

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

DISTRICT

DISTRICT

DISTRICT

District ID 421601060000 Superintendent PHILIP D'ANGELO Telephone (315) 291-2221 Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Wiew School
Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	126	117	121
Grade 1	131	131	115
Grade 2	116	120	131
Grade 3	133	115	121
Grade 4	142	135	117
Grade 5	142	136	140
Grade 6	155	138	139
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	145	154	138
Grade 8	151	146	154
Grade 9	140	152	143
Grade 10	132	136	155
Grade 11	172	130	135
Grade 12	159	174	130
Ungraded Secondary	4	0	0
Total K-12	1848	1784	1739

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	21	21	21
Grade 8			
English	18	18	19
Mathematics	18	20	21
Science	21	21	19
Social Studies	21	21	19
Grade 10			
English	19	16	18
Mathematics	17	19	18
Science	18	22	21
Social Studies	19	17	19

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	66	4%	40	2%	50	3%
Reduced-Price Lunch	30	2%	28	2%	21	1%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	2	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	3	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	4	0%	10	1%	7	0%
Hispanic or Latino	11	1%	8	0%	3	0%
Asian or Native	14	1%	14	1%	12	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1817	98%	1749	98%	1715	99%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	71	N/A	51	3%	40	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	436	430	545
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	4%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	1	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	1	0
Percentage of Total	1%	1%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	13%	13%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	146	159	148
Total Other Professional Staff	24	8	14
Total Paraprofessionals*	41	49	53
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	4	4	4

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006-07)

Good Standing

Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
 Math	♠ Good Standing	Math Good Standing	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

<u></u>					
2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	✓	✓	✓	V	✓	V	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native				_	_		
Black or African American	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u> </u>	_	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_	••••••	_	_ _	••••••	
White	~	~	••••••	V	~	•••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	✓		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	_	- -	•••••••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	····•·································	
Economically Disadvantaged	~	V	••••••	-	- -	······································	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1	

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing

Good Standing 🔥 Improvement (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Improvement (Year 3) 🔨

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Improvement (Year 4) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ² Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	ge Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (809:796)	V	<u> </u>	100%	<u>/</u>	178	118		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (5:5)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (10:9)	_	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (11:11)	-	_	_	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	••••••••	
White (783:771)	/	V	99%	/	178	118	••••••••	•••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (76:73)	✓ SH	V	96%	✓ SH	95	111	69	106
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	_	-	_	_	_	_	•••••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (42:41)	/	V	100%	V	141	107	•••••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Performance ³			Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target	
All Students (815:794)	✓	<u> </u>	100%	<u>/</u>	188	82			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (5:5)	_	_	-	-	_	_		_	
Hispanic or Latino (10:9)	_	_	-	_	_	_		_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (11:11)	-	_	_	_	- -	-			
White (789:769)	~	V	99%	/	188	82		•••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (78:72)	/	~	96%	/	132	75			
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	_	_	-	_	_	_	••••••••	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (43:40)	V	V	100%	V	155	71	••••••••	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4								

AYP Status







Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Perfo	ormance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹ All Students (272:265)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005-06 2006-07	
	/	Qualified		99%	<u> </u>	197	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	_	_	<u> </u>	_	
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)		_	_	_	_	_	-	- -	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)		-	_	_	_	_	- -	- -	
White (265:258)		Qualified	V	99%	~	198	100	• ••••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (24:23)		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)					••••		•••••	••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (15:14)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	_	_	_	- -	- -	
Final AYP Determination	1 1 c	of 1							

AYP Status





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?


	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹ All Students (126:124)	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
	✓	<u> </u>	98%	<u>/</u>	191	145		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (1:0)	_	_	-	_	_		_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	_	_	-	-	_		_
White (121:119)	/	~	98%	/	191	144	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (15:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)				•				•••
Economically Disadvantaged (4:4)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

AYP Status









Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (126:124)	V	V	98%	/	195	137		
Ethnicity						,		'
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (0:0)								•••
Hispanic or Latino (1:0)	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)		_	_	_	-	_	_	_
White (121:119)	/	V	98%	V	195	136	•••••••••	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (15:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)				•••••				•••
Economically Disadvantaged (4:4)		_ _	-	_	-	_	_	-
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator	^	Good Standing
(2006-07) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
•	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (166)	~	~	96%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)			· 	·····				
Black or African American (0)								
Hispanic or Latino (1)	• • • • • • • •	_	-	-	_	- -		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)	•••••	-	-	_	-	_		
White (164)	• • • • • • • •	~	96%	55%		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (12)		_	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (6)		-	-	-	_	-		
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1						

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status



Good Standing 4 schools identified 100% of total

SKANEATELES MIDDLE SCHOOL SKANEATELES SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL STATE STREET INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL WATERMAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005-06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	79%	,	122
Grade 4	82%		116
Grade 5	85%		137
Grade 6	79%		1 36
Grade 7	83%		1 37
Grade 8	82%		1 54
Mathematics			
Grade 3	90%		123
Grade 4	85%		117
Grade 5	93%		139
Grade 6	89%		138
Grade 7	90%		136
Grade 8	87%		156
Science			
Grade 4	100%		114
Grade 8	96%		154
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	92%		130
Mathematics	95%		130
	Percentage o		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	97%	I	130

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	122	91%	79%	16%					
Female	62	98%	87%	21%					
Male	60	83%	70%	10%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	_		_					
Hispanic or Latino	3	_		<u> </u>	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	- -	_					
White	115	90%	79%	16%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	14%	•••••				
General-Education Students	103	98%	90%	18%					
Students with Disabilities	19	53%	16%	0%					
English Proficient	122	91%	79%	16%					
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	8	75%	25%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	114	92%	82%	17%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	122	91%	79%	16%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested 1	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> –	Tested This test	2-4 was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4)4-05.
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	123	96%	90%	41%					
Female	62	100%	94%	40%					
Male	61	92%	87%	41%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	-	_	_					
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_					
White	116	96%	91%	41%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	29%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
General-Education Students	104	100%	98%	47%					
Students with Disabilities	19	74%	47%	5%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
English Proficient	123	96%	90%	41%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged	8	88%	63%	13%					
Not Disadvantaged	115	97%	92%	43%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	123	96%	90%	41%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Assassments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



2005-06 School Year					2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			7	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	1	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
116	116	116	92%	82%	11%					
53	96%	89%	11%							
63	89%	76%	11%	<u>.</u>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••							
2					New asse	ssments fo	elementa	ry-		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••		and midd	le-level Eng	ılish langu	age		
					arts and mathematics were					
114	_	-	-							
116	92%	82%	11%					,		
107	98%	87%	12%		•		•	ously		
9	22%	22%	0%		aummiste	reu assessi	nents.			
116	92%	82%	11%							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••							
9	78%	67%	0%							
107	93%	83%	12%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
116	92%	82%	11%		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested 116 53 63 2 114 116 107 9 116	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 116 92% 53 96% 63 89% 2 - 114 - 116 92% 107 98% 9 22% 116 92% 116 92% 107 93%	Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 116 92% 82% 53 96% 89% 63 89% 76% 2 114 116 92% 82% 107 98% 87% 9 22% 22% 116 92% 82% 116 92% 82% 9 78% 67% 107 93% 83%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 116 92% 82% 11% 53 96% 89% 11% 63 89% 76% 11% 2 114 92% 82% 11% 107 98% 87% 12% 9 22% 22% 0% 116 92% 82% 11% 9 78% 67% 0% 107 93% 83% 12%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 116 92% 82% 11% 53 96% 89% 11% 63 89% 76% 11% 2 114 116 92% 82% 11% 107 98% 87% 12% 9 22% 22% 0% 116 92% 82% 11% 9 78% 67% 0% 107 93% 83% 12%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 116 92% 82% 11% 53 96% 89% 11% 63 89% 76% 11% 2 New asse and midd arts and readminister these ass compared administer the compared administer t	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 116 92% 82% 11% 53 96% 89% 11% 63 89% 76% 11% 2 New assessments for and middle-level Engarts and mathematic administered in 2006 these assessments or compared to results administered assessments of administered assessments or compared to results and compared to results and compared to results and compared to results administered assessments or compared to results and compared to results a	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring a		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	ı		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	2005-06 School Year					2004–05 School Year					
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			To	tal	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	sted	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	117	117	117	117	98%	85%	37%					
Female	54	100%	87%	33%								
Male	63	97%	84%	40%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American			•••••	••••••								
Hispanic or Latino	2	_	-	-		New asse	ssments for	r elementa	ıry-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••		and midd	le-level Eng	glish langu	age			
Pacific Islander						arts and mathematics were						
White	115	_	_	-		administered in 2006. Results						
Small Group Totals	117	98%	85%	37%			essments c		,			
General-Education Students	108	100%	91%	39%		•	d to results red assessr	•	iously			
Students with Disabilities	9	78%	22%	11%		aummste	rieu assessi	nents.				
English Proficient	117	98%	85%	37%								
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Economically Disadvantaged	9	78%	67%	22%								
Not Disadvantaged	108	100%	87%	38%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant												
Not Migrant	117	98%	85%	37%								

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	ar	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	114	100%	100%	82%	137	99%	95%	69%
Female	53	100%	100%	81%	58	100%	97%	71%
Male	61	100%	100%	84%	79	97%	94%	67%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	••••••		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	1			_
Hispanic or Latino	2		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2	-	·····	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Pacific Islander			.					
White	112		_	_	133	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	114	100%	100%	82%	137	99%	95%	69%
General-Education Students	105	100%	100%	86%	123	100%	98%	72%
Students with Disabilities	9	100%	100%	44%	14	86%	71%	43%
English Proficient	114	100%	100%	82%	137	99%	95%	69%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	9	100%	100%	78%	5	100%	80%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	105	100%	100%	83%	132	98%	95%	70%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	114	100%	100%	82%	137	99%	95%	69%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	137	99%	85%	14%						
Female	63	100%	86%	21%						
Male	74	99%	84%	8%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	-	-	_						
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_						
White	131	99%	84%	15%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	0%	•••••					
General-Education Students	126	99%	88%	15%						
Students with Disabilities	11	100%	45%	0%						
English Proficient	137	99%	85%	14%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	63%	13%						
Not Disadvantaged	129	99%	86%	14%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	137	99%	85%	14%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2–4 3–4				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	2 4		4		was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	139	99%	93%	37%					
Female	64	98%	89%	31%					
Male	75	100%	96%	43%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				i	
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	••••			İ	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	_	<u> </u>				i	
Pacific Islander					This to	st was not giv	on in 2007	I-05	
White	133	99%	92%	38%	11115 te:	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	33%					
General-Education Students	127	100%	96%	40%					
Students with Disabilities	12	92%	58%	8%				İ	
English Proficient	139	99%	93%	37%					
Limited English Proficient								i	
Economically Disadvantaged	9	100%	89%	11%					
Not Disadvantaged	130	99%	93%	39%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	139	99%	93%	37%					

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accoccmonts	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	136	99%	79%	16%							
Female	60	100%	87%	23%							
Male	76	97%	74%	11%							
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	1	-		<u> </u>							
Hispanic or Latino	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	•••••	•••••	•••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••						
Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_							
White	133	-	-	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals	136	99%	79%	16%							
General-Education Students	128	100%	83%	17%							
Students with Disabilities	8	75%	25%	0%							
English Proficient	136	99%	79%	16%							
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	••••	•••••	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	8	88%	50%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged	128	99%	81%	17%	•••••	•••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	136	99%	79%	16%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year			2004-05 S C				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		200	4-05	School Year	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Tota	al	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Test	:ed	2-4	3-4	4		
138	99%	89%	24%							
60	98%	90%	23%							
78	99%	88%	24%				•••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
1		_	_	• • • • • • •						
••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
	••••	••••••	•••••							
۷	_	_	_							
135	-	_	_	1	his test	t was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.		
138	99%	89%	24%							
130	99%	91%	25%							
8	88%	63%	0%							
137	_	_	_							
1		_	_							
8	88%	63%	0%							
130	99%	91%	25%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
138	99%	89%	24%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested 138 60 78 1 2 135 138 130 8 137 1 8 130	Total Percentage Tested 2-4 138 99% 60 98% 78 99% 1 - 2 - 135 - 138 99% 130 99% 8 88% 137 - 1 - 8 88% 130 99%	Tested 2-4 3-4 138 99% 89% 60 98% 90% 78 99% 88% 1 2 135 138 99% 89% 130 99% 91% 8 88% 63% 137 1 8 88% 63% 130 99% 91%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 138 99% 89% 24% 60 98% 90% 23% 78 99% 88% 24% 1 135 138 99% 89% 24% 130 99% 91% 25% 8 88% 63% 0% 137 1 8 88% 63% 0% 130 99% 91% 25%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Test 138 99% 89% 24% 60 98% 90% 23% 78 99% 88% 24% 11	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 138 99% 89% 24% 60 98% 90% 23% 78 99% 88% 24% 1	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 138 99% 89% 24% 24% 24% 24% 60 98% 90% 23% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 24% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 24% 25%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 138 99% 89% 24% 60 98% 90% 23% 78 99% 88% 24% 1 135 This test was not given in 2004 138 99% 89% 24% 130 99% 91% 25% 8 88% 63% 0% 130 99% 91% 25% 8 88% 63% 0% 130 99% 91% 25%		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	o6 School Year 2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	nts <u>'</u>	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	137	98%	83%	30%							
Female	59	100%	90%	36%							
Male	78	96%	78%	26%				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••						
Hispanic or Latino	1	-									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••							
Pacific Islander	1	_ 	_								
White	135	-	_	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.			
Small Group Totals	137	98%	83%	30%							
General-Education Students	126	100%	87%	33%							
Students with Disabilities	11	73%	36%	0%	••••						
English Proficient	137	98%	83%	30%							
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	-	-							
Not Disadvantaged	134		-	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Migrant											
Not Migrant	137	98%	83%	30%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			hool Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0	2 4		-		was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	136	100%	90%	44%						
Female	59	100%	92%	56%						
Male	77	100%	90%	35%		••••	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••	••••					
Hispanic or Latino	1			- -	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4	••••	•••••	••••••						
Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_						
White	134	-	-	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	136	100%	90%	44%						
General-Education Students	125	100%	93%	48%						
Students with Disabilities	11	100%	64%	0%	••••					
English Proficient	136	100%	90%	44%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	3	_	_	-						
Not Disadvantaged	133	_	- -	_	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	136	100%	90%	44%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	Total	2-4	3-4	4				
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.	

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-0	5 School Yea	r					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	e scoring at le	evel(s):						
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	154	97%	82%	17%								
Female	84	100%	88%	27%								
Male	70	94%	76%	4%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	•••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American	1	_	_	_								
Hispanic or Latino	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				New	assessments fo	or elementa	ary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4				and r	and middle-level English language						
Pacific Islander	4		_	_ 	arts a	arts and mathematics were						
White	149	97%	83%	17%		administered in 2006. Results from						
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	20%		assessments		•				
General-Education Students	141	100%	87%	18%		pared to results nistered assess	•	iously				
Students with Disabilities	13	69%	31%	0%	aumi	ilistereu assess	illelits.					
English Proficient	154	97%	82%	17%								
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••								
Economically Disadvantaged	6	83%	67%	0%								
Not Disadvantaged	148	98%	83%	18%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••••••					
Migrant												
Not Migrant	154	97%	82%	17%	•	••••••	•••••••••					


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 S 6	2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4		l(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1			-	2	-	_			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0					

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2	004-05 S 0	chool Year	•				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage sco			scoring at le	ing at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	To	ested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	156	97%	87%	28%								
Female	86	99%	86%	33%								
Male	70	96%	89%	23%				•				
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American	1	-	-	-								
Hispanic or Latino				•••••		New asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ry-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4	_	_	_			le-level En		age			
Pacific Islander				•••••	.	arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from						
White	151	97%	89%	28%								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	20%			essments c		•			
General-Education Students	142	100%	92%	31%		•	d to results ered assess	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities	14	71%	36%	0%		aummiste	ereu assessi	ments.				
English Proficient	156	97%	87%	28%								
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	6	83%	33%	17%								
Not Disadvantaged	150	98%	89%	29%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• ••••••••	•••••••••••				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	156	97%	87%	28%		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Migrant Not Migrant												

NOTES

			2004-05 School Year			
Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Numb						
2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	scoring at level(s 3-4 -	4
-	-	-	2	-	-	-
	2-4	2-4 3-4	2-4 3-4 4	2-4 3-4 4 Tested	2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4	2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	154	99%	96%	61%	140	100%	98%	81%
Female	85	100%	98%	56%	67	100%	97%	73%
Male	69	99%	94%	67%	73	100%	99%	88%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	_	_	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	•••••			•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4				1			
Pacific Islander	4 		_ 		1		_ 	_ . .
White	149	99%	97%	62%	139		_	_
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	40%	140	100%	98%	81%
General-Education Students	140	100%	99%	67%	128	100%	100%	84%
Students with Disabilities	14	93%	64%	0%	12	100%	75%	42%
English Proficient	154	99%	96%	61%	140	100%	98%	81%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	6	83%	67%	17%	5	100%	100%	80%
Not Disadvantaged	148	100%	97%	63%	135	100%	98%	81%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	154	99%	96%	61%	140	100%	98%	81%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year		2004-05 S C	2004-05 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	al Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			2			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1		_	_	۷			_
Regents Science	0				0			


District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 3 11 67 54 135 691 0 22 76 41 139 677 Feb 2004 Feb 2003 1 25 52 55 133 682




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance level:				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	vel 3 Level 4 Total Tested	Mean Score			
Jan 2005	2	29	76	34	141	721		
Jan 2004	2	41	76	31	150	716		
Jan 2003	3	33	76	31	143	715		


District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 4 74 53 136 669 May 2005 72 55 137 672 May 2004 1 9 May 2003 0 12 69 54 135 672



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	5	17	86	35	143	741
May 2004	6	23	98	25	152	735
May 2003	1	18	89	33	141	743

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	130	95%	92%	72%	169	94%	93%	67%
Female	65	94%	94%	82%	80	94%	94%	75%
Male	65	95%	91%	62%	89	94%	93%	60%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••			•••••	••••••
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	1	_	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
White	125	94%	92%	70%	167	_	·····	- -
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	100%	169	94%	93%	67%
General-Education Students	117	97%	94%	77%	157	95%	94%	71%
Students with Disabilities	13	77%	77%	23%	12	83%	83%	17%
English Proficient	130	95%	92%	72%	169	94%	93%	67%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	4	_	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	126		·····	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	130	95%	92%	72%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••	••••••


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*					
Assossments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	nber Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	130	95%	95%	68%	169	98%	97%	50%
Female	65	95%	95%	69%	80	98%	96%	51%
Male	65	95%	95%	66%	89	98%	98%	48%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American			•••••				•••••	••••••
Hispanic or Latino		•••••	•••••	•••••	1	_	- -	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
White	125	95%	95%	67%	167	_		
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	80%	169	98%	97%	50%
General-Education Students	117	97%	97%	71%	157	99%	98%	52%
Students with Disabilities	13	77%	77%	38%	12	83%	83%	17%
English Proficient	130	95%	95%	68%	169	98%	97%	50%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	4	_	_	_				
Not Disadvantaged	126			_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	130	95%	95%	68%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*					
Assossments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	nber Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	130	97%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	2001	169	94%	1%	2%	0%	3%
Female	2002	65	97%	0%	0%	2%	2%
	2001	80	94%	1%	3%	0%	3%
Male	2002	65	97%	2%	2%	0%	0%
	2001	89	94%	0%	2%	0%	3%
American Indian	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2001	1	, _	_	, <u> </u>	, <u> </u>	, —
Asian or Native	2002	4	_	_	_	_	<u> </u>
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	125	97%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	2001	167	_	_			_
Small Group Totals	2002	5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
·	2001	169	94%	1%	2%	0%	3%
General-Education Students	2002	117	97%	0%	1%	1%	1%
	2001	157	95%	0%	2%	0%	3%
Students with Disabilities	2002	13	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	12	83%	8%	8%	0%	0%
English Proficient	2002	130	97%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	2001	169	94%	1%	2%	0%	3%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	4	_	_	_	_	-
Not Disadvantaged	2002	126	_	_	_	_	_
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	130	97%	1%	1%	1%	1%

NOTES

District SKANEATELES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	169	94%	1%	2%	0%	3%
Female	80	94%	1%	3%	0%	3%
Male	89	94%	0%	2%	0%	3%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	167	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	169	94%	1%	2%	0%	3%
General-Education Students	157	95%	0%	2%	0%	3%
Students with Disabilities	12	83%	8%	8%	0%	0%
English Proficient	169	94%	1%	2%	0%	3%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	163	94%	1%	2%	0%	3%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	169	94%	1%	2%	0%	3%

NOTES