

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 401001060000
Superintendent C. DOUGLAS WHELAN
Telephone (716) 210-2352
Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	189	170	191
Grade 1	193	198	179
Grade 2	181	197	200
Grade 3	201	188	211
Grade 4	191	207	196
Grade 5	233	202	220
Grade 6	223	239	206
Ungraded Elementary	36	40	39
Grade 7	229	227	245
Grade 8	259	234	233
Grade 9	252	273	236
Grade 10	231	231	263
Grade 11	199	225	226
Grade 12	198	195	213
Ungraded Secondary	33	33	26
Total K-12	2848	2859	2884

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	23	23	22
Grade 8			
English	26	23	23
Mathematics	26	23	23
Science	26	23	24
Social Studies	26	23	23
Grade 10			
English	26	22	20
Mathematics	23	23	21
Science	24	23	22
Social Studies	24	23	24

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	191	7%	191	7%	204	7%
Reduced-Price Lunch	174	6%	182	6%	134	5%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	10	0%	18	1%	16	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	0%	6	0%	9	0%
Black or African American	24	1%	28	1%	31	1%
Hispanic or Latino	15	1%	13	0%	12	0%
Asian or Native	9	0%	10	0%	12	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2793	98%	2802	98%	2820	98%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		97%		96%
Student Suspensions	68	N/A	69	2%	76	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	521	529	747
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	3	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	6	1	0
Percentage of Total	3%	1%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	12%	11%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	185	204	225
Total Other Professional Staff	20	21	22
Total Paraprofessionals*	34	36	39
Assistant Principals	2	3	3
Principals	4	4	4

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006-07)

Good Standing

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	l
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA	♠ Good Standing
Math	♦ Good Standing	Math	♦ Good Standing
Science	♦ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	♠ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

<u></u>					
2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Elementary/Middle Level			evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	V	✓	V	V	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_	
Black or African American	_	_	••••••	_	_	••••••
Hispanic or Latino	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
White	~	V	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	V	V	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓		_	_	
Limited English Proficient	_	- -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Economically Disadvantaged	~	V	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1	√ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Improvement (Year 3) 🔨

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

Improvement (Year 4) ∧

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (1353:1333)	<u>✓</u>	<u>✓</u>	99%	<u>/</u>	174	119	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity						1		1
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (21:21)	_	_	-	_	_	_	•••••••	_
Hispanic or Latino (6:5)	_	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	-	_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
White (1315:1297)	~	/	99%	/	175	119	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (177:171)	V	✓	97%	V	125	114		
Limited English Proficient (6:6)	-	_	_	_	_	_	•••••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (203:200)	V	/	100%	V	162	114	•••••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject		
(2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	P Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (1354:1327)	<u>✓</u>	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	178	83		2000 0/
Ethnicity								'
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:5)	-	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (18:18)	_	_	-	-	_	_	•••••••	_
Hispanic or Latino (6:5)	_	_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	_	_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
White (1319:1294)	/	V	99%	/	178	83	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (178:172)	/	V	97%	V	122	78		
Limited English Proficient (6:6)	_	_	_	_	_	_	•••••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (208:197)	/	V	99%	V	170	78	•••••••••	•••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

icipation ²	Test Performance ³	Performa	Performance Objectives		
Percentage rion Tested	Met Perform	nance State Standard	Progress Target		
98%	193	100			
-		-	_		
_		_	_		
_			_		
······		_	_		
98%	193	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
86%	167	100			
-		_	_		
94%	189	100	••••••		
à					

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (227:222)	V	<u> </u>	98%	V	190	147		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (1:2)	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	_	_	-	_		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							•••••••	•••
White (224:218)	/	V	98%	V	191	146	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (26:27)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:0)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged (20:16)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (227:222)	- Status	✓ Criterion	99%	<u> </u>	191	139	2005-00	2000-07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (1:2)	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)			••••••	• •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••	••••••••
White (224:218)	/	V	99%	V	192	138	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (26:27)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:0)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged (20:16)	- -	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Indicator (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (210)	/	/	88%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (1)		_	-	-	_	-		
Hispanic or Latino (1)	• • • • • • • •	_	-	_	-	- -		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)								
White (208)	• • • • • • • •	~	88%	55%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (12)		_	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (1)		-	-	<u> </u>	_	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (22)		-	-	-	_	_		
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1						

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status



Good Standing

4 schools identified 100% of total

FRICANO PRIMARY SCHOOL STARPOINT HIGH SCHOOL STARPOINT INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL STARPOINT MIDDLE SCHOOL

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	80%		208
Grade 4	82%		199
Grade 5	79%		229
Grade 6	80%		215
Grade 7	78%		245
Grade 8	55%		238
Mathematics			
Grade 3	95%		209
Grade 4	92%		201
Grade 5	80%		231
Grade 6	78%		216
Grade 7	68%		245
Grade 8	70%		237
Science			
Grade 4	98%		197
Grade 8	89%		237
	_	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English Mathematics	90%		235 235
	Percentage of who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	85%	'	235

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	School Year	School Year			
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	208	99%	80%	7%					
Female	98	99%	79%	8%					
Male	110	98%	82%	6%			••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	5	_	_	-					
Hispanic or Latino	2		_	-	••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander					•••••				
White	199	98%	81%	8%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	0%					
General-Education Students	195	99%	85%	8%					
Students with Disabilities	13	85%	15%	0%					
English Proficient	208	99%	80%	7%					
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	30	93%	70%	3%					
Not Disadvantaged	178	99%	82%	8%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	208	99%	80%	7%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0			·		st was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı	
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	209	100%	95%	32%				
Female	98	100%	93%	29%				
Male	111	100%	97%	34%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	_	_	-				
Hispanic or Latino	2	_	_	-	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_				
White	200	100%	96%	32%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	22%				
General-Education Students	196	100%	97%	34%				
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	69%	0%	•••••			
English Proficient	208	_	_	_				
Limited English Proficient	1			-				
Economically Disadvantaged	32	100%	94%	16%				
Not Disadvantaged	177	100%	95%	34%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	209	100%	95%	32%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year			2004-05	-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-	o5 School Ye	ar				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scorir			ing at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
199	97%	82%	7%							
92	98%	86%	8%							
107	97%	79%	7%			•••••••••••				
1	_	_	_							
2		_	-	New	assessments	for elementa	ary-			
4	••••	••••••		and middle-level English language						
т	_	- 	_	arts	tics were					
195	-	-	-							
199	97%	82%	7%				•			
183	99%	86%	8%		•	•	iously			
16	81%	31%	0%	aum	imstered asses	sments.				
199	97%	82%	7%							
••••••	••••	•••••••								
32	94%	59%	3%							
167	98%	86%	8%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
199	97%	82%	7%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Total Tested 199 92 107 1 2 1 195 199 183 16 199 32 167	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 199 97% 92 98% 107 97% 1 - 2 - 1 - 195 - 199 97% 183 99% 16 81% 199 97% 32 94% 167 98%	Tested 2-4 3-4 199 97% 82% 92 98% 86% 107 97% 79% 1 2 1 195 199 97% 82% 183 99% 86% 16 81% 31% 199 97% 82% 32 94% 59% 167 98% 86%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 199 97% 82% 7% 92 98% 86% 8% 107 97% 79% 79% 79% 79% 1 2 1 1 1 1 195 1 199 97% 82% 7% 183 99% 86% 8% 16 81% 31% 0% 199 97% 82% 7% 32 94% 59% 3% 167 98% 86% 8%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 199 97% 82% 7% 92 98% 86% 8% 107 97% 79% 79% 1 New and arts 195 adm thes 199 97% 82% 7% 183 99% 86% 8% 16 81% 31% 0% 199 97% 82% 7% 32 94% 59% 3% 167 98% 86% 8%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 199 97% 82% 7% 92 98% 86% 8% 107 97% 79% 7% 1 - - - 2 - - - 195 - - - 199 97% 82% 7% 183 99% 86% 8% 16 81% 31% 0% 199 97% 82% 7% 32 94% 59% 38 86% 88	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	3-4	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	-	-	N/A	2	-	-	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		20	04-05 S 0	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	To	Total Percentage scoring a		scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	sted	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	201	98%	92%	40%							
Female	93	97%	94%	34%							
Male	108	98%	91%	44%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••							
Hispanic or Latino	2	_		_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	New asse	ssments foi	r elementa	ıry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	······	••••	••••	••••		and middle-level English language					
Pacific Islander	1	_	_ 	_		s were					
White	198	-	_	-			red in 2006				
Small Group Totals	201	98%	92%	40%			essments c		•		
General-Education Students	185	99%	96%	43%		•	I to results	•	iously		
Students with Disabilities	16	75%	50%	0%		auministe	red assessr	nents.			
English Proficient	200	_	_	_							
Limited English Proficient	1	_	- -	_							
Economically Disadvantaged	32	97%	84%	22%							
Not Disadvantaged	169	98%	93%	43%			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	201	98%	92%	40%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at lev						rel(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			1				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2				1	_		_	

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Total Tested 197 90 107	Percentage 2-4 100% 100% 100%	98% 99% 97%	level(s): 4 76% 76% 76%	104 100% 94% 6 116 99% 98% 6 3 1 216 220 100% 96% 6			
90 107	100% 100%	98% 99%	76% 76%	220 104	100% 100%	96%	4 63% 60%
90	100%	99%	76%	104	100%		
107						94%	60%
	100%	97%	76%	116			
					99%	98%	66%
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		••••••	•••••	3	_	_	_
2	_	_	-			•••••	
1	_	- -	_	1	_	_	_
	••••		•••••				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
194			<u>-</u>	216			
197	100%	98%	76%	220	100%	96%	63%
185	100%	98%	78%	203	100%	97%	67%
12	100%	92%	42%	17	100%	94%	24%
196	-	_	-	218	-	-	-
1	_	_	-	2	_	_	_
30	100%	93%	67%	32	100%	100%	56%
167	100%	99%	77%	188	99%	96%	64%
197	100%	98%	76%	220	100%	96%	63%
	1 194 197 185 12 196 1 30	1 - 194 - 197 100% 185 100% 12 100% 196 - 1 - 30 100% 167 100%	1 194 197 100% 98% 185 100% 98% 12 100% 92% 196 1 30 100% 93% 167 100% 99%	1 - - 194 - - 197 100% 98% 76% 185 100% 98% 78% 12 100% 92% 42% 196 - - - 1 - - - 30 100% 93% 67% 167 100% 99% 77%	1 - - - 1 194 - - - - 216 197 100% 98% 76% 220 185 100% 98% 78% 203 12 100% 92% 42% 17 196 - - - 218 1 - - - 2 30 100% 93% 67% 32 167 100% 99% 77% 188	1 - - - 1 - 194 - - - 216 - 197 100% 98% 76% 220 100% 185 100% 98% 78% 203 100% 12 100% 92% 42% 17 100% 196 - - - 2 - 1 - - - 2 - 30 100% 93% 67% 32 100% 167 100% 99% 77% 188 99%	1 -

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at lev						rel(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			1				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2				1	_		_	

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	229	99%	79%	15%							
Female	107	100%	79%	15%							
Male	122	98%	78%	16%		••••	••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-							
Black or African American	3	_		_							
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	••••••	• • • • • • •						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••	•••••	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Pacific Islander	1			_							
White	223	99%	79%	15%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.			
Small Group Totals	6	83%	50%	17%							
General-Education Students	196	100%	85%	18%							
Students with Disabilities	33	91%	39%	0%	• • • • • • •						
English Proficient	228	_	_	_							
Limited English Proficient	1	_		_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged	33	100%	70%	9%							
Not Disadvantaged	196	98%	80%	16%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	229	99%	79%	15%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	J		Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	14-05
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	-							
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	2	-	_	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5								

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	231	95%	80%	22%							
Female	107	94%	74%	16%							
Male	124	95%	85%	27%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-							
Black or African American	3	_			• • • • • • •						
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_		•••••						
Pacific Islander											
White	225	95%	80%	23%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.			
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	0%							
General-Education Students	198	99%	87%	26%							
Students with Disabilities	33	70%	36%	0%	• • • • • • • •						
English Proficient	228	-	-	-							
Limited English Proficient	3	_	_	<u> </u>	• • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged	34	94%	76%	12%							
Not Disadvantaged	197	95%	80%	24%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	231	95%	80%	22%	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assassments	Total	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	215	98%	80%	14%				
Female	101	99%	83%	20%				
Male	114	96%	77%	10%			••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	5	_	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	2		_	_				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1		- -	<u> </u>				
White	206	98%	 81%	15%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	56%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
General-Education Students	188	100%	87%	16%				
Students with Disabilities	27	81%	30%	0%	• • • • • • •			
English Proficient	215	98%	80%	14%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged	34	94%	74%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	181	98%	81%	17%	•	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	215	98%	80%	14%	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-		-	This test			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	216	95%	78%	16%				
Female	102	94%	75%	14%				
Male	114	96%	82%	18%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	3	_		_	• • • • • • •			
Hispanic or Latino	2			_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	<u> </u>				
White	209	96%	78%	16%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	0%				
General-Education Students	188	99%	86%	18%				
Students with Disabilities	28	71%	29%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
English Proficient	216	95%	78%	16%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged	36	94%	78%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	180	96%	78%	18%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	216	95%	78%	16%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	6 School Year 2004-05 School Year						
Assassments	Total	Total	Number scoring at level(s):					
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı	
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	245	100%	78%	11%				
Female	107	100%	79%	11%				
Male	138	100%	78%	12%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	4	_		_	• • • • • • •			
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Pacific Islander								
White	240	100%	78%	12%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	0%				
General-Education Students	208	100%	83%	13%				
Students with Disabilities	37	100%	51%	0%	• • • • • • •			
English Proficient	245	100%	78%	11%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged	35	100%	74%	9%				
Not Disadvantaged	210	100%	79%	12%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	245	100%	78%	11%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment	rested	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested			2-4	3-4	4		
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	_ 	-	Inis test v	was not giv	en in 200		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	245	98%	68%	6%						
Female	107	98%	66%	7%						
Male	138	99%	69%	4%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	-						
Black or African American	4	_	_	_				i		
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		••••			İ		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••					İ		
Pacific Islander		.								
White	240	98%	69%	6%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals	5	100%	0%	0%						
General-Education Students	208	99%	74%	7%						
Students with Disabilities	37	95%	32%	0%				İ		
English Proficient	245	98%	68%	6%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		••••			İ		
Economically Disadvantaged	35	97%	54%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged	210	99%	70%	6%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	245	98%	68%	6%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 S	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	238	98%	55%	4%							
Female	126	99%	60%	5%							
Male	112	97%	48%	4%		••••••••••••	••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	-							
Black or African American	2	_	-	_	• • • • • • •						
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		New ass	essments fo	r elementa	ry-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		and mid	dle-level Eng	glish langu	age			
Pacific Islander					arts and mathematics were						
White	234	-	_	-	administ	ered in 200	6. Results from				
Small Group Totals	238	98%	55%	4%		sessments c		•			
General-Education Students	200	100%	62%	5%		ed to results ered assessi	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities	38	89%	16%	0%	administ	ereu assessi	nents.				
English Proficient	238	98%	55%	4%							
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged	35	94%	43%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged	203	99%	57%	5%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	238	98%	55%	4%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S (chool Year			2004-05 Se	5 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	-	-	N/A	0				

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		20	04-05 S 0	hool Year					
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Percentage scoring			g at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tes	ted	2-4	3-4	4			
237	97%	70%	6%								
123	123	123	97%	72%	6%						
114	96%	69%	7%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •			
2	_	_	_								
2			_								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	New asse	ssments for	elementa	ry-			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	•••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and midd	le-level Eng	ılish langu	age			
					s were						
233	-	-	-		administe	ered in 2006. Results from					
237	97%	70%	6%					•			
199	99%	78%	8%		•		•	iously			
38	82%	32%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	administe	red assessr	nents.				
236	_	_	_								
1		- -	-								
35	91%	54%	0%								
202	98%	73%	7%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
237	97%	70%	6%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	Total Tested 237 123 114 2 2 2 233 237 199 38 236 1 35 202	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 237 97% 123 97% 114 96% 2 - 2 - 2 - 237 97% 199 99% 38 82% 236 - 1 - 35 91% 202 98%	Tested 2-4 3-4 237 97% 70% 123 97% 72% 114 96% 69% 2 - - 2 - - 233 - - 237 97% 70% 199 99% 78% 38 82% 32% 236 - - 1 - - 35 91% 54% 202 98% 73%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 237 97% 70% 6% 123 97% 72% 6% 114 96% 69% 7% 2 2 2 237 97% 70% 6% 199 99% 78% 8% 38 82% 32% 0% 236 1 35 91% 54% 0% 202 98% 73% 7%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 237 97% 70% 6% 123 97% 72% 6% 114 96% 69% 7% 2 2 237 97% 70% 6% 233 237 97% 70% 6% 199 99% 78% 8% 38 82% 32% 0% 236 1 35 91% 54% 0% 202 98% 73% 7%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 237 97% 70% 6% 123 97% 72% 6% 114 96% 69% 7% 2 2 New assessments for and middle-level Engarts and mathematic administered in 2006 these assessments or compared to results administered assessr 38 82% 32% 0% 236 1 35 91% 54% 0% 202 98% 73% 7%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 237 97% 70% 6% 123 97% 72% 6% 114 96% 69% 7% 2 2 2 2 33 237 97% 70% 6% 199 99% 78% 8% 38 82% 32% 0% 236 1 35 91% 54% 0% 202 98% 73% 7%			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				4	-	-	-	

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	237	100%	89%	30%	228	100%	94%	48%
Female	122	99%	84%	23%	112	100%	93%	44%
Male	115	100%	94%	37%	116	99%	95%	52%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	_	-	-				
Black or African American	2	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	1		_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	233	_	_	_	226	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	237	100%	89%	30%	228	100%	94%	48%
General-Education Students	200	100%	94%	35%	207	100%	96%	52%
Students with Disabilities	37	97%	59%	3%	21	100%	71%	10%
English Proficient	236	_	_	-	227	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	_
Economically Disadvantaged	34	100%	85%	21%	21	100%	90%	48%
Not Disadvantaged	203	100%	89%	31%	207	100%	94%	48%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	237	100%	89%	30%	228	100%	94%	48%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 S c	hool Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				4	-	-	-	
Regents Science	0				0				


District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 6 44 119 46 215 669 12 37 42 206 Feb 2004 115 665 Feb 2003 2 49 121 52 224 669




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	0	62	135	34	231	713
Jan 2004	1	120	108	39	268	708
Jan 2003	11	77	130	18	236	701


District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 2 20 111 220 681 May 2005 5 19 104 77 205 670 May 2004 May 2003 2 23 130 70 225 667



Test Date	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	2	50	160	16	228	731
May 2004	7	63	169	32	271	730
May 2003	15	62	138	18	233	724

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohor			
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	235	91%	89%	29%	222	86%	85%	44%
Female	123	93%	92%	36%	111	87%	86%	49%
Male	112	88%	86%	21%	111	86%	83%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	2	_	_	-	2	_		-
Hispanic or Latino	1	_			1	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••
Pacific Islander								
White	231		_	_	219		_	_
Small Group Totals	235	91%	89%	29%	222	86%	85%	44%
General-Education Students	202	98%	97%	33%	208	90%	88%	47%
Students with Disabilities	33	52%	42%	3%	14	36%	29%	0%
English Proficient	235	91%	89%	29%	221	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	1	_		
Economically Disadvantaged	20	80%	70%	20%				
Not Disadvantaged	215	92%	91%	30%	••••••	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••	•••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	235	91%	89%	29%	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	ring at level 3–4	(s):	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	235	91%	90%	24%	222	87%	86%	20%
Female	123	93%	92%	24%	111	88%	86%	24%
Male	112	88%	88%	24%	111	86%	85%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	-	1	_	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	231		_	_	219		_	_
Small Group Totals	235	91%	90%	24%	222	87%	86%	20%
General-Education Students	202	97%	97%	27%	208	91%	90%	21%
Students with Disabilities	33	52%	45%	3%	14	21%	21%	0%
English Proficient	235	91%	90%	24%	221	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	1	_	-	_
Economically Disadvantaged	20	85%	75%	20%				
Not Disadvantaged	215	91%	91%	24%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	235	91%	90%	24%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	ring at level 3–4	(s):	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	235	85%	2%	2%	7%	4%
	2001	222	82%	2%	2%	9%	5%
Female	2002	123	90%	0%	3%	4%	2%
	2001	111	83%	0%	3%	8%	6%
Male	2002	112	79%	4%	1%	10%	6%
	2001	111	80%	4%	1%	11%	5%
American Indian	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	2	_	_	_	_	
African American	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	1	<u> </u>	_	_	_	_
The state of the s	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	2002	231		_	_	····	_
	2001	219	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	2002	235	85%	2%	2%	7%	4%
·	2001	222	82%	2%	2%	9%	5%
General-Education Students	2002	202	90%	0%	0%	5%	4%
	2001	208	87%	0%	1%	7%	5%
Students with Disabilities	2002	33	52%	15%	12%	15%	6%
	2001	14	7%	29%	14%	43%	7%
English Proficient	2002	235	85%	2%	2%	7%	4%
	2001	221	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	20	75%	0%	10%	10%	5%
							.
Not Disadvantaged	2002	215	86%	2%	1%	7%	4%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	235	85%	2%	2%	7%	4%

NOTES

District STARPOINT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	220	89%	2%	4%	1%	5%
Female	110	89%	0%	5%	0%	5%
Male	110	88%	4%	3%	2%	4%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	2	_	_	_	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	217	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	220	89%	2%	4%	1%	5%
General-Education Students	207	92%	0%	3%	0%	5%
Students with Disabilities	13	38%	31%	23%	8%	0%
English Proficient	219	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	24	83%	0%	8%	0%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	196	89%	2%	4%	1%	4%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	220	89%	2%	4%	1%	5%

NOTES