

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School P.S. 127 AEROSPACE SCIENCE MAGNET SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #30 School ID 343000010127 Principal CHAYVONNE MATTHEWS Telephone (718) 446-4700 Grades PK-8, UE

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Pre-K	70	70	64
Kindergarten	129	105	105
Grade 1	94	134	128
Grade 2	110	86	113
Grade 3	105	101	78
Grade 4	106	104	101
Grade 5	115	103	98
Grade 6	95	106	81
Ungraded Elementary	36	35	46
Grade 7	41	46	39
Grade 8	36	36	40
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	867	856	829

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	21	22	21
Grade 8			
English	38	18	20
Mathematics		18	20
Science	38	18	20
Social Studies			20
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		2004-05		200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	799	92%	822	96%	796	96%
Reduced-Price Lunch	35	4%	11	1%	11	1%
Student Stability*		100%		100%		100%
Limited English Proficient	169	19%	175	20%	187	23%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	3	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	313	36%	279	33%	244	29%
Hispanic or Latino	483	56%	483	56%	484	58%
Asian or Native	52	6%	70	8%	77	9%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	17	2%	21	2%	21	3%

\* Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003	2-03	2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	2	N/A	12	1%	2	0%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	103	138	249
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	19%	22%	9%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	3	8	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	5%	12%	3%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	6	13	10
Percentage of Total	9%	20%	16%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	28%	23%	32%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	65	67	67
Total Other Professional Staff	6	9	9
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### **3 Third Indicator**

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]$ 

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State schools receiving Tit	le I funds) (Applies to all New York State public schools)
• •	if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, juiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same a measure for two consecutive years while receir Title I funds is considered a School in Need of (Year 1) for the following year.	iving measure for two consecutive years is considered a School
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that make AYP on the accountability measure for w identified is considered a School in Need of Im (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to Title I funds.	vhich it wasmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedapprovementis considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that make AYP on the accountability measure for w identified is considered a School in Corrective following year, if it continues to receive Title I	vhich it wasmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedAction for theis considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not may on the accountability measure for which it was is considered a School Planning for Restructur the following year, if it continues to receive Tit	s identifiedmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedring foris considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does AYP on the accountability measure for which is is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) f following year, if it continues to receive Title I	t was identified does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was or the identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not r the accountability measure for which it was id considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for	entified is

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

# 2 School Accountability

School P.S. 127 AEROSPACE SCIENCE MAGNET SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #30

### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	<u>∧</u> G	▲ Good Standing					
	Elemen	ntary/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA				
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the School Received T	itle I Part A Funding				

Years the School Received Little i Part A Funding					
2004-05 2005-06		2006–07			
YES	YES	YES			
	2004-05	2004-05 2005-06			

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	✓	<		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	<	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
White	–	–		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>				
Limited English Proficient	X	✓	••••	••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	••••••••••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 5 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1			



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A school that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this school fails to make AYP in 2006-07, the school will be School In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this school makes AYP in 2006-07, the school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [102]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harb	or Target 2006-07
All Students (454:421)	Status	- <del>•</del>	98%		155	117	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (148:140)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	159	113		
Hispanic or Latino (265:246)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	98%	<b>~</b>	151	115	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (32:26)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	–
White (9:9)	- -	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (41:36)	<b>✓</b> SH	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	98%	<b>√</b> SH	86	106	20	97
Limited English Proficient (71:60)	X	<	97%	X	95	110	105	106
Economically Disadvantaged (412:384)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	98%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	161	116		
Final AYP Determination	🗙 5 of 6	5						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	r Target 2006–07	
All Students (459:418)	~	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	100%	~	165	81			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (151:140)	<	~	100%	~	169	77			
Hispanic or Latino (270:245)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	161	79	•••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (30:26)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (8:7)	–	–	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••	-	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (39:36)	<b>V</b>	-	-	~	106	70			
Limited English Proficient (72:63)	~	~	100%	~	108	74	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (420:382)	~	<b>~</b>	100%	~	170	80		••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (146:137)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	178	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (48:46)		Qualified	~	100%	~	185	100		
Hispanic or Latino (89:84)		Qualified	<	100%	~	173	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:6)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (12:11)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (22:20)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (134:126)		Qualified	~	100%	~	185	100		
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 0	of 1							

#### NOTES

#### <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		-	tudents that ove Level 3		Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	6	50%	100%	6
Grade 3	71%				58
Grade 4	66%				90
Grade 5	57%				88
Grade 6	62%				76
Grade 7	63%				40
Grade 8	73%				40
Mathematics					
Grade 3	81%				86
Grade 4	65%				110
Grade 5	66%				101
Grade 6	60%				82
Grade 7	80%				41
Grade 8	90%				39
Science					
Grade 4	81%				107
Grade 8	82%				39

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

#### What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 3

All schools in this group are New York City elementary level schools. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for elementary level schools in this district.

### This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Sc			
		Percentage s				_	scoring at level		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 670	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780				
		98%							
	100%		71%			85%			
							52%		
				5	1%			3%	
								570	
Number of Students:		57	41		3				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	•		2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		58	98%	71%	5%				
Female		23	100%	78%	13%				
Male		35	97%	66%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American		25	100%	60%	8%				
Hispanic or Latino		27	100%	78%	4%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		5	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		J				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
White		1	-			This tes	st was not giv	ren in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals		6	83%	83%	0%				
General-Education Students		54	-	-	-				
Students with Disabilities		4	-	-	-				
English Proficient		57	_	_	_				
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged		54	_		_				
Not Disadvantaged		4	_	_	_				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		58	98%	71%	5%				

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0	2 7	<u> </u>			was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	23	6	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		<b>This Schoo</b> Percentage s	-	1(c).		Similar Sc	hools scoring at level	<u>د</u> ا.	
		2-4	2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4					. <sub></sub> 4	
Mean Score: 671	Range:	624-770	650-7		03-770				
	100%	94%	81%			88%	68%		
				2	3%			16%	
Number of Students:		81	70		20				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		86	94%	81%	23%				
Female		35	86%	77%	23%				
Male		51	100%	84%	24%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American		25	100%	96%	20%				
Hispanic or Latino		53	91%	72%	21%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		7	-	-	-				
White		1	-	-	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		8	100%	100%	50%				
General-Education Students		74	96%	85%	26%				
Students with Disabilities	•••••	12	83%	58%	8%				
English Proficient		60	100%	97%	33%				
Limited English Proficient		26	81%	46%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged		75	96%	85%	25%				
Not Disadvantaged		11	82%	55%	9%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		86	94%	81%	23%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0					t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

### This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		<b>This Schoo</b> Percentage so	-	al(s):		Similar Sch Percentage sc		-).	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	s): 4	
Mean Score: 662	Range:	612-775	650-7		16-775		5 -	-7	
	5								
	100%	89%				85%			
			66%				49%		
				7	'%			3%	
								570	
Number of Students:		80	59		6				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	hool Yea			2004–05 <b>S</b>	chool Year		
Student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		90	89%	66%	7%				
Female		40	90%	68%	13%				
Male		50	88%	64%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive								
Black or African American		33		<u>-</u>					
Hispanic or Latino		53	91%	70%	9%		essments for		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	3	_	_	_		dle-level Eng		age
Pacific Islander				•••••			mathematic		
White		1	-				ered in 2006		
Small Group Totals		37	86%	59%	3%		sessments ca d to results		-
General-Education Students		80	93%	70%	8%		ered assessr	•	ousty
Students with Disabilities		10	60%	30%	0%		ci cu ussessi	nento.	
English Proficient		88	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged		80	93%	70%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged		10	60%	30%	0%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		90	89%	66%	7%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	18	12	10	N/A	17	12	9	N/A	

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	(s):			coring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 669	Range:	622-800	650-80	00 7	02-800						
	100%	84%				87%					
	200/0	0470	65%				63%				
				2	6%			4 40/			
								14%			
Number of Students:		92	71	ź	29						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		110	84%	65%	<b>26</b> %						
Female		49	84%	61%	22%						
Male		61	84%	67%	30%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		36	86%	61%	14%						
Hispanic or Latino		69	81%	65%	32%		sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•	4	_	_	_		Idle-level En		age		
Pacific Islander							l mathematic				
White		1					tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		5	100%	80%	40%		ssessments c ed to results				
General-Education Students			89%	70%	30%		tered assess	•	ousty		
Students with Disabilities		13	46%	23%	0%						
English Proficient		90	91%	74%	32%						
Limited English Proficient		20	50%	20%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged		97	89%	70%	30%						
Not Disadvantaged		13	46%	23%	0%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		110	84%	65%	26%						

NOTES

Other Assessments	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year		2004–05 School Year					
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 79	Range:	45-100	65-1	s 00	35-100						
2005-06	100%	94% 94%	81% 8		1% 37%	93% 86%	66% 5	5%	<mark>%</mark> 14%		
2004-05									14%		
Number of Students:		101 97	87	86	55 38						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	ar			
-		Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		107	94%	81%	51%	103	94%	83%	37%		
Female		46	91%	83%	57%	54	98%	83%	37%		
Male		61	97%	80%	48%	49	90%	84%	37%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		34	100%	85%	50%	35	97%	91%	40%		
Hispanic or Latino		68	91%	78%	51%	62	92%	77%	35%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		4	-	-	-	5	-	-	-		
White		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	100%	60%	6	100%	100%	33%		
General-Education Students		95	99%	87%	57%	94	98%	86%	40%		
Students with Disabilities		12	58%	33%	8%	9	56%	56%	0%		
English Proficient		87	99%	90%	63%	84	96%	92%	44%		
Limited English Proficient		20	75%	45%	0%	19	84%	47%	5%		
Economically Disadvantaged		95	99%	87%	57%	103	94%	83%	37%		
Not Disadvantaged		12	58%	33%	8%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		107	94%	81%	51%	103	94%	83%	37%		

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

### This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Schoo	ol –			Similar Schools				
	Percentage se	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):		
	2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	608-795	650-7	95	711-795					
100%	95%				89%				
10070									
		57%				47%			
				2%			4%		
	84	50		2					
	2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Yeai	r		2004-05	School Year			
	Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	88	95%	57%	<b>2</b> %					
	45	96%	60%	0%					
	43	95%	53%	5%					
/e									
	34	97%	53%	0%					
	47	96%	62%	4%					
	6	-	_	-					
	 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······		This tes	st was not giv	ven in 2004-	-05.	
	•••••••••	86%	/3%	 ۵%	•••••	5			
		96%	59%	2%					
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	83%	33%	0%	•••••				
	-								
•••••		75%	•••••	•••••••••••••••	•••••				
	81	96%	59%						
•••••	<u></u> 7	86%	29%	0%	••••••	••••	•••••		
•••••		95%	57%	2%		••••			
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 608-795 100% 95% 100% 84 2005-06 Sc Total Tested 88 45 43 43 45 43 45 43 47 6 1 7 6 1 7 82 6 80 8 81 7	Percentage scoring at leve         2-4       3-4         Range:       608-795       650-7         100%       95%       57%         100%       95%       57%         100%       95%       57%         100%       95%       57%         100%       95%       57%         100%       95%       57%         84       50       50         Total       Percentage       2-4         Total       2-4       34         96%       45       96%         45       96%       43         45       96%       43         7       86%       82         96%       82       96%         6       -7       7         88       95%       6         80       98%       80         80       98%       8         81       96%       7         86%       7       86%	Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4         4           Range: $608-795$ $650-795$ 7           100%         95%         57%         57%           100%         95%         57%         57%           84         50         57%         57%           Zoo5-o6 School Year           Total         Percentage scoring at Tested         2-4         3-4           88         95%         57%         45         96%         60%           45         96%         60%         43         95%         53%           7         34         97%         53%         47         96%         62%           6         -	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       608-795       650-795       711-795         100%       95%       57%       2%         100%       95%       2%       2%         100%       95%       2%       2%         100%       95%       2%       2%         100%       95%       2%       2%         84       50       2       2%         Total Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         88       95%       57%       2%         45       96%       60%       0%         43       95%       53%       5%         7       86%       43%       0%         47       96%       62%       4%         6       -       -       -         1       -       -       -         7       86%       43%       0%         80       98%       59%       2%         6       83%       33%       0%         80       98%       59%       3%         80<	Percentage scoring at level(s):         Percentage sc           2-4         3-4         4         2-4           Range:         608-795         650-795         711-795           100%         95%         2%         89%           100%         95%         2%         89%           100%         95%         2%         89%           100%         95%         2%         89%           84         50         2         7000           2005-06 School Year         2004-05         70tal         70tal           Total         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total         70tal           Tested         2-4         3-4         4         1004           45         96%         60%         0%         100%           43         95%         53%         5%         100%           43         95%         53%         0%         100%           43         95%         53%         0%         100%           43         96%         62%         4%         100%           47         96%         62%         4%         100%           6         -         -         -	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       608-795       650-795       711-795       89%       47%         100%       95%       2%       89%       47%         100%       95%       2%       89%       47%         100%       95%       2%       10%       47%         100%       95%       2%       10%       47%         84       50       2       10%       47%         2005-06 Scbool Year       2004-05 Scbool Year       Total Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total Percentage 2-4         88       95%       57%       2%       10%       2-4         43       95%       53%       5%       10%       10%         47       96%       62%       4%       10%       10%       10%         7       86%       43%       0%       10%       10%       10%       10%         6       33%       33%       0%       10%       10%       10%       10%         7       86%       43%       0%       10%       10%       10%       10	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         Range:       608-795       650-795       711-795       89%       47%         100%       95%       57%       2%       89%       47%         95%       2%       47%       4%         100%       95%       2%       70       4%         100%       95%       2%       70       2004-05       School Year         2005-06       School Year       2004-05       School Year       4%         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         445       96%       60%       0%       47       96%       62%       4%         7       86%       43%       0%       76       2%       76       76         7       86%       43%       0%       76       2%       76       76       76         81       96%       59%       2%       76       76       76       76       76       76       76       76 <th< td=""></th<>	

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent						was not giv	/en in 200		
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	9	9	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

### This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School				Similar Schools					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentages	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ.	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 659	Range:	619-780	650-7	'80 e	599-780						
	100%	90%				84%					
	100%		66%			84%					
							52%				
				ç	9%			10%			
Number of Students:		91	67		9						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	hool Yea:	r		2004-05	School Year	1			
•		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		101	90%	66%	<b>9</b> %						
Female		49	92%	69%	12%						
Male		52	88%	63%	6%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		34	91%	68%	9%						
Hispanic or Latino		60	88%	67%	10%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		6	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
White		1	-		_	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		7	100%	57%	0%						
General-Education Students		93	92%	68%	10%						
Students with Disabilities		8	63%	50%	0%						
English Proficient		83	94%	71%	10%						
Limited English Proficient		18	72%	44%	6%						
Economically Disadvantaged		93	92%	68%	10%						
Not Disadvantaged		8	63%	50%	0%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		101	90%	66%	9%						

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

### This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage s					-				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 653	Range:	598-785	650-7	'85 7	05-785						
	100%	96%				88%					
	100%		6.004			00%					
			62%								
							39%				
				5	%			3%			
Number of Students:		73	47		4						
					-						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> e				2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Oroup		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		76	96%	62%	5%						
Female		40	95%	58%	8%						
Male		36	97%	67%	3%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e										
Black or African American		29	97%	59%	3%						
Hispanic or Latino		36	97%	64%	6%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		7	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander				•••••		······			05		
Nhite		4				Inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		11	91%	64%	9%						
General-Education Students			97%	67%	6%						
Students with Disabilities		7	86%	14%	0%						
English Proficient		74									
imited English Proficient		2	-	_	_						
Economically Disadvantaged		69	97%	67%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged		7	86%	14%	0%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		76	96%	62%	5%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost y	was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent						was not yn		·4-0J.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	4	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

### This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):					Similar Schools					
		•				_	scoring at level					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 656	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 69	96-780							
	100%	91%				049/						
	100%		6.00/			81%						
			60%				45%					
				6	%			5%				
Number of Students:		75	49	Ę	5							
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year		2004-05	School Year						
_		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		82	91%	60%	<b>6</b> %							
Female		42	86%	52%	7%							
Male		40	98%	68%	5%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e											
Black or African American		31	87%	55%	6%							
Hispanic or Latino		40	93%	70%	8%							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		7	_	_	_							
Pacific Islander												
White		4				This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	I-05.			
Small Group Totals		11	100%	36%	0%							
General-Education Students		76	93%	63%	7%							
Students with Disabilities		6	67%	17%	0%							
English Proficient		76	92%	63%	7%							
Limited English Proficient		6	83%	17%	0%							
Economically Disadvantaged		76	93%	63%	7%							
Not Disadvantaged		6	67%	17%	0%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		82	91%	60%	6%							

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

## This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School	<b>ol</b> coring at leve	al(s).		Similar Sc	<b>:hools</b> scoring at level(	s).	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 661	Range:	600-790	650-7	90 7	12-790				
		100%							
	100%					90%			
			63%						
							38%		
				1	0%				
					<b></b>			2%	
Number of Students:		40	25		4				
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
Student Group		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		40	100%	63%	10%				
Female		22	100%	64%	9%				
Male		18	100%	61%	11%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		11	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino		26	100%	65%	12%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	2	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		ے د				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>
White		1				This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		14	100%	57%	7%				
General-Education Students		40	100%	63%	10%				
Students with Disabilities									
English Proficient		40	100%	63%	10%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		39	_	_	_				
Not Disadvantaged		1	_	_					
Migrant									
Not Migrant		40	100%	63%	10%				

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

### This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo		1/-)			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		•	scoring at leve			-	3–4			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 671	Range:	611-800	650-80	00 6	93-800					
		100%								
	100%		80%			82%				
				2	4%		38%			
				_				4%		
Number of Students:		41	33	1	.0					
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		41	100%	80%	24%					
Female		23	100%	83%	17%					
Male		18	100%	78%	33%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e									
Black or African American		11	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino		27	100%	70%	26%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		2	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander									~-	
White		1				This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		14	100%	100%	21%					
General-Education Students		41	100%	80%	24%					
Students with Disabilities										
English Proficient		41	100%	80%	24%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		40	_		_					
Not Disadvantaged		1	-	-	-					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		41	100%	80%	24%					

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05			

### This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	-	-1(-)		Similar Sch		-1		
		Percentage s 2–4	3–4		1	2-4	coring at level( 3–4	s): 4		
Mean Score: 664	Range:	602-790	650-7		+ 715-790	2 4	54	4		
	Range:		650-7	90	115-190					
	100%	98%				87%				
			73%							
							30%			
					3%			1%		
Number of Students:		39	29		1					
Results by	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
		Total	Percentage		it level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s)			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		40	98%	73%	3%					
Female		25	96%	72%	4%					
Male		15	100%	73%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e									
Black or African American		14	100%	86%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino		21	95%	62%	0%		sessments fo		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		4	_	_	_		dle-level En		age	
Pacific Islander				•••••			l mathematic			
White		1					tered in 200			
Small Group Totals		5	100%	80%	20%		ssessments c ed to results		-	
General-Education Students			98%	73%	3%		tered assessi	•	ousty	
Students with Disabilities										
English Proficient		38								
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged		40	98%	73%	3%					
Not Disadvantaged										
Migrant										
Not Migrant		40	98%	73%	3%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				1	-	-	N/A

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo	<b>ol</b> scoring at leve	l(s).		Similar Sch	<b>nools</b> coring at level(	c).	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 673	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775				
		100%	0.004						
	100%		90%			76%			
				2	1%		34%		
				2.	1%			4%	
Number of Students:		39	35		8				
Number of Students.		29	22		0				_
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year		
Student Grou	ID	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Grot	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		39	100%	90%	21%				
Female			100%	83%	17%				
Male		15	100%	100%	27%				
American Indian or Alaska	Native								
Black or African American				<del>.</del>					
Hispanic or Latino		21	100%	86%	5%		sessments fo		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C	Other	4	_	_	_		ldle-level Eng		age
Pacific Islander							l mathematic tered in 200		rom
White							sessments c		
Small Group Totals		18 39	100%	94%	39%		ed to results		
General-Education Students	S		100%	90%	21%		tered assessr	•	,
Students with Disabilities									
English Proficient				·····-					
Limited English Proficient		2	-	_	-				
Economically Disadvantage	d	39	100%	90%	21%				
Not Disadvantaged									
Migrant									
Not Migrant		39	100%	90%	21%				

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	ichool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Science


			<b>This School</b> Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Sch Percentage so		l(c);	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 75	Range:	44-100	65-10		5-100				
		100%100%							
	100%	100/0100/0	9 82%	94%		78% 81%			
					200/			6%	
2005-06				23	30%		27%		
2004-05								29	<u>    4%     </u>
Number of Students:		39 33	32	31	9 10				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	2004–05 School Year		
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		39	100%	82%	23%	33	100%	94%	30%
Female		24	100%	79%	17%	18	100%	89%	11%
Male		15	100%	87%	33%	15	100%	100%	53%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive								
Black or African American		14				14			
Hispanic or Latino		21	100%	76%	14%	16	100%	94%	31%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	4	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
Pacific Islander		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-			
White						1		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Small Group Totals		18	100%	89%	33%	17	100%	94%	29%
General-Education Students		39	100%	82%	23%	33	100%	94%	30%
Students with Disabilities									
English Proficient		37				32			
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		39	100%	82%	23%	33	100%	94%	30%
Not Disadvantaged									
Migrant									
Not Migrant		39	100%	82%	23%	33	100%	94%	30%

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Accoccmonto	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent					0			
Regents Science	0				0			

## **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	l:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	5	21	51	11	88	659	
Feb 2004	0	41	45	4	90	650	
Feb 2003	9	49	44	16	118	647	


	This School			Similar S	chools		
	Percentage s	coring at level(s	):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830				
	100%100%100	%					
<ul> <li>2004-05</li> <li>2003-04</li> <li>2002-03</li> </ul>	100%	75% 64% 384	% 19% 0% 0%				

#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

			• •							
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score				
Jan 2005	0	12	21	0	33	702				
Jan 2004	0	9	20	7	36	714				
Jan 2003	0	23	14	0	37	694				

## **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number of students scoring at each performance level:				l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	4	9	39	51	103	675	
May 2004	9	21	56	19	105	652	
May 2003	7	41	61	24	133	648	

	This School			Similar So	chools	
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
<u>Grade 8</u>	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882		760-882			
<ul> <li>2004-05</li> <li>2003-04</li> <li>2002-03</li> </ul>	100%100% 95%	42%	<sup>18%</sup> 14%			

#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

	• •							
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score		
May 2005	0	1	26	6	33	740		
May 2004	0	4	26	5	35	738		
May 2003	2	20	16	0	38	713		