

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District BARKER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 401301040000 Superintendent STEVEN LA ROCK Telephone (716) 795-3832 Grades PK-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
69	50	51
63	67	54
74	59	69
73	73	65
73	74	72
76	70	76
82	70	74
88	85	70
0	0	0
105	95	89
85	98	96
103	84	97
82	92	84
85	77	89
81	88	78
0	0	0
1070	1032	1013
	69 63 74 73 73 73 76 82 88 0 0 105 85 103 85 103 82 85 81 0 0	69 50 63 67 74 59 73 73 73 74 76 70 82 70 88 85 0 0 105 95 85 98 103 84 82 77 81 88

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	19	17	18
Grade 8			
English	13	19	18
Mathematics	16	19	18
Science	16	19	19
Social Studies	16	20	19
Grade 10			
English	15	18	16
Mathematics	17	14	
Science	16	18	18
Social Studies	18	19	16

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	229	21%	269	26%	179	18%
Reduced-Price Lunch	100	9%	117	11%	123	12%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	20	2%	44	4%	20	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	24	2%	25	2%	25	2%
Black or African American	21	2%	24	2%	23	2%
Hispanic or Latino	52	5%	51	5%	51	5%
Asian or Native	11	1%	8	1%	9	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	962	90%	924	90%	905	89%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	40	N/A	57	5%	29	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	317	319	385
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	10%	2%	3%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	3	1	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	3%	1%	2%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	8	1	3
Percentage of Total	8%	1%	3%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	34%	36%	38%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	99	99	99
Total Other Professional Staff	13	11	10
Total Paraprofessionals*	25	20	18
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	3	3	3

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title | Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

^	District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	en io	dentified as a District in Need of Improvement
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified

make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year. District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

.....

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District BARKER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)		▲ Good Standing Elementary/Middle Level Secondary Level						
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing				
	Math	Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing				
Title I Part A Funding	Years t	he District Received T	itle I Part A Funding					

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding					
2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			
	2004–05				

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	v	 Image: A start of the start of	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_		-	_			
Black or African American	–	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	_	••••	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	-	•••••		
White	~	 ✓ 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	 ✓ 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	v		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	–	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	 ✓ 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	-	••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	J	Met Performance		Effective		Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (481:471)	 	V	99%	V	164	117			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (11:10)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (14:13)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (23:22)	_	_	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
White (429:422)	<	<	99%	 ✓ 	166	117	••• •••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (61:59)	SH	 	97%	✓ SH	90	109	20	101	
Limited English Proficient (7:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (159:152)	~	<	99%	~	146	114			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (478:470)	Status		100%		168	81	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (10:10)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (13:13)	–	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (22:22)	_	-	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)		-	-	-	-	-	••••••••••••••••	-
White (429:421)	<	~	100%	 	171	81	••• ••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (61:60)	~	~	98%	~	98	74		
Limited English Proficient (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (155:150)	<	 	99%	~	143	78	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	4						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

		AYP		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (169:165)	V	Qualified	 	99%	 	192	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (5:5)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (7:7)		-	–	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (152:148)		Qualified	<	99%	~	193	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (18:17)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged (51:48)	• •••••	Qualified	~	98%	~	177	100		
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1							

NOTES

- **AYP Status**
 - Made AYP
 - ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
 - X Did Not Make AYP
 - Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Chathar	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	5
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (72:79)	V	V	96%	V	191	143		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (6:6)	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (63:71)	<	✓	97%	 ✓ 	193	143	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (5:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (2:0)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (17:21)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance). 2

Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

AYP Status

1 Made AYP

VSH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05

combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

[‡] This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	5
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (72:79)	V	~	96%	V	189	135		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (6:6)	_	_	-	-	-	-	–	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	–	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (63:71)	<	✓	97%	~	190	135	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (5:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (2:0)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (17:21)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

2

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status those two years.
³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	uation		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (90)	~	~	90%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		_	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (0)						
Hispanic or Latino (2)		-	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)						
White (87)		~	90%	55%		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (8)		_	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (2)		-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (23)		_	_	-	-	_
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1				

NOTES

Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

▲ Good Standing

BARKER HIGH SCHOOL BARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL PRATT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

3 schools identified 100% of total

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	e of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	80%		70
Grade 4	72%		75
Grade 5	74%		74
Grade 6	64%		70
Grade 7	61%		89
Grade 8	65%		94
Mathematics			
Grade 3	93%		70
Grade 4	89%		75
Grade 5	72%		74
Grade 6	76%		71
Grade 7	57%		90
Grade 8	60%		92
Science			
Grade 4	L00%		75
Grade 8	87%		93
	-	e of students that or above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	93%		81
Mathematics	93%		81
	Percentag who gradu	e of students aated	2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	81%		81

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t			NY State F	NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s						
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 678	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780							
	100%	97%				92%						
			80%			92%	69%					
							09%					
				9	%			7%				
			50									
Number of Students:		68	56		6							
Results by		2005-06 S a	hool Year			2004-05	School Year					
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		70	97 %	80%	9 %							
Female		38	95%	79%	11%							
Male		32	100%	81%	6%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	1	_		_							
Black or African American		3										
Hispanic or Latino		7										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other												
Pacific Islander						······			05			
White			97%	80%	10%	inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		11	100%	82%	0%							
General-Education Students		66	-	-	-							
Students with Disabilities		4	-	-	-							
English Proficient		67	_		_							
Limited English Proficient		3	-		_							
Economically Disadvantaged		23	96%	78%	9%							
Not Disadvantaged		47	98%	81%	9%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		70	97%	80%	9%							

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 This test was not given in 2004-05. (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 2 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 3

4

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 682	Range:	624-770	650-7	770 7	03-770						
	100%	100%	93%			94%					
							81%				
				2	1%			25%			
Number of Students:		70	65	1	.5						
			ah a al Maa	_			Cabaal Vaa				
Results by		2005-06 S					School Year				
Student Grou	ap	Total Tested	Percentag	e scoring at 3–4	tevet(s):	Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	scoring at le 3–4	vel(s): 4		
All Students	I ⁻	70	2-4 100%	93%	21%	Testeu	2-4	5-4	4		
Female		38	100%	89%	26%						
Male	••••••		100%	97%	16%		•••••	••••••	•••••		
American Indian or Alaska	Native	1	_	_	_						
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7	-		-	• • • • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C)ther	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••								
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
White		59	100%	93%	25%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		11	100%	91%	0%						
General-Education Students	5	66	-	-	-						
Students with Disabilities		4	-	-	–						
English Proficient		67	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient		3	_	-	–						
Economically Disadvantage	d	23	100%	87%	13%						
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	47	100%	96%	26%		•••••	••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	70	100%	93%	21%		•••••				
NOTES											

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	test was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 670	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775						
	100%	93%				91%					
			72%			51%	69%				
				5	%			9%			
Number of Students:		70	54		4						
		10	51				_				
Results by		2005-06 S o				2004-05	School Year				
Student Grou	n	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	_	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		75	93%	72%	5%						
Female	•••••	30	97%	73%	3%				•••••		
Male		45	91%	71%	7%						
American Indian or Alaska N	lative										
Black or African American	•••••	2									
Hispanic or Latino							sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her	1	-	_	_		dle-level Eng		age		
Pacific Islander	•••••						d mathematic tered in 200		rom		
White		69	93%	74%	6%		ssessments c				
Small Group Totals		6 68	100%	50%	0%						
General-Education Students	•••••		99%	75%	6%		 compared to results from previously administered assessments. 				
Students with Disabilities		7	43%	43%	0%						
English Proficient	•••••	75	93%	72%	5%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged	•••••	21	95%	67%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		54	93%	74%	7%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		75	93%	72%	5%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 687	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800					
	100%	100%	89%			93%	78%			
				28	3%			26%		
Number of Students:		75	67	2	21					
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year			
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		75	100%	89%	28%					
Female		30	100%	87%	27%					
Male		45	100%	91%	29%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e									
Black or African American		2	. .							
Hispanic or Latino		3					sessments fo		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		1	-	-	-	arts and	dle-level Eng mathematic	s were	-	
White		69	100%	91%	30%		tered in 200			
Small Group Totals		6	100%	67%	0%		sessments c		-	
General-Education Students		68	100%	90%	29%		ed to results tered assessr	•	ously	
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	86%	14%	auminis	lereu dssessi	nents.		
English Proficient		75	100%	89%	28%					
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged		21	100%	81%	14%					
Not Disadvantaged		54	100%	93%	33%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant		75	100%	89%	28%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	49% 49% 49% 49% 49% 49% 49% 49% 49% 49%			
		Percentage s	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 86	Range:	45-100	65-1	.00	85-100					
■ 2005-06	100%	100%100%	100%	90%	57%	97% 95%	86% 80		[%] 42%	
Number of Students:						_	_			
Results by			75 70 75 63 50 40 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 Sch							
Student Group		Total		ge scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		75	100%	100%	67%	70	100%	90%	57%	
Female		30	100%	100%	63%	31	100%	84%	55%	
Male		45	100%	100%	69%	39	100%	95%	59%	
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive					2				
Black or African American		2				1				
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	1	-	-	-					
White		69	100%	100%	71%	64	100%	92%	58%	
Small Group Totals		6	100%	100%	17%	6	100%	67%	50%	
General-Education Students		68	100%	100%	66%	61	100%	95%	66%	
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7	100%	100%	71%	9	100%	56%	0%	
English Proficient		75	100%	100%	67%	68	-	-	_	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged		21	100%	100%	48%	29	100%	83%	38%	
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	54	100%	100%	74%	41	100%	95%	71%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••		100%	100%		70	100%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District				NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 658	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795						
	100%	92%				94%					
		5270	74%				67%				
							0170				
				3	%			12%			
Number of Students:		68	55		2						
					_						
Results by		2005–06 S o					School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	-		Total		scoring at le			
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		74	92%	74%	3%						
Female		34	91%	76%	3%						
Male		40	93%	73%	3%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	2			-	•••••					
Black or African American		1									
Hispanic or Latino		4	-								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander						·······			05		
White		67	94%	75%	3%	inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		7	71%	71%	0%						
General-Education Students		65	97%	85%	3%						
Students with Disabilities		9	56%	0%	0%						
English Proficient		72	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		33	82%	61%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	41	100%	85%	5%		••••	••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	74	92%	74%	3%		••••	••••••			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	2005-06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	٤l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			This tost	was not giv	on in 200	4.05	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	۷		-	_		was not yn		4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District				NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentages	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 665	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780						
	100%	92%				90%					
		5270	72%			90%	68%				
							0070				
								100/			
				1	4%			19%			
Number of Students:		68	53	1	LO						
Results by		2005–06 S e					School Year				
Student Group	2	Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	age scoring at level(s)			
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		74	92%	72%	14%	_					
Female	•••••		91%	62%	21%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••				
Male		40	93%	80%	8%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive	2		<u>-</u>		•••••					
Black or African American		1		<u>-</u>							
Hispanic or Latino		4									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er										
Pacific Islander				•••••		This too	st was not giv	on in 2001	05		
White		67	93%	75%	13%		st was not give	2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		7	86%	43%	14%						
General-Education Students			100%	80%	15%						
Students with Disabilities		9	33%	11%	0%						
English Proficient		72	-	_	_						
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		33	85%	55%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged		41	98%	85%	20%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••		92%	72%	14%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	test was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District				NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 658	Range:	598-785	650-78	85 7	05-785						
	100%	93%				93%					
			6.494								
			64%				60%				
				1:	L%			12%			
Number of Students:		65	45		3						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		70	93%	64%	11%						
Female		34	100%	76%	18%						
Male		36	86%	53%	6%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		1	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino		2	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	r	2									
Pacific Islander		۷۲									
White		65	92%	65%	12%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	60%	0%						
General-Education Students		59	98%	75%	14%						
Students with Disabilities		11	64%	9%	0%						
English Proficient		70	93%	64%	11%						
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged		24	83%	42%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	46	98%	76%	15%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	70	93%	64%	11%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
NOTES											

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 662	Range:	616-780	650-78	80 69	96-780					
	100%	93%								
		9370	76%			87%				
							60%			
				8	%			13%		
Number of Students:		66	54	(5					
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year			
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		71	93%	76%	8%					
Female		34	97%	76%	15%					
Male		37	89%	76%	3%					
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American		1	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino		2	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	2	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander		۷			-					
White		66	92%	77%	9%	This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		5	100%	60%	0%					
General-Education Students		60	100%	85%	10%					
Students with Disabilities		11	55%	27%	0%					
English Proficient		71	93%	76%	8%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		24	79%	54%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		47	100%	87%	13%					
Migrant										
		71	93%	76%	8%					

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 660	Range:	600-790	650-79	90 71	L2-790					
	100%	97%				92%				
						5270				
			61%				56%			
				89	%			8%		
Number of Students:		86	54		,					
Results by		2005–06 S				2004-05	School Year			
Student Group		Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le	vel(s):	
	Ρ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		89	97%	61%	8%					
Female		41	100%	66%	7%	•••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Male		48	94%	56%	8%					
American Indian or Alaska N	lative	3		<u>-</u>		•••••				
Black or African American		3		<u>-</u>		•••••				
Hispanic or Latino		1		<u>-</u>		•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her	1	-	-	-					
White			96%		9%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		8	100%	38%	0%	•••••	-			
General-Education Students		75	99%	68%	9%	_				
Students with Disabilities	•••••		86%	21%	0%	•••••				
English Proficient		89	97%	61%	8%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged		25	92%	48%	8%					
Not Disadvantaged		64	98%	66%	8%		••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		89	97%	61%	8%		••••	•••••••		
NOTES										

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage se	NY State Public Percentage scorreg at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 87% 56% 12% 87% 12% 12% 12004-05 Scher L Year 12% 12% Total Percentage scorreg at level 12% Tested 2-4 3-4				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 654	Range:	611-800	650-80	00 6	93-800						
	100%	91%				070/					
		5170				87%					
			57%				56%				
				1:	2%			12%			
Number of Students:		82	51	1							
Posults by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
Results by		Total Percentage scoring at la			level(s):				vel(s):		
Student Grou	lb dr	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		-	-	4		
All Students		90	91%	57%	12%						
Female		41	93%	54%	10%						
Male		49	90%	59%	14%						
American Indian or Alaska	Native	3									
Black or African American		3									
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C	Other	1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ									
White		82	93%	60%	13%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		8	75%	25%	0%						
General-Education Students	S	75	96%	64%	15%						
Students with Disabilities		15	67%	20%	0%						
English Proficient		90	91%	57%	12%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Economically Disadvantage	d	25	80%	40%	8%						
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	65	95%	63%	14%		••••	•••••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	••••••	90	91%	57%	12%		••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
NOTES											

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	_	_	This test	st was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t			NY State Public				
		Percentage so	-4 3-4 4 02-790 650-790 715-790 5% 65% 65% 60 65% 6% 00 61 6			Percentage so	coring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 663	Range:	602-790	650-79	90 7	15-790					
	100%	96%				91%				
			650/			5170				
			65%				49%			
				6	%			5%		
Number of Students:		90	61		6					
					-					
Results by		2005-06 Sc	hool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year			
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Grou	P	Tested	2-4	3-4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students			96%		6%					
Female	•••••	48	98%	60%	4%		••••			
Male			93%	70%	9%					
American Indian or Alaska N	ative	4								
Black or African American		4		<u>-</u>						
Hispanic or Latino		4					sessments for			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her						dle-level Eng		age	
Pacific Islander	••••••		• • • • • • •		— <i>~ i</i>		l mathematic tered in 2006		rom	
White	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		sessments ca			
Small Group Totals							ed to results			
General-Education Students	•••••						tered assessr	•		
Students with Disabilities		-				_				
English Proficient	•••••		96%	65%	6%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged	•••••	30	90%	47%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		64	98%	73%	9%					
Migrant	•••••									
Not Migrant		94	96%	65%	6%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public				
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 85% 54% 10% 85% 54% 10% 2004-05 School Year 10% Total Percentage scoring at level Total 2-4 3-4 New assessments for elementary and middle-level English languagatist and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be difficult compared to results from previou administered assessments.			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 656	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775					
	100%	93%								
		3370				85%				
			60%				54%			
				1	2%			10%		
Number of Students:		86	55							
De sulte hu		2005–06 Sc	shool Voar			2004-05	School Voar			
Results by			Percentage	scoring a			scoring at lov	vol(s).		
Student Group		Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4		-	-	4 et(s).	
 All Students		92	93%	<u> </u>	 12%	Tested	2 4	54	4	
Female		48	94%	54%	13%					
Male	•••••	44	93%	66%			••••	•••••		
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	4	-	_	_					
Black or African American		3	–	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	-	-	New ass	sessments fo	r elementar	у-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r								ge	
Pacific Islander										
White			95%	64%	14%					
Small Group Totals		11	82%	27%	0%				-	
General-Education Students		82	96%		13%			•	usty	
Students with Disabilities		10	70%	10%	0%					
English Proficient		92	93%	60%	12%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		28	82%	29%	4%					
Not Disadvantaged		64	98%	73%	16%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		92	93%	60%	12%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage scori	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 79	Range:	44-100	65-100	85-100				
 2005-06 2004-05 	100%	98% 98%	87% 89%	43% 47%	91% 91%	64% 68%	18% ^{25%}	
Number of Students:		91 99	81 90	40 47				

Results by	2005-06	School Yea		2004-05	2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	93	98 %	87%	43 %	101	98 %	89 %	47%	
Female	48	98%	81%	33%	46	100%	85%	41%	
Male	45	98%	93%	53%	55	96%	93%	51%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Black or African American	3	-	-	–	1	-	_	-	
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••			•••••	2	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander					2				
White	82	98%	89%	45%	92	98%	89%	47%	
Small Group Totals	11	100%	73%	27%	9	100%	89%	44%	
General-Education Students	83	98%	93%	48%	84	100%	98%	55%	
Students with Disabilities	10	100%	40%	0%	17	88%	47%	6%	
English Proficient	93	98%	87%	43%	101	98%	89%	47%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••			•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	29	93%	69%	28%	38	97%	84%	34%	
Not Disadvantaged	64	100%	95%	50%	63	98%	92%	54%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	93	98%	87%	43%	101	98%	89%	47%	


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Accoccmonts	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent								
Regents Science	0				0			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	d:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	4	12	35	20	71	669	
Feb 2004	2	16	49	12	79	667	
Feb 2003	2	31	38	9	80	654	


	This School	This School				
	Percentage so	oring at level(s):	Percentage so	oring at level(s):
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	94% 96% 99%	61% 61%	% 3% 11% 13%	93% 93% 91%	48% 47% 459	6 9% 11% 8%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	6	33	59	3	101	700	
Jan 2004	3	19	54	9	85	710	
Jan 2003	1	28	48	11	88	709	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	l:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	0	4	31	34	69	675	
May 2004	0	3	45	27	75	672	
May 2003	0	10	50	21	81	665	

	This School			NY State Public			
	Percentage so	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s	:	
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882				
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	94% 93% 95%	63% 68% 53%	<u>2% ^{6%} 5%</u>	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 519	6 9% ^{13%} 9%	

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	6	31	61	2	100	720	
May 2004	6	20	51	5	82	725	
May 2003	5	39	45	5	94	719	

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	100%	94% 91%	93% 89%	44% 47%	76% 74%	69% 68%	28% 33%	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 						_		

Results by	2002 Coho i	2001 Cohort*						
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	81	94%	93%	44%	95	91 %	89 %	47%
Female	32	91%	91%	28%	47	91%	91%	62%
Male	49	96%	94%	55%	48	90%	88%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	6	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	73	95%	93%	48%	92	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	8	88%	88%	13%	95	91%	89%	47%
General-Education Students	74	95%	95%	46%	86	97%	97%	52%
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	71%	29%	9	33%	22%	0%
English Proficient	81	94%	93%	44%	93	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	••••••				2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	22	86%	86%	36%				
Not Disadvantaged	59	97%	95%	47%			•••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	81	94%	93%	44%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	't*			2001 Cohort*			
Accoccmonte	Number	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	94% 91%	93% 87%	26%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Coho i	2001 Cohort*						
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	81	94%	93%	26 %	95	91 %	87%	14%
Female	32	88%	88%	22%	47	96%	91%	19%
Male	49	98%	96%	29%	48	85%	83%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-			•••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino	6	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-			•••••	
White	73	95%	93%	26%	92	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	8	88%	88%	25%	95	91%	87%	14%
General-Education Students	74	96%	95%	28%	86	95%	94%	15%
Students with Disabilities	7	71%	71%	0%	9	44%	22%	0%
English Proficient	81	94%	93%	26%	93	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	22	91%	91%	27%				
Not Disadvantaged	59	95%	93%	25%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	81	94%	93%	26%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Coho r	rt*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number					Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			


* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	81	81%	1%	2%	11%	4%
	2001	95	85%	0%	2%	4%	8%
Female	2002	32	75%	3%	3%	9%	9%
	2001	47	89%	0%	0%	6%	4%
Male	2002	49	86%	0%	2%	12%	0%
	2001	48	81%	0%	4%	2%	13%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native	2001	1	_	-	_	-	_
Black or	2002	1	_	-	-	_	_
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	6	-	-	-	-	-
	2001	2	—	-	-	—	-
Asian or Native	2002	1	_	_	-	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r						
White	2002	73	81%	1%	3%	11%	4%
	2001	92	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	2002	8	88%	0%	0%	13%	0%
	2001	95	85%	0%	2%	4%	8%
General-Education Students	2002	74	85%	0%	3%	8%	4%
	2001	86	87%	0%	2%	3%	7%
Students with Disabilities	2002	7	43%	14%	0%	43%	0%
	2001	9	67%	0%	0%	11%	22%
English Proficient	2002	81	81%	1%	2%	11%	4%
-	2001	93	—				
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	22	68%	5%	5%	18%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	59	86%	0%	2%	8%	3%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	81	81%	1%	2%	11%	4%

NOTES

2002 Cohort


2001 Cohort

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	92	88%	0%	3%	0%	9%
Female	44	95%	0%	2%	0%	2%
Male	48	81%	0%	4%	0%	15%
American Indian	1	-	-	-	-	-
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	2	_	-	_	_	_
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	89	_	-	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	92	88%	0%	3%	0%	9%
General-Education Students	85	88%	0%	4%	0%	8%
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	0%	0%	0%	14%
English Proficient	90	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	_		_		_
Economically Disadvantaged	23	96%	0%	0%	0%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	69	86%	0%	4%	0%	10%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	92	88%	0%	3%	0%	9%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.