

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School FAYETTEVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 421001060001 Principal NANCY SMITH Telephone (315) 692-1600 Grades K-4

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	79	92	95
Grade 1	83	103	104
Grade 2	110	92	104
Grade 3	100	118	91
Grade 4	98	100	125
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	470	505	519

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Common Branch	23	22	22
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004–05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	18	4%	25	5%	15	3%
Reduced-Price Lunch	1	0%	3	1%	9	2%
Student Stability*		91%		90%		90%
Limited English Proficient	12	3%	13	3%	14	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	9	2%	11	2%	7	1%
Hispanic or Latino	2	0%	4	1%	7	1%
Asian or Native	29	6%	26	5%	25	5%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	430	91%	464	92%	480	92%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		98%		98%		97%
Student Suspensions	0	N/A	0	0%	1	0%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	39	42	126
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	14%	22%	21%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	28	32	32
Total Other Professional Staff	2	2	2
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School FAYETTEVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status				
(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to all New York State public schools)				
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academi	n identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, c Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.				
School in Corrective Action	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)				
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not				
make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified				
identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for				
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School Planning for Restructuring	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)				
A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not				
on the accountability measure for which it was identified	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified				
is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for				
the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 1)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)				
A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that				
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified	does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was				
is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the	identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress				
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	(Year 5 and above) for the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following					

2 School Accountability

School FAYETTEVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL District FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	🔨 G	▲ Good Standing					
	Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA				
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the School Received T	tle I Part A Funding				

litle i Part A Funding	Years the School Received little i Part A Funding					
	2004-05 2005-06 2006-		2006-07	06-07		
	YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	v					
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	_		•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-			•••••••••••••••••	•••••		
White	 	 ✓ 	••••	• ••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	–	–	••••	• •••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	–	-	••••	• ••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	🖌 1 of 1					



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	r Target 2006–07
All Students (215:212)	 Image: A start of the start of	Internet	99%	Internet	183	114	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (11:10)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (199:197)	<	✓	100%	~	183	114	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (18:17)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (12:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Objective	25
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	r Target 2006–07
All Students (215:212)		Interior	99%		193	78	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (4:4)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (11:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (199:197)	~	~	100%	 	193	78	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (18:17)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (3:3)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (12:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students errolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Statuc	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
			Criterion					2005-06	2006-07
All Students (123:120)		Qualified		98%	 	199	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		_	_	-	-	-	-	•••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		–
White (117:115)		Qualified	<	98%	~	199	100		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (8:7)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (7:7)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	/ 1 o	f 1							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	5	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3							
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100	%					
Grade 3	84%			90					
Grade 4	85%			119					
Mathematics									
Grade 3	91%			91					
Grade 4	94%			121					
Science									
Grade 4	99%			120					

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 17

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in school districts with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for elementary level schools in these districts.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools				
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage so	coring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780					
	100%				98%				
100%		84%				85%			
			ç	1%			12%		
	00	76		0					
	90	10		8					
	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year			
	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	90	100%	84%	9 %					
	32	100%	84%	3%					
	58	100%	84%	12%					
/e									
	2	-	-	-					
	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	•••••				
	o				••••				
	0								
	80	100%	84%	9%	This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
	10	100%	90%	10%					
	81	100%	86%	10%					
	9	100%	67%	0%					
	90	100%	84%	9%					
	4	-	-	-					
	86	-	-	-					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••••••••••••••		••••••	••••••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	100%	Percentages 2-4 Range: 616-780 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 2005-06 S Total Tested 90 32 58 /e 2 8 8 80 10 81 90 90 4	2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-7 100% 100% 84% 100% 90 76 90 76 90 76 701 90 76 90 76 100% 2005-06 Year Total Percentage Tested 2-4 90 100% 32 100% 76 32 76 32 90 100% 76 76 80 100% 10 10% 10 10% 90 100% 90 100% 90 100% 90 100%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 7 100% 84% 84% 9 100% 84% 9 90 76 90 76 90 76 9 100% 2005-06 School Year 9 9 76 100% 2-4 3-4 9 9 9 76 100 100% 84% 3 3 100% 84% 10	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 100% 100% 84% 9% 100% 90 76 8 90 76 8 9% 90 76 8 9% 90 76 8 9% 90 76 8 9% 90 76 8 9% 90 76 8 9% 90 76 8 9% 90 76 8 9% 90 100% 84% 9% 100% 84% 9% 3% 58 100% 84% 12% 7e 2 - - - 2 - - - - 80 100% 84% 9% 10 100% 84% 9% 10% 10% 10 100% 84% 10% 9% 90	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage sc 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 98% 100% 84% 9% 98% 98% 98% 100% 9% 9% 9% 98% 98% 98% 98% 100% 84% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 9% 10% 9% 10%<	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 98% 85% 100% 94% 98% 98% 85% 98% 85% 100% 90 76 8 98% 85% 98% 85% 90 76 8 700	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 98% 95% 100% 84% 9% 98% 85% 12% 100% 84% 9% 90 76 8 98% 85% 12% 90 76 8 2004-05 School Year 70 <th< td=""></th<>	

NUIES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test	was not ai	was not given in 2004-05.		
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent					This test was not given in 2			14-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo) coring at level	l(c)·			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 695	Range:	624-770	650-77		03-770							
	1000/	99%	91%			99%	93%					
	100%											
				4	1%			38%				
Number of Students:		90	83		7	_						
Results by		2005-06 Se	Percentage	scoring at	level(s).		School Year	scoring at le	vel(s).			
Student Group		Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		91	99%	91%	41%							
Female		32	100%	78%	28%							
Male		59	98%	98%	47%							
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve											
Black or African American		2	-		-							
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	8	-	-	-							
White		81	99%	91%	40%	This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		10	100%	90%	50%							
General-Education Students		82	100%	94%	44%							
Students with Disabilities		9	89%	67%	11%							
English Proficient		90	-	_	-							
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-							
Economically Disadvantaged		5	100%	60%	20%							
Not Disadvantaged		86	99%	93%	42%		••••					
Migrant												
Not Migrant		91	99%	91%	41%							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	/en in 200/	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):					Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4		1	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 681	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	716-775						
	100%	98%	85%			98%	87%				
				ł	3%			17%			
Number of Students:		117	101		10						
Results by		2005-06 S o	hool Year			2004-05 S	chool Year				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		119	98%	85%	8%						
Female		57	96%	86%	12%						
Male		62	100%	84%	5%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e										
Black or African American		2									
Hispanic or Latino		1				New ass	essments for	r elementar	ſy-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		2	-	-	-		dle-level Eng mathematic	5	age		
White		114	98%	85%	8%	administ	ered in 2006	5. Results fi	rom		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	80%	20%		sessments c		-		
General-Education Students		112	99%	88%	9%		d to results	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities		7	86%	29%	0%	administ	ered assessr	nents.			
English Proficient		119	98%	85%	8%						
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged		5	100%	100%	20%						
Not Disadvantaged		114	98%	84%	8%		•••••••••••••••••				
Migrant											
Not Migrant		119	98%	85%	8%		•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	-	-	N/A	5	3	3	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School	ol scoring at lev	el(s).		Similar Sc	scoring at level(s)	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3–4	. 4
Mean Score: 696	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800			
		100%				98%		
	100%	100%	94%			98%	93%	
				4	4%			43%
Number of Students:		121	114		53			
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage s	coring at level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4
All Students		121	100%	94%	44%			
Female		58	100%	93%	38%			
Male		63	100%	95%	49%			
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve							
Black or African American		2	-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-	New as	sessments for	elementary-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		2	_	_	_		ddle-level Engl	
Pacific Islander		ے 					d mathematics	
White		116	100%	94%	45%		stered in 2006.	
Small Group Totals		5	100%	100%	20%			nnot be directly
General-Education Students		114	100%	96%	45%		stered assessm	rom previously
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	71%	29%	autititi	510100 033035111	ciito.
English Proficient		119	-	-	-			
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-			
Economically Disadvantaged		7	100%	100%	14%			
Not Disadvantaged		114	100%	94%	46%			
Migrant								

Migrant

Not Migrant

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

121

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4 3-4 4			Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

94%

44%

100%

NOTES

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

	-				_			
	2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
Range:	45-100	65-1	00	85-100				
100%	100% 98%	99%	91%	60%	100% 99%	98% 96		[%] 68%
	120 100	110	02	03 61				
				55 01				
			-			-	-	
			-					4
								60%
•••••	••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		54%
	62	100%	98%	81%	40	96%	81%	67%
		••••••••••		•••••••••••••		••••		
•••••		·····	<u>-</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
	1		<u>-</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
r	2	-	-	-	13	100%	92%	62%
	115	100%	99%	79%	83	100%	94%	63%
	5	100%	100%	40%	6	67%	50%	17%
	113	100%	99%	79%	92	98%	90%	61%
•••••	7	100%	100%	57%	10	100%	100%	50%
	118	-	_	-	97	99%	94%	63%
•••••	2	-	-	-	5	80%	40%	0%
	7	100%	100%	43%	9	89%	78%	44%
•••••	113	100%	99%	80%	93	99%	92%	61%
•••••	120	100%	99%	78%	102	98%	91%	60%
	100%	Percentage : 2-4 Range: 45-100 100% 98% 100% 98% 120 100 58 62 ve 2 115 5 113 7 118 2 7 113	2-4 3-4 Range: 45-100 65-1 100% 98% 99% 100% 98% 99% 100% 100% 98% 100% 98% 99% 100% 100% 910 120 100 119 2005-06 School Yea Total Percentage Tested 2-4 120 100% 62 100% 62 100% 62 100% 62 100% 62 100% 62 100% 7 100% 113 100% 7 100% 113 100% 113 100%	Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ Range: $45-100$ $65-100$ 100% 98% 99% 91% 100% 98% 99% 91% 120 100 119 93 2005-06 School Year Total Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 120 100 19 93 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total P	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 Range: 45-100 65-100 100% 98% 99% 91% 78% 100% 98% 99% 91% 78% 100% 119 93 93 61 120 100 119 93 93 61 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 120 100% 99% 78% 58 100% 100% 74% 62 100% 98% 81% ve 2 -	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 2-4 Range: 45-100 65-100 85-100 100% 98% 99% 91% 78% 100% 99% 100% 98% 99% 91% 78% 60% 100% 99% 120 100 119 93 93 61 100% 99% zoo5-o6 School Year zoo4-o5 S Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 102 120 100% 99% 78% 102 5 100% 100% 74% 56 62 - - - 5 1 - - 5 1 - - - 5 1 2 - - - 1 3 3 3 1 - - - - 1 3 3 3	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 2-4 $3-4$ Range: $45-100$ $65-100$ $85-100$ 100% 99% 98% 96 100% 100% 98% 99% 91% 78% 100% 99% 98% 96 100% 120 100 119 93 93 61 100% 99% 98% 96 Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 2-4 3-4 4 Percentage 2-4 120 100% 99% 78% 102 98% 98% 98% 98% 98% 100% 96% 76% 102 98% 98% 98% 98% 98% 98% 98% 98% 96%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 2-4 $3-4$ 4 Range: 45-100 65-100 85-100 100% 99% 99% 91% 60% 100% 99% 98% 96% 74/ 100% 100% 98% 99% 91% 78% 60% 100% 99% 98% 96% 74/ 100% 100 19 93 93 61 100% 99% 98% 96% 74/ 100% 100 119 93 93 61 100% 99% 78% 100 98% 96% 74/ 120 100 119 93 93 61 2004-05 School Year 74/ 120 100% 99% 78% 102 98% 96% 74/ 120 100% 99% 78% 102 98% 91% 58 100% 100% 74% 56 100% 95% 62 100% 99% 79% 83 100% 92% 71 100% 99% 79% 83 100% 94% </td

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0	2-4	5-4	4	1	-	-	-	

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool e scoring at level(s	1.		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3–4): 4	2-4	3–4	4			
Der		5 -		2 7	5 +				
каг	nge:								
100'	%								
umber of Students:									
	2005-06	School Year		2004-05	School Year				
Results by			oring at level(s):			scoring at leve	al(c).		
Student Group	Total Tested	2-4	3–4 4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	±رد). 4		
Il Students	Testeu	2 4	54 4	Testeu	2 4	5 4	4		
emale									
lale	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • •		
merican Indian or Alaska Native									
lack or African American	•••••	•••••	••••••	••••					
ispanic or Latino	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••	••••					
sian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		••••••••••						
acific Islander							o		
/hite				Inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004-0	J <u>5</u> .		
mall Group Totals									
eneral-Education Students				···· <mark>·</mark> ·····					
tudents with Disabilities									
nglish Proficient	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••					
imited English Proficient conomically Disadvantaged									
ot Disadvantaged	••••••	•••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		
igrant									
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		
lot Migrant									

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	4 05
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent				_		was not yiv		4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics


	This Sch	ool			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	Percentag	e scoring at leve	l(s):						
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Ra	ange:								
10	00%								
					_				
lumber of Students:									
Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year			
•	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
ll Students									
emale									
1ale									
merican Indian or Alaska Native									
lack or African American			•••••	••••					
lispanic or Latino			••••••		••••				
sian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••				
acific Islander									
Vhite			•••••		This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
mall Group Totals	•••••••••••		••••••	•••••					
General-Education Students									
tudents with Disabilities	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••				
nglish Proficient									
imited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••	•••••	• • • •				
conomically Disadvantaged									
ot Disadvantaged	••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••	•••••	•••••••••	••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	
ligrant									
lot Migrant	••••••		••••••••••	••••	••••••••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • •	

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4 3-4 4 Test				2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	_	_	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	el:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	3	14	48	32	97	685	
Feb 2004	1	20	54	16	91	668	
Feb 2003	2	20	52	36	110	677	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	<u>l:</u>			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	1	3	54	44	102	677	
May 2004	0	4	54	39	97	675	
May 2003	1	9	51	50	111	674	