

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School PS 80 MICHAEL J. PETRIDES SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #31 School ID 353100011080 Principal JOANNE BUCKHEIT Telephone (718) 815-0186 Grades K-12

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2003-04	2004–05	2005–06
Pre-K	0	1	0
Kindergarten	75	74	73
Grade 1	73	72	74
Grade 2	84	84	84
Grade 3	84	80	84
Grade 4	96	96	92
Grade 5	92	94	92
Grade 6	107	99	98
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	97	105	97
Grade 8	93	96	100
Grade 9	112	119	121
Grade 10	107	108	113
Grade 11	97	102	104
Grade 12	88	88	101
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1205	1217	1233

## Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	16	27	28
Grade 8			
English		25	25
Mathematics		24	25
Science		24	25
Social Studies		24	25
Grade 10			
English		29	28
Mathematics			
Science		27	24
Social Studies		27	25

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	2004-05		5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	275	23%	248	20%	292	24%
Reduced-Price Lunch	151	13%	119	10%	157	13%
Student Stability*		100%		100%		100%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	9	1%	17	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	1%	8	1%	5	0%
Black or African American	166	14%	182	15%	198	16%
Hispanic or Latino	148	12%	164	13%	186	15%
Asian or Native	81	7%	82	7%	90	7%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	802	67%	781	64%	754	61%

\* Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2002	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		9%		94%
Student Suspensions	84	N/A	71	6%	40	3%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	79	180	278
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	13%	13%	16%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	4	3	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	6%	4%	3%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	6	8	10
Percentage of Total	10%	12%	14%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	43%	33%	35%

## **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	70	77	80
Total Other Professional Staff	2	5	5
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	5	3	5
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### **3 Third Indicator**

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]$ 

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School PS 80 MICHAEL J. PETRIDES SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #31

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools)				
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not be Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Acader	een identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, mic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	measure for two consecutive years is considered a School				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.				
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	<ul> <li>School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)         A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.     </li> </ul>				
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifier is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following	J				

# 2 School Accountability

School PS 80 MICHAEL J. PETRIDES SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #31


### Summary

Status (2006–07)	Elementary/Mi	ddle Level	Secondary Level	
	ELA 📕 G	ood Standing	ELA 📕	Good Standing
	Math 📕 G	ood Standing	Math 🗧	Good Standing
	Science 📕 G	ood Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
NO	NO	NO

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_			
Black or African American	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<	••••	–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	~	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••	–	-	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>		-	–			
White	~	<b>~</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>~</b>	••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 7 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1		



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		es
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (562:557)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	171	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (98:98)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	156	112		
Hispanic or Latino (84:83)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	164	111	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (44:44)	<	<	100%	~	184	107		
White (335:331)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	175	116	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (64:63)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	130	110		
Limited English Proficient (5:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (191:189)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	173	114		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7							

### NOTES

# <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (560:556)	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	166	81		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (98:98)	<	~	100%	~	152	76		
Hispanic or Latino (84:83)	<	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	158	75	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (44:44)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	191	71		••••
White (333:330)	<	<	100%	<b>~</b>	169	80	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (64:63)	~	~	100%	~	111	74		
Limited English Proficient (5:4)	-	–	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (190:189)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	168	78		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7	7						

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Statuc	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress	Target 2006-07
		-						2005-06	2006-07
All Students (192:90)		Qualified	•	98%	•	188	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (36:20)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (32:15)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (11:4)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (113:51)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<	99%	~	188	100		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (20:12)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (71:37)		Qualified	~	96%	~	181	100		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (101:100)	~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>v</b>	190	144		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (10:9)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (10:10)	_	_	_	_	-	_	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (70:70)	<	~	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	194	143	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (12:12)	–	-	-	-	-	-	–	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

### NOTES

- **AYP Status** 
  - Made AYP
  - ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
  - X Did Not Make AYP
  - Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Chatura	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	3
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (101:100)	V	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	193	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (10:9)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (10:10)	_	_	-	-	-	-	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (70:70)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	194	135	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (5:5)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (12:12)	–	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status those two years.
 <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

 $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives	<b>i</b>	
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (83)	~	~	100%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (8)		-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (6)		-	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7)		_	-	-	-	-
White (62)		~	100%	55%		•••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (10)		-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (11)		-	-	_	-	_
Final AYP Determination	<b>v</b> 1	of 1				

### NOTES

Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	ge of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	72%		83
Grade 4	74%		92
Grade 5	66%		92
Grade 6	77%		98
Grade 7	74%		97
Grade 8	74%		100
Mathematics			
Grade 3	87%		82
Grade 4	84%		92
Grade 5	66%		92
Grade 6	71%		97
Grade 7	52%		97
Grade 8	68%		100
Science			
Grade 4	90%		91
		ge of students that or above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	90%		101
Mathematics	93%		101
	Percentage who grad	ge of students uated	2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	95%		101

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

### What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 37

All schools in this group are New York City secondary level schools. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in this district.

## This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo					Similar Schools				
		Percentage s			-	_	scoring at level				
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 676	Range:	616-780	650-7	80	730-780						
		95%				97%					
	100%		72%				82%				
				-	8%			14%			
Number of Students:		79	60		7						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		83	95%	72%	8%						
Female		34	100%	79%	9%						
Male		49	92%	67%	8%		•••••				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e										
Black or African American		14	86%	57%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		9	100%	78%	0%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			93%	71%	14%						
Pacific Islander		++ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			/						
White			98%	76%	11%		st was not giv	/en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		73	97%	78%	10%						
Students with Disabilities		10	80%	30%	0%						
English Proficient		81	_		_						
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		37	97%	76%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged		46	93%	70%	11%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		83	95%	72%	8%						

NO

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not giv	on in 200	4.05	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent							200	4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo		(c).			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		-				_	3-4	s). 4				
Mean Score: 691	Range <sup>.</sup>					2 7	5 -					
	nunge.		000 11	•		00%						
	100%	99%	87%			99%	92%					
								60%				
				4:	1%							
Number of Students:		81	71	Э	34							
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
Percentage scoring at level(s):         Percentage scoring           2-4         3-4         4         2-4           Mean Score: 691         Range:         624-770         650-770         703-770           100%         99%         87%         41%         99%           100%         99%         87%         41%         99%           41%         41%         41%         41%         99%           Number of Students:         81         71         34         34           Results by         2005-06 School Year         2004-05 School Year           Total         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total           Tested         2-4         3-4         4         4         Total         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total           Tested         2-4         3-4         4         Total         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total         Percenta	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):									
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		82	99%	87%	41%							
Female		34	100%	85%	38%							
Male		48	98%	88%	44%							
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive											
Black or African American		14	93%	71%	14%							
Hispanic or Latino		9	100%	89%	0%							
,	r	14	100%	79%	50%							
		·····				This too	t was not si		05			
White		45	100%	93%	56%		st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals												
General-Education Students		72	100%	90%	46%							
Students with Disabilities		10	90%	60%	10%							
English Proficient		80	_									
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-							
Economically Disadvantaged		37	100%	89%	35%							
Not Disadvantaged		45	98%	84%	47%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		82	99%	87%	41%							

NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				

## This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo		1( )		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage s				-	-				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 670	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775						
		96%				97%					
	100%		74%				83%				
				8	%			16%			
Number of Students:		88	68		7						
		00	00								
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea			2004-05	School Year				
	<b>n</b>	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Grou	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		92	96%	74%	8%						
Female		44	95%	77%	11%						
Male		48	96%	71%	4%						
American Indian or Alaska N	ative										
Black or African American		21	95%	67%	5%						
Hispanic or Latino		15			_		sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her	4	_	_	_		Idle-level Eng		age		
Pacific Islander				•••••			I mathematic				
White		52	94%	71%	6%		tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		19	100%	89%	16%		ssessments c ed to results		-		
General-Education Students		79	97%	80%	9%		tered assess	•	ousty		
Students with Disabilities		13	85%	38%	0%						
English Proficient		91									
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		37	97%	78%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged		55	95%	71%	9%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		92	96%	74%	8%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	<b>)</b> coring at leve	l(c)·		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 684	Range:	622-800	650-80	00 7	02-800						
	100%	99%	84%			99%	90%				
				2	6%		L	45%			
Number of Students:		91	77	2	24						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s)				Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		92	<b>99</b> %	84%	26%						
Female		44	98%	84%	23%						
Male		48	100%	83%	29%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive										
Black or African American		21	100%	76%	14%						
Hispanic or Latino		15	_				sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er	4	-	-	-		ldle-level Eng I mathematic		age		
White		52	98%	85%	25%		tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		19	100%	89%	42%		ssessments c		-		
General-Education Students		79	99%	86%	29%		ed to results tered assessi	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities		13	100%	69%	8%	auminis	נכובת מספסטו	nents.			
English Proficient		91	-	_	-						
Limited English Proficient		1									
Economically Disadvantaged		37	97%	78%	24%						
Not Disadvantaged		55	100%	87%	27%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		92	99%	84%	26%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year					
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo					Similar Schools				
		Percentage s				Percentage s					
		2-4	3-4			2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 80	Range:	45-100	65-10	3 00	85-100						
■ 2005-06	100%	98% 98%	90% 8	2%	0% 29%	99% 98%	94% 82	2% 56'	% 29%		
2004-05											
Number of Students:		89 94	82	79	36 28						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	ır			
		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Grou	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		91	98%	90%	<b>40</b> %	96	98%	82%	<b>29</b> %		
Female		43	98%	91%	30%	44	100%	82%	23%		
Male		48	98%	90%	48%	52	96%	83%	35%		
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative										
Black or African American		20	95%	85%	35%	17	94%	59%	12%		
Hispanic or Latino		15	-		_	16	94%	81%	19%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	ner	4	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	67%		
White		52	98%	90%	44%	57	100%	88%	33%		
Small Group Totals		19	100%	95%	32%		••••	•••••			
General-Education Students		79	97%	91%	41%	82	99%	90%	32%		
Students with Disabilities		12	100%	83%	33%	14	93%	36%	14%		
English Proficient		90	-	_	_	94	-	_	_		
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged		37	95%	86%	27%	37	100%	76%	22%		
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	54	100%	93%	48%	59	97%	86%	34%		
Migrant											
Not Migrant		91	98%	90%	40%	96	98%	82%	29%		

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

## This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo Percentage s				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage s	coring at lev 3-4	et(s): 4		2-4	3-4	s): 4		
Mean Score: 664	Range:	608-795	650-		· /11-795	2-4	5-4	4		
	Kaliye.	000 195	0.00	195 1	11 195					
	100%	95%				95%				
			66%				66%			
					201			00/		
					3%			8%		
Number of Students:		87	61		7					
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
-		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Grou	þ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		92	95%	<b>66</b> %	8%					
Female		41	100%	68%	10%					
Male		51	90%	65%	6%					
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative									
Black or African American		16	88%	50%	6%					
Hispanic or Latino		16	94%	38%	13%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	ier	6	100%	100%	33%					
White		54	96%	76%	4%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		80	99%	73%	9%					
Students with Disabilities		12	67%	25%	0%					
English Proficient		91	_							
Limited English Proficient		1	_		_					
Economically Disadvantaged		29	100%	69%	14%					
Not Disadvantaged		63	92%	65%	5%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		92	95%	66%	8%					

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not giv	on in 200	4 05	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent						was not yn		+-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo		-1(-)		<u>Similar Sc</u>		-1	
		Percentage s 2–4	3-4	et(s): 4		2-4	scoring at level 3–4	s): 4	
Maan Caasa (CC)	Denee					2-4	5-4	4	
Mean Score: 661	Range:	619-780	650-7	180 6	99-780				
	100%	91%				91%			
			66%				66%		
					<b>A</b> 0/			440/	
				1	1%			11%	
Number of Students:		84	61	-	10				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		92	91%	66%	11%				
Female		41	95%	59%	10%				
Male		51	88%	73%	12%				
American Indian or Alaska N	ative								
Black or African American		16	88%	44%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino		16	94%	69%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Otl	ner	6	100%	100%	50%				
Pacific Islander									
White		54	91%	69%	13%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students		80	98%	74%	11%				
Students with Disabilities		12	50%	17%	8%				
English Proficient		91	_	_	_				
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged		29	100%	76%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged		63	87%	62%	14%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		92	91%	66%	11%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This te	st was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Sch			
Amber of Students: Results by Student Group L Students male ale nerican Indian or Alaska Nati ack or African American spanic or Latino ian or Native Hawaiian/Othe acific Islander hite nall Group Totals eneral-Education Students udents with Disabilities iglish Proficient nited English Proficient		•	scoring at leve			Ū.	coring at level(		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 672	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785				
		100%				99%			
	100%		77%				74%		
				1	4%			15%	
Number of Students		98	75		14		_		
		90	15	-	14				
Results bv		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	•		2004-05 \$	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		98	100%	77%	14%				
Female		48	100%	79%	23%				
Male		50	100%	74%	6%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American		16	100%	81%	13%				
Hispanic or Latino		15	100%	60%	13%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		10	100%	90%	30%				
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			05
White		57	100%	77%	12%	Inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students		86	100%	80%	16%				
Students with Disabilities		12	100%	50%	0%				
English Proficient		97	_						
Limited English Proficient		1	-	_	-				
Economically Disadvantaged		30	100%	83%	10%				
Not Disadvantaged		68	100%	74%	16%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		98	100%	77%	14%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo					Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage s					-				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 661	Range:	616-780	650-7	'80 E	596-780						
	1000/	93%				96%					
	100%		71%				78%				
								20%			
				1	2%			20%			
Number of Students:		90	69		12						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		97	93%	71%	12%						
Female		47	94%	70%	15%						
Male		50	92%	72%	10%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American		16	94%	81%	13%						
Hispanic or Latino		15	100%	60%	7%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	10	100%	90%	40%						
Pacific Islander				•••••		This too	t was not aiv	on in 2001	-05		
White		56	89%	68%	9%	· · · · · · ·	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		0.5	0.00/	700/	1 4 07						
General-Education Students		85	98%	79%	14%						
Students with Disabilities		12	58%	17%	0%	_					
English Proficient		96									
imited English Proficient		1	-	-	-	_					
Economically Disadvantaged		29	97%	76%	7%						
Not Disadvantaged		68	91%	69%	15%						
Migrant				••••••					•••••		
Not Migrant		97	93%	71%	12%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

## This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

Percentage 2-4 inge: 600-790 100%	scoring at lev 3–4 650–7	4		Percentage s 2-4	scoring at level( 3–4						
inge: 600–790 100%	-			2-4	3-4	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
100%	650-7	790 7			5 4	4					
		50 1	12-790								
0%				98%							
	74%				75%						
		8	%			14%					
97	72		8								
51	12		0								
2005-06 \$	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year						
Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):				
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
97	100%	74%	8%								
41	100%	63%	7%								
56	100%	82%	9%								
1	-										
16	100%	44%	6%	•••••							
12											
3	_	_	-								
				This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05				
	••••		•••••		it was not giv	2004	05.				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••							
91	100%	1470	0 70	•••••							
24	100%	67%	13%								
•••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••				
	10070	11,5	170								
97	100%	74%	8%	••••••	•••••		•••••				
	Total Tested 97 41 56 1 16 12	2005-06 School Yea           Total         Percentag           Tested         2-4           97         100%           41         100%           56         100%           1         -           16         100%           12         -           3         -           65         100%           16         100%           16         100%           16         100%           97         100%           24         100%           73         100%	97         72           2005-06 School Year           Total         Percentage scoring at Tested           97         100%           97         100%           97         100%           97         100%           97         100%           97         100%           97         100%           97         100%           41         100%           56         100%           1         -           16         100%           12         -           3         -           -         -           3         -           -         -           3         -           -         -           3         -           -         -           3         -           -         -           3         -           -         -           3         -           -         -           3         -           -         -           10         100%           97         100%	2005-06 School Year           Total         Percentage scoring at level(s):           Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ 97         100%         74%         8%           41         100%         63%         7%           56         100%         82%         9%           1         -         -         -           16         100%         44%         6%           12         -         -         -           3         -         -         -           65         100%         80%         9%           16         100%         81%         6%           17         -         -         -           3         -         -         -           3         -         -         -           3         -         -         -           3         -         -         -           3         -         -         -           16         100%         80%         9%           10         100%         60%         0%           97         100%         74%         8%	97       72       8         2005-06 School Year       2004-05         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         97       100%       74%       8%         41       100%       63%       7%         56       100%       82%       9%         1       -       -       -         16       100%       44%       6%       -         12       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -         16       100%       80%       9%       -         10       100%       60%       0%       -         10       100%       67%       13%       -         24       100%       67%       13%       -         24       100%       67%       13%       - <t< td=""><td>97       72       8         2005-06 School Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage         97       100%       74%       8%       1         97       100%       74%       8%       1         97       100%       74%       8%       1         96       100%       74%       8%       1         1       -       -       -       -         16       100%       82%       9%       1         12       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -         65       100%       80%       9%       -       -         10       100%       60%       0%       -       -         24       100%       67%       13%       -       -         24       100%       67%       13%       -       -         24       1</td><td>97       72       8         2005-06 School Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         97       100%       74%       8%       41       100%       63%       7%         56       100%       82%       9%       1       -       -       -         16       100%       44%       6%       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         65       100%       80%       9%       -       -       -       -         3       -       <t< td=""></t<></td></t<>	97       72       8         2005-06 School Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage         97       100%       74%       8%       1         97       100%       74%       8%       1         97       100%       74%       8%       1         96       100%       74%       8%       1         1       -       -       -       -         16       100%       82%       9%       1         12       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -         65       100%       80%       9%       -       -         10       100%       60%       0%       -       -         24       100%       67%       13%       -       -         24       100%       67%       13%       -       -         24       1	97       72       8         2005-06 School Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         97       100%       74%       8%       41       100%       63%       7%         56       100%       82%       9%       1       -       -       -         16       100%       44%       6%       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -       -       -         3       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         65       100%       80%       9%       -       -       -       -         3       - <t< td=""></t<>				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo	<b>ol</b> coring at leve	1/2)			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	u(s): 4		2-4	3–4	s): 4				
Mean Score: 650	Range:	611-800	650-8		93-800	2 4	5 4	4				
	Kaliye.		000.00	00 0	93 800							
	100%	95%				97%	750/					
			500/				75%					
			52%									
								17%				
				4	%							
Number of Students:		92	50	4	1							
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
	_	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Grou	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		97	95%	52%	4%							
Female		41	90%	44%	2%							
Male		56	98%	57%	5%							
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative	1										
Black or African American		16	94%	25%	6%							
Hispanic or Latino		12										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	ier	3	_	_	_							
Pacific Islander	•••••	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	This tos	st was not giv	on in 2004	05			
White			97%		3%		si was not yiv	2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		16	88%	38%	6%							
General-Education Students	•••••	87	98%	57%	5%							
Students with Disabilities		10	70%	0%	0%							
English Proficient	•••••	97	95%	52%	4%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged	•••••		96%	42%	4%							
Not Disadvantaged		73	95%	55%	4%							
Migrant	•••••											
Not Migrant		97	95%	52%	4%							

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	4.	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This te	st was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.

## This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo		-1/-)		Similar Sch		(-)	
		Percentage s		et(s): 4		Percentage sc 2–4	3-4		
		2-4	3-4			2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 677	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790				
	100%	99%				97%			
	100%		74%				66%		
					•• /				
				1	4%			6%	
Number of Students:		99	74		14				
		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Vear			2004-05 S	chool Year		
Results by		Total	Percentage		t level(s)·	Total		scoring at le	vel(s)·
Student Grou	<b>p</b>	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	-	100	99%	74%	14%	Tested		5 1	
Female		49	100%	78%	22%				
Male		51	98%	71%	6%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••	
American Indian or Alaska N	Vative								
Black or African American		15	100%	67%	13%				
Hispanic or Latino		17	94%	65%	12%	New ass	essments fo	r elementa	ry-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther	7	100%	86%	14%		dle-level En	5 5	age
Pacific Islander		•					mathematic		
White		61	100%	77%	15%		ered in 200 sessments c		
Small Group Totals							ed to results		-
General-Education Students		93	99%	75%	15%		ered assess	•	ousty
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	57%	0%				
English Proficient		100	99%	74%	14%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	1	34	100%	71%	12%				
Not Disadvantaged		66	98%	76%	15%				
Migrant				••••••					
Not Migrant		100	99%	74%	14%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2–4 3–4 4				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0	2.			0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo					Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	(s):			
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 660	Range:	616-775	650-7	775	701-775						
	100%	95%				92%					
	100%		68%				64%				
							0470				
					7%			9%			
Number of Students:		95	68		7						
Pocults by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yeaı	r			
Results by		Total	Percentag		at level(s):	Total		e scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		100	95%	68%	7%						
Female		49	94%	71%	10%						
Male		51	96%	65%	4%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		15	87%	53%	13%						
Hispanic or Latino		17	94%	47%	0%	New as	sessments fo	or elementa	iry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		7	100%	100%	29%		ddle-level En	5 5	age		
Pacific Islander							d mathematio				
White		61	97%	74%	5%		stered in 200				
Small Group Totals							ssessments o ed to results		-		
General-Education Students			96%	69%			stered assess	•	lousty		
Students with Disabilities		7	86%	57%	0%						
English Proficient		100	95%	68%	7%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		34	94%	56%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged		66	95%	74%	8%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		100	95%	68%	7%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This School	l oring at level(s):		Similar Scl Percentage s		l(c):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
	Range:	44-100	65-100	85-100		5 1		
	100%	100%	75%		94% 96%	66% 73	%	
2005-06 2004-05				15%			13'	% 12%
Number of Students:		100	75	15				
Results by		2005–06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year		2004-05	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4 3	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students					100	100%	75%	15%
Female					49	100%	73%	14%
Male					51	100%	76%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve							
Black or African American			••••••		14	100%	57%	14%
Hispanic or Latino					17	100%	71%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r				6	100%	83%	50%
White			<b>.</b>		63	100%	79%	16%
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students					93	100%	75%	14%
Students with Disabilities					7	100%	71%	29%
English Proficient Limited English Proficient					100	100%	75%	15%
Economically Disadvantaged					43	100%	60%	16%
Not Disadvantaged		•••••••••••••••••••••			57	100%	86%	14%
Migrant								
		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) ar	e suppressed to protec	t the privacy of	individual stud	lents.		
Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	2004–05 School Year		School Year	
-	Total	Number sc	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4 3-4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent						
Regents Science	0				0	

4

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

## **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

	This School	l		Similar S	chools			
_	Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Grade 4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range: 603–800	645-800	692-800					
<ul> <li>2004-05</li> <li>2003-04</li> <li>2002-03</li> </ul>	97% 99%	79% 69%	14% 15%					

Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	l:			
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
3	27	53	13	96	661	
1	17	55	13	86	666	
	Level 1 3 1	Level 1 Level 2 3 27 1 17	Level 1         Level 2         Level 3           3         27         53           1         17         55	Level 1         Level 2         Level 3         Level 4           3         27         53         13           1         17         55         13	3         27         53         13         96           1         17         55         13         86	Level 1Level 2Level 3Level 4Total TestedMean Score327531396661

	This School			Similar S	chools	
	Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
<ul> <li>2004-05</li> <li>2003-04</li> <li>2002-03</li> </ul>	100% 99%	72% 72%	18% 12%			

### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	0	25	49	16	90	715	
Jan 2004	1	23	51	10	85	709	

## **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

	This School			Similar S	chools			
	Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Grade 4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range: 602–810	637-810	678-810					
<ul> <li>2004-05</li> <li>2003-04</li> <li>2002-03</li> </ul>	98% 100%	88% 89%	30%					

	Number o	of students sco	ring at each p	el:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	2	10	55	29	96	664	
May 2004	0	10	61	17	88	659	

	This School			Similar S	chools		
	Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882				
<ul> <li>2004-05</li> <li>2003-04</li> <li>2002-03</li> </ul>	96% 95%	56% 58%	3% 5%				

### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	4	37	50	3	94	719	
May 2004	4	32	45	4	85	720	

# This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

2–4 97% <sub>93%</sub>	3-4 90% 85%	4	2-4	3-4	4
97% <sub>93%</sub>	90% 05%				
97% <sub>93%</sub>	90% 05%				
н	85%	46% 36%	88% 89%	84% 85%	39% <sup>44%</sup>
			46% 36%	46% 36%	46% 36%

Results by	2002 Cohor	·t*			2001 Cohort*			
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	101	<b>97</b> %	<b>90</b> %	<b>46</b> %	88	93%	85%	36%
Female	63	97%	90%	44%	42	93%	88%	45%
Male	38	97%	89%	47%	46	93%	83%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	9	-	-	-	8	100%	75%	25%
Hispanic or Latino	11	82%	73%	9%	6	100%	83%	50%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	90%	70%	7	86%	71%	29%
White	70	99%	94%	46%	67	93%	88%	37%
Small Group Totals	10	100%	80%	60%		•••••		
General-Education Students	96	99%	94%	48%	77	99%	95%	42%
Students with Disabilities	5	60%	20%	0%	11	55%	18%	0%
English Proficient	101	97%	90%	46%	88	93%	85%	36%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	12	100%	92%	58%				
Not Disadvantaged	89	97%	90%	44%			••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	101	97%	90%	46%		•••••	••••••	•••••••

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

 data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.
 2002 Cohort\*
 2001 Cohort\*

Uller									
Accoccmonto	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number Number scoring at level			(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

### This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage scori	ing at level(s):		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort	100%	98% 91%	93% 80%	20%	89% 88%	85% 83%	37% 37%	

Results by	2002 <b>Coho</b> r	·t*		2001 Cohort*				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	101	98%	93%	20%	88	<b>91</b> %	<b>80</b> %	10%
Female	63	97%	94%	21%	42	95%	83%	12%
Male	38	100%	92%	18%	46	87%	76%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	9	-	-	-	8	75%	63%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	11	82%	82%	18%	6	83%	83%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	100%	30%	7	100%	86%	0%
White	70	100%	94%	17%	67	93%	81%	12%
Small Group Totals	10	100%	90%	30%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
General-Education Students	96	99%	95%	21%	77	97%	86%	10%
Students with Disabilities	5	80%	60%	0%	11	45%	36%	9%
English Proficient	101	98%	93%	20%	88	91%	80%	10%
Limited English Proficient	••••••			••••••		••••••	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	12	100%	100%	17%				
Not Disadvantaged	89	98%	92%	20%		••••••	•••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	101	98%	93%	20%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohoi	rt*			2001 Cohort*				
Accoccmonto	Number	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

## **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**


Percentage of students who:

2% 0%

0% 0%

2% 1%

1% 0%



2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	101	95%	2%	0%	2%	1%
	2001	88	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Female	2002	63	94%	2%	0%	3%	2%
	2001	42	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Male	2002	38	97%	3%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	46	98%	0%	0%	2%	0%
American Indian	2002	1	-	-	-	_	-
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	9	_	_	_	_	_
African American	2001	8	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	11	73%	9%	0%	9%	9%
•	2001	6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Asian or Native	2002	10	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
White	2002	70	97%	1%	0%	1%	0%
	2001	67	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Small Group Totals	2002	10	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	96	97%	0%	0%	2%	1%
	2001	77	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2002	5	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	11	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
English Proficient	2002	101	95%	2%	0%	2%	1%
	2001	88	99%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	12	92%	0%	0%	8%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	89	96%	2%	0%	1%	1%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	101	95%	2%	0%	2%	1%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## **Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School**



SchoolSimilar Schools

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	86	100%		0%	0%	0%
Female	42	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
•••••••••						
Male	44	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	8	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Asian or Native	7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	65	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Small Group Totals		•••••		••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••
General-Education Students	75	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Students with Disabilities	11	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
English Proficient	86	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	11	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	75	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	86	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.