

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District ONONDAGA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 421201040000 Superintendent JOSEPH ROTELLA Telephone (315) 492-1701 Grades PK-12

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

## Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

## Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



## View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

## Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
23	28	34
62	70	77
69	76	70
51	62	68
73	61	61
68	79	62
90	75	78
86	89	73
0	0	0
108	111	97
77	100	101
94	88	100
77	86	81
86	69	75
84	82	67
0	0	0
1025	1048	1010
	23 62 69 51 73 68 90 86 0 108 77 94 77 94 77 86 84	23       28         62       70         69       76         51       62         73       61         68       79         90       75         86       89         0       0         108       111         77       100         94       88         77       86         86       69         84       82         0       0         0       0

## Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

## **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	19	19	19
Grade 8			
English	20	23	16
Mathematics	20	19	21
Science	20	24	20
Social Studies	19	25	18
Grade 10			
English	18	21	19
Mathematics	17	16	17
Science	25	21	16
Social Studies	24	25	19

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	145	14%	180	17%	216	21%
Reduced-Price Lunch	98	10%	89	8%	69	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	2	0%	8	1%	9	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	34	3%	47	4%	47	5%
Black or African American	47	5%	55	5%	64	6%
Hispanic or Latino	9	1%	11	1%	17	2%
Asian or Native	4	0%	5	0%	3	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	931	91%	930	89%	879	87%

\* Not available at the district level.

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		94%
Student Suspensions	69	N/A	67	7%	64	6%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	229	251	349
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	1%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	1	0
Percentage of Total	1%	1%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	11%	12%

## **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	86	89	87
Total Other Professional Staff	7	8	9
Total Paraprofessionals*	19	22	21
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	3	3	3

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

## Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

## 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

## **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

# **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to New York State districts)
District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	n identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

# 2 District Accountability

District ONONDAGA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


## Summary

Status (2006–07)	Element	lementary/Middle Level Secondary Level				
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing		
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing		

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding					
2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			
	2004-05	2004-05 2005-06			

## On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Lo	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		-	_			
Black or African American	-	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	-	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_		-	-			
White	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	✓	<	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		_	-			
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••	–	-	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	–	-	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1		



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		es
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
. ,							2005-06	2006-07
All Students (476:460)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	100%		159	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (18:16)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (29:25)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (8:8)	_	_	_	–	-	-	••••	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
White (418:408)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	164	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (60:57)	✔ SH	~	98%	<b>✓</b> SH	96	109	20	106
Limited English Proficient (5:4)	–	-	-	-	-	-		–
Economically Disadvantaged (136:128)	~	~	99%	~	132	113	••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (476:455)	Status		99%		160	81	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (18:17)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (27:23)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (7:7)	_	-	-	–	-	-	•••••••••••	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••	-
White (421:405)	<	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	<b>~</b>	166	81	••••••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (59:56)	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	98%	<b>~</b>	104	73		
Limited English Proficient (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (133:125)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	~	138	77	•••••••••••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	4						

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (163:151)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	94%	V	189	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (8:7)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (9:8)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)		-	_	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (140:130)		Qualified	~	94%	~	192	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (20:16)		-	_	-	-	-	_		-
Limited English Proficient (3:3)		-	–	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (48:43)	• •••••	Qualified	~	92%	~	184	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

### NOTES

- **AYP Status** 2
- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

# **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion <sup>2</sup>	n <sup>2</sup> Test Perform		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	<u> </u>	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	5
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (66:63)	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	194	142		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (3:4)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	–	-	-	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (60:55)	<	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	196	141	••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (1:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:0)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (12:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

### These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance). 2

**AYP Status** 

1 Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	3
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (66:63)	V	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	190	134		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (3:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	_	-	-	–	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:0)	_	–	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (60:55)	<	✓	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	195	133	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (1:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:0)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (12:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

### NOTES

## **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
   Council and the second students in the 12th and the second students in the second students.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (87)	~	<b>~</b>	77%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3)		-	-	-	-	-		
Black or African American (3)		-	-	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino (1)		-	-	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		-	-	_	-	_		
White (79)		<	76%	55%				
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (16)		-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (2)		-	-	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (9)		_	_	_	-	_		
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1						

### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

.....

### **Federal Title I Status**

**New York State Status** 

▲ Good Standing

3 schools identified 100% of total ONONDAGA HIGH SCHOOL ROCKWELL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL WHEELER SCHOOL

## Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that r above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	69%		62
Grade 4	69%		62
Grade 5	68%		79
Grade 6	66%		71
Grade 7	65%		94
Grade 8	55%		97
Mathematics			
Grade 3	79%		63
Grade 4	85%		62
Grade 5	66%		80
Grade 6	61%		71
Grade 7	63%		95
Grade 8	60%		97
Science			
Grade 4	98%		62
Grade 8	83%		89
	-	of students that r above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	82%		76
Mathematics	79%		76
	Percentage who gradua	of students ated	2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	79%	,	76

## About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

### **Average Need Districts**

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at level	l(s):		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 668	Range:	616-780	650-78	30 7	30-780							
	100%	97%				92%						
			69%			9278	69%					
			0570				0570					
				5	%			7%				
Number of Students:		60	43		3							
			10				_					
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> o				2004-05	School Year					
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		62	97%	<b>69</b> %	5%							
Female			97%	79%	10%							
Male		33	97%	61%	0%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	2		<u>-</u>								
Black or African American		4	-									
Hispanic or Latino		1	-									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other												
Pacific Islander						This too			05			
White			96%	75%	5%	inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		7	100%	29%	0%							
General-Education Students		50	100%	82%	6%							
Students with Disabilities		12	83%	17%	0%							
English Proficient		62	97%	69%	5%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		13	100%	31%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		49	96%	80%	6%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		62	97%	69%	5%							

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assossments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost y	This test was not given in 2			
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent						was not giv		·4-0J.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 667	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
	100%	95%				94%					
			79%			5470	81%				
								25%			
				E	%			2 J %			
							_				
Number of Students:		60	50		5						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> a	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at I					
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		63	95%	79%	8%						
Female		30	97%	77%	7%						
Male		33	94%	82%	9%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve	2									
Black or African American		4									
Hispanic or Latino		1	_								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander						This to			05		
White		56	96%	84%	7%	inis te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		7	86%	43%	14%						
General-Education Students		51	98%	88%	10%						
Students with Disabilities		12	83%	42%	0%						
English Proficient		63	95%	79%	8%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		13	92%	69%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		50	96%	82%	10%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		63	95%	79%	8%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District	t		NY State	NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 662	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775						
	100%	89%			91%					
			69%			69%				
				8%			9%			
Number of Students:		55	43	5						
						<b>a</b> 1 1 1 1				
Deculte hu		2005-06 Scl	hool Year		2004-04	School Vear				

Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004–05 School Year					
-	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested 62	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4					
All Students		89%	<b>69</b> %	8%						
Female	30	90%	83%	10%						
Male	32	88%	56%	6%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-						
Black or African American	4	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	New assessments for elementary-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_	and middle-level English language					
Pacific Islander	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				arts and mathematics were					
White	51	92%	76%	10%	administered in 2006. Results from					
Small Group Totals	11	73%	36%	0%	these assessments cannot be directly					
General-Education Students	52	94%	77%	10%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.					
Students with Disabilities	10	60%	30%	0%	administered assessments.					
English Proficient	62	89%	69%	8%						
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	19	74%	42%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	43	95%	81%	9%						
Migrant	1	-	-	-						
Not Migrant	61	-	-	–						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):Tested2-43-44				Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	_	_	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	-	-	N/A	0			

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 687	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800					
	100%	97%	85%	29%	93%	78%	26%		
Number of Students:		60	53	18					

Results by	2005-06 \$	School Yea	r		2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4				
All Students	62	97%	85%	<b>29</b> %					
Female	30	100%	90%	27%					
Male	32	94%	81%	31%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-					
Black or African American	3	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	–	–	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
White		100%	94%	33%	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	11	82%	45%	9%	these assessments cannot be directly				
General-Education Students	52	98%	92%	33%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities	10	90%	50%	10%	administered assessments.				
English Proficient	61	-	-	-					
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged	19	89%	74%	11%					
Not Disadvantaged	43	100%	91%	37%					
Migrant	1	-	-	-					
Not Migrant	61	–	-	–					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
2	-	-	-	0			
		Tested 2–4	Total Number scoring at level Tested 2–4 3–4	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):Tested2-43-44	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):TotalTested2-43-44	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):TotalNumber scoreTested2-43-44Tested2-4	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):TotalNumber scoring at levelTested2-43-44Tested2-43-4

# This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage scor	oring at level(s):		Percentage sco				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 85	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100					
<ul><li>2005-06</li><li>2004-05</li></ul>	100%	100%100%	98% 86%	63%	97% 95%	86% 80%	49% 42%		
Number of Students:		62 73	61 63	39 29					

2005-06	School Yea	r		2004–05 School Year				
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
62	100%	<b>98</b> %	63%	73	100%	86%	<b>40</b> %	
30	100%	100%	60%	43	100%	86%	33%	
32	100%	97%	66%	30	100%	87%	50%	
3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	
3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
3	-	-	-					
2	-	-	-					
51	100%	100%	67%	66	100%	86%	42%	
11	100%	91%	45%	7	100%	86%	14%	
52	100%	98%	69%	67	100%	88%	42%	
10	100%	100%	30%	6	100%	67%	17%	
61	-	-	-	73	100%	86%	40%	
1	-	-	–			•••••	••••••	
19	100%	95%	47%					
43	100%	100%	70%	73	100%	86%	40%	
1	-	-	-					
61	-	-	–	73	100%	86%	40%	
	Total Tested 62 30 32 3 3 3 3 3 2 51 11 52 10 61 1 1 9 43 1	Total Tested         Percentag 2-4           62         100%           30         100%           32         100%           33         -           3         -           3         -           3         -           3         -           3         -           3         -           3         -           3         -           2         -           51         100%           11         100%           52         100%           10         100%           61         -           1         -           19         100%           43         100%	Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 62100%98%30100%100%32100%97%333251100%100%11100%98%10100%98%10100%98%1111119100%95%1110100%100%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s):           Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4           62         100%         98%         63%           30         100%         100%         60%           32         100%         97%         66%           3         -         -         -           3         -         -         -           3         -         -         -           3         -         -         -           3         -         -         -           2         -         -         -           2         -         -         -           2         -         -         -           2         -         -         -           11         100%         100%         67%           11         100%         98%         69%           10         100%         100%         30%           61         -         -         -           1         -         -         -           19         100%         95%         47%           43         100%         100%	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested62100%98%63%7330100%100%60%4332100%97%66%303 $   5$ 3 $   2$ 3 $   2$ 3 $   2$ 3 $   2$ 3 $   2$ 3 $   2$ 3 $   2$ 3 $   2$ 3 $   2$ 3 $   -$ 2 $   -$ 2 $   -$ 10100%100%60%6611100%98%69%6710100%100%30%661 $   -$ 19100%95%47%43100%100%70%731 $   -$	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total TestedPercentage $2-4$ 62100%98%63%73100%30100%100%60%43100%32100%97%66%30100%3 $    -$ 3 $   2$ $-$ 3 $   2$ $-$ 3 $   2$ $-$ 3 $   2$ $-$ 3 $   2$ $-$ 3 $   2$ $-$ 3 $   2$ $-$ 2 $    2$ 51100%100%67%66100%11100%91%45%7100%10100%100%30%6100%11 $    -$ 19100%95%47% $ -$ 10100%100%70%73100%1 $    -$ 11 $    -$ 10100%100%70%73100%11 $    -$ 12 $    -$ 13 $   -$	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total TestedPercentage scoring at $2-4$ 62100%98%63%73100%86%30100%100%60%43100%86%32100%97%66%30100%87%3532322222-51100%100%67%66100%86%11100%91%45%7100%86%10100%100%30%6100%67%6173100%86%173100%86%119100%95%47%1	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> a	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	_	_	0			

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 660	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795						
	100%	96%				94%					
			68%				67%				
			0070				01 78				
				1	1%			12%			
Number of Students:		76	54		9						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total Percentage scorin			level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		79	96%	<b>68</b> %	11%						
Female		43	98%	74%	12%						
Male		36	94%	61%	11%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive	3	-								
Black or African American		6	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••							
Pacific Islander											
White		70	96%	76%	13%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		9	100%	11%	0%						
General-Education Students		69	100%	75%	13%						
Students with Disabilities		10	70%	20%	0%						
English Proficient		79	96%	68%	11%						
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••		•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged		28	96%	43%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	51	96%	82%	18%			•••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	79	96%	68%	11%			•••••			
NOTES											

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2–4 3–4 4				Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
	Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4 90% 68% 19%				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780						
100%	000/				2224					
	90%				90%	60%				
		66%				68%				
			1	5%			19%			
	72	53	1	12						
	2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year	1		2004-05	School Year				
	Total Percentage scoring at lev			tlevel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	80	90%	66%	15%						
	43	95%	67%	9%						
	37	84%	65%	22%						
ve	3									
	6									
					······			05		
	71	92%	73%	17%	Inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
	9	78%	11%	0%						
	70	96%	74%	17%						
	10	50%	10%	0%						
	80	90%	66%	15%						
	27	81%	44%	11%						
	53	94%	77%	17%						
	80	90%	66%	15%						
		Percentage si 2-4  Range: 619-780  100% 90% 72 72 2005-06 Sc Total Tested 80 43 37 ve 3 6 71 9 70 10 80 27 53	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       619-780       650-780       6         100%       90%       66%       1         90%       66%       1       1         72       53       1       1         72       53       1       1         72       53       1       1         72       53       1       1         72       53       1       1         72       53       1       1         72       53       1       1         72       53       1       1         72       53       1       1         72       53       1       1         72       53       1       1         72       53       9       66%         43       95%       67%       3         43       95%       67%       3         9       78%       11%       4         9       78%       11%       1         9       78%       10%       10%         80       90%       66%       3	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       619-780       650-780       699-780         100%       90%       66%       15%         72       53       12         Zoo5-o6 Schoe Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         80       90%       66%       15%         43       95%       67%       9%         37       84%       65%       22%         ve       3       -       -         71       92%       73%       17%         9       78%       11%       0%         70       96%       74%       17%         10       50%       10%       0%         80       90%       66%       15%         27       81%       44%       11%         27       81%       44%       11%         53       94%       77%       17%	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage s         2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $619-780$ $650-780$ $699-780$ 100%       90% $66\%$ $90\%$ $90\%$ 72 $53$ $12$ $90\%$ Zoo5-o6 School Year $2004-053$ Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total         Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ <b>80 90%</b> 43       95% $67\%$ $9\%$ 37 $84\%$ $65\%$ $22\%$ Ve $3$ $-$ 71 $92\%$ $73\%$ $17\%$ 9 $78\%$ $11\%$ $0\%$ $70$ 9 $78\%$ $11\%$ $0\%$ $70$ 9 $78\%$ $11\%$ $0\%$ $73\%$ 10 $50\%$ $10\%$ $0\%$ $73\%$ 27 $81\%$ $44\%$ $11\%$ $73\%$ 27 $81\%$ $44\%$ $11\%$ $73\%$	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       619-780       650-780       699-780       90%       66%       68%         100%       90%       66%       15%       90%       68%       68%       68%         72       53       12       72       53       12       70       66%       68%         Zoo5-06 School Year       Zoo4-05 School Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       70       90%       66%       15%       70       92%       73%       17%       70       96%       73%       17%       70       96%       73%       17%       70       96%       73%       17%       70       96%       73%       17%       70       96%       73%       17%       70       96%       15%       70       96%       15%       70       96%       15%       70       76%       17%       76%       77%       77%       77%       77%       77%       77%       77%       77%       77%       77%       77%       77%       77%       77%       77%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4 $3-4$ 4       2-4 $3-4$ 4         Range:       619-780       650-780       699-780       90%       66%       100%       90%       66%       100%       90%       66%       68%       19/%       19%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10%       10% <td< td=""></td<>		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	This test was not given in 2004					4-05.	

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         2:       598-785       650-785       705-785         94%       66%       14%				Percentage s	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 660	Range:	598-785	650-78	85 7	05-785						
	100%	94%				93%					
			6694			55%					
			66%				60%				
				1	4%			12%			
Number of Students:		67	47	1	.0	_					
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> e			/.		School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	-		Total		scoring at le			
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		71	<b>94%</b>	66%	14%						
Female			100%	72%	13%	· <mark>·</mark> ·····	••••	••••••	•••••		
Male		32	88%	59%	16%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	3		·····-	<u>-</u>						
Black or African American		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····-							
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				t was not giv	en in 2004	-05		
White		67			_		t was not giv	2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		71	94%	66%	14%						
General-Education Students		66	97%	71%	15%						
Students with Disabilities		5	60%	0%	0%						
English Proficient		71	94%	66%	14%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		18	89%	44%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged		53	96%	74%	17%						
Migrant		1	-	_	-						
Not Migrant		70	_								

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assossments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ						200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6								

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 652	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 69	96-780						
	100%	90%				070/					
		90%				87%					
			61%				60%				
				3	%			13%			
Number of Students:		64	43		2						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
_	_	Total Percentage scorin			level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		71	90%	61%	3%						
Female		40	90%	65%	5%						
Male		31	90%	55%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive	3									
Black or African American		1									
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er										
Pacific Islander			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		st was not giv	on in 2004	05		
White							st was not giv	2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		71	90%	61%	3%						
General-Education Students			92%	65%	3%						
Students with Disabilities		5	60%	0%	0%						
English Proficient		71	90%	61%	3%						
imited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		18	83%	50%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged		53	92%	64%	2%						
Migrant		1	_								
Not Migrant		70	-	_	_						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	_	_	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 660	Range:	600-790	650-7	90 7	12-790						
	100%	95%				92%					
			650/			5270					
			65%				56%				
				1:	1%			8%			
Number of Students:		89	61	1	.0						
		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
Results by		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total		scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students		94	95%	65%	11%						
Female		43	98%	70%	12%						
Male		51	92%	61%	10%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive	2	-	_	-						
Black or African American		8	88%	25%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er										
Pacific Islander								·	<u>-</u>		
White		81	95%	70%	11%	This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	40%	20%						
General-Education Students		84	96%	70%	12%						
Students with Disabilities		10	80%	20%	0%						
English Proficient		94	95%	65%	11%	_					
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		26	88%	54%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged		68	97%	69%	13%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		94	95%	65%	11%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
NOTES											

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			This tost	was not qiv	on in 200	4.05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent		_		-		was not yiv		
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	2	-	_	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 657	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 6	93-800						
	100%	91%									
		91%				87%					
			63%				56%				
				ç	9%			12%			
Number of Students:		86	60		9						
		2005-06 5	chool Voar			2004-05	School Year				
Results by		Total	6 School Year Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total			vel(s).		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	e scoring at level(s) 3–4 4			
All Students	-	95	91%	63%	9%						
Female		43	93%	65%	9%						
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	52	88%	62%	10%			••••••			
American Indian or Alaska N	lative	2	-	-	-						
Black or African American		7	71%	14%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther										
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u>.</u>		
White		83	93%	69%	10%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		5	80%	40%	20%						
General-Education Students		85	93%	67%	11%						
Students with Disabilities		10	70%	30%	0%						
English Proficient		94	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged	1	26	85%	42%	12%						
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	69	93%	71%	9%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	95	91%	63%	9%			•••••			
NOTES											

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This tes	is test was not given in 2004-05.			

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distric	:t		NY State	Public		
		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 650	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
	100%	93%	55%	1%	91%	49%	5%	
Number of Students:		90	53	1				
Results by		2005-06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year		2004-05	5 School Year		
		Tatal	Percentage scori	ng at lovel(s).	Tatal	Percentage	coring at level(s).	

περαιίρ μα	_							
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	97	93%	55%	1%				
Female	51	92%	51%	2%				
Male	46	93%	59%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	-	-	-				
Black or African American	5	100%	40%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino					New ass	essments fo	r elementar	·y-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-		dle-level Eng mathematic		ige
White	86	92%	58%	1%		tered in 200		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	17%	0%		sessments c		
General-Education Students	89	96%	58%	1%		ed to results tered assessi	•	ously
Students with Disabilities	8	63%	13%	0%	aumms	lereu assessi	nems.	
English Proficient	97	93%	55%	1%				
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	28	86%	39%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	69	96%	61%	1%			••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	97	93%	55%	1%			••••••	

NOTES

Grade 8

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)<sup>†</sup>: 2 N/A 0

4

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct		NY State	Public		
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 651	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775				
	100%	89%			85%			
			60%			54%		
							10%	
				1%				
Number of Students:		86	58	1				
<b>Results by</b>		2005–06 S	chool Year		2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage so	coring at level(s):	
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4 3-	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4	

Student Group	Total	Percentag	e sconny at	level(s):	lot	tal	Percentage	sconing at leve	21(5):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tes	sted	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	97	89%	<b>60</b> %	1%					
Female	51	86%	53%	0%					
Male	46	91%	67%	2%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	-	-	-					
Black or African American	5	60%	20%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino						New asses	ssments for	r elementary	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_		and middl	e-level Eng	glish languag	je
Pacific Islander	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ					arts and n	nathematic	s were	
White	86	91%	63%	1%				6. Results fro	
Small Group Totals	6	83%	50%	0%				annot be dir	-
General-Education Students	90	92%	64%	1%		•	to results red assessr	from previou	usly
Students with Disabilities	7	43%	0%	0%		auministe	leu assessi	nems.	
English Proficient	95	-	-	-					
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	–					
Economically Disadvantaged	29	79%	45%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	68	93%	66%	1%				••••••	
Migrant	1	-	-	-					
Not Migrant	96	-	-	–			••••••		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

# This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 77	Range:	44-100	65-100	85-100					
<ul><li>2005-06</li><li>2004-05</li></ul>	100%	100% 99%	83% 82%	31% 29%	91% 91%	64% 68%	18% 25%		
Number of Students:		89 92	74 76	28 27					

Results by	2005-06	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	89	100%	83%	31%	93	<b>99</b> %	<b>82</b> %	<b>29</b> %	
Female	48	100%	75%	19%	48	98%	79%	27%	
Male	41	100%	93%	46%	45	100%	84%	31%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	80%	20%	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	79	100%	86%	34%	84	99%	87%	32%	
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	0%	9	100%	33%	0%	
General-Education Students	85	-	-	-	86	99%	83%	30%	
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	7	100%	71%	14%	
English Proficient	87	-	-	-	93	99%	82%	29%	
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-			•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	25	100%	76%	16%					
Not Disadvantaged	64	100%	86%	38%	93	99%	82%	29%	
Migrant	1	-	-	-					
Not Migrant	88	-	-	-	93	99%	82%	29%	


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Accocchonte	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent								
Regents Science	0				0			

## **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	el:					
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	2	15	51	11	79	661	
Feb 2004	4	18	33	14	69	659	
Feb 2003	1	19	39	28	87	674	


	This School			NY State Pub	olic	
	Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage sc	:	
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
<ul> <li>2004-05</li> <li>2003-04</li> <li>2002-03</li> </ul>	96% 97% 99%	45% 55% 53%	8% 9% 7%	93% 93% 91%	48% 47% 45%	6 9% 11% 8%

### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	4	52	37	8	101	696	
Jan 2004	2	31	34	7	74	703	
Jan 2003	1	38	38	6	83	700	

# **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	l:	
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	1	8	37	34	80	673
May 2004	3	8	34	26	71	667
May 2003	0	6	28	53	87	687

	This School			NY State Public			
	Percentage s	coring at level(s	5):	Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882				
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	100% 86% 95% 98%	5 57%	% 14% <sup>18%</sup> 3%	87% 86% 83%	55% <sup>58%</sup> 5	1% 9% <sup>13%</sup> 9%	

### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	14	30	55	3	102	714	
May 2004	4	18	42	10	74	724	
May 2003	2	25	42	15	84	731	

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 <b>Coho</b> i	rt*			2001 <b>Coho</b> r			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	76	<b>82</b> %	82%	50%	98	73%	73%	43%
Female	46	91%	91%	59%	44	77%	77%	52%
Male	30	67%	67%	37%	54	70%	70%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	7	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••				2	_	_	_
Pacific Islander					۷	_		
White	65	85%	85%	57%	88	74%	74%	45%
Small Group Totals	11	64%	64%	9%	10	70%	70%	20%
General-Education Students	72	-	-	-	80	78%	78%	50%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	18	56%	56%	11%
English Proficient	76	82%	82%	50%	96	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	••••••				2	-	-	–
Economically Disadvantaged	18	72%	72%	11%				
Not Disadvantaged	58	84%	84%	62%			•••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	76	82%	82%	50%		•••••		••••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 <b>Coho</b> r	rt*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public           Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
<ul> <li>2002 Cohort</li> <li>2001 Cohort</li> </ul>	100%	80% 77%	79% 76%	32% 21%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Coho	r <b>t</b> *		2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	76	80%	79%	32%	98	77%	76%	21%
Female	46	91%	91%	37%	44	82%	80%	23%
Male	30	63%	60%	23%	54	72%	72%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	4	-	_	-
Black or African American	7	–	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Pacific Islander					2	_	_	
White	65	83%	83%	35%	88	77%	76%	23%
Small Group Totals	11	64%	55%	9%	10	70%	70%	10%
General-Education Students	72	-	-	-	80	81%	80%	25%
Students with Disabilities	4	–	-	–	18	56%	56%	6%
English Proficient	76	80%	79%	32%	96	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	18	67%	61%	11%				
Not Disadvantaged	58	84%	84%	38%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	76	80%	79%	32%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 <b>Coho</b> r	ť*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ $4$			Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				


\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

## **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

## **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	76	79%	3%	7%	7%	5%
	2001	98	<b>67</b> %	2%	8%	<b>12</b> %	10%
Female	2002	46	91%	0%	2%	0%	7%
	2001	44	75%	0%	14%	2%	9%
Male	2002	30	60%	7%	13%	17%	3%
	2001	54	61%	4%	4%	20%	11%
American Indian	2002	3	-	-	_	-	-
or Alaska Native	2001	4	_	-	_	_	_
Black or	2002	7	_		_	_	
African American	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
lispanic or Latino	2002	1	_	_	_	_	
	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
lawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
Vhite	2002	65	85%	3%	6%	2%	<u>.</u> 5%
	2001	88	68%	2%	8%	11%	10%
Small Group Totals	2002	11	45%	0%	9%	36%	9%
·	2001	10	60%	0%	10%	20%	10%
General-Education Students	2002	72	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	80	69%	0%	9%	11%	11%
Students with Disabilities	2002	4	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	18	61%	11%	6%	17%	6%
English Proficient	2002	76	79%	3%	7%	7%	5%
5	2001	96	_	_	_	_	_
imited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	2001	2	_	-	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	18	61%	6%	11%	17%	6%
lat Diagdurate and			0.404	20/			
Not Disadvantaged	2002	58	84%	2%	5%	3%	5%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	76	79%	3%	7%	7%	5%

### NOTES

2002 Cohort

2001 Cohort

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 78% 72% 3% 2% 9% 1% 3% 5% 7% 19%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students			IEP Diploma			
All Students	92	78%	3%	9%	3%	7%
Female	43	79%	0%	14%	0%	7%
Male	49	78%	6%	4%	6%	6%
American Indian	4	-	-	_	-	-
or Alaska Native						
Black or	3	_	-	-	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	-	_	_	_
Asian or Native	1	_	-	-	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	83	78%	2%	8%	4%	7%
Small Group Totals	9	78%	11%	11%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	75	81%	0%	9%	1%	8%
Students with Disabilities	17	65%	18%	6%	12%	0%
English Proficient	90	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	_	-	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	9	33%	11%	44%	0%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	83	83%	2%	5%	4%	6%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	92	78%	3%	9%	3%	7%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.