

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT
District ID 424504020000

District ID 421504020000
Superintendent MICHAEL SANDORE
Telephone (315) 455-7571
Grades K-8

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	36	34	29
Grade 1	39	32	35
Grade 2	28	36	37
Grade 3	34	29	39
Grade 4	30	35	35
Grade 5	44	33	40
Grade 6	52	42	35
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	33	50	48
Grade 8	43	32	50
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	339	323	348

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	18	16	18
Grade 8			
English	21	21	24
Mathematics	12	11	16
Science	23	16	24
Social Studies	21	16	24
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	76	22%	62	19%	66	19%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	29	9%	36	11%	32	9%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	319	94%	0	0%	1	0%	
Black or African American	19	6%	14	4%	16	5%	
Hispanic or Latino	1	0%	1	0%	2	1%	
Asian or Native	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	0	0%	307	95%	328	94%	

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	3	N/A	8	2%	11	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	86	81	135
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	8%	0%	4%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	0	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	3%	0%	3%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	0	1
Percentage of Total	3%	0%	3%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	12%	16%	13%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	33	32	32
Total Other Professional Staff	5	3	3
Total Paraprofessionals*	14	12	14
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

Good Standing

Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA
 Math	♠ Good Standing	Math
 Science	★ Good Standing	Graduation Rate

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	✓	✓	✓	24119449074110			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_					
Black or African American	_	 -	••••••••	•••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Hispanic or Latino	_	- -	•••••••	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	- -		•••••••	••••••	•••••••	
White	V	V	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	V	V					
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Economically Disadvantaged	V	V	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1				

AYP Status

Made AY

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) /

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹ All Students (248:241)	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
	<u> </u>	✓ ✓	100%	✓ Criterion	163	115	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (11:11)	_	_	-	_	_	_	•	_
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)						_		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	_	_	_	-	-		<u>-</u>
White (233:226)	/	V	100%	/	165	115	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (43:43)	/	V	100%	/	109	107		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							•••••••••	•••
Economically Disadvantaged (79:74)	V	V	100%	V	150	111	••••••••	•••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005-06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95%participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject		
(2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (249:238)	✓ ✓	<u>✓</u>	100%	<u> </u>	148	79	2003 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (11:10)	_	_	-	_	_	_	••••••••	_
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	_	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	_	_	_	- -	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
White (235:225)	/	/	100%	/	152	79	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (45:43)	/	✓	100%	~	86	71		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							••••••••	•••
Economically Disadvantaged (80:73)	V	/	100%	V	130	75	•••••••••	•••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005-06 2006-07	
All Students (86:82)	Students (86:82)	Qualified	· /	100%	<u> </u>	177	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (5:4)	••••••	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (81:78)	• • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	100%	~	178	100	• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (7:7)		_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			•••••		••••		•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (24:23)	••••••	_	_	_	_	_	<u> </u>	_	
Final AYP Determination	1 1 c	of 1							

AYP Status





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

School Accountability Status

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status



Good Standing 1 school identified 100% of total

LYNCOURT SCHOOL

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005-06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	_	of students that r above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	81%		■ 36
Grade 4	72%		36
Grade 5	61%		41
Grade 6	61%		36
Grade 7	69%		48
Grade 8	66%		50
Mathematics			
Grade 3	76%		38
Grade 4	76%		37
Grade 5	65%		40
Grade 6	22%	—	36
Grade 7	43%		49
Grade 8	63%		48
Science			
Grade 4	79%		38
Grade 8	77%		48
	Percentage	of students that	2002
	scored at o	r above Level 3	Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	0%		7
Mathematics	0%		7
	_	of students	2002
	who gradua		Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	0%		7

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
36	92%	81%	0%						
14	100%	93%	0%						
22	86%	73%	0%						
2	_	_	_						
	••••	••••••	••••••	•••••					
4	••••	••••••		•••••					
Τ	_	_ 	_						
33	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	I-05.		
36	92%	81%	0%						
29	100%	86%	0%						
7	57%	57%	0%						
36	92%	81%	0%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••							
13	85%	69%	0%						
23	96%	87%	0%	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
36	92%	81%	0%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Total Tested 36 14 22 2 1 33 36 29 7 36 13 23	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 36 92% 14 100% 22 86% 2 - 1 - 33 - 36 92% 29 100% 7 57% 36 92% 36 92% 13 85% 23 96%	Tested 2-4 3-4 36 92% 81% 14 100% 93% 22 86% 73% 2 1 33 36 92% 81% 29 100% 86% 7 57% 57% 36 92% 81% 13 85% 69% 23 96% 87%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 36 92% 81% 0% 14 100% 93% 0% 22 86% 73% 0% 2 1 33 36 92% 81% 0% 29 100% 86% 0% 7 57% 57% 0% 36 92% 81% 0% 13 85% 69% 0% 23 96% 87% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 36 92% 81% 0% 14 100% 93% 0% 22 86% 73% 0% 2 1 33 This tested 36 92% 81% 0% 29 100% 86% 0% 7 57% 57% 0% 36 92% 81% 0% 13 85% 69% 0% 23 96% 87% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 36 92% 81% 0% 14 100% 93% 0% 22 86% 73% 0% 2 1 33 36 92% 81% 0% 29 100% 86% 0% 7 57% 57% 0% 36 92% 81% 0% 13 85% 69% 0% 23 96% 87% 0%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentag		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0					was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	38	87%	76%	21%						
Female	15	93%	87%	27%						
Male	23	83%	70%	17%			••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	•••••	• • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1				• • • • • • •					
Pacific Islander	т		_ 							
White	35	-	_	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	38	87%	76%	21%						
General-Education Students	30	97%	87%	27%						
Students with Disabilities	8	50%	38%	0%						
English Proficient	38	87%	76%	21%						
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	15	73%	53%	20%						
Not Disadvantaged	23	96%	91%	22%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	38	87%	76%	21%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r	2	2004-05 School Year						
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total Percentage sco				
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested		2-4	3-4	4		
36	89%	72%	0%							
16	88%	81%	0%							
20	90%	65%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
2		_	-							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••			New asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ry-		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••		• • • • • • • •	and midd	le-level Eng	glish langu	age		
					arts and i	mathematic	s were			
34	-	_	-							
36	89%	72%	0%					•		
30	93%	80%	0%		•		•	iously		
6	67%	33%	0%	• • • • • • • •	aummste	reu assessi	nents.			
36	89%	72%	0%							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••								
11	82%	82%	0%							
25	92%	68%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
36	89%	72%	0%	• • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Total Tested 36 16 20 2 34 36 30 6 36 31 11 25	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 36 89% 16 88% 20 90% 2 - 34 - 36 89% 30 93% 6 67% 36 89% 31 82% 25 92%	Tested 2-4 3-4 36 89% 72% 16 88% 81% 20 90% 65% 2 34 36 89% 72% 30 93% 80% 6 67% 33% 36 89% 72% 11 82% 82% 25 92% 68%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 36 89% 72% 0% 16 88% 81% 0% 20 90% 65% 0% 2 36 89% 72% 0% 30 93% 80% 0% 66 67% 33% 0% 36 89% 72% 0% 36 89% 72% 0% 37 93% 80% 0% 38 93% 80% 0% 39 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total 36 89% 72% 0% 16 88% 81% 0% 20 90% 65% 0% 2 36 89% 72% 0% 30 93% 80% 0% 6 67% 33% 0% 36 89% 72% 0% 11 82% 82% 0% 25 92% 68% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 36 89% 72% 0% 16 88% 81% 0% 20 90% 65% 0% 2 New asse and midd arts and midd ar	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 36 89% 72% 0% 16 88% 81% 0% 20 90% 65% 0% Percentage Tested 2-4 New assessments for and middle-level Engarts and mathematic administered in 2006 36 89% 72% 0% 30 93% 80% 0% 6 67% 33% 0% 36 89% 72% 0% 11 82% 82% 0% 25 92% 68% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring a		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0					

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year						
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s	5):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4	4				
All Students	37	89%	76%	5%						
Female	17	88%	71%	6%						
Male	20	90%	80%	5%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	-		-						
Hispanic or Latino	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••	New assessments for elementary-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and middle-level English language					
Pacific Islander					arts and mathematics were					
White	35	-	_		administered in 2006. Results from					
Small Group Totals	37	89%	76%	5%	these assessments cannot be direc	•				
General-Education Students	31	94%	77%	6%	compared to results from previousl administered assessments.	ly				
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	67%	0%	administered assessments.					
English Proficient	37	89%	76%	5%						
Limited English Proficient	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	••••••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	12	83%	67%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	25	92%	80%	8%						
Migrant										
Not Migrant	37	89%	76%	5%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Tested 2-4 3-4 37 100% 73% 22 19 100% 74% 16			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	38	95%	79%	29%	37	100%	73%	22%	
Female	18	94%	78%	17%	19	100%	74%	16%	
Male	20	95%	80%	40%	18	100%	72%	28%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	_			3	_		_	
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••		••••	••••••	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••		••••	•••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander									
White	36	-	_	-	34	-	_	_	
Small Group Totals	38	95%	79%	29%	37	100%	73%	22%	
General-Education Students	32	94%	84%	28%	27	100%	81%	22%	
Students with Disabilities	6	100%	50%	33%	10	100%	50%	20%	
English Proficient	38	95%	79%	29%	37	100%	73%	22%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	12	100%	83%	33%	8	100%	50%	13%	
Not Disadvantaged	26	92%	77%	27%	29	100%	79%	24%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	38	95%	79%	29%	37	100%	73%	22%	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 S c	2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0					

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	41	98%	61%	7%				
Female	21	95%	71%	10%				
Male	20	100%	50%	5%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	_	_	•••••			
Hispanic or Latino		••••	•••••	•••••	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	•••••			
Pacific Islander								
White	39	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	41	98%	61%	7%				
General-Education Students	33	100%	73%	9%				
Students with Disabilities	8	88%	13%	0%	••••			
English Proficient	41	98%	61%	7%				
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	62%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	28	96%	61%	11%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	41	98%	61%	7%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			hool Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	2 4		-		was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı			
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	40	95%	65%	8%						
Female	21	95%	71%	0%						
Male	19	95%	58%	16%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	_								
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	•••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••					
Pacific Islander										
White	38	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	40	95%	65%	8%						
General-Education Students	32	97%	78%	9%						
Students with Disabilities	8	88%	13%	0%						
English Proficient	40	95%	65%	8%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	67%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	28	96%	64%	11%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	40	95%	65%	8%	•••••	•••••	•••••			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accoccimonts	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	36	92%	61%	8%				
Female	23	96%	70%	13%				
Male	13	85%	46%	0%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Hispanic or Latino	1							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	••••	•••••••	•••••	•••••			
Pacific Islander								
White	34	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	36	92%	61%	8%				
General-Education Students	27	96%	67%	11%				
Students with Disabilities	9	78%	44%	0%				
English Proficient	36	92%	61%	8%				
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	13	77%	46%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	23	100%	70%	13%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	36	92%	61%	 8%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This te	st was not giv	ven in 200)4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
36	69%	22%	0%							
23	74%	30%	0%							
13	62%	8%	0%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
1	-	_	-							
•••••	••••	•••••								
1		·····	_	•••••						
••••••	••••	••••••								
34	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.			
36	69%	22%	0%							
26	81%	31%	0%							
10	40%	0%	0%							
36	69%	22%	0%							
••••••	••••	••••••								
13	69%	15%	0%							
23	70%	26%	0%	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
36	69%	22%	0%	•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	Total Tested 36 23 13 1 1 1 34 36 26 10 36 13 23	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 36 69% 23 74% 13 62% 1 - 1 - 34 - 36 69% 26 81% 10 40% 36 69% 36 69% 13 69% 23 70%	Tested 2-4 3-4 36 69% 22% 23 74% 30% 13 62% 8% 1 1 34 36 69% 22% 26 81% 31% 10 40% 0% 36 69% 22% 11 3 69% 15% 22 70% 26%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 36 69% 22% 0% 23 74% 30% 0% 13 62% 8% 0% 1 1 34 36 69% 22% 0% 26 81% 31% 0% 10 40% 0% 0% 36 69% 22% 0% 31 369% 15% 0% 23 70% 26% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 36 69% 22% 0% 23 74% 30% 0% 13 62% 8% 0% 1 1 1 This te 36 69% 22% 0% 26 81% 31% 0% 10 40% 0% 0% 36 69% 22% 0% 13 69% 15% 0% 23 70% 26% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 36 69% 22% 0% 23 74% 30% 0% 13 62% 8% 0% 1 1 1 36 69% 22% 0% 26 81% 31% 0% 10 40% 0% 0% 36 69% 22% 0% 13 69% 22% 0% 14 369% 15% 0% 25 70% 26% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 36 69% 22% 0% 23 74% 30% 0% 1			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05	2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	mber scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 not given in 2004-05.		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	48	96%	69%	21%						
Female	18	94%	78%	22%						
Male	30	97%	63%	20%		••••	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	3	_		_						
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	••••••	• • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • •					
Pacific Islander										
White	45	_	_	_	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	48	96%	69%	21%						
General-Education Students	36	100%	83%	28%						
Students with Disabilities	12	83%	25%	0%	• • • • • • •					
English Proficient	48	96%	69%	21%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	15	93%	40%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	33	97%	82%	30%	•	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	48	96%	69%	21%	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at level 3-4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0			· ·	This test	was not giv	en in 200	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	49	90%	43%	4%					
Female	19	89%	47%	5%					
Male	30	90%	40%	3%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	_	_	-					
Hispanic or Latino			•••••		••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••••••	••••••						
Pacific Islander									
White	47	-	_	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	49	90%	43%	4%					
General-Education Students	37	97%	54%	5%					
Students with Disabilities	12	67%	8%	0%					
English Proficient	49	90%	43%	4%					
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••	••••••		••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	16	81%	6%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	33	94%	61%	6%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	49	90%	43%	4%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



2005-06 School Year					2004-05 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	— <u>—</u> То	tal	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	sted	2-4	3-4	4	
50	100%	66%	2%						
25	100%	64%	0%						
25	100%	68%	4%			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
2	_	_	_						
1			-	• • • • • • • •	New asse	ssments for	r elementa	ıry-	
	••••	•••••••		and middle-level English langu					
					arts and r	nathematic	s were		
47	-	_	-		administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly these assessments cannot be directly the second through				
50	100%	66%	2%						
49	-	-	-		•		•	iously	
1	_		_		aummiste	red assessi	nents.		
50	100%	66%	2%						
•••••	••••	•••••••							
14	100%	71%	7%						
36	100%	64%	0%			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
50	100%	66%	2%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested 50 25 25 25 1 47 50 49 1 50 14 36	Total Tested 2-4 50 100% 25 100% 25 100% 2 - 1 1 - 50 100% 47 - 50 100% 49 - 1 - 50 100% 14 100% 36 100%	Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 50 100% 66% 25 100% 64% 25 100% 68% 2 1 50 100% 66% 47 50 100% 66% 49 1 50 100% 66% 14 100% 71% 36 100% 64%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 50 100% 66% 2% 25 100% 64% 0% 25 100% 68% 4% 2 1 1 50 100% 66% 29% 49 1 50 100% 66% 29% 49 1 50 100% 66% 29% 49 14 100% 71% 7% 36 100% 64% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): To Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Te 50 100% 66% 2% 25 100% 64% 0% 25 100% 68% 4% 2 1 50 100% 66% 2% 47 50 100% 66% 2% 49 1 50 100% 66% 2% 41 100% 71% 7% 36 100% 64% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 50 100% 66% 2% 25 100% 64% 0% 25 100% 68% 4% 2 New asse and midd arts and mi	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 50 100% 66% 2% 25 100% 64% 0% 25 100% 68% 4% 2 1 New assessments for and middle-level Engarts and mathematic administered in 200% these assessments of compared to results administered assess 1 compared to results administered assess 1 administered assess 1 100% 66% 2% 14 100% 71% 7% 36 100% 64% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 3-4 4 100% 68% 4% 0%	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0				

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	20	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	To	otal	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	ested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	48	96%	63%	10%						
Female	22	95%	64%	5%						
Male	26	96%	62%	15%		••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	3		-	- -	••••••					
Hispanic or Latino		••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	New asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and midd	le-level Eng	glish langu	age	
Pacific Islander						arts and	mathematic	s were		
White	45	-	_	-		administered in 2006. Results f				
Small Group Totals	48	96%	63%	10%			essments c		•	
General-Education Students	47	-	-	-	compared to results from pre administered assessments.				ously	
Students with Disabilities	1				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	aummiste	reu assessi	nents.		
English Proficient	48	96%	63%	10%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	75%	8%						
Not Disadvantaged	36	97%	58%	11%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	48	96%	63%	10%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	48	100%	77%	4%	29	100%	86%	24%
Female	22	100%	73%	0%	8	100%	63%	13%
Male	26	100%	81%	8%	21	100%	95%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	_	_	-		••••	•••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino			•••••				•••••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	•••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	45	-	-	-	29	100%	86%	24%
Small Group Totals	48	100%	77%	4%				
General-Education Students	47	-	-	-	25	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	1	_		-	4		-	
English Proficient	48	100%	77%	4%	29	100%	86%	24%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••	•••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	12	100%	92%	8%	8	100%	75%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	36	100%	72%	3%	21	100%	90%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	48	100%	77%	4%	29	100%	86%	24%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
-	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
Regents Science	0				0			


District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 2 14 3 35 652 16 14 Feb 2004 13 3 31 652 1 Feb 2003 2 13 17 9 41 663




	Number o	of students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	0	15	11	4	30	708
Jan 2004	1	16	22	6	45	705
Jan 2003	2	13	21	2	38	701


District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 1 23 655 May 2005 19 6 May 2004 1 5 31 658 May 2003 0 7 22 12 41 665



	Number of students scoring at each Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 2005 0 13 16 2004 5 13 23	erformance level:				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	0	13	16	0	29	722
May 2004	5	13	23	4	45	717
May 2003	0	16	17	4	37	725

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
Number	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
7	0%	0%	0%				
5	-	_	_				
2	_	_	_		•••••••	•••••••••	••••••
***************************************	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
7	0%	0%	0%				
		•				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
6	-	_	-				
1	_	_	_		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
7	0%	0%	0%				
••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
7	0%	0%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
7	0%	0%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Number of Students 7 5 2 7 6 1 7	7 0% 7 0% 7 0% 7 0% 7 0% 7 0% 7 0% 7 0% 7 0%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at a 3-4 7 0% 0% 5 - - 2 - - 7 0% 0% 6 - - 1 - - 7 0% 0%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 7 0% 0% 0% 5 - - - 2 - - - 7 0% 0% 0% 6 - - - 1 - - - 7 0% 0% 0%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): Number of Students 7 0% 0% 0% 5 - - - 2 - - - 3 - - - 4 0% 0% 0% 5 - - - 2 - - - 3 - - - 4 0% 0% 0% 5 - - - 6 - - - 7 0% 0% 0%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): Number of Students Percentage of Students 2-4 7 0% 0% 0% 5 - - - 2 - - - 3 - - - 4 0% 0% 0% 5 - - - 2 - - - 3 0% 0% 4 0% 0% 5 - - - 2 - - - 3 - - - 4 0% 0% 0% 5 - - - 6 - - - 7 0% 0% 0%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): Number of Students Percentage scoring at level of Students Number of Students Percentage scoring at level of Students


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
Assossments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohoi		2001 Cohort*					
Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	7	0%	0%	0%				
Female	5	-	_	-				
Male	2	-	_	-			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Pacific Islander								
White	7	0%	0%	0%				
Small Group Totals							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
General-Education Students	6	-	_	-				
Students with Disabilities	1	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
English Proficient	7	0%	0%	0%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	7	0%	0%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	7	0%	0%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
Assossments	Number	(s):	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):		
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District LYNCOURT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	7	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Female	2002	5	_	_	_	_	_
Male	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native		<u>.</u>			.	.	
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	2002	7	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••
General-Education Students	2002	6	_	_	_	_	_
Students with Disabilities	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
English Proficient	2002	7	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Disadvantaged	2002	7	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	7	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%

NOTES