

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School P.S. 114 BELLE HARBOR SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #27 School ID 342700010114 Principal STEVEN GRILL Telephone (718) 634-3382 Grades PK-7, UE,

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning

2 Review School Accountability Status.

environment.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	51	36	31
Kindergarten	107	127	104
Grade 1	106	106	124
Grade 2	134	98	108
Grade 3	106	136	89
Grade 4	118	101	140
Grade 5	113	116	98
Grade 6	0	150	25
Ungraded Elementary	10	18	27
Grade 7	0	0	19
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	6
Total K–12	694	852	740

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Common Branch	24	24	25
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			32
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	3-04	200	4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	115	17%	138	16%	129	17%
Reduced-Price Lunch	47	7%	71	8%	57	8%
Student Stability*		0%		52%		79%
Limited English Proficient	30	4%	31	4%	20	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	1%	6	1%	4	1%
Black or African American	38	5%	73	9%	41	6%
Hispanic or Latino	35	5%	53	6%	34	5%
Asian or Native	24	3%	31	4%	18	2%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	592	85%	689	81%	643	87%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		9%		94%
Student Suspensions	2	N/A	3	0%	0	0%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	44	63	181
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	16%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	1	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	2%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	4	6	4
Percentage of Total	10%	12%	8%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	51%	50%	47%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	47	57	57
Total Other Professional Staff	5	5	6
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	2

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School P.S. 114 BELLE HARBOR SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #27

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State schools receiving Tit	le I funds) (Applies to all New York State public schools)
• •	if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, juiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same a measure for two consecutive years while receir Title I funds is considered a School in Need of (Year 1) for the following year.	iving measure for two consecutive years is considered a School
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that make AYP on the accountability measure for w identified is considered a School in Need of Im (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to Title I funds.	vhich it wasmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedapprovementis considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that make AYP on the accountability measure for w identified is considered a School in Corrective following year, if it continues to receive Title I	vhich it wasmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedAction for theis considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not may on the accountability measure for which it was is considered a School Planning for Restructur the following year, if it continues to receive Tit	s identifiedmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedring foris considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does AYP on the accountability measure for which is is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) f following year, if it continues to receive Title I	t was identified does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was or the identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not r the accountability measure for which it was id considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for	entified is

2 School Accountability

School P.S. 114 BELLE HARBOR SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #27

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	Good Standing	
	Elementary/Middle Level	Secondary Level
	ELA Good Standing	ELA
	Math Good Standing	Math
	Science Good Standing	Graduation Rate
Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Tit	le I Part A Funding

little i Part A Funding	fears the School Received little i Part A Funding					
	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
	NO	NO	NO			
	NO	NO	NO			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	v	v	v			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	–	_		•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_			•••••••••••••••••	
White	~	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	 ✓ 	 ✓ 				
Limited English Proficient	–	–		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	~	 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1			



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		es
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (392:387)	~	 	100%	 	182	116		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (23:21)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (14:14)	_	_	-	_	-	-	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:9)		-	-	-	-	-		-
White (346:343)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	187	116		••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (55:52)	~	~	100%	v	115	109		
Limited English Proficient (11:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (85:84)	~	<	99%	~	183	111		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index 188	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07	
All Students (391:383)	~	 ✓ 	100%	 ✓ 		80			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (22:20)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (16:14)	–	-	-	–	-	-	•••••••••••••••••	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:9)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
White (343:340)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	192	80	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (53:50)	~	~	100%	~	140	73			
Limited English Proficient (11:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		–	
Economically Disadvantaged (84:84)	~	~	100%	~	190	75		••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress	
		-						2005-06	2006-07
All Students (139:138)	 	Qualified		99%	~	197	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (6:6)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (129:128)		Qualified	<	99%	~	197	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (14:14)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (29:29)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	f 1							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentag scored at	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	87%	J	99
Grade 4	93%		139
Grade 5	92%		98
Grade 6	62%		26
Grade 7	43%		23
Mathematics			
Grade 3	90%		104
Grade 4	96%		139
Grade 5	94%		96
Grade 6	72%		29
Grade 7	61%		23
Science			
Grade 4	97%		138

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 1

All schools in this group are New York City elementary level schools. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for elementary level schools in this district.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		<u>This Schoo</u>					Similar Schools					
		Percentage s				-	scoring at level					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 694	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780							
		97%	87%			95%						
	100%		87%				79%					
					00/							
				1	9%			10%				
Number of Students:		96	86		L9							
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year	1				
-		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		99	97%	87%	19%							
Female		45	100%	93%	29%							
Male		54	94%	81%	11%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e											
Black or African American		9	-	-	-							
Hispanic or Latino		2	-	_	_							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		2	_	_	_							
Pacific Islander		ے 							05			
White		86	99%	93%	21%		st was not giv	'en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		13	85%	46%	8%							
General-Education Students			100%	95%	22%							
Students with Disabilities		16	81%	44%	6%							
English Proficient		99	97%	87%	19%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged			100%	86%	14%							
Not Disadvantaged		85	96%	87%	20%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		99	97%	87%	19%							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assossmonts	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	This test was not given in 200			
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent					This test	was not yiv	/en in 200		
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo					Similar Schools				
		Percentage so				_	scoring at level				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 696	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
	1000/	98%	90%			96%	88%				
	100%						0070				
				2	0.07			400/			
				3	9%			40%			
Number of Students:		102	94	2	41						
Results by		2005-06 S o	:hool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		104	98%	90%	39%						
Female		48	98%	92%	40%						
Male		56	98%	89%	39%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		9	100%	56%	22%						
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		3	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		د د			-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
White		89	99%	94%	40%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		6	83%	83%	50%						
General-Education Students		88	99%	95%	44%						
Students with Disabilities		16	94%	63%	13%						
English Proficient		101	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		14	100%	86%	43%						
Not Disadvantaged		90	98%	91%	39%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		104	98%	90%	39%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s)			l(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	: was not giv	/en in 200/	4-05.	

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Scho Percentage	ol scoring at lev	el(s):		Similar Sc Percentage s	hools scoring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 691	Range:	612-775	650-	775 7	16-775				
	100%	100%	93%		9%	94%	77%	13%	
Number of Students:		139	129	2	26				
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
-		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		139	100%	93%	19%				
Female		66	100%	95%	18%				
Male		73	100%	90%	19%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino		6	100%	100%	17%	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ary-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••	and mid	ddle-level En	glish langu	lage

Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2				and middle-level English language
Pacific Islander	с.				arts and mathematics were
White	128	100%	93%	19%	administered in 2006. Results from
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%	these assessments cannot be directly
General-Education Students	124	100%	97%	21%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.
Students with Disabilities	15	100%	60%	0%	auministereu assessments.
English Proficient	138	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	28	100%	96%	14%	
Not Disadvantaged	111	100%	92%	20%	
Migrant					
Not Migrant	139	100%	93%	19%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	-	-	N/A	2	-	-	N/A

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		<u>This Schoo</u>	l			Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at level	.(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 704	Range:	622-800	650-80	00 7	02-800						
		99%	96%			95%					
	100%						85%				
				4	9%						
								38%			
Number of Students:		138	134	(58						
De sudde has		2005-06 S o	shool Voor			2004 05 9	School Year				
Results by			Percentage :	scoring at				scoring at le	vol(s).		
Student Group		Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
 All Students	•	139	99%	96%	 49%	Testeu	2 4	5 4	4		
Female		67	99%	94%	49%						
Male		72	100%	99%	49%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska	Native										
Black or African American		1	_	—	_						
Hispanic or Latino		6	–	_	-	New ass	essments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/	Other	3	_	_	_		dle-level En		age		
Pacific Islander							mathematic				
White		129	99%	96%	50%		tered in 200 ssessments c				
Small Group Totals		10		100%	30%		ed to results		-		
General-Education Student	ts	125	100%	98%	54%		tered assessi	•			
Students with Disabilities		14	93%	79%	7%						
English Proficient		137		·····-	<u>-</u>						
Limited English Proficient		2	-	_	-						
Economically Disadvantage	ed	29	100%	97%	45%						
Not Disadvantaged		110	99%	96%	50%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		139	99%	96%	49%						

NOTES

Other	2005–06 S	ichool Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo				Similar Sch			
		Percentage s	-			Percentage sc	_		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 86	Range:	45-100	65-1	00 E	5-100				
 ■ 2005-06 2004-05 	100%	100% 98%	97% <u>c</u>		^{4%} 55%	98% 95%	87% 81		[%] 42%
Number of Students:		138 95	134	89 8	39 53				
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		2005–06 S				2004-05 S			
Student Group		Total	-	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	-	-	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	4 81% 48% 7ear tage scoring at 4 3-4 6 92% 6 87% 6 96% 6 60% 6 80% 6 95% 6 80%	4
All Students		138	100%	97 %	64%	97	98%	92%	55%
Female		67	100%	99%	64%	46	98%	87%	50%
Male		71	100%	96%	65%	51	98%	96%	59%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e					1	-	-	-
Black or African American		1	-	-	-	5	80%	60%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		6				4		-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		3	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	40%
White		128	100%	97%	66%	82	100%	95%	59%
Small Group Totals	•••••	10	100%	100%	50%	5	80%	80%	60%
General-Education Students		124	100%	98%	69%	90	99%	93%	58%
Students with Disabilities		14	100%	86%	21%	7	86%	71%	14%

Students with Disabilities	14	100%	00%	Z1%	1	00%	11%	14%
English Proficient	136	-	-	-	94	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	29	100%	97%	52%	23	91%	74%	43%
Not Disadvantaged	109	100%	97%	68%	74	100%	97%	58%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	138	100%	97%	64%	97	98%	92%	55%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment	0	2-4	5-4	4	0	2-4	5-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

umber of Students: Results by Students by Students emale ale merican Indian or Alaska Nativ ack or African American ispanic or Latino sian or Native Hawaiian/Other acific Islander hite nall Group Totals eneral-Education Students udents with Disabilities nglish Proficient mited English Proficient conomically Disadvantaged ot Disadvantaged		This Schoo				Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	-			_	scoring at level				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 693	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795						
		100%	92%			96%					
	100%		5270				76%				
				2	8%			100/			
								19%			
Number of Students:		98	90		27						
Pocults by		2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
Results by Student Group All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino		Total	Percentage		Total		scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		98	100%	92%	28%						
Female		48	100%	90%	27%						
Male	•••••	50	100%	94%	28%		•••••				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		3	-								
Hispanic or Latino		3	_								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe		3	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••		This to	at was not si		05		
White	•••••	89	100%	93%	30%	This tes	st was not giv	/en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		9	100%	78%	0%						
General-Education Students		91	100%	93%	27%						
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	71%	29%						
English Proficient		95		<u>-</u>							
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		21	100%	81%	14%						
Not Disadvantaged		77	100%	95%	31%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		98	100%	92%	28%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	is test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo Percentage s					Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3–4	4		2-4	3–4	4				
Mean Score: 608	Range:		-			2 4	54	4				
	nange.			00 0	55 100							
	100%	99%	94%			94%	70%					
							1570					
				5	0%			32%				
								52%				
Number of Students:		95	90	2	18							
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
Iumber of Students: Results by Student Group All Students Temale Tale Merican Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American		Total	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		96	99%	94%	50%							
Female		47	100%	91%	34%							
Male		49	98%	96%	65%							
American Indian or Alaska N	lative											
Black or African American		3										
Hispanic or Latino		4										
	her	3	_	_	_							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••					This tos	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05			
	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••					t was not giv	cm m 2004	05.			
•		-	619-780 $650-780$ $699-780$ $99%$ $94%$ $94%$ $99%$ $50%$ $94%$ 95 90 48 $2005-06$ School Year $2004-05$ School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 96 $99%$ $94%$ 96 $99%$ $94%$ 47 $100%$ $91%$ 49 $98%$ $96%$ 33 $ 3$ $ 3$ $ 3$ $ 4$ $ -$									
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
		-	83%	67%	17%							
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		· · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·····-		• • • • • • • • •						
			-	-	-							
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••	•••••				
		01	99%	95%	22%							
•••••			0.001	0.40/		••••••	••••	•••••				
Not Migrant		96	99%	94%	50%							

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	2-4	5-4	4		t was not giv	• •	

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		<u>This Schoo</u>				Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 648	Range:	598-785	650-7	'85 7	05-785					
	100%	85%				95%				
	100%	85%	6.20/				63%			
			62%				03%			
				1	2%			14%		
Number of Students:		22	16		3					
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		26	85%	62%	12%					
Female		11	100%	73%	18%					
Male		15	73%	53%	7%			••••••		
American Indian or Alaska N	ative									
Black or African American	••••••	3	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her	•••••••••		•••••	••••••	•••••				
Pacific Islander										
White		20	90%	70%	10%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		6	67%	33%	17%					
General-Education Students		18	100%	83%	17%					
Students with Disabilities		8	50%	13%	0%					
English Proficient		26	85%	62%	12%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		12	100%	75%	25%					
Not Disadvantaged		14	71%	50%	0%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		26	85%	62%	12%					

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost y	was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent									
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School				Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 667	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780						
	100%	93%				91%					
	100%		72%				69%				
								22%			
				14	4%						
Number of Students:		27	21		4						
Results by		2005-06 S o	:hool Year			2004-05	School Year	1			
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		29	93%	72%	14%						
Female		13	100%	77%	23%						
Male		16	88%	69%	6%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		3	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander											
White		23	96%	83%	17%	I his tes	st was not giv	ren in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		6	83%	33%	0%						
General-Education Students		20	100%	85%	15%						
Students with Disabilities		9	78%	44%	11%						
English Proficient		27	_		_						
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		13	100%	77%	15%						
Not Disadvantaged		16	88%	69%	13%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		29	93%	72%	14%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo Percentage s				<u>Similar Sc</u>	hools scoring at level(cl:	
		2-4	3-4	et(s): 4		2-4	3–4	s): 4	
Mean Score: 632	Range:	600-790	650-7		12-790	2 7	5 -		
	nunge.	000 100	000 1		12 130				
	100%	740/				93%			
		74%					57%		
			43%						
				0	%			7%	
Number of Students:		17	10		0				
Results by	2005-06 S e	chool Yea	•		2004-05	School Year			
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		23	74%	43%	0%				
Female		11	91%	64%	0%				
Male		12	58%	25%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		6	-		-				
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othei	-	1	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		±		•••••					
White			88%	50%	0%	Inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals		7	43%	29%	0%				
General-Education Students			100%	67%	0%				
Students with Disabilities		8	25%	0%	0%				
English Proficient		23	74%	43%	0%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		7	100%	71%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		16	63%	31%	0%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		23	74%	43%	0%				

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total Number scoring at level			el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics


		This School				Similar Schools			
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):			coring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 637	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 69	93-800				
	100%					90%			
	10070	78%	61%						
			61%				58%		
				09	%			12%	
Number of Students:		18	14	()				
Results by	2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
-		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		23	78%	61%	0%				
Female		11	91%	73%	0%				
Male		12	67%	50%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		6	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		т т				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
White		16	94%	69%	0%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals		7	43%	43%	0%				
General-Education Students		15	100%	87%	0%				
Students with Disabilities		8	38%	13%	0%				
English Proficient		22	_	_	-				
Limited English Proficient		1	_	_					
Economically Disadvantaged		8	100%	100%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		15	67%	40%	0%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		23	78%	61%	0%				

NOTES

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve 3–4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0	2-4	3-4	4		Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 This test was not given in 2004-05.			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	d:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	0	6	46	44	96	694	
Feb 2004	7	11	59	48	125	682	
Feb 2003	5	16	46	51	118	684	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	0	3	22	72	97	698	
May 2004	4	4	50	66	124	681	
May 2003	5	8	41	69	123	676	