

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
District ID 400400010000
Superintendent TERRY CARBONE
Telephone (716) 478-4835
Grades PK-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	92	108	91
Kindergarten	371	400	402
Grade 1	394	357	386
Grade 2	403	367	349
Grade 3	362	383	361
Grade 4	395	362	374
Grade 5	438	388	352
Grade 6	450	431	398
Ungraded Elementary	80	83	79
Grade 7	435	434	428
Grade 8	460	437	433
Grade 9	558	579	523
Grade 10	402	392	429
Grade 11	386	391	361
Grade 12	414	371	375
Ungraded Secondary	63	60	47
Total K-12	5611	5435	5297

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	20	18	18
Grade 8			
English	22	21	21
Mathematics	21	21	20
Science	20	19	19
Social Studies	23	21	21
Grade 10			
English	20	20	21
Mathematics	22	22	21
Science	19	21	22
Social Studies	22	21	22

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1383	25%	1479	27%	1401	26%
Reduced-Price Lunch	492	9%	555	10%	460	9%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	47	1%	33	1%	37	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	31	1%	30	1%	50	1%
Black or African American	596	11%	622	11%	619	12%
Hispanic or Latino	145	3%	145	3%	156	3%
Asian or Native	38	1%	50	1%	41	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	4801	86%	4588	84%	4431	84%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	200	4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		92%		94%
Student Suspensions	328	N/A	316	6%	357	7%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	1191	1220	1641
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	3	3	0
Percentage of Total	1%	1%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	46%	49%	50%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	458	459	452
Total Other Professional Staff	49	47	48
Total Paraprofessionals*	105	107	108
Assistant Principals	6	6	5
Principals	10	10	10

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006-07)

Good Standing

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
 Math	★ Good Standing	Math	•••••
Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	V	V	✓	✓	V	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_	
Black or African American	/	'	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		•••••••
Hispanic or Latino	/	~	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	- -	••••••	- -	_ _	•••••••
White	~	V	•••••••••	V	V	••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	X		_	_	
Limited English Proficient			••••••••	- -		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Economically Disadvantaged	~	V	•••••••••	V	V	••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 5 of 6	X 5 of 6	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) 🔨 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Improvement (Year 3) 🔨 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Improvement (Year 4) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or

secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ² Test Pe		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (2401:2282)	V	<u> </u>	97%	<u>/</u>	165	120			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (21:18)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (382:256)	V	V	95%	V	144	115	•••••••••	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (74:72)	V	/	97%	/	169	111	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
White (2013:1921)	~	/	97%	/	168	120	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (480:279)	X	X	84%	✓ SH	106	115	100	115	
Limited English Proficient (20:20)	_	_	_	_	-	-	•••••••••	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (535:500)	V	V	95%	~	140	117	••••••••		
Final AYP Determination	X 5 of 6	<u> </u>							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (2413:2260)	/	V	96%	V	174	84		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (22:18)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (389:253)	/	V	95%	V	155	79	•••••••	•••••••
Hispanic or Latino (73:70)	V	V	96%	V	167	75	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)	- -	_	_	-	_	_	•••••••	_
White (2017:1904)	V	V	96%	V	177	84	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (478:270)	X	X	82%	/	110	79		
Limited English Proficient (20:20)	- -	_	- -	-	_	_	••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (810:494)	V	V	96%	~	158	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Final AYP Determination	X 5 of 6	j						

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or

secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹ All Students (847:784)	Safe Harbor Status Qualification		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target
	/	Qualified	<u> </u>	95%	<u> </u>	187	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (8:5)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (104:94)		Qualified	V	96%	~	177	100	•
Hispanic or Latino (23:22)		_	_	_	_	-	-	- -
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)		_	_	_	_	-	_	-
White (707:658)	• • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	95%	~	189	100	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (137:97)		Qualified	X	73%	~	164	100	
Limited English Proficient (7:7)		_	_	_	_	-	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged (220:201)	• ••••••	Qualified	V	95%	~	175	100	•

AYP Status



Made AYF



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹ All Students (362:351)	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
	V	<u>/</u>	99%	<u>/</u>	189	148		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (22:23)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (5:5)	_	_	-	_	-		_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	_	-	-	_	_		_
White (330:317)	/	/	99%	V	192	148	••••••••	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (18:19)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged (52:57)	V	V	100%	V	179	141	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004-05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Performance ³			Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (362:351)	V	<u> </u>	100%	<u>/</u>	193	140			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:3)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	
Black or African American (22:23)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (5:5)	_	_	-	_	-		_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	_	_	_	- -	_	_	_	
White (330:317)	/	~	100%	/	195	140		•••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (18:19)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (52:57)	V	V	100%	V	182	133	•••••••	••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3								

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator	^	Good Standing
(2006-07) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
•	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP Criterion		Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (390)	All Students (390) 🗸		79%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		_	-	<u> </u>	_	-		
Black or African American (28)		-	-	_	_	-		
Hispanic or Latino (9)		-	–	<u> </u>	_	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4)		-	-	-	_	-		
White (348)		/	82%	55%		•		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (33)		/	48%	55%	43%	49%		
Limited English Proficient (0)	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Economically Disadvantaged (50)		✓	62%	55%				
Final AYP Determination	1 1	of 1						

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

∧ G

Good Standing

10 schools identified 100% of total

ANNA MERRITT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
CHARLES A. UPSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
DEWITT CLINTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
EMMET BELKNAP MIDDLE SCHOOL
GEORGE SOUTHARD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
JOHN E. POUND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
LOCKPORT HIGH SCHOOL
NORTH PARK MIDDLE SCHOOL
ROY KELLEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

WASHINGTON HUNT SCHOOL

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested				
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%			
Grade 3	77%		350			
Grade 4	79%		380			
Grade 5	78%		344			
Grade 6	70%		390			
Grade 7	60%		412			
Grade 8	52%		414			
Mathematics						
Grade 3	88%		353			
Grade 4	87%		382			
Grade 5	82%		■ 347			
Grade 6	70%		387			
Grade 7	74%		416			
Grade 8	71%		417			
Science						
Grade 4	96%		385			
Grade 8	81%		416			
	_	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort			
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%			
English	78%		432			
Mathematics	81%		432			
	Percentage of	of students	2002			
	who graduat	ed	Cohort			
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%			
2002 Cohort	73%		432			

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	350	97%	77%	6%					
Female	167	97%	78%	8%					
Male	183	96%	77%	4%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-					
Black or African American	41	98%	63%	2%	••••				
Hispanic or Latino	11			-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_					
White	294	96%	79%	7%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004		
Small Group Totals	15	100%	93%	0%	•••••				
General-Education Students	310	98%	82%	7%					
Students with Disabilities	40	83%	40%	0%					
English Proficient	350	97%	77%	6%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	61	95%	67%	3%					
Not Disadvantaged	289	97%	80%	7%		••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	350	97%	77%	6%		••••	••••••		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested 1	2-4	3-4 -	<u>4</u> –	Tested This tes	2-4 3-4 st was not given in 2004-05		4)4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	2	_	_	N/A	N/A	N/A	 N/A	N/A
Grade 3				,	·	ŕ	,	,

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	353	99%	88%	23%				
Female	166	98%	86%	22%				
Male	187	99%	91%	24%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	42	98%	83%	10%	• • • • • • •			
Hispanic or Latino	13	-			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2				• • • • • • •			
Pacific Islander			_ 					
White	294	99%	89%	24%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	17	100%	94%	24%				
General-Education Students	312	99%	92%	25%				
Students with Disabilities	41	95%	63%	7%	• • • • • • • •			
English Proficient	351	_	_	_				
Limited English Proficient	2	_		_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Ì
Economically Disadvantaged	62	98%	85%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged	291	99%	89%	26%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	353	99%	88%	23%	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	2004-05 School Year						
Assassments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	<u>r</u>		2004-05 Schoo	ol Year	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Per	Total Percentage scoring at leve					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4 3-	4 4				
All Students	380	96%	79%	7%							
Female	172	97%	84%	8%							
Male	208	95%	75%	5%		••••••	•••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-							
Black or African American	53	94%	64%	4%							
Hispanic or Latino 10 – – New assessments for elem											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1			_	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were						
Pacific Islander	т	_	_ 	_ 							
White	315	96%	81%	7%	administered						
Small Group Totals	12	100%	83%	0%	these assessn		•				
General-Education Students	328	99%	85%	8%	compared to administered						
Students with Disabilities	52	73%	40%	0%	aummstereu	assessments).				
English Proficient	379	_	_	-							
Limited English Proficient	1	-		_							
Economically Disadvantaged	75	93%	67%	1%							
Not Disadvantaged	305	96%	82%	8%		•••••	•••••				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	380	96%	79%	7%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number sco	coring at level(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0	2-4	3-4	4	4	<u> </u>	3-4	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	-	-	N/A	4	-	-	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level	(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4	4				
All Students	382	96%	87%	28%						
Female	174	95%	84%	25%						
Male	208	97%	90%	31%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_						
Black or African American	55	93%	71%	15%						
Hispanic or Latino	11		- -		New assessments for elementary-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	-	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from					
White	314	97%	90%	31%						
Small Group Totals	13	92%	85%	23%	these assessments cannot be dire	•				
General-Education Students	331	99%	93%	31%	compared to results from previous administered assessments.	sly				
Students with Disabilities	51	76%	53%	10%	duffiffistered assessments.					
English Proficient	380	-	-	_						
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	-						
Economically Disadvantaged	76	91%	82%	14%						
Not Disadvantaged	306	97%	89%	32%						
Migrant										
Not Migrant	382	96%	87%	28%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total Number scoring at leve			el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				4	-	-	-	

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	385	100%	96%	70%	361	100%	95%	63%	
Female	176	100%	95%	70%	181	100%	97%	64%	
Male	209	100%	97%	69%	180	99%	93%	62%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	-	3	-	-	-	
Black or African American	55	100%	93%	45%	46	98%	91%	43%	
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	_	-	11	100%	82%	64%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	_	_	5	_	_	_	
White	316	100%	97%	73%	296	100%	96%	66%	
Small Group Totals	14	100%	86%	79%	8	100%	100%	63%	
General-Education Students	333	100%	98%	74%	312	100%	97%	67%	
Students with Disabilities	52	98%	87%	38%	49	100%	84%	35%	
English Proficient	383	-	_	-	357	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	4		_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	76	100%	96%	57%	122	99%	88%	40%	
Not Disadvantaged	309	100%	96%	73%	239	100%	99%	74%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	385	100%	96%	70%	361	100%	95%	63%	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total Number scoring at leve			el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				4	-	-	-	

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		200	2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Total		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Teste	d	2-4	3-4	4		
344	99%	78%	20%							
180	99%	81%	23%							
164	99%	76%	17%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
3	-	-	-							
45	100%	44%	11%							
11	100%	73%	9%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
3	-	- -	-	• • • • • • •						
282	99%	84%	22%	TI	nis test	was not giv	en in 2004			
6	100%	83%	33%							
306	100%	84%	23%							
38	95%	37%	3%							
343	_	_	_							
1	_		_							
62	98%	58%	15%							
282	100%	83%	22%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
344	99%	78%	20%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested 344 180 164 3 45 11 3 282 6 306 38 343 1 62 282	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 344 99% 180 99% 164 99% 3 - 45 100% 11 100% 3 - 282 99% 6 100% 306 100% 38 95% 343 - 1 - 62 98% 282 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4 344 99% 78% 180 99% 81% 164 99% 76% 3 - - 45 100% 44% 11 100% 73% 3 - - 282 99% 84% 6 100% 83% 306 100% 84% 38 95% 37% 343 - - 1 - - 62 98% 58% 282 100% 83%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 344 99% 78% 20% 180 99% 81% 23% 164 99% 76% 17% 3 45 100% 44% 11% 11 100% 73% 9% 3 282 99% 84% 22% 6 100% 83% 33% 306 100% 84% 23% 38 95% 37% 3% 343 1 62 98% 58% 15% 282 100% 83% 22%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 344 99% 78% 20% 180 99% 81% 23% 164 99% 76% 17% 3 45 100% 44% 11% 11 100% 73% 9% 3 282 99% 84% 22% The 6 100% 83% 33% 306 100% 84% 23% 38 95% 37% 3% 343 1 1 1 62 98% 58% 15% 282 100% 83% 22%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Total Tested 344 99% 78% 20% 180 99% 81% 23% 164 99% 76% 17% 3 - - - 45 100% 44% 11% 11 100% 73% 9% 3 - - - 282 99% 84% 22% This test 6 100% 83% 33% 306 100% 84% 23% 38 95% 37% 3% 343 - - - 1 - - - 62 98% 58% 15% 282 100% 83% 22%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage 2-4 344 99% 78% 20% 180 99% 81% 23% 164 99% 76% 17% 3 - - - 45 100% 44% 11% 11 100% 73% 9% 3 - - - 282 99% 84% 22% This test was not giv 6 100% 83% 33% 306 100% 84% 23% 38 95% 37% 3% 343 - - - 1 - - - 62 98% 58% 15% 282 100% 83% 22%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Perce		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	J		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested 4	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> –	Tested This test	2-4 was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4)4-05.	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	4	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004–05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	347	97%	82%	22%						
Female	183	97%	84%	19%						
Male	164	96%	80%	25%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	_						
Black or African American	46	93%	61%	9%				İ		
Hispanic or Latino	13	92%	69%	15%	•••••			İ		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_				Ì		
White	281	98%	86%	24%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	29%	•••••			·		
General-Education Students	311	98%	87%	24%						
Students with Disabilities	36	83%	39%	0%	•••••			Ì		
English Proficient	342	97%	82%	22%						
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	60%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged	62	97%	73%	10%						
Not Disadvantaged	285	97%	84%	25%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant	1	_	_	-						
Not Migrant	346		-	<u> </u>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S d	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	390	95%	70%	13%						
Female	196	98%	74%	15%						
Male	194	92%	65%	10%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	_								
Black or African American	38	92%	58%	5%						
Hispanic or Latino	15	93%	67%	13%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-						
White	329	96%	72%	14%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	8	100%	63%	0%						
General-Education Students	339	99%	78%	14%						
Students with Disabilities	51	69%	20%	0%						
English Proficient	389	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient	1	_	-	-				,		
Economically Disadvantaged	44	84%	45%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged	346	97%	73%	14%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant										
Not Migrant	390	95%	70%	13%						


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested 3	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> –	Tested This tes	t was not giv	3-4 ven in 200	4)4-05.
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	-	_	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	387	93%	70%	14%							
Female	197	96%	71%	13%							
Male	190	89%	70%	14%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	_	_							
Black or African American	38	89%	42%	3%				Ì			
Hispanic or Latino	15	93%	73%	7%	•••••			Ì			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_				·			
White	326	93%	73%	15%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004				
Small Group Totals	8	75%	75%	13%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			ľ			
General-Education Students	339	98%	77%	16%							
Students with Disabilities	48	56%	23%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
English Proficient	385	_	_	_							
Limited English Proficient	2	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged	43	86%	51%	5%							
Not Disadvantaged	344	93%	73%	15%	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	387	93%	70%	14%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	2004-05 School Year						
Assassments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	412	95%	60%	5%							
Female	206	97%	65%	6%							
Male	206	94%	56%	5%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	_	_	-							
Black or African American	43	95%	40%	0%				,			
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	50%	0%				,			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_				·			
White	357	95%	63%	6%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	50%	0%	•••••			ľ			
General-Education Students	369	98%	66%	6%							
Students with Disabilities	43	74%	16%	0%							
English Proficient	411	_	_	_							
Limited English Proficient	1			_							
Economically Disadvantaged	129	91%	47%	4%							
Not Disadvantaged	283	97%	66%	6%	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	412	95%	60%	5%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sc	J	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	Tested 2	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> –	Tested This tes	t was not giv	3-4 ven in 200)4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	416	93%	74%	16%						
Female	208	93%	75%	16%						
Male	208	93%	73%	16%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-						
Black or African American	44	93%	68%	7%						
Hispanic or Latino	7	86%	43%	0%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	-						
White	359	94%	76%	18%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals	6	83%	33%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
General-Education Students	373	98%	80%	18%						
Students with Disabilities	43	56%	26%	2%	• • • • • • •					
English Proficient	412	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	4	_			• • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	127	90%	61%	10%						
Not Disadvantaged	289	95%	80%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant										
Not Migrant	416	93%	74%	16%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
All Students	414	95%	52%	5%						
Female	208	96%	53%	8%						
Male	206	94%	51%	2%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-						
Black or African American	42	90%	26%	2%						
Hispanic or Latino	11	91%	45%	0%	New assessments for elementary-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	3	_			and middle-level English language					
Pacific Islander			. .	•••••	arts and mathematics were					
White	354	96%	56%	6%	administered in 2006. Results from					
Small Group Totals	7	71%	29%	14%	these assessments cannot be directly					
General-Education Students	369	97%	58%	6%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.					
Students with Disabilities	45	76%	2%	0%	duministered assessments.					
English Proficient	410	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient	4	_								
Economically Disadvantaged	134	90%	25%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	280	97%	65%	8%						
Migrant										
Not Migrant	414	95%	52%	5%						


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	4	3	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	-	-	N/A	0				

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 S	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	417	95%	71%	14%						
Female	208	93%	68%	13%						
Male	209	97%	73%	14%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	-	-	-						
Black or African American	43	88%	40%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	11	91%	64%	9%	New ass	essments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	and middle-level English language					
White	354	95%	75%	16%	administ	ered in 2006	6. Results f	rom		
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	11%		sessments c		,		
General-Education Students	373	98%	76%	15%	•	d to results	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	44	64%	20%	0%	administ	ered assessr	nents.			
English Proficient	412	95%	71%	14%						
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	40%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	134	91%	56%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged	283	96%	77%	19%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	417	95%	71%	14%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	4	2	2	2			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	4	3	2	2			

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	age scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 85% 42%				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	416	97%	81%	30%	420	98%	85%	42%			
Female	209	95%	76%	24%	208	100%	85%	38%			
Male	207	99%	86%	37%	212	96%	85%	46%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	_		_	2	_					
Black or African American	45	96%	56%	13%	51	94%	67%	8%			
Hispanic or Latino	11	91%	64%	18%	7	86%	57%	29%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	_	_	3	-	_	_			
White	351	97%	85%	33%	357	98%	88%	47%			
Small Group Totals	9	100%	78%	22%	5	100%	80%	60%			
General-Education Students	374	98%	85%	33%	364	100%	91%	48%			
Students with Disabilities	42	93%	45%	7%	56	84%	45%	4%			
English Proficient	411	97%	82%	30%	419	-	_	-			
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	20%	1	_	_	_			
Economically Disadvantaged	133	95%	68%	12%	135	97%	78%	26%			
Not Disadvantaged	283	98%	87%	39%	285	98%	88%	50%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	416	97%	81%	30%	420	98%	85%	42%			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 S d	hool Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	4	2	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent			4	۷	2	_	_	_	
Regents Science	0				0				


District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 12 58 207 84 361 671 ..7 99 220 81 407 667 Feb 2004 Feb 2003 18 107 221 106 452 665




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	17	195	193	38	443	700
Jan 2004	26	208	199	32	465	699
Jan 2003	32	214	186	19	451	693


District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 8 27 134 192 361 682 May 2005 23 205 172 404 674 May 2004 4 May 2003 8 60 216 168 452 668



	Number o	of students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	34	127	230	42	433	723
May 2004	36	116	258	52	462	725
May 2003	37	146	236	33	452	720

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohor	2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	432	81%	78%	35%	454	77%	75%	38%	
Female	223	82%	80%	37%	217	84%	81%	48%	
Male	209	80%	76%	33%	237	71%	69%	30%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	_	-	-	1	-	_	-	
Black or African American	33	64%	61%	12%	40	63%	55%	10%	
Hispanic or Latino	7	86%	57%	14%	14	57%	50%	21%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_	5	_	_	_	
White	386	82%	80%	37%	394	80%	78%	42%	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	67%	6	67%	67%	33%	
General-Education Students	392	87%	84%	39%	403	84%	82%	43%	
Students with Disabilities	40	25%	15%	0%	51	27%	20%	2%	
English Proficient	430	_	_	-	452	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	-	2	_	_		
Economically Disadvantaged	80	70%	63%	16%					
Not Disadvantaged	352	84%	82%	39%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	432	81%	78%	35%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohor	2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	432	83%	81%	19%	454	82%	78%	19%	
Female	223	82%	81%	17%	217	88%	83%	23%	
Male	209	84%	82%	22%	237	76%	73%	14%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	_	-	
Black or African American	33	64%	61%	12%	40	60%	53%	8%	
Hispanic or Latino	7	86%	86%	0%	14	57%	50%	14%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_	5	_	_	_	
White	386	84%	83%	20%	394	85%	81%	20%	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	50%	6	67%	67%	33%	
General-Education Students	392	89%	88%	21%	403	87%	84%	21%	
Students with Disabilities	40	25%	23%	3%	51	41%	25%	0%	
English Proficient	430	-	-	-	452	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	2	_	-	_	2	_	_	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	80	69%	66%	11%					
Not Disadvantaged	352	86%	85%	21%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	432	83%	81%	19%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	432	73%	2%	12%	5%	8%
	2001	454	71%	3%	13%	8%	5%
Female	2002	223	74%	2%	10%	5%	9%
	2001	217	79%	2%	9%	7%	3%
Male	2002	209	73%	1%	14%	5%	6%
	2001	237	63%	4%	17%	8%	7%
American Indian	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Black or	2002	33	48%	15%	15%	12%	9%
African American	2001	40	48%	5%	20%	10%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	7	71%	0%	14%	0%	14%
•	2001	14	43%	7%	43%	0%	7%
Asian or Native	2002	4	_	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	5	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	386	75%	1%	12%	5%	8%
	2001	394	74%	3%	11%	8%	4%
Small Group Totals	2002	6	83%	0%	17%	0%	0%
•	2001	6	67%	0%	17%	0%	17%
General-Education Students	2002	392	79%	0%	10%	4%	7%
	2001	403	75%	0%	13%	7%	5%
Students with Disabilities	2002	40	15%	20%	35%	13%	18%
	2001	51	35%	27%	16%	12%	10%
English Proficient	2002	430	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	452			<u>-</u>	.	<u> </u>
Limited English Proficient	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	80	53%	5%	19%	11%	13%
•••••						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Not Disadvantaged	2002	352	78%	1%	11%	4%	7%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	432	73%	2%	12%	5%	8%

NOTES

District LOCKPORT CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	458	74%	2%	16%	1%	7%
Female	217	82%	1%	11%	1%	6%
Male	241	68%	3%	20%	1%	8%
American Indian	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native						
Black or	42	55%	5%	24%	0%	17%
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	14	43%	7%	43%	0%	7%
Asian or Native	5	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	396	78%	2%	14%	1%	6%
Small Group Totals	6	67%	0%	17%	0%	17%
General-Education Students	407	79%	0%	15%	0%	6%
Students with Disabilities	51	41%	22%	20%	6%	12%
English Proficient	456	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	67	52%	9%	25%	1%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	391	78%	1%	14%	1%	6%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	458	74%	2%	16%	1%	7%

NOTES