

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

School FRAZER SCHOOL
District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
School ID 421800010022
Principal DEAN DESANTIS
Telephone (315) 435-4555
Grades K-8

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	79	68	61
Grade 1	72	72	75
Grade 2	79	83	82
Grade 3	77	84	70
Grade 4	82	85	79
Grade 5	79	91	74
Grade 6	94	98	99
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	165	165	151
Grade 8	153	142	146
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	880	888	837

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	21	21	21
Grade 8			
English	21	24	20
Mathematics	21	24	22
Science	21		21
Social Studies	21	23	25
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

School Profile

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	2005-0	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	596	68%	779	88%	611	73%
Reduced-Price Lunch	87	10%	0	0%	97	12%
Student Stability*		76%		93%		97%
Limited English Proficient	146	17%	176	20%	136	16%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	18	2%	16	2%	16	2%
Black or African American	295	34%	268	30%	270	32%
Hispanic or Latino	93	11%	102	11%	95	11%
Asian or Native	175	20%	184	21%	178	21%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	299	34%	318	36%	278	33%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		200	3-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	121	N/A	109	12%	129	15%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

School Profile

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	151	134	213
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	4%	7%	6%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	2	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	3%	3%	3%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	2	4	5
Percentage of Total	3%	6%	7%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	11%	8%	13%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	61	72	71
Total Other Professional Staff	3	5	5
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to all New York State public schools)

School in Good Standing

A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.

School in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

School in Corrective Action

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

School Planning for Restructuring

A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

School Restructuring (Year 1)

A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

∧ School Restructuring (Year 2)

A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

Planning for Restructuring

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Level
ELA	Corrective Action	ELA
Math	A Planning for Restructuring	Math
Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate

Title I Part A Funding

Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding

<u></u>					
2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓ SH	V	V			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_				
Black or African American	X	'	••••••	••••••••	••••••	•••••••
Hispanic or Latino	✓ SH	~	•••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	V	V	•••••••		••••••	•••••••
White	~	X	•••••••	••••••	••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	X				
Limited English Proficient	V	~	•••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	✓ SH	V	•••••••••	··· •····	••••••	••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 6 of 8	X 6 of 8	✓ 1 of 1			

Accountability Status Levels

Federal Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Improvement (Year 2) Corrective Action Planning for Restructuring Restructuring (Year 1) Restructuring (Year 1) Restructuring (Year 1) Restructuring (Year 2 & Above) Restructuring (Year 2 & Above)

AYP Status

✓ Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Corrective Action
Accountability Measures	6 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2006-07, the school will be Planning for Restructuring in 2007-08. If this school makes AYP in 2006-07, the school will remain In Corrective Action in 2007-08. [106]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³ Performance		nce Objective	e Objectives	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
·								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
All Students (623:568)	SH	/	96%	✓ SH	116	117	114	124
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (10:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (266:174)	X	V	95%	X	109	114	113	118
Hispanic or Latino (71:65)	✓ SH	/	97%	✓ SH	108	110	86	117
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (119:115)	/	V	99%	V	134	112	•••••••••	•••••••
White (226:204)	/	V	95%	V	117	114	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••• •••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (203:128)	X	X	89%	X	80	113	100	92
Limited English Proficient (71:68)	/	V	99%	V	110	110	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (531:496)	V SH	~	96%	V SH	115	117	112	124
Final AYP Determination	X 6 of 8							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

₩ -		
Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Planning for Restructuring
Accountability Measures	6 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in Mathematics, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2006-07, the school will be Restructuring (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this school makes AYP in 2006-07, the school will remain Planning for Restructuring in 2007-08. [107]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (616:552)	- Status	✓ Criterion	95%	Citterion	112	81	2005-06	2000-07	
Ethnicity			3370	_	112				
American Indian or Alaska Native (11:9)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (196:172)	/	V	95%	~	108	78	•••••••	••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (67:62)	V	V	99%	V	84	74	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (118:116)	V	V	100%	~	138	76	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	
White (323:193)	X	X	92%	/	108	78		••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (198:123)	X	X	88%	'	104	77			
Limited English Proficient (71:68)	/	V	99%	~	93	74	•••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (522:483)	/	V	96%	~	110	81	•••••••	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	X 6 of 8								

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Safe Harbor Status Qualificatio		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
All Students (228:191)	V	Qualified	<u> </u>	89%	<u> </u>	141	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:6)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Black or African American (65:53)		Qualified		89%	~	145	100	•	
Hispanic or Latino (26:24)		_	_	_	_	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (37:36)		_	_	_	~	133	100		
White (93:72)	• ••••••	Qualified	V	81%	~	146	100	· ····	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (57:39)		Qualified	X	77%	~	141	100		
Limited English Proficient (28:25)		_	_	_	_	-	_	- -	
Economically Disadvantaged (186:163)	• ••••••	Qualified	V	90%	~	138	100		

AYP Status





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Grade 8

Overview of School Performance

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005-06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

Porcontago of students that

	scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	45%		66
Grade 4	36%		64
Grade 5	40%		67
Grade 6	29%		83
Grade 7	32%		130
Grade 8	33%		132
Mathematics			
Grade 3	66%		74
Grade 4	40%		77
Grade 5	38%		71
Grade 6	35%		82
Grade 7	14%		133
Grade 8	26%		132
Science			
Grade 4	54%		76

44%

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: **Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction**. Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?


Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 5

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in large cities other than New York City. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for elementary level schools in these districts.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	66	77%	45%	0%							
Female	30	83%	60%	0%							
Male	36	72%	33%	0%			•				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-							
Black or African American	28	64%	25%	0%				İ			
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	_	_	••••			İ			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	77%	0%				Ì			
White	20	80%	55%	0%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.			
Small Group Totals	5	80%	40%	0%	•••••			i			
General-Education Students	44	91%	59%	0%							
Students with Disabilities	22	50%	18%	0%				Ì			
English Proficient	59	75%	44%	0%							
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	57%	0%				Ì			
Economically Disadvantaged	64	_	_	-							
Not Disadvantaged	2	-		_		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	66	77%	45%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	J		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	3	_	_	_	This tost	was not qiv	an in 200	14-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent									
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	9	2	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	74	93%	66%	3%						
Female	37	92%	65%	3%						
Male	37	95%	68%	3%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-						
Black or African American	28	96%	64%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	5	_	_		••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	94%	71%	12%						
White	22	91%	68%	0%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	7	86%	57%	0%						
General-Education Students	51	92%	69%	4%						
Students with Disabilities	23	96%	61%	0%						
English Proficient	58	95%	72%	3%						
Limited English Proficient	16	88%	44%	0%	••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	70	-	_	_						
Not Disadvantaged	4	_		<u> </u>		•••••	•••••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	74	93%	66%	3%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested 64	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4				
All Students		67%	36%	6%					
Female	30	63%	43%	10%					
Male	34	71%	29%	3%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	23	61%	30%	4%					
Hispanic or Latino	11	73%	27%	0%	New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	and middle-level English language				
Pacific Islander	9	_	_ 		arts and mathematics were				
White	20	55%	40%	10%	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	10	100%	50%	10%	these assessments cannot be directly				
General-Education Students	43	74%	42%	9%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities	21	52%	24%	0%	administered assessments.				
English Proficient	60	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	4			<u> </u>					
Economically Disadvantaged	57	67%	35%	5%					
Not Disadvantaged	7	71%	43%	14%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	64	67%	36%	6%					


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total		Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	J		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	3	_	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	13	7	4	N/A	11	6	5	N/A	
Grade 4									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year								
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage s	coring at le	evel(s):				
Student Group	Tested 77	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students		69%	40%	10%								
Female	38	68%	34%	8%								
Male	39	69%	46%	13%		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-								
Black or African American	23	70%	39%	4%	• • • • • • •							
Hispanic or Latino	14	_		- -	New asse	essments for	elementa	ry-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	87%	73%	20%		and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were						
White	24	58%	29%	17%	administe	ered in 2006	. Results f	rom				
Small Group Totals	15	67%	27%	0%		essments ca		,				
General-Education Students	52	81%	54%	15%		d to results f	•	ously				
Students with Disabilities	25	44%	12%	0%	administe	ered assessm	ients.					
English Proficient	60	73%	43%	12%								
Limited English Proficient	17	53%	29%	6%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Economically Disadvantaged	69	67%	36%	10%								
Not Disadvantaged	8	88%	75%	13%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	77	69%	40%	10%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	
Assessments	Tested 2–4 3–4 4		4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	Б	5	4	4				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	ວ	4	4			_	

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 S			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	76	87%	54%	18%	66	94%	73%	29%
Female	38	82%	45%	16%	31	100%	77%	39%
Male	38	92%	63%	21%	35	89%	69%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	-	1	-	_	-
Black or African American	23	91%	57%	13%	21	86%	67%	33%
Hispanic or Latino	14	_	_	-	6	-	_	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	87%	53%	27%	14	100%	79%	29%
White	23	87%	52%	26%	24	100%	79%	29%
Small Group Totals	15	80%	53%	7%	7	86%	57%	14%
General-Education Students	52	92%	58%	25%	53	94%	75%	32%
Students with Disabilities	24	75%	46%	4%	13	92%	62%	15%
English Proficient	59	92%	58%	24%	51	94%	75%	33%
Limited English Proficient	17	71%	41%	0%	15	93%	67%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	87%	52%	16%	57	93%	74%	32%
Not Disadvantaged	9	89%	67%	33%	9	100%	67%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	76	87%	54%	18%	66	94%	73%	29%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	5	5	4	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	ວ	J	4			_

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı		
	Total	otal Percentage sc		level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	67	81%	40%	6%					
Female	34	88%	38%	9%					
Male	33	73%	42%	3%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	22	86%	41%	9%					
Hispanic or Latino	8	-		- -	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	83%	50%	0%					
White	24	83%	38%	8%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	9	56%	33%	0%	•••••				
General-Education Students	51	90%	51%	8%					
Students with Disabilities	16	50%	6%	0%	•••••				
English Proficient	60	82%	45%	7%					
Limited English Proficient	7	71%	0%	0%	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	61	82%	41%	7%					
Not Disadvantaged	6	67%	33%	0%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	67	81%	40%	6%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This tos	t was not air	on in 200	M-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	۷	11113				test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	5	3	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	71	76%	38%	1%					
Female	36	72%	31%	3%					
Male	35	80%	46%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	-					
Black or African American	23	65%	43%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	89%	44%	0%					
White	21	86%	29%	5%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004-	05.	
Small Group Totals	9	56%	33%	0%					
General-Education Students	57	77%	35%	2%					
Students with Disabilities	14	71%	50%	0%				,	
English Proficient	59	76%	44%	2%					
Limited English Proficient	12	75%	8%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged	64	77%	36%	2%					
Not Disadvantaged	7	71%	57%	0%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	71	76%	38%	1%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	5 School Year 2004-05 School Year						
Accesments	Total	Total	Number scoring at level(s):					
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	83	87%	29%	0%					
Female	42	93%	33%	0%					
Male	41	80%	24%	0%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	23	96%	43%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino	7			_					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	26	85%	31%	0%					
White	26	85%	19%	0%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.	
Small Group Totals	8	75%	13%	0%					
General-Education Students	70	90%	31%	0%					
Students with Disabilities	13	69%	15%	0%	•••••				
English Proficient	80	_	-	_					
Limited English Proficient	3	_			•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	67	87%	27%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	16	88%	38%	0%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	83	87%	29%	0%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	School Year 2004-05 School					ı		
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessinents	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1				This tost	was not giv	on in 200	14.05	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	т		_	_	Tills test	was not giv	en in 200	14-03.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	82	83%	35%	2%					
Female	44	84%	34%	2%					
Male	38	82%	37%	3%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	24	83%	29%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino	6			-	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	26	92%	46%	8%					
White	25	80%	36%	0%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004		
Small Group Totals	7	57%	14%	0%					
General-Education Students	71	86%	35%	3%					
Students with Disabilities	11	64%	36%	0%					
English Proficient	79	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	3	_		_					
Economically Disadvantaged	65	82%	31%	3%					
Not Disadvantaged	17	88%	53%	0%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	82	83%	35%	2%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	S School Year 2004-05 School Year						
Assassments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	130	80%	32%	0%					
Female	60	82%	37%	0%					
Male	70	79%	29%	0%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	44	77%	20%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino	15	80%	33%	0%	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	89%	39%	0%					
White	53	79%	40%	0%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	•••••••	••••	••••••		•••••				
General-Education Students	106	85%	39%	0%					
Students with Disabilities	24	58%	4%	0%					
English Proficient	128	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	2	_	-	_	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	109	79%	30%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	21	86%	43%	0%	••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	130	80%	32%	0%	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	School Year 2004-05 School Year					•	
Assessments	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Number scoring		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2				This tost	was not qiv	on in 200	14.05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent		_	_	_	IIIIs test		74-05.	
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	8	5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	133	61%	14%	0%						
Female	64	61%	19%	0%						
Male	69	61%	9%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	42	52%	10%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	19	53%	16%	0%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	65%	40%	0%				·		
White	52	69%	6%	0%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••		•••••			•		
General-Education Students	110	65%	16%	0%						
Students with Disabilities	23	39%	0%	0%	•••••					
English Proficient	123	64%	15%	0%						
Limited English Proficient	10	20%	0%	0%	•••••			İ		
Economically Disadvantaged	113	61%	12%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	20	60%	20%	0%	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	133	61%	14%	0%	••••••	· · · · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S				2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 S	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	132	83%	33%	3%						
Female	68	90%	40%	4%						
Male	64	77%	27%	2%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	20%	0%						
Black or African American	38	74%	32%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	9	67%	11%	0%	New ass	essments for	· elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	95%	43%	0%		and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
White	59	86%	36%	7%	administ	ered in 2006	6. Results 1	rom		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••			sessments ca		•		
General-Education Students	114	88%	38%	4%		d to results	•	iously		
Students with Disabilities	18	56%	6%	0%	administ	ered assessn	nents.			
English Proficient	128	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	4	_		_						
Economically Disadvantaged	103	82%	31%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged	29	90%	41%	7%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	132	83%	33%	3%	••••••	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested 0	2–4	3-4	4	Tested 5	2-4 5	3-4 5	5
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	6	5	5	N/A	8	7	7	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year			
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	132	78%	26%	1%					
Female	68	78%	24%	1%					
Male	64	78%	28%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	80%	20%	0%					
Black or African American	37	73%	11%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino	12	67%	17%	0%	New ass	sessments fo	r elementa	ıry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	86%	45%	0%		and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from			
White	56	80%	30%	2%	adminis				
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••			ssessments c		•	
General-Education Students	114	81%	27%	1%	•	ed to results	•	iously	
Students with Disabilities	18	61%	17%	0%	adminis	tered assessr	nents.		
English Proficient	122	79%	25%	1%					
Limited English Proficient	10	70%	30%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged	103	76%	24%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	29	86%	31%	3%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	132	78%	26%	1%	••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-

School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	122	91%	44%	8%	120	92%	50%	7%
Female	63	94%	40%	11%	60	87%	48%	3%
Male	59	88%	49%	5%	60	97%	52%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	100%	50%	0%	1	-	-	_
Black or African American	32	91%	34%	0%	37	89%	49%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	12	92%	17%	0%	12	-	·····	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	91%	36%	9%	15	93%	40%	7%
White	50	90%	60%	16%	55	93%	53%	9%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••	13	92%	54%	0%
General-Education Students	108	91%	45%	9%	100	92%	57%	8%
Students with Disabilities	14	93%	36%	0%	20	90%	15%	0%
English Proficient	113	91%	44%	8%	113	92%	49%	7%
Limited English Proficient	9	89%	44%	11%	7	86%	71%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	96	90%	40%	5%	101	91%	45%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	26	96%	62%	19%	19	95%	79%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	122	91%	44%	8%	120	92%	50%	7%


NOTES


Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
_	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at level(s):			(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				0			


School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.








	Number o	f students sco				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	25	82	21	3	131	677
Jan 2004	14	83	34	4	135	686
Jan 2003	15	70	25	2	112	683


School FRAZER SCHOOL District SYRACUSE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 1 14 29 23 67 667 May 2005 14 17 40 77 May 2004 6 651 May 2003 2 11 20 11 44 651



Test Date	Number o	f students sco	l:			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	45	62	28	1	136	693
May 2004	52	56	37	1	146	691
May 2003	37	50	31	0	118	696