

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT
District ID 411701040000
Superintendent ANN TURNER
Telephone (315) 831-3797
Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District
Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
0	0	0
44	26	33
35	37	32
38	32	35
40	39	33
40	39	43
46	39	38
45	46	40
0	0	0
55	44	46
63	58	47
41	67	56
61	45	61
43	62	42
66	41	61
0	0	0
617	575	567
	0 44 35 38 40 40 46 45 0 55 63 41 61 43 66	0 0 44 26 35 37 38 32 40 39 46 39 45 46 0 0 55 44 63 58 41 67 61 45 43 62 66 41 0 0

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	20	18	19
Grade 8			
English	19	16	21
Mathematics	19	14	22
Science	22	15	19
Social Studies	21	16	20
Grade 10			
English	17	18	17
Mathematics	18	11	19
Science	13	18	
Social Studies	18	19	17

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	154	25%	142	25%	128	23%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	50	8%	76	13%	86	15%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Black or African American	5	1%	10	2%	7	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	0%	1	0%	1	0%	
Asian or Native	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	610	99%	563	98%	558	98%	

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	0	N/A	16	3%	20	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	148	156	207
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	4%	3%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	2	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	4%	2%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	3	3	2
Percentage of Total	6%	6%	4%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	12%	12%	14%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	49	50	49
Total Other Professional Staff	7	7	1
Total Paraprofessionals*	14	14	14
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	2

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall	Accountability
Status	(2006–07)

Good Standing

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Level			
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA	♣ Good Standing		
Math	♦ Good Standing	Math	♦ Good Standing		
Science	♦ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	♠ Good Standing		

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

3				
2004-05	2005-06	2006-07		
YES	YES	YES		

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	_	_ _	••••••	_		•••••		
Hispanic or Latino	_	_ _	•••••••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_		_	- -			
White	/	V	•••••••	X	V	••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	V		-	_			
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	~	•••••••	_		••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1	X 0 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1		

AYP Status

Made AY

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing ♠ ■ Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) ♠ ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) /

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Improvement (Year 4) A Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹ All Students (244:238)	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
	V Status	✓ ✓	99%	V Criterion	151	115	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	_	_	_	- -	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
White (239:233)	/	/	99%	~	152	115	••••••••	*** ***********************************
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (34:32)	✓ SH	_	-	✓ SH	63	105	20	77
Limited English Proficient							•••••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (89:87)	/	V	100%	V	139	111	•••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage n Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (247:239)	V	<u> </u>	100%	<u> </u>	162	79		<u> </u>
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:3)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	_	_	_	- -	_	•••••••	<u> </u>
White (242:234)	/	V	100%	/	162	79	••••••••	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (34:32)	/	_	-	V	106	69		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		•••••					•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (91:87)	V	V	100%	V	159	75		•••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X D

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005-06 2006-07	
All Students (92:83)	V	Qualified	· /	95%	<u> </u>	189	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	_	_	<u> </u>	_	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		_	_	-	-	_	- -	- -	
White (90:81)	• • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	94%	~	189	100	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (12:9)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)					••••		•••••	•••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (34:27)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	_	_	_	<u> </u>	_	
Final AYP Determination	1 1 c	of 1							

AYP Status





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	YP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (108:63)	X	X	92%	<u>/</u>	163	142		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								•••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:0)	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
White (106:62)	X	X	92%	V	163	142	•••••••	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (8:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		•••••						•••
Economically Disadvantaged (18:18)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 2							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (63:63)	~	<u> </u>	95%	<u>/</u>	181	134		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)							•••••••	•••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:0)	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
White (62:62)	/	V	95%	V	181	134	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (8:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		•••••		•••••				•••
Economically Disadvantaged (18:18)	–	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target	
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (44)	~	~	86%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)				······································		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Black or African American (0)							
Hispanic or Latino (0)						•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				••••			
Pacific Islander (0) White (44)	· • · · · · · ·	···········	 86%	 55%			
Other Groups				3370			
Students with Disabilities (6)		-	_	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (14)		_	_	-	_	_	
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1					

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
♠ Good Standing	
1 school identified 50% of total	
REMSEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
	1 school identified 50% of total
	REMSEN JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	_	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	79%		33
Grade 4	58%		43
Grade 5	58%		36
Grade 6	65%		37
Grade 7	61%		46
Grade 8	38%		45
Mathematics			
Grade 3	85%		34
Grade 4	77%		44
Grade 5	72%		36
Grade 6	77%		39
Grade 7	57%		46
Grade 8	36%		45
Science			
Grade 4	95%		41
Grade 8	85%		46
		of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	70%		66
Mathematics	82%		66
	Percentage o		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	89%	l	66

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	33	91%	79%	9%						
Female	18	94%	89%	17%						
Male	15	87%	67%	0%			•••••••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2		_		•••••					
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••						
Pacific Islander										
White	31	-	_	-	This te	est was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals	33	91%	79%	9%						
General-Education Students	29	-	-	-						
Students with Disabilities	4	_	_		•••••					
English Proficient	33	91%	79%	9%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	75%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	21	90%	81%	14%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	33	91%	79%	9%	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0	2 7				was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	04-05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
34	97%	85%	6%							
19	100%	95%	11%							
15	93%	73%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •			
2										
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
32	-	-	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.			
34	97%	85%	6%							
30	_	_	_							
4										
34	97%	85%	6%							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
12	100%	83%	0%							
22	95%	86%	9%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •			
34	97%	85%	6%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	Total Tested 34 19 15 2 32 34 30 4 34 12 22	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 34 97% 19 100% 15 93% 2 - 34 97% 30 - 4 - 34 97% 12 100% 22 95%	Tested 2-4 3-4 34 97% 85% 19 100% 95% 15 93% 73% 2 34 97% 85% 30 4 34 97% 85% 12 100% 83% 22 95% 86%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 34 97% 85% 6% 19 100% 95% 11% 15 93% 73% 0% 2 34 97% 85% 6% 30 4 34 97% 85% 6% 12 100% 83% 0% 22 95% 86% 9%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 34 97% 85% 6% 19 100% 95% 11% 15 93% 73% 0% 2 34 97% 85% 6% 30 4 34 97% 85% 6% 12 100% 83% 0% 12 100% 83% 0% 22 95% 86% 9%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 34 97% 85% 6% 19 100% 95% 11% 15 93% 73% 0% 2 2 This test was not given as a second	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 34 97% 85% 6% 19 100% 95% 11% 15 93% 73% 0% 2 34 97% 85% 6% 30 4 34 97% 85% 6% 12 100% 83% 0% 12 100% 83% 0% 22 95% 86% 9%			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		2004	Total				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Teste	d	2-4	3-4	4	
43	91%	58%	5%						
21	100%	67%	10%						
22	82%	50%	0%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Ne	w asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ry-	
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
43	91%	58%	5%						
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					•	
39	-	-	-		•		•	ously	
4				au	ministe	ereu assessi	nents.		
43	91%	58%	5%						
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
18	94%	44%	6%						
25	88%	68%	4%	••••••		• ••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
43	91%	58%	5%	••••••	• • • • • • • • •	• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested 43 21 22 43 43 43 43 48 25	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 43 91% 21 100% 22 82% 43 91% 43 91% 43 91% 18 94% 25 88%	Tested 2-4 3-4 43 91% 58% 21 100% 67% 22 82% 50% 43 91% 58% 39 4 43 91% 58% 18 94% 44% 25 88% 68%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 43 91% 58% 5% 21 100% 67% 10% 22 82% 50% 0% 43 91% 58% 5% 43 91% 58% 5% 43 91% 58% 5% 43 91% 58% 5% 48 94% 44% 6% 25 88% 68% 4%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 43 91% 58% 5% 21 100% 67% 10% 22 82% 50% 0%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 43 91% 58% 5% 21 100% 67% 10% 22 82% 50% 0% New asse and midd arts and in administer these ass compared administer 4	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 43 91% 58% 5% 21 100% 67% 10% 22 82% 50% 0% New assessments for and middle-level Engarts and mathematic administered in 200% these assessments of compared to results administered assess of the season and middle-level Engarts and mathematic administered in 200% these assessments of the season administered assess of the season	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 43 91% 58% 5% 21 100% 67% 10% 22 82% 50% 0% New assessments for elementa and middle-level English langu arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results for these assessments cannot be of compared to results from previous diministered assessments. 43 91% 58% 5% 18 94% 44% 6% 25 88% 68% 4%	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		2	2004-05 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	T	otal	Percentage	scoring at le	level(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Т	ested	2-4	3-4	4	
44	98%	77%	20%						
21	100%	81%	29%						
23	96%	74%	13%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••						
			••••••	• • • • • • • •	New asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ry-	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••		and middle-level English language				
					arts and	mathematic	s were		
44	98%	77%	20%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • •				,	
39	100%	82%	23%		•		•	iously	
5	80%	40%	0%	• • • • • • •	aummiste	ereu assessi	nents.		
44	98%	77%	20%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • •					
18	100%	78%	22%						
26	96%	77%	19%		•••••	• ••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
44	98%	77%	20%	• • • • • • • •		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested 44 21 23 44 39 5 44 18 26	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 44 98% 21 100% 23 96% 44 98% 39 100% 5 80% 44 98% 18 100% 26 96%	Tested 2-4 3-4 44 98% 77% 21 100% 81% 23 96% 74% 44 98% 77% 39 100% 82% 5 80% 40% 44 98% 77% 18 100% 78% 26 96% 77%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 44 98% 77% 20% 21 100% 81% 29% 23 96% 74% 13% 44 98% 77% 20% 39 100% 82% 23% 5 80% 40% 0% 44 98% 77% 20% 18 100% 78% 22% 26 96% 77% 19%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 T 44 98% 77% 20% 21 100% 81% 29% 23 96% 74% 13% 44 98% 77% 20% 39 100% 82% 23% 5 80% 40% 0% 44 98% 77% 20% 18 100% 78% 22% 26 96% 77% 19%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 44 98% 77% 20% 21 100% 81% 29% 23 96% 74% 13% New asse and midd arts and rest and rest arts and rest as assection and midd arts and rest arts arts and rest arts arts and rest arts arts arts arts and rest arts arts arts arts arts arts arts ar	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 44 98% 77% 20% 21 100% 81% 29% 23 96% 74% 13% New assessments for and middle-level Engarts and mathematic administered in 200% these assessments of compared to results administered assess 5 80% 40% 0% 44 98% 77% 20% 18 100% 78% 22% 26 96% 77% 19%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 3-4	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 Sc				
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at le						vel(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4 3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(strested 2-4 3-4				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	41	100%	95%	51%	39	97%	85%	41%		
Female	20	100%	95%	50%	20	95%	85%	45%		
Male	21	100%	95%	52%	19	100%	84%	37%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	••••••	••••••••	••••••	••••••			•••••	••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	••••••		••••••	••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••••	••••	••••••	•••••••		••••••••	••••••	••••••		
Pacific Islander										
White	41	100%	95%	51%	39	97%	85%	41%		
Small Group Totals	•		••••••	••••••			•	•••••		
General-Education Students	38	-	_	-	33	97%	88%	48%		
Students with Disabilities	3		_		6	100%	67%	0%		
English Proficient	41	100%	95%	51%	39	97%	85%	41%		
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••		•••••••	••••••	•••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	88%	44%						
Not Disadvantaged	25	100%	100%	56%	39	97%	85%	41%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	41	100%	95%	51%	39	97%	85%	41%		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 S c				
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at						level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4 3-4		4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-	

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4						
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	36	94%	58%	8%								
Female	20	100%	60%	5%								
Male	16	88%	56%	13%								
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	•••••								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••								
Pacific Islander												
White	36	94%	58%	8%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.				
Small Group Totals			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
General-Education Students	30	100%	70%	10%								
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	0%	0%								
English Proficient	36	94%	58%	8%								
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••								
Economically Disadvantaged	17	94%	41%	6%								
Not Disadvantaged	19	95%	74%	11%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Migrant												
Not Migrant	36	94%	58%	8%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	el(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-		-		was not giv	3-4 ren in 200		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	36	97%	72%	11%							
Female	20	100%	75%	5%							
Male	16	94%	69%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				į			
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••				·			
Pacific Islander											
White	36	97%	72%	11%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals				•							
General-Education Students	30	100%	80%	13%							
Students with Disabilities	6	83%	33%	0%							
English Proficient	36	97%	72%	11%							
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••				Ì			
Economically Disadvantaged	17	94%	59%	12%							
Not Disadvantaged	19	100%	84%	11%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	36	97%	72%	11%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	37	97%	65%	8%				
Female	21	100%	62%	10%				
Male	16	94%	69%	6%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	••••••	•••••	••••			
Hispanic or Latino	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	••••••	•••••	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	•••••••	•••••				
Pacific Islander								
White	37	97%	65%	8%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	••••••	•••••	••••			
General-Education Students	33	-	_	-				
Students with Disabilities	4	_	_	_	••••			
English Proficient	37	97%	65%	8%				
Limited English Proficient	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	••••••	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	75%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	25	100%	60%	12%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	37	97%	65%	8%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	≥l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0			· ·		st was not giv)4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School YearTotalPercentage scoring at level(s):Tested2-43-44					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	39	95%	77%	15%							
Female	21	100%	76%	10%							
Male	18	89%	78%	22%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	••••••		•••••	•••••				Ì			
Hispanic or Latino	•••••		•••••	•••••	••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••				İ			
Pacific Islander											
White	39	95%	77%	15%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.			
Small Group Totals	••••••			•••••				İ			
General-Education Students	35	-	_	_							
Students with Disabilities	4		_					İ			
English Proficient	39	95%	77%	15%							
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••				İ			
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	85%	8%							
Not Disadvantaged	26	92%	73%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	39	95%	77%	15%							

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004-05	School Year			
Accessments	Total	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	•	
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	46	93%	61%	13%				
Female	26	96%	69%	12%				
Male	20	90%	50%	15%		••••	•	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	•••••	••••••				
Hispanic or Latino	1				•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••				
Pacific Islander								
White	45	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	46	93%	61%	13%				
General-Education Students	39	97%	72%	15%				
Students with Disabilities	7	71%	0%	0%				
English Proficient	46	93%	61%	13%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	15	93%	47%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	31	94%	68%	19%	••••••	•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	46	93%	61%	13%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0			· ·	This test	was not giv	en in 200		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı	
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	46	89%	57%	15%				
Female	26	96%	58%	19%				
Male	20	80%	55%	10%		••••	••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••				
Hispanic or Latino	1				•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••				
Pacific Islander								
White	45	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	46	89%	57%	15%				
General-Education Students	39	92%	62%	18%				
Students with Disabilities	7	71%	29%	0%				
English Proficient	46	89%	57%	15%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	15	80%	60%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	31	94%	55%	23%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	46	89%	57%	15%	••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	S School Year 2004-05 School Year					•	
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	Total	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	•				
•	Total	Percentag	centage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	45	84%	38%	4%							
Female	17	94%	47%	0%							
Male	28	79%	32%	7%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	1	_	_	-							
Hispanic or Latino			•••••		New a	ssessments fo	r elementa	ry-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1			_	and m	iddle-level En	glish langu	age			
Pacific Islander	Τ				arts ar	arts and mathematics were					
White	43	-	_	-		istered in 200					
Small Group Totals	45	84%	38%	4%		assessments o		,			
General-Education Students	39	95%	44%	5%		ired to results istered assess	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities	6	17%	0%	0%	aumini	istereu assess	ments.				
English Proficient	45	84%	38%	4%							
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged	13	77%	8%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged	32	88%	50%	6%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Migrant											
Not Migrant	45	84%	38%	4%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 S 0	2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0					

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4				
All Students	45	93%	36%	0%					
Female	18	94%	33%	0%					
Male	27	93%	37%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	_					
Hispanic or Latino	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	••••••		New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1				and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
Pacific Islander	т	-	_ 	_ 					
White	43	-	_	-	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	45	93%	36%	0%	these assessments cannot be directl	,			
General-Education Students	40	95%	40%	0%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities	5	80%	0%	0%	administered assessments.				
English Proficient	45	93%	36%	0%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	14	100%	7%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	31	90%	48%	0%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	45	93%	36%	0%					

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	46	100%	85%	17%	51	98%	92%	39%
Female	19	100%	79%	16%	27	100%	96%	41%
Male	27	100%	89%	19%	24	96%	88%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	_		_	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	••••••	***************************************	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1				••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Pacific Islander			_ 	_ 				
White	44	_	_	_	50		_	_
Small Group Totals	46	100%	85%	17%	51	98%	92%	39%
General-Education Students	39	100%	87%	21%	40	100%	93%	45%
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	71%	0%	11	91%	91%	18%
English Proficient	46	100%	85%	17%	51	98%	92%	39%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	14	100%	86%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	32	100%	84%	25%	51	98%	92%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	46	100%	85%	17%	51	98%	92%	39%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
Regents Science	0				0				


District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 5 14 18 641 24 4 37 Feb 2004 8 656 1 Feb 2003 2 12 19 10 43 660




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance level:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	2	19	27	5	53	701
Jan 2004	3	36	18	3	60	691
Jan 2003	3	23	17	3	46	692


District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 0 5 21 13 665 May 2005 9 0 23 38 May 2004 6 663 May 2003 0 11 25 9 45 656



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance level:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	4	19	29	0	52	715
May 2004	6	16	33	5	60	720
May 2003	3	13	25	3	44	724

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*				
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	66	80%	70%	27%	46	80%	70%	17%
Female	39	85%	77%	33%	21	81%	71%	14%
Male	27	74%	59%	19%	25	80%	68%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	_	_	_			•	••••••
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••
Pacific Islander					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	. .	
White	64	_	_	_	46	80%	70%	17%
Small Group Totals	66	80%	70%	27%				
General-Education Students	58	90%	79%	31%	39	87%	79%	18%
Students with Disabilities	8	13%	0%	0%	7	43%	14%	14%
English Proficient	66	80%	70%	27%	46	80%	70%	17%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	18	78%	72%	22%				
Not Disadvantaged	48	81%	69%	29%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	66	80%	70%	27%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assossments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*				
_	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	66	82%	82%	12%	46	76%	65%	15%
Female	39	85%	85%	15%	21	81%	62%	14%
Male	27	78%	78%	7%	25	72%	68%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1			_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino	•••••		•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
Pacific Islander	т	_ . .	_ 		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		
White	64	_	_	_	46	76%	65%	15%
Small Group Totals	66	82%	82%	12%				
General-Education Students	58	90%	90%	14%	39	85%	74%	18%
Students with Disabilities	8	25%	25%	0%	7	29%	14%	0%
English Proficient	66	82%	82%	12%	46	76%	65%	15%
Limited English Proficient	••••••		••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	18	78%	78%	11%				
Not Disadvantaged	48	83%	83%	13%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	66	82%	82%	12%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	(s):	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	66	89%	3%	2%	2%	5%
	2001	46	85%	7%	0%	7%	2%
Female	2002	39	87%	3%	3%	3%	5%
	2001	21	90%	5%	0%	5%	0%
Male	2002	27	93%	4%	0%	0%	4%
	2001	25	80%	8%	0%	8%	4%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	2002	1	_	_	_	_	-
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	.	.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.		
White	2002	64	_	_	_	_	_
•••••	2001	46	85%	7%	0%	7%	2%
Small Group Totals	2002	66	89%	3%	2%	2%	5%
General-Education Students	2002	58	93%	0%	2%	0%	5%
	2001	39	92%	0%	0%	8%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2002	8	63%	25%	0%	13%	0%
	2001	7	43%	43%	0%	0%	14%
English Proficient	2002	66	89%	3%	2%	2%	5%
3	2001	46	85%	7%	0%	7%	2%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	18	83%	11%	0%	0%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	48	92%	0%	2%	2%	4%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	66	89%	3%	2%	2%	5%

NOTES

District REMSEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



■ District ■ NY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	46	85%	7%	0%	2%	7%
Female	21	90%	5%	0%	0%	5%
Male	25	80%	8%	0%	4%	8%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	46	85%	7%	0%	2%	7%
Small Group Totals		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
General-Education Students	39	92%	0%	0%	3%	5%
Students with Disabilities	7	43%	43%	0%	0%	14%
English Proficient	46	85%	7%	0%	2%	7%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	14	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	32	84%	3%	0%	3%	9%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	46	85%	7%	0%	2%	7%

NOTES