2003	17	41	21	4	83	682	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	Number of students scoring at each performance level:				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	13	26	75	53	167	658
May 2004	16	39	72	18	145	642
May 2003	5	38	65	16	124	645

	This School			Similar S	chools	
	Percentage so	coring at level(s	5):	Percentage scoring at level(s):		el(s):
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882			
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	100%	51% 32% ³⁸	% <u>2% 2% 2%</u>			

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

			• •						
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score			
May 2005	8	41	50	2	101	713			
May 2004	11	31	19	1	62	696			
May 2003	18	22	23	1	64	688			