

## The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School CAMDEN MIDDLE SCHOOL District CAMDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 410601040010 Principal MARY BARKER Telephone (315) 245-0080 Grades 6-8

## **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

**Get School Profile information**. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning

#### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

environment.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

#### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	221	4	2
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	214	228	242
Grade 8	231	216	225
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	666	448	469

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

#### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch		27	
Grade 8			
English	16	19	18
Mathematics	23	21	22
Science	17	21	18
Social Studies	22	20	22
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	231	35%	167	37%	173	37%
Reduced-Price Lunch	137	21%	95	21%	71	15%
Student Stability*		99%		91%		97%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Hispanic or Latino	1	0%	5	1%	2	0%
Asian or Native	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	662	99%	442	99%	466	99%

\* Not available at the district level.

#### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	57	N/A	62	9%	76	17%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

#### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	244	189	177
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	3%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	3%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	0	2
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	5%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	14%	15%	8%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	57	39	40
Total Other Professional Staff	3	3	3
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

#### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### **3 Third Indicator**

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School CAMDEN MIDDLE SCHOOL District CAMDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

	<b>deral Title I Status</b> plies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools)				
^	<b>School in Good Standing</b> A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic	identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.				
^	School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.				
<b>^</b>	School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.				
^	School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.				
^	School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.				
^	School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.				
~	School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following					

## 2 School Accountability

School CAMDEN MIDDLE SCHOOL District CAMDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	🔺 Im	Improvement (Year1)					
	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA	∧ Improvement (Year 1)	ELA				
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title   Part & Eunding	Voorst	the School Peceived Title	Dort A Euroding				

Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
2004-05	2006–07				
YES	YES	YES			
	2004-05	2004-05 2005-06			

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<ul> <li>V</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••
White	<	✓	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 3 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1			



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2006-07, the school will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2007-08. If this school makes AYP in 2006-07, the school will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. [104]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Performance <sup>3</sup> Performance Object			nce Objectiv	ctives			
Student Group					Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07			
<b>All Students</b> (466:450)	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	139	117					
Ethnicity											
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)											
Black or African American (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-			
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)	_	-	-	–	-	-	••••••••••••••••	–			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-		-			
White (462:446)	<	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	139	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••			
Other Groups											
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (78:72)	X	<b>~</b>	100%	X	69	111	82	82			
Limited English Proficient (0:0)											
Economically Disadvantaged (224:214)	<	<	100%	~	118	114					
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 3 of 4										

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		tion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07	
All Students (465:447)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	~	134	81			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)	_	_	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-		_	
White (461:443)	<	<	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	134	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (78:71)	~	<b>v</b>	97%	X	69	75	70	82	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••					••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (221:211)	~	<b>~</b>	98%	~	116	78		••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students errolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
  - <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor Qualification	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status		Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (212:202)	<b>~</b>	Qualified	~	98%	<b>~</b>	172	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)							•••••		
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)							••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							••••••		
White (211:202)	•••••••	Qualified	~	99%	~	172	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (35:29)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (101:95)		Qualified	~	97%	~	160	100		
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 0	of 1							

#### NOTES

#### <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or a	f students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 4	-		1
Grade 5	-		4
Grade 6	-		3
Grade 7	57%		242
Grade 8	43%		213
Mathematics			
Grade 4	-		1
Grade 5	-		4
Grade 6	-		3
Grade 7	50%		239
Grade 8	38%		212
Science			
Grade 4	-		1
Grade 8	73%		206
		<b>.</b>	
	Percentage of scored at or a	f students that	2002 Cohort
C			
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English			1
Mathematics	-		1
	Percentage o who graduate		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	_	1	1

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

#### What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 30

All schools in this group are middle level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

## This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School	L		Similar Scl	Similar Schools					
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s	5):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: –	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775							
	100%										
					0%	0%	0%				
Number of Students:		-	-	-							
Results by		2005–06 Sc	hool Year		2004-05	School Year					
		Total	Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at level(s):				
Student Grou	up	Tested	2-4 3	3–4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4				
All Students		1	-								
Female											
Male		1	_								
American Indian or Alaska	Native										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino					New as	sessments for	elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	Other					-	jlish language				
Pacific Islander			••••••			d mathematic					
White		1	_				6. Results from				
Small Group Totals		1	_				annot be directly				
General-Education Student	s					<ul> <li>compared to results from previously</li> <li>administered assessments.</li> </ul>					
Students with Disabilities		1	-		auminis	assessing	nents.				
English Proficient		1	-								
Limited English Proficient			•••••								
Economically Disadvantage	ed	1	_								
Not Disadvantaged		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••	••••••				
Migrant											
Not Migrant		1	_			••••	••••••				
NOTES											

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

	This School			Similar Sc		
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800			
100%						
				14%	14%	0%
	-	-	_			
	2005–06 <b>Sc</b> l	nool Year		2004-05	School Year	
	Total	Percentage scorii	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at level(s):
Ρ	Tested	2-4 3-	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4
	1	-				
	1	–				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
lative						
	•••••••••	••••••				
		•••••••		New as	sessments fo	r elementary-
ther	••••••••••••••••••	••••••		and mic	ddle-level En	glish language
		••••••				
	1	_				
	1	_				•
	1	-				nems.
	1	_				
	1	-				
	••••••••••••••••••	••••••				
	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••		••••••
	100%	Percentage sco 2-4 Range: 622-800 100% 100% <b>2005-06 Scl</b> Total Tested <b>1</b> 1 lative ther 1 1 1 1 1	Range:       622–800       650–800         100%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 622-800 650-800 702-800 100% 100% 100%	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4         Range:       622-800       650-800       702-800         100%	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       622-800       650-800       702-800       14%       14%         100%

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested 2-4 3-4 4				Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Scho				Similar Sc			
		Percentages	scoring at level(s	):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: -	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-	-100				
	100%					83%			
2005-06							17%	17%	
2004-05									
Number of Students:		-	-	-					
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year	1	
-		Total	Percentage so	oring at le	vel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		1	-	-	-				
Female									
Male		1	_	-	_				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White		1		—	_				
Small Group Totals		1	-	-	-				
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities		1	-	-	-				
English Proficient		1	-	-	-				
imited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••				••••••		•••••	
conomically Disadvantaged		1	_	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged		•••••••	••••••	•••••		•••••			
Migrant									
Not Migrant			_	_	_	•••••		•••••	

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

## This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		<u>This Schoo</u>				r Schools		
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percenta	age scoring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: -	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795				
	100%				90%	56%		
Number of Students:		_	-	-			6%	
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> a			2004-	-05 School Year		
Student Group		Total	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		4	-					
Female		2						
Male		2	-					
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve							
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r							
Pacific Islander						toot was not ai		4.05
White		4				s test was not giv		4-05.
Small Group Totals		4	-					
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities		4	-					
English Proficient		4	_					
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged		3	_					
Not Disadvantaged		1	-					
Migrant								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Not Migrant

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

4

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1 – – – This test was						on in 200	4.05
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	±		-	_		was not yiv	/en in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5								

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo Percentage s	<b>ol</b> scoring at level(s):	:	Similar Second	<b>chools</b> scoring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: –	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780				
	100%				85%			
						54%		
						54%		
							7%	
Number of Students:		-	-	-				
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004-05	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3–4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		4	-					
Female		2	–					
Male		2	-					
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve							
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								

Thate	-							
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	4	-	-	-	This test v	vas not given i	n 2004-05.	
Small Group Totals	4	-	-	-				
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-				
English Proficient	4	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	1	–	-	-	 			
Migrant								
Not Migrant	4	-	-	-				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

## This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	l			Similar Schools					
		Percentage so	coring at level(s	):		Percentage s	scoring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: –	Range:	598-785	650-785	70	5-785						
	100%					89%					
							50%				
								60/			
								6%			
Number of Students:		-	-	-							
<b>Results by</b>		2005-06 <b>S</b> a	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage sc	oring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Gro	up	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		3	-	-	-						
Female		1	_		_						
Male		2	-	-	-						
American Indian or Alask	a Native										
Black or African America	n					• • • • • • •					
Hispanic or Latino						• • • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian,	/Other										
Pacific Islander						This too	st was not giv	on in 2007	-05		
White		3	<u>-</u>	·····			si was not giv	en in 2004	05.		
Small Group Totals		3	-	-	-						
General-Education Studer	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••					
Students with Disabilities		3	_	-	-						
English Proficient		3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	-	•••••					
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantag	ged										
Not Disadvantaged		3	-	-	-						
Migrant		•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••							
Not Migrant		3	-	-	-						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol		Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at level(s)	:		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: –	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-	-780					
	100%					87%				
							54%			
								7%		
Number of Students:		-	-	-						
Posults by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
Results by		Total	Percentage sc	oring at lev	el(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):	
<b>Student Gro</b>	up	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		3	-	-	-					
Female		1	-	-	-					
Male		2	-	-	-					
American Indian or Alaska	a Native									
Black or African Americar	۱									
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/	/Other									
Pacific Islander					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This tos	st was not giv	on in 2004	-05	
White		3			·····		si was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		3	_	-	-					
General-Education Studen	its		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
Students with Disabilities		3	_	_	_					
English Proficient		3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	·····					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantag	led		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••••		
Not Disadvantaged		3	_	-	-					
Migrant			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••			
Not Migrant		3	-	-	-					

NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	2 7	<u> </u>			t was not giv	<u> </u>	

## This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo Percentage so		<u></u>		<u>Similar Sc</u>	hools scoring at level(	<u></u>	
		2-4	3–4	4 (s):		2-4	3–4	s): 4	
Mean Score: 648	Range:	600-790	650-7		12-790	2 4	5 4	4	
	Runge.	000 100	050 1	50 1	12 150				
	100%	89%				91%			
			57%				500/		
							50%		
				7	%			50/	
					70			5%	
Number of Students:		216	137	1	.8				
Results by		2005-06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		242	89%	57%	7%				
Female		106	93%	68%	10%				
Male		136	86%	48%	5%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American		1							
Hispanic or Latino		1							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		1	-	-	-				
White				······	 –	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	242				•••••			
General-Education Students		207	94%	63%	9%				
Students with Disabilities			60%	17%	0%	•••••			
English Proficient		242	89%	57%	7%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged		115	82%	43%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	127	96%	69%	13%			•••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant		242	89%	57%	7%				

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent						was not giv	200	·4-0J.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This Schoo	ι			Similar Sc	Similar Schools			
	Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	611-800	650-8	300 6	93-800					
100%	88%				87%				
100/0									
		50%				49%			
			2	%			6%		
	210	119		5					
	2005–06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	239	88%	<b>50%</b>	2%					
	104	94%	57%	0%					
	135	83%	44%	4%					
ve									
	1	-	-	-					
	1	-	-	-					
-	1								
	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	-		-					
	236				This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
	239	88%	50%	2%					
	205	94%	57%	2%					
	34	53%	6%	0%					
	239	88%	50%	2%					
	113	81%	33%	1%					
	126	94%	65%	3%		•••••			
•••••	239	88%	50%	2%		•••••		••••	
	100%	Percentage so 2-4 Range: 611-800 100% 88% 210 2005-06 Sc Total Tested 239 104 135 Ve 1 1 236 239 205 34 239 113 126	2-4       3-4         Range:       611-800       650-8         100%       88%       50%         100%       28%       50%         210       119       119         2005-06       SCHORE       119         Total       Percentage       2-4         Total       2-4       88%         104       94%       135         104       94%       135         105       83%       104         104       94%       135         105       83%       104         104       94%       135         105       83%       104         104       94%       135         239       88%       104         239       88%       104         239       88%       104         239       88%       205         239       88%       239         113       81%         126       94%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4 $3-4$ 4         Range: $611-800$ $650-800$ $69$ 100%       88%       50%       2         210       119       2       2         210       119       2       2         210       119       2       2         210       119       2       2         Total Percentage scoring at Tested       2-4       3-4         239       88%       50%       3         104       94%       57%       3         135       83%       44%       3         ve       1       -       -         1       -       -       -         1       -       -       -         1       -       -       -         1       -       -       -         1       -       -       -         239       88%       50%       -         239       88%       50%       -         113       81%       33%       -         126       94%       55%       - <td>Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       611-800       650-800       693-800         100%       88%       50%       2%         210       119       5         Zoo5-o6 Schort Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         239       88%       50%       2%         104       94%       57%       0%         135       83%       44%       4%         ve       1       -       -         1       -       -       -         126       94%       57%       2%         113       81%       33%       1%         239       88%       50%       2%         239       88%       50%       2%         239       88%       50%       2%         34       53%       6%       0%         239       88%       50%       2%         113       81%       33%       1%         126       94%       65%       3%    </td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage         2-4       3-4       4       2-4         Range:       611-800       650-800       693-800       87%         100%       88%       50%       2%       87%       87%         210       119       5       50%       100%       87%       100%       2004-05         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       70tal       Tested       2-4       3-4       4       70tal         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       4       70tal       7</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       611-800       650-800       693-800       87%       49%         100%       88%       50%       49%       49%       49%         210       119       5       50%       49%       49%         210       119       5       50%       49%       49%         210       119       5       50%       49%       49%         Zoo5-06 Scbool Year       Zoo4-05 Scbool Year       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage       2-4       2-4         239       88%       50%       2%       2-4       2-4       2-4         104       94%       57%       0%       104       94%       57%       104       2-4         239       88%       50%       2%       205       94%       57%       2%       239       88%       50%       2%       205       94%       57%       2%       239       88%       50%       2%       239       239<!--</td--><td>Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       611-800       650-800       693-800         100%       88%       50%       87%         210       119       5       87%         210       119       5       50%         Zoo5-o6 School Year       Zoo4-of School Year       6%         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         104       94%       57%       0%       135         135       83%       44%       4%       104         239       88%       50%       2%       104         135       83%       44%       4%       104         236       -       -       -       -         1       -       -       -       -         239       88%       50%       2%       -         239       88%       50%       2%       -         135       33%       6%       0%       -         239       88%</td></td>	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       611-800       650-800       693-800         100%       88%       50%       2%         210       119       5         Zoo5-o6 Schort Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         239       88%       50%       2%         104       94%       57%       0%         135       83%       44%       4%         ve       1       -       -         1       -       -       -         126       94%       57%       2%         113       81%       33%       1%         239       88%       50%       2%         239       88%       50%       2%         239       88%       50%       2%         34       53%       6%       0%         239       88%       50%       2%         113       81%       33%       1%         126       94%       65%       3%	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage         2-4       3-4       4       2-4         Range:       611-800       650-800       693-800       87%         100%       88%       50%       2%       87%       87%         210       119       5       50%       100%       87%       100%       2004-05         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       70tal       Tested       2-4       3-4       4       70tal         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       4       70tal       7	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       611-800       650-800       693-800       87%       49%         100%       88%       50%       49%       49%       49%         210       119       5       50%       49%       49%         210       119       5       50%       49%       49%         210       119       5       50%       49%       49%         Zoo5-06 Scbool Year       Zoo4-05 Scbool Year       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage       2-4       2-4         239       88%       50%       2%       2-4       2-4       2-4         104       94%       57%       0%       104       94%       57%       104       2-4         239       88%       50%       2%       205       94%       57%       2%       239       88%       50%       2%       205       94%       57%       2%       239       88%       50%       2%       239       239 </td <td>Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       611-800       650-800       693-800         100%       88%       50%       87%         210       119       5       87%         210       119       5       50%         Zoo5-o6 School Year       Zoo4-of School Year       6%         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         104       94%       57%       0%       135         135       83%       44%       4%       104         239       88%       50%       2%       104         135       83%       44%       4%       104         236       -       -       -       -         1       -       -       -       -         239       88%       50%       2%       -         239       88%       50%       2%       -         135       33%       6%       0%       -         239       88%</td>	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       611-800       650-800       693-800         100%       88%       50%       87%         210       119       5       87%         210       119       5       50%         Zoo5-o6 School Year       Zoo4-of School Year       6%         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         104       94%       57%       0%       135         135       83%       44%       4%       104         239       88%       50%       2%       104         135       83%       44%       4%       104         236       -       -       -       -         1       -       -       -       -         239       88%       50%       2%       -         239       88%       50%       2%       -         135       33%       6%       0%       -         239       88%	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total		oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				

## This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo Percentage sc	-	)(c)·		Similar Sc	hools scoring at level(	c).	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 644	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790				
	100%	90%				90%			
	100%								
			43%				44%		
				2	%			3%	
Number of Students:		191	91	1	5				
Results by		2005–06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2004-05	School Year		
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at leve	l(s):
Student Grou	чр	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		213	90%	43%	<b>2</b> %				
Female		106	94%	50%	4%				
Male		107	85%	36%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska	Native								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino						New as	sessments fo	r elementary	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C	Other						ddle-level En	5 5 5	e
Pacific Islander					••••••		d mathematic		
White		213	90%	43%	2%		stered in 200 ssessments c		
Small Group Totals		100	0.407	500/	201		red to results		
General-Education Student	S	180	94%	50%	3%		stered assessi	•	
Students with Disabilities		33	64%	3%	0%				
English Proficient		213	90%	43%	2%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantage	d	103	83%	26%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged		110	96%	58%	4%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		213	90%	43%	2%				

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0				

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo	-	-1/-)		Similar Sch		- )	
		Percentage s 2–4	coring at leve 3–4	et(s): 4		Percentage sc 2-4	oring at level( 3–4		
	_					2-4	5-4	4	
Mean Score: 642	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775				
	100%	92%				88%			
			38%				48%		
				1	%			5%	
Number of Students:		195	81		3				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year	r		2004-05 S	ichool Year		
•		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		212	92%	38%	1%				
Female		106	92%	48%	2%				
Male		106	92%	28%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American					•••••				
Hispanic or Latino							essments for		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							dle-level Eng		age
Pacific Islander							mathematic		
White		212	92%	38%	1%		ered in 2000		
Small Group Totals							sessments c ed to results		
General-Education Students		180	97%	43%	2%		ered assessr	•	ousty
Students with Disabilities		32	66%	9%	0%				
English Proficient		212	92%	38%	1%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		98	89%	29%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged		114	95%	46%	2%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		212	92%	38%	1%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> a	chool Year			2004-05 <b>S</b>	chool Year		
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	_	-	_	0			

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Science


		This Schoo Percentage s		al(c).		Similar Sch Percentage sc		(c).	
		2-4	3–4	4		2-4	3–4	4	
Mean Score: 72	Range:	44-100	65-10		5-100		5 .		
	100%	98% 99%	73% 7	6%		98% 97%	76% 81	%	
<ul><li>2005-06</li><li>2004-05</li></ul>				18	3% <sup>26%</sup>			179	26%
Number of Students:		202 199	150 1	53 3	37 53				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 S	ichool Yea	r	
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		206	98%	73%	18%	202	<b>99</b> %	<b>76</b> %	26%
emale		104	97%	71%	21%	105	100%	74%	24%
1ale		102	99%	75%	15%	97	97%	77%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Nat Black or African American	ive		• •••••	•••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••
lispanic or Latino				•••••		3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	?r								
Vhite		206	98%	73%	18%	199	-	-	-
mall Group Totals						202	99%	76%	26%
General-Education Students		175	99%	78%	21%	172	98%	83%	30%
students with Disabilities		31	94%	42%	3%	30	100%	37%	7%
nglish Proficient		206	98%	73%	18%	202	99%	76%	26%
imited English Proficient									
conomically Disadvantaged		96	97%	61%	8%	93	100%	63%	15%
Not Disadvantaged		110	99%	83%	26%	109	97%	86%	36%
1igrant									
lot Migrant		206	98%	73%	18%	202	99%	76%	26%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year		2004–05 School Year				
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	±							
Regents Science	0				0			

## **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	<u>l:</u>		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	20	118	63	14	215	693	
Jan 2004	14	106	90	14	224	697	
Jan 2003	24	122	75	10	231	688	

## **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance leve	l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	25	111	71	4	211	708	
May 2004	21	103	96	3	223	709	
May 2003	37	103	80	10	230	708	

# This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

Percentage sco				r Percentage scoring at level(s):			
-	oring at leve	l(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(	s):	
2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2002 Cohort	*			2001 Cohor	t*		
Number	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	
of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
1	-	-	-				
1	-	-	-				
1							
1	-	-	-				
1	-	-	-				
1	-	-	-				
••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
1	_	-	-				
••••••			•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
1	_	_	_	•••••	•••••		
	Number of Students 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	of Students 2-4  1	Number of Students         Percentage scoring at legender 2-4         3-4           1         -         -           1         -         -           1         -         -           1         -         -           1         -         -           1         -         -           1         -         -           1         -         -           1         -         -           1         -         -           1         -         -           1         -         -           1         -         -           1         -         -	Number of Students         Percentage scoring at level(s):           1         -         3-4         4           1         -         -         -           1         -         -         -           1         -         -         -           1         -         -         -           1         -         -         -           1         -         -         -           1         -         -         -           1         -         -         -           1         -         -         -           1         -         -         -           1         -         -         -           1         -         -         -	Number of StudentsPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Number of Students11111111111111111	Number of Students         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Number of Students         Percentage 2-4           1         - <td>Number of Students         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Number of Students         Percentage scoring at level(s):           1         -</td>	Number of Students         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Number of Students         Percentage scoring at level(s):           1         -

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 <b>Coho</b> r	ʻt*		2001 Cohor	2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

#### This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This School				Similar Schools				
	Percentage sco	ring at level	s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(	s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
100%									
2002 Cohort									
2001 Cohort									
Results by	2002 Cohort	2001 Coho							
Student Group		Percentage s		vel(s):	Number		scoring at le	evel(s):	
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	1	-	-	-					
Female									
Male	1	-	-	-					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White	1			_					
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-					
General-Education Students	1	-	-	-					
Students with Disabilities		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
English Proficient	1	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-					
Not Disadvantaged		•••••			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1	_	_	_	•••••	••••••	••••••••••••••••		
NOTES	_								

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

#### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

100%

Percentage of students who:

2002	Cohort

2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	1	-	-	-	-	-
Female	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	2002	1	-		_	_	
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							· · · · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	2002	1	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	2002	1	-	-	-	-	-
General-Education Students	2002	1	-	_	_	_	_
Students with Disabilities	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Proficient	2002	1		-	-		-
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	1	-	-	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	2002	0			N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	1			_	_	

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.