

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL
SCHOOL DISTRICT
District ID 420501060000
Superintendent MARILYN DOMINICK
Telephone (315) 689-8500
Grades K-12

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

### Use this report to:

Get District
Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

**2** Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## **District Profile**

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	135	121	120
Grade 1	103	129	120
Grade 2	108	106	120
Grade 3	122	112	103
Grade 4	126	121	119
Grade 5	128	125	119
Grade 6	125	127	135
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	141	124	135
Grade 8	150	155	143
Grade 9	184	160	181
Grade 10	149	156	136
Grade 11	120	126	137
Grade 12	112	112	111
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1703	1674	1679

# **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	18	18	21
Grade 8			
English	18	19	20
Mathematics	19	19	19
Science	18	19	22
Social Studies	18	16	23
Grade 10			
English	20	19	21
Mathematics	21	18	17
Science	24	19	19
Social Studies	21	23	24

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **District Profile**

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	302	18%	272	16%	264	16%
Reduced-Price Lunch	131	8%	151	9%	133	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	1	0%	1	0%	2	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	12	1%	9	1%	16	1%
Black or African American	12	1%	11	1%	16	1%
Hispanic or Latino	5	0%	12	1%	20	1%
Asian or Native	12	1%	12	1%	13	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1662	98%	1630	97%	1614	96%

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2002-03		2003	-04	200	4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		94%
Student Suspensions	111	N/A	137	8%	127	8%

# **Demographic Factors Information**

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **District Profile**

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	399	449	535
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	2%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	1	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	2	2
Percentage of Total	1%	1%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	17%	15%	18%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	145	145	138
Total Other Professional Staff	16	14	10
Total Paraprofessionals*	38	33	23
Assistant Principals	1	1	2
Principals	4	4	4

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

# **Teacher Qualifications Information**

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

# **Staff Counts Information**

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# **Effective Annual Measurable Objective** (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100  $\times$  [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### **New York State Status**

(Applies to New York State districts)

### District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

### ♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Summary**

# Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

### Good Standing

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	l
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA	♣ Good Standing
Math	<b>♦</b> Good Standing	Math	<b>♦</b> Good Standing
Science	<b>♦</b> Good Standing	Graduation Rate	♠ Good Standing

### **Title I Part A Funding**

### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

# On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_			
Black or African American	_		•••••••	••••••••	••••••	•••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	_		•••••••	••••••••	••••••	•••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		_ _	••••••	_	_ _	••••••		
White	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>✓</b>		_	_			
Limited English Proficient		- -	••••••••	_	- -	••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<b>V</b>	••••••••	- -		••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>✓</b> 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1	<b>✓</b> 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1		

### AYP Status

Made AY

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Accountability Status Levels**

# Federal State Good Standing Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Improvement (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔥 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>✓</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (758:738)	✓	<u> </u>	99%	<u> </u>	162	118	2003 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								1
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:6)	-	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (10:10)	_	_	-	_	_	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (9:8)	_	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)	-	_	_	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	••••••••	<u> </u>
White (725:707)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	162	118		•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (70:66)	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>V</b>	96%	<b>✓</b> SH	80	110	60	92
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		•••••					•••••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (240:227)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	148	115	••••••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (752:725)	✓ ✓	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	167	82	2003 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:6)	-	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (11:10)	_	_	-	_	_	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (7:5)	_	_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)	_	_	_	_	_	_	••••••••	- -
White (720:697)	<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	99%	<b>/</b>	168	82	•••••••	•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (70:66)	<b>/</b>	<b>✓</b>	96%	<b>V</b>	89	74		
Limited English Proficient (3:0)	_	_	_	_	-	_	•••••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (232:215)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	150	78	•••••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress	Target 2006-07
All Students (257:243)	V Status	Qualified	- CITTETION	97%	✓ ✓	183	100	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (5:4)		_	_	-	_	_	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		_	_	_	_	-	<u> </u>	• •• • • • • • • • • •	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		_	_	_	_	_	- -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
White (246:233)		Qualified	<b>V</b>	97%	~	182	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (25:21)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)					••••		•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged (87:77)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>V</b>	94%	~	177	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 1 c	of 1							

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>V</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup> All Students (114:122)	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
	<b>✓</b>	<u> </u>	97%	<u>✓</u>	161	145		2000 07
Ethnicity								'
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Black or African American (0:0)		•••••					•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	_	_	_	_	_	- -	<u> </u>
White (111:118)	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>	97%	<b>/</b>	160	144	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (8:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:0)	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged (15:17)	- -	<u> </u>	_	_	_	_	- -	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

#### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup> All Students (114:122)	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
	✓	<u>✓</u>	97%	✓ Criterion	161	137	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (0:0)								•••
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_
White (111:118)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	97%	<b>V</b>	160	136	•••••••	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (8:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:0)	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged (15:17)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

#### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
<b>Student Group</b>		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (123)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	75%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)	. <b>.</b>	··········		······································		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Black or African American (0)								
Hispanic or Latino (0)	• • • • • • • •					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		_	-	_	-	_		
White (122)	• • • • • • • •	<b>/</b>	75%	55%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (18)		_	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (18)		-	-	-	_	-		
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b>	of 1						

#### NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

# Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

# **School Accountability Status**

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

#### **New York State Status**



### **Good Standing**

4 schools identified 100% of total

ELBRIDGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL JORDAN-ELBRIDGE HIGH SCHOOL JORDAN-ELBRIDGE MIDDLE SCHOOL RAMSDELL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Summary of 2005–06 District Performance**

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	70%		102
Grade 4	75%		115
Grade 5	65%		118
Grade 6	78%		137
Grade 7	64%		135
Grade 8	56%		142
Mathematics			
Grade 3	89%		101
Grade 4	82%		111
Grade 5	75%		115
Grade 6	78%		138
Grade 7	64%		136
Grade 8	55%		143
Science			
Grade 4	89%		112
Grade 8	80%		137
	-	f students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	66%		147
Mathematics	67%		147
	Percentage o		2002 Cohort
<b>Graduation Rate</b>	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	65%		147

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


### This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Average Need Districts**

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



<b>Results by</b>	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05	2004-05 School Year						
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	102	94%	70%	8%						
Female	51	94%	75%	14%						
Male	51	94%	65%	2%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-						
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Pacific Islander		_	_ 	_ 						
White	99	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	102	94%	70%	8%						
General-Education Students	94	95%	71%	9%						
Students with Disabilities	8	88%	50%	0%	••••					
English Proficient	102	94%	70%	8%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	36	94%	50%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged	66	94%	80%	11%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	102	94%	70%	8%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1			-		was not given in 2004-05.		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	101	99%	89%	23%					
Female	51	98%	84%	24%					
Male	50	100%	94%	22%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-					
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	•••••	••••••					
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	• • • • • • •				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	••••			• • • • • • •				
Pacific Islander	Т	_	_	_					
White	98	-	_	-	This te	est was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	101	99%	89%	23%					
General-Education Students	93	100%	91%	23%					
Students with Disabilities	8	88%	63%	25%					
English Proficient	101	99%	89%	23%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged	34	97%	85%	15%					
Not Disadvantaged	67	100%	91%	27%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	101	99%	89%	23%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Assassments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4			
All Students	115	89%	75%	5%				
Female	56	89%	75%	4%				
Male	59	88%	75%	7%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	3	_	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	2		<del></del>	-	New assessments for elementary-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••	•••••••		and middle-level English language			
Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_	arts and mathematics were			
White	108	89%	74%	6%	administered in 2006. Results from			
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	0%	these assessments cannot be directly			
General-Education Students	107	93%	80%	6%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.			
Students with Disabilities	8	25%	0%	0%	administered assessments.			
English Proficient	115	89%	75%	5%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	42	83%	64%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	73	92%	81%	8%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	115	89%	75%	5%	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	-	-	N/A	0				

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4				
All Students	111	91%	82%	28%					
Female	53	87%	81%	19%					
Male	58	95%	83%	36%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-					
Black or African American	3			- -					
Hispanic or Latino		••••	•••••	••••••	New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	_	<u> </u>	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
White	106	91%	82%	28%	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%	these assessments cannot be directly				
General-Education Students	103	95%	87%	30%	compared to results from previously				
Students with Disabilities	8	38%	13%	0%	administered assessments.				
English Proficient	110	_	_	_					
_imited English Proficient	1		_						
Economically Disadvantaged	39	82%	69%	23%					
Not Disadvantaged	72	96%	89%	31%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	111	91%	82%	28%					

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):
Assessments	Tested 2-4 3-4 4				Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	112	97%	89%	67%	120	99%	94%	53%	
Female	53	98%	91%	62%	50	98%	92%	52%	
Male	59	97%	88%	71%	70	100%	96%	54%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_		_	1				
Black or African American	3	-	_	-	3	-	-	_	
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	_	_	1	-	_	_	
White	107	97%	89%	66%	115	99%	94%	53%	
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	80%	5	100%	100%	60%	
General-Education Students	104	98%	92%	70%	108	99%	95%	57%	
Students with Disabilities	8	88%	50%	25%	12	100%	83%	17%	
English Proficient	111	-	-	-	120	99%	94%	53%	
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	•••••		••••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	40	98%	83%	48%	38	100%	87%	34%	
Not Disadvantaged	72	97%	93%	78%	82	99%	98%	62%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	112	97%	89%	67%	120	99%	94%	53%	

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	118	93%	65%	11%					
Female	50	92%	66%	12%					
Male	68	94%	65%	10%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-					
Black or African American	4	_		_	• • • • • • •				
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Pacific Islander	1	_	_ 	_					
White	112	95%	66%	10%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	6	67%	50%	33%					
General-Education Students	106	96%	70%	12%					
Students with Disabilities	12	67%	25%	0%					
English Proficient	118	93%	65%	11%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	29	86%	48%	7%					
Not Disadvantaged	89	96%	71%	12%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	118	93%	65%	11%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessifients	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1				This tost	was not giv	on in 200	14.05	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	т	_	_	_	Tills test	was not giv	en in 200	14-03.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	115	93%	75%	25%					
Female	49	90%	71%	29%					
Male	66	95%	77%	23%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	4	_		_	• • • • • • •				
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Pacific Islander	Ι	_	_ 	_					
White	109	94%	76%	25%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.	
Small Group Totals	6	83%	50%	33%					
General-Education Students	103	93%	79%	27%					
Students with Disabilities	12	92%	42%	8%	• • • • • • •				
English Proficient	115	93%	75%	25%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged	27	81%	59%	7%					
Not Disadvantaged	88	97%	80%	31%	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	115	93%	75%	25%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> C	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accocciments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



<b>Results by</b>	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05	2004-05 School Year						
•	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	137	98%	78%	17%						
Female	70	96%	80%	20%						
Male	67	100%	76%	13%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	3	_	_	-	• • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_						
White	131	98%	79%	18%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
General-Education Students	125	100%	82%	18%						
Students with Disabilities	12	75%	42%	8%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	137	98%	78%	17%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	43	93%	63%	9%						
Not Disadvantaged	94	100%	85%	20%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	137	98%	78%	17%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	2 4		-		was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	138	96%	78%	21%					
Female	70	96%	74%	14%					
Male	68	97%	82%	28%			••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	_					
Hispanic or Latino	3	_	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	- -	<u> </u>					
White	132	97%	 80%	21%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004		
Small Group Totals	6	83%	50%	17%					
General-Education Students	126	100%	83%	23%					
Students with Disabilities	12	58%	25%	0%					
English Proficient	138	96%	78%	21%					
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	43	91%	63%	14%					
Not Disadvantaged	95	99%	85%	24%	•••••	••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	138	96%	78%	21%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	135	96%	64%	11%					
Female	72	93%	65%	10%					
Male	63	98%	63%	13%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	-					
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	-	••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1			_					
Pacific Islander	т		_ 	_ 					
White	132	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	135	96%	64%	11%					
General-Education Students	125	99%	69%	12%					
Students with Disabilities	10	50%	10%	0%					
English Proficient	135	96%	64%	11%					
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••	•••••	••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	39	92%	67%	3%					
Not Disadvantaged	96	97%	64%	15%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant									
Not Migrant	135	96%	64%	11%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0			<u>·</u>		st was not giv		•	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	136	95%	64%	14%						
Female	73	92%	63%	14%						
Male	63	98%	65%	14%			••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1				• • • • • • • •					
Pacific Islander	т		_ 							
White	132	_		_	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	136	95%	64%	14%						
General-Education Students	126	98%	69%	15%						
Students with Disabilities	10	60%	0%	0%	• • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	136	95%	64%	14%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	39	90%	54%	10%						
Not Disadvantaged	97	97%	68%	15%	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	136	95%	64%	14%	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> C	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	2005-06 School Year					2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Percentag			ge scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tes	sted	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	142	94%	56%	4%								
Female	76	96%	58%	3%								
Male	66	92%	55%	5%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	_								
Black or African American	1		_	_								
Hispanic or Latino	1		-	-		New asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ry-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••			and midd	le-level Eng	glish langu	age			
Pacific Islander	т	_		_		arts and mathematics were						
White	137	94%	55%	4%			ered in 200					
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	0%			essments c		,			
General-Education Students	127	100%	63%	4%		•	d to results ered assessr	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities	15	47%	0%	0%		aummiste	ereu assessi	nents.				
English Proficient	142	94%	56%	4%								
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••								
Economically Disadvantaged	46	93%	48%	0%								
Not Disadvantaged	96	95%	60%	5%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	142	94%	56%	4%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	-	-	N/A	0				

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-0	2004–05 School Year					
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	143	92%	55%	12%							
Female	76	93%	55%	8%							
Male	67	90%	54%	16%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-							
Black or African American	1	_									
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	<b></b>	<del></del>	New assessments for elementary-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	-	- -	and middle-level English language						
Pacific Islander White	120	010/		 12%		nistered in 20		from			
	138	91%	54%			assessments					
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	20%		ared to resul		•			
General-Education Students	128	97%	61%	13%		nistered asse	•	,			
Students with Disabilities	15	47%	0%	0%							
English Proficient	142	-	-	-							
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_								
Economically Disadvantaged	45	91%	40%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged	98	92%	61%	17%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	143	92%	55%	12%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	sted 2-4 3-4 4				2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	chool Yea		2004-05	2004-05 School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	137	97%	80%	25%	147	99%	84%	34%
Female	74	96%	80%	19%	78	99%	83%	31%
Male	63	98%	81%	32%	69	99%	86%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	-	2	-	_	-
Black or African American	2	-	_	-				
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	-			•••••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
White	131	97%	80%	25%	143	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>	<del></del>
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	17%	147	99%	84%	34%
General-Education Students	123	99%	86%	28%	127	100%	91%	39%
Students with Disabilities	14	79%	29%	0%	20	90%	45%	0%
English Proficient	136	-	_	_	147	99%	84%	34%
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_			•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	42	98%	71%	7%	42	98%	69%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	95	97%	84%	33%	105	99%	90%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	137	97%	80%	25%	147	99%	84%	34%


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
-	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring a		oring at level	at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent									
Regents Science	0				0				


District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 8 20 66 28 122 669 5 27 76 19 662 Feb 2004 127 Feb 2003 3 37 52 36 128 667




	Number o	f students sco				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	2	64	72	13	151	703
Jan 2004	11	79	45	11	146	691
Jan 2003	11	67	64	6	148	692


District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 3 7 51 63 124 680 May 2005 63 51 128 672 May 2004 1 13 May 2003 1 9 68 47 125 672



	Number o	of students sco					
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	9	66	70	12	157	718	
May 2004	17	48	63	11	139	717	
May 2003	15	54	68	8	145	715	

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohor	2001 Cohort*				
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	147	70%	66%	25%	133	77%	75%	26%	
Female	66	80%	79%	35%	56	80%	79%	30%	
Male	81	62%	56%	17%	77	74%	73%	22%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-					
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino		••••••	•••••	••••••	***************************************	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	1	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_ 	1	_	_ 	_ 	
White	143	-	_	-	132	_	_	-	
Small Group Totals	147	70%	66%	25%	133	77%	75%	26%	
General-Education Students	126	77%	73%	29%	113	83%	81%	29%	
Students with Disabilities	21	29%	24%	0%	20	40%	40%	5%	
English Proficient	147	70%	66%	25%	133	77%	75%	26%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	20	50%	45%	5%					
Not Disadvantaged	127	73%	69%	28%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	147	70%	66%	25%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	


#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assossments	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number Number scoring at level(s):			(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohor	2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	147	73%	67%	18%	133	75%	65%	20%	
Female	66	86%	80%	20%	56	80%	64%	20%	
Male	81	62%	57%	17%	77	71%	65%	19%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	_	-					
Black or African American		•••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino		••••••	•••••	••••••	***************************************	•••••	•••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	1	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_ 	1	_	_ 	_	
White	143	-	_	-	132	_	_	-	
Small Group Totals	147	73%	67%	18%	133	75%	65%	20%	
General-Education Students	126	80%	76%	21%	113	83%	74%	23%	
Students with Disabilities	21	29%	14%	0%	20	30%	10%	0%	
English Proficient	147	73%	67%	18%	133	75%	65%	20%	
Limited English Proficient	•	••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	20	55%	55%	5%					
Not Disadvantaged	127	76%	69%	20%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	147	73%	67%	18%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	

#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assossments	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number Number scoring at level(s):			(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	147	65%	3%	2%	13%	18%
	2001	133	71%	3%	5%	9%	13%
Female	2002	66	76%	2%	2%	5%	17%
	2001	56	73%	2%	2%	7%	16%
Male	2002	81	56%	4%	2%	20%	19%
	2001	77	69%	4%	6%	10%	10%
American Indian	2002	3	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	2002	1	·····	_	_	····-	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	143	·····	_	_	_	_
	2001	132	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	2002	147	65%	3%	2%	13%	18%
·	2001	133	71%	3%	5%	9%	13%
General-Education Students	2002	126	72%	0%	2%	10%	15%
	2001	113	76%	0%	3%	9%	12%
Students with Disabilities	2002	21	19%	19%	0%	29%	33%
	2001	20	40%	20%	15%	10%	15%
English Proficient	2002	147	65%	3%	2%	13%	18%
	2001	133	71%	3%	5%	9%	13%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	20	40%	10%	0%	30%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	127	69%	2%	2%	10%	17%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	147	65%	3%	2%	13%	18%

#### **NOTES**

District JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



District	
NV State	Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	134	75%	2%	7%	2%	13%
Female	57	77%	2%	2%	2%	18%
Male	77	73%	3%	12%	3%	10%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	133	_	_		_	_
Small Group Totals	134	75%	2%	7%	2%	13%
General-Education Students	115	80%	0%	5%	2%	13%
Students with Disabilities	19	42%	16%	21%	5%	16%
English Proficient	134	75%	2%	7%	2%	13%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	20	85%	5%	0%	0%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	114	73%	2%	9%	3%	14%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	134	75%	2%	7%	2%	13%

#### NOTES