

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District EAST BLOOMFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 430501040000 Superintendent THOMAS STRINING Telephone (585) 657-6121 Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	79	83	72
Grade 1	84	84	80
Grade 2	81	87	80
Grade 3	86	77	82
Grade 4	79	85	80
Grade 5	92	79	84
Grade 6	92	93	83
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	87	93	94
Grade 8	98	87	95
Grade 9	88	104	87
Grade 10	92	90	96
Grade 11	73	85	87
Grade 12	86	72	84
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	1117	1119	1104

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	20	21	20
Grade 8			
English	18	21	24
Mathematics	20	22	23
Science	19	22	23
Social Studies	19	22	20
Grade 10			
English	18	17	19
Mathematics	19	21	19
Science	32	22	24
Social Studies	19	20	32

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	177	16%	197	18%	143	13%
Reduced-Price Lunch	63	6%	96	9%	76	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	17	2%	9	1%	9	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	1%	8	1%	5	0%
Black or African American	7	1%	22	2%	16	1%
Hispanic or Latino	17	2%	20	2%	20	2%
Asian or Native	4	0%	8	1%	7	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1079	97%	1061	95%	1056	96%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		97%		95%
Student Suspensions	10	N/A	27	2%	51	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	266	257	359
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	2%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	1	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	1%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	2	1	2
Percentage of Total	2%	1%	2%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	19%	15%	15%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	102	97	95
Total Other Professional Staff	13	13	12
Total Paraprofessionals*	27	27	30
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	2	3	3

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title | Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
• District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District EAST BLOOMFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	🔺 Go	▲ Good Standing						
	Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	əl 🛛				
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing				
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing				
Title I Part A Funding	Years t	he District Received T	itle I Part A Funding					

litle i Part A Funding	Years the District Received Litle I Part A Funding					
	2004-05 2005-06 20		2006-07			
	YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	v	 Image: A start of the start of	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	-	•••••		
White	✓	 ✓ 	••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	 ✓ 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	 ✓ 		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••	–	-	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 	•••••••••••••••••••••	–	-	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	🖌 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (522:510)	V	V	99%	V	163	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (8:6)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (7·7)	_	_	-	-	_	_		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (501:491)	<	 	99%	 ✓ 	164	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (70:68)	SH	~	97%	✓ SH	79	110	20	91
Limited English Proficient (3:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (108:104)	~	~	97%	~	129	112		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	P Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (521:510)	Status		99%		169	81	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (8:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (7:7)	_	_	-	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (500:489)	<	~	99%	 	170	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (70:69)	~	~	99%	~	93	74		
Limited English Proficient (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (106:105)	~	~	100%	~	135	76		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
		Qualified				191	100	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (176:171)		Quatified		99%	•	191	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (3:2)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)		_	-	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (169:165)	••••••	Qualified	<	99%	~	192	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (22:21)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged (30:28)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

NOTES

2

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

Made AYP

AYP Status

- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05

and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	n ² Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group	Chathur	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo		
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (84:83)	V	V	98%	V	189	143			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino (3:2)	_	_	_	_	-	_	–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
White (79:80)	<	 	99%	 ✓ 	189	143	••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (8:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (2:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (15:13)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

NOTES

- AYP Status
- Made AYP
- Thate All
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation ²		n ² Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	or Target 2006–07	
All Students (84:83)	 ✓ 	 ✓ 	99%	 ✓ 	193	135			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino (3:2)	_	_	-	-	-	–	-	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
White (79:80)	<	~	99%	 	193	135	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (8:7)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (2:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (15:13)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

NOTES

- AYP Status
- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 Count of the second state in the 12th and a second state and the second state and state and the second state and the second state and the secon

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (73)	~	~	89%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or								
Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African								
American (0)								
Hispanic or		-	-	-	-	-		
Latino (1)								
Asian or Native								
Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander (0)	. .			•••••				
White (72)		~	90%	55%				
Other Groups								
Students with		_	-	-	-	-		
Disabilities (10)								
Limited English		-	-	-	-	-		
Proficient (1)								
Economically		-	-	-	-	-		
Disadvantaged (6)								
Final AYP	V 1	of 1						
Determination								

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

▲ Good Standing

3 schools identified 100% of total BLOOMFIELD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL BLOOMFIELD HIGH SCHOOL BLOOMFIELD MIDDLE SCHOOL

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	76%		80
Grade 4	66%		80
Grade 5	72%		83
Grade 6	79%		84
Grade 7	72%		94
Grade 8	56%		94
Mathematics			
Grade 3	84%		80
Grade 4	78%		81
Grade 5	70%		84
Grade 6	80%		84
Grade 7	72%		94
Grade 8	76%		92
Science			
Grade 4	99%		81
Grade 8	85%		93
	•	of students that	2002
	scored at or	above Level 3	Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	83%		93
Mathematics	89%		93
	Percentage o who graduat	2002 Cohort	
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	81%		93

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	616-780	650-7	80 73	30-780						
100%	93%				92%					
	3370	76%			5270	69%				
			9	%			7%			
	74	61	-	7						
	2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	80	93%	76%	9 %						
	44	91%	80%	14%						
	36	94%	72%	3%						
ative										
	1									
	1									
her	1	_	_	_						
	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ			••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	77				Inis tes	t was not giv	ren in 2004	1-05.		
	80	93%	76%	9%						
	73	97%	81%	10%						
	7	43%	29%	0%						
	80	93%	76%	9%						
	17	76%	47%	6%						
	63	97%	84%	10%						
	80	93%	76%	9%						
	p ative	Percentage si 2-4 Range: 616-780 100% 93% 74 2005-06 So Total Tested 80 44 36 ative 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-7 100% 93% 76% 93% 76% 76% 74 61 Percentage Total Percentage Tested 2-4 80 93% 44 91% 36 94% ative 1 1 - 77 - 80 93% 73 97% 7 43% 80 93% 73 97% 7 43% 80 93%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 Range: $616-780$ $650-780$ 73 100% 93% 76% 93% 76% 74 61 99 74 61 99 74 61 99 74 61 99 Total Percentage scoring at Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 80 93% 76% 72% ative 1 $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 10$ $ 10$ $ 10$ $ 10$ $ -$	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 100% 93% 76% 9% 74 61 7 Zoo5-o6 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 80 93% 76% 9% 44 91% 80% 14% 36 94% 72% 3% ative 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 16r 1 - - - </td <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage s 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 100% 93% 76% 9% 92% 74 61 7 92% 9% 74 61 7 7 7 Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 Total Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 80 93% 76% 9% 44 91% 80% 14% 36 94% 72% 3% attive 1 -<!--</td--><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 69% 100% 93% 76% 9% 92% 69% 69% 93% 76% 9% 92% 69% 69% 69% 74 61 7<!--</td--><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 100% 93% 76% 92% 93% 76% 9% 69% 74 61 7 61 P Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 100% 44 91% 80% 14% 36 93% 76% 9% ative 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 73 97% 81% 10% 73 97% 81% 10% 7 43% 29% 0% 80 93% 76% <</td></td></td>	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage s 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 100% 93% 76% 9% 92% 74 61 7 92% 9% 74 61 7 7 7 Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 Total Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 80 93% 76% 9% 44 91% 80% 14% 36 94% 72% 3% attive 1 - </td <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 69% 100% 93% 76% 9% 92% 69% 69% 93% 76% 9% 92% 69% 69% 69% 74 61 7<!--</td--><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 100% 93% 76% 92% 93% 76% 9% 69% 74 61 7 61 P Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 100% 44 91% 80% 14% 36 93% 76% 9% ative 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 73 97% 81% 10% 73 97% 81% 10% 7 43% 29% 0% 80 93% 76% <</td></td>	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 69% 100% 93% 76% 9% 92% 69% 69% 93% 76% 9% 92% 69% 69% 69% 74 61 7 </td <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 100% 93% 76% 92% 93% 76% 9% 69% 74 61 7 61 P Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 100% 44 91% 80% 14% 36 93% 76% 9% ative 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 73 97% 81% 10% 73 97% 81% 10% 7 43% 29% 0% 80 93% 76% <</td>	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 100% 93% 76% 92% 93% 76% 9% 69% 74 61 7 61 P Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 100% 44 91% 80% 14% 36 93% 76% 9% ative 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 73 97% 81% 10% 73 97% 81% 10% 7 43% 29% 0% 80 93% 76% <		

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent									
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	This Distrie	ct			NY State P	Public		
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770				
100%	94%	84%			94%	9104		
			3(0%		81%	25%	
	75	67	2	24				
	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	80	94%	84%	30%				
	44	91%	80%	25%				
	36	97%	89%	36%				
ive								
	1	-	-	-				
	1	-	-	-				
r	1	-	-	-				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	77		-	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	80	94%	84%	30%				
	73	97%	89%	32%				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7	57%	29%	14%				
	80	94%	84%	30%				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••				
	17	76%	59%	12%				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	63	98%	90%	35%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
i		Percentage s 2-4 Range: 624-770 100% 94% 75 2005-06 So Total Tested 80 44 36 ive 1 1 77 80 73 7 80 73	2-4 3-4 Range: 624-770 650-7 100% 94% 84% 94% 84% 94% 75 67 Zoo5-o6 School Year Total Percentage Tested 2-4 80 94% 44 91% 36 97% ive 1 1 - 77 - 80 94% 73 97% 73 97% 73 97% 70 - 80 94% 73 97% 7 57% 80 94% 73 97% 7 57% 80 94%	Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Range: $624-770$ $650-770$ 7 100% 94% 84% 30 75 67 2 $2005-06$ School Year 30 75 67 2 $2005-06$ School Year 30 Total Percentage scoring at Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 80 94% 84% 36 97% 89% ive 1 $ 1$ $ 1$ $ -$	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 624-770 650-770 703-770 100% 94% 84% 30% 100% 94% 84% 30% 75 67 24 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 75 94% 84% 30% 44 91% 80% 25% 36 97% 89% 36% ive 1 - - 1 - - - 77 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage s 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $624-770$ $650-770$ $703-770$ 100% 94% 84% 94% 94% 84% 94% 75 67 24 75 67 24 75 67 24 75 67 24 75 67 24 75 67 24 75 67 24 75 67 24 75 67 24 75 67 24 75 67 24 75 67 24 704 75 67 24 706 706 80 94% 84% 30% 706% 706% 706% 706% 77 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(2-4 $3-4$ 4 2-4 $3-4$ Range: $624-770$ $650-770$ $703-770$ 94% 84% 94% 81% 100% 94% 84% 30% 94% 84% 94% 81% 100% 75 67 24 75 67 24 75 zoo5-o6 School Year 75 67 24 76 $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $703-70$ $700-70$ $703-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70$ $700-70-70$ $700-70-70$ $700-70-70$ $700-70-70$ $700-70-70$ $700-70-70$ $700-70-70$ $700-70-70-70$ $700-70-70-70-70-70-70-70$ <td< td=""><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 624-770 650-770 703-770 94% 81% 1 100% 94% 84% 30% 94% 81% 25% 75 67 24 2004-05 School Year 25% 75 67 24 701 701 701 701 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 701</td></td<>	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 624-770 650-770 703-770 94% 81% 1 100% 94% 84% 30% 94% 81% 25% 75 67 24 2004-05 School Year 25% 75 67 24 701 701 701 701 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 701

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 Sc	hool Year:		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4		Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0	2-4	3-4	4		was not giv		4 4-05.
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				ins test	was not yi	200	+-0.

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	State Public				
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 665	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775						
	100%	90%				91%					
		50%	66%			5170	69%				
			0070								
				8	%			9%			
Number of Students:		72	53		6 6						
					-						
Results by		2005–06 S o				2004-05 \$	School Year				
Student Grou	In	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	-	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		80	90%	66%	8%						
Female		36	92%	75%	11%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Male		44	89%	59%	5%						
American Indian or Alaska N	Native										
Black or African American		2									
Hispanic or Latino		1					sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther						dle-level En		age		
Pacific Islander					•••••		mathematic				
White		77					tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		80	90%	66%	8%		sessments c		-		
General-Education Students		68	94%	75%	9%		ed to results tered assessi	•	ousiy		
Students with Disabilities		12	67%	17%	0%	auminis		nento.			
English Proficient		80	90%	66%	8%						
Limited English Proficient					•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	t	15	73%	40%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		65	94%	72%	9%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant											
Not Migrant		80	90%	66%	8%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
NOTES											

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				1	-	-	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 668	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800						
	100%	88%	78%			93%	78%				
				1	5%			26%			
Number of Students:		71	63	1	.3						
Results by		2005-06 S o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year					
Student Grou	n	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		81	88%	78%	16%						
Female		37	92%	78%	8%						
Male		44	84%	77%	23%						
American Indian or Alaska N	ative										
Black or African American		3									
Hispanic or Latino		1					sessments fo				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Otl	her						Idle-level Eng		age		
Pacific Islander				•••••	•••••		I mathematic				
White		77					tered in 2000 ssessments c				
Small Group Totals		81	88%	78%	16%		ed to results		-		
General-Education Students		69	91%	84%	19%		tered assessr	•	ousty		
Students with Disabilities		12	67%	42%	0%						
English Proficient		80	-		-						
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		17	71%	53%	12%						
Not Disadvantaged		64	92%	84%	17%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		81	88%	78%	16%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

Range: 100%	Percentage s 2-4 45-100 100%100%	3–4 65–1 99%	.00 94%	4 85–100 ^{74%} 66%	Percentage s 2-4 97% 95%	coring at level 3-4 86% 80	4	
	45-100	65-1	.00 94%	85-100				
			94%		97% 95%	<u>86%</u> 80	0/	
100%	100%100%	99%		66%	97% 95%	86% ₈₀	0/	
								[%] 42%
	81 82	80	77	60 54				
	2005-06 S	chool Yea	ar		2004-05	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	81	100%	99 %	74%	82	100%	94%	66%
	37	100%	97%	76%	33	100%	91%	61%
	44	100%	100%	73%	49	100%	96%	69%
9								
	2							
	1	-		_	2	_	_	_
•••••			· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······		·····		······
•••••	•••••••••••••••	100%	99%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	100%	94%	
	69	100%	99%	80%	73	100%	99%	70%
•••••		100%	100%	42%	9	100%	56%	
	81				81	-	-	-
••••					1	_	_	-
	16	100%	100%	69%	23	100%	83%	43%
••••	65	100%	98%	75%	59	100%	98%	75%
•••••		100%		74%	82	100%	0.407	
	e	2005-06 S Total Tested 81 37 44 e 2 1 78 81 69 12 81 16 65	2005-06 School Yea Total Percentage Tested 2-4 81 100% 37 100% 44 100% e 2 78 - 81 100% 69 100% 12 100% 81 100% 65 100%	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				2	_	_	_

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State F	Public		
		Percentage s	coring at level	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 663	Range:	608-795	650-79	95 7:	L1-795				
	100%	94%				94%			
			72%				67%		
							0170		
				79	%			12%	
Number of Students:		78	60	6	.				
De sulta has		2005-06 S e	chool Voor			2004.05	School Year		
Results by		<u>Z003-00 3</u>	Percentage	scoring at	level(s).	Total		scoring at le	vel(s).
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students		83	94%	72%	7%			<u> </u>	
Female		34	91%	68%	9%				
Male	•••••	49	96%	76%	6%		•••••	•••••••	•••••
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	1	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ							
White		80	-			This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		83	94%	72%	7%				
General-Education Students		71	100%	82%	8%				
Students with Disabilities		12	58%	17%	0%				
English Proficient		83	94%	72%	7%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		23	87%	52%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	60	97%	80%	8%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••	83	94%	72%	7%			••••••	
NOTES									

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			This tost	was not qiv	on in 200	4.05
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	_	_	-		was not yiv		
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 661	Range:	619-780	650-78	80 6	99-780				
	100%	93%				00%			
		5570	70%			90%	68%		
							00%		
				8	%			19%	
Number of Students:		78	59		 7				
Number of Students:		10	29						
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year		
_		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		84	93%	70%	8%				
Female		34	85%	68%	9%				
Male		50	98%	72%	8%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		1		<u>-</u>					
Hispanic or Latino		2							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	r	1	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		±			•••••				
White		80	-			This test	: was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		84	93%	70%	8%				
General-Education Students		72	97%	76%	10%				
Students with Disabilities		12	67%	33%	0%				
English Proficient		83	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged		23	91%	52%	13%				
Not Disadvantaged		61	93%	77%	7%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		84	93%	70%	8%				
NOTES									

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	2004–05 School Year								
Assessments	Total	Total Number scoring at l			.(s):					
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	_	_	This test	st was not given in 2004-05.				

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	Public		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 671	Range:	598-785	650-78	35 7	05-785				
	100%	98%				03%			
			79%			9370			
							60%		
				1	5%			12%	
Number of Students:		82	66	1	3				
		02		-			-		
Results by		598-785 650-785 93% 98% 93% 60%							
Student Group			Percentage	scoring at	level(s):		Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
		Tested	2-4	-		Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students			98%	79 %	15%				
Female		39	97%	85%	28%				
Male		45	98%	73%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		2							
Hispanic or Latino		1	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	-								
Pacific Islander									
White		81	-			This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		84	98%	79%	15%				
General-Education Students		74	100%	86%	18%				
Students with Disabilities		10	80%	20%	0%				
English Proficient		83	-	_	-				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	-	-	–				
Economically Disadvantaged		17	88%	59%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	67	100%	84%	18%		••••		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	84	98%	79%	15%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
NOTES									

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test v	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District				NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 675	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780						
	100%										
		90%	80%			87%					
							60%				
				2	5%						
				2	5 %			13%			
Number of Students:		76	67	2	22						
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		84	90%	80%	26%						
Female		39	95%	82%	18%						
Male		45	87%	78%	33%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		2									
Hispanic or Latino		1									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander						This too			05		
White				<u>-</u>		Inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		84	90%	80%	26%						
General-Education Students		74	97%	88%	28%						
Students with Disabilities		10	40%	20%	10%						
English Proficient		83	_		_						
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		17	76%	65%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged		67	94%	84%	31%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		84	90%	80%	26%						

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Number scoring a			l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	ven in 200 [,]	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 664	Range:	600-790	650-79	90 7	12-790				
	100%	95%				92%			
			72%			5270			
							56%		
				12	2%			8%	
Number of Students:		89	68		.1				
					-		_		
Results by		2005–06 S e				2004-05	School Year		
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		94	95%	72%	12%				
Female		52	96%	79%	17%				
Male		42	93%	64%	5%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		1							
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	r	2	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		ے د				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			~-
White		91	-	_		This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		94	95%	72%	12%				
General-Education Students		77	100%	83%	14%				
Students with Disabilities		17	71%	24%	0%				
English Proficient		94	95%	72%	12%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		19	79%	53%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	75	99%	77%	15%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••		95%	72%	12%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
NOTES									

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Accoccmonte	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	∍l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent					This test	4-05.			
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 666	Range:	611-800	650-80	00 6	93-800				
	100%	95%	72%			87%	56%		
				2	6%			12%	
Number of Students:		89	68	ź	24				
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
		Total Percentage scoring a			level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		94	95%	72%	26 %				
Female		52	96%	75%	31%				
Male		42	93%	69%	19%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		1							
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	2	-	-	-				
White		91	-	-	-	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		94	95%	72%	26%				
General-Education Students		77	100%	83%	31%				
Students with Disabilities		17	71%	24%	0%	• • • • • • • • •			
English Proficient		94	95%	72%	26%				
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••				
Economically Disadvantaged		19	84%	37%	5%				
Not Disadvantaged		75	97%	81%	31%		••••	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant		94	95%	72%	26%		••••	•••••••	
NOTES									

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 Sc	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	: was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(5):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 654	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 72	L5-790				
	100%	93%				91%			
						9178			
			56%				49%		
				40	%			5%	
Number of Students		07	52						
		01	55	2					
Results by		2005–06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year		
tudent Group		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		94	93%	56%	4%				
Female		36	97%	75%	3%				
Male		58	90%	45%	5%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	2	_	_	-				
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-	New ass	sessments fo	elementa	ry-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r					and mid	ldle-level Eng	glish langua	age
Pacific Islander									
White		91			-				
Student GroupTested2-43-44Tested2-43-4Il Students9493%56%4%male3697%75%3%ale5890%45%5%nerican Indian or Alaska Native2ack or African American1spanic or Latino1spanic or Latino91spanic or Datals9493%56%4%spaneral-Education Students8599%62%5%siglish Proficient9493%56%4%siglish Proficient9493%56%4%siglish Proficient9493%56%4%onomically Disadvantaged1479%36%5% <td< td=""><td></td><td>-</td></td<>					-				
General-Education Students		85	99%	62%	5%			•	ousty
Students with Disabilities		9	33%	0%	0%			nems.	
English Proficient		94	93%	56%	4%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		14	79%	36%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		80	95%	60%	5%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		94	93%	56%	4%				

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 2-4 3-4 nge: 616-775 650-775 701-775 99% 99% 76% 85% 54% 99% 15% 54% 91 70 14 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 2004-05 School Year						s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 670	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775						
	100%	99%									
			76%			85%					
							54%				
				1	5%			10%			
Number of Students		01	70		4						
Number of Students.		51	10		.4						
Results by		2005-06 S o	hool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
	ID	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s)				
Student Grou	nber of Students: esults by tudent Group Students hale e erican Indian or Alaska Native ck or African American banic or Latino an or Native Hawaiian/Other ific Islander ite all Group Totals heral-Education Students dents with Disabilities lish Proficient ited English Proficient nomically Disadvantaged Disadvantaged rant		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		92	99 %	76%	15%						
Female		35	100%	89%	23%						
Male		57	98%	68%	11%						
American Indian or Alaska I	Native	2	-		_						
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino		1			_						
	ther								age		
Pacific Islander											
White				<u>-</u>							
Small Group Totals		-							-		
	; • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Students with Disabilities											
English Proficient		92	99%	76%	15%						
Limited English Proficient											
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					•••••				
Not Disadvantaged		(9	99%	80%	18%						
Migrant	••••••						•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Not Migrant		92	99%	76%	15%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at level(l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_		_	1	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	I	_	-	-	I	_	_	_

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	e scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 76	Range:	44-100	65-10	00 8	5-100				
■ 2005–06 ■ 2004–05 Number of Students:	100%	99% 100%	85% 9	2:	37% 5% 23 32	91% 91%	64% 68	% 18	% 25%
Results by		2005–06 S o	hool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
-		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		93	99%	85%	25%	86	100%	90%	37%
Female		36	100%	89%	31%	45	100%	91%	44%
Male		57	98%	82%	21%	41	100%	88%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	2							
Black or African American						1			
Hispanic or Latino		1	_			3			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r								
White	•••••	90		<u>-</u>			·····		
Small Group Totals	•••••	93	99%	85%	25%	86	100%	90%	
General-Education Students		84	100%	92%	27%	75	100%	95%	41%
Students with Disabilities		9	89%	22%	0%	11	100%		
English Proficient		93	99%	85%	25%	86	100%	90%	37%
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••		•••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged		13	92%	69%	0%	12	100%	58%	8%
Not Disadvantaged		80	100%	88%	29%	74	100%	95%	42%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		93	99%	85%	25%	86	100%	90%	37%


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	05-06 School Year			2004-05 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				1	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent					ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		_	
Regents Science	0				0			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	el:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	2	23	52	6	83	659	
Feb 2004	2	12	44	20	78	673	
Feb 2003	2	24	36	31	93	672	


	This School			NY State Pu	ublic	
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s)	:	Percentage	scoring at level(s	s):
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	99% 96% 95%	62% 54% 48%	23% 6% 4%	93% 93% 91	48% 47% 45	% 9% 11% 8%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	1	32	34	20	87	714	
Jan 2004	4	42	47	6	99	698	
Jan 2003	5	42	40	4	91	693	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	2	4	47	29	82	671	
May 2004	1	4	37	37	79	676	
May 2003	1	7	46	40	94	671	

	This School			NY State Pub	lic	
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s)	:
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882			
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	93% 95% 90%	^{80%} ^{73%} 67%	20% 15% 15%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 51%	9% 13% 9%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	6	11	53	17	87	736	
May 2004	5	22	57	15	99	731	
May 2003	9	21	48	14	92	729	

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	88% 85%	83% 78%	30%	76% 74%	69% 68%	28% 33%

Results by	2002 Coho r	't*		2001 Cohort*				
-	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	93	88%	83%	30%	79	85%	78%	41 %
Female	45	93%	87%	40%	46	89%	87%	46%
Male	48	83%	79%	21%	33	79%	67%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	90	-	-	-	78	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	93	88%	83%	30%	79	85%	78%	41%
General-Education Students	83	90%	86%	34%	67	90%	87%	46%
Students with Disabilities	10	70%	60%	0%	12	58%	33%	8%
English Proficient	93	88%	83%	30%	77	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	15	87%	80%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged	78	88%	83%	35%		•••••	•••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	93	88%	83%	30%		••••••	•••••	••••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	ʻt*		2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	91% 85%	89% 81%	53% 35%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Coho i		2001 Cohort*					
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	93	91%	89%	35%	79	85%	81%	53%
Female	45	91%	91%	27%	46	91%	87%	59%
Male	48	92%	88%	44%	33	76%	73%	45%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	••••••			•••••				••••••
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	-	-	–			••••••	
Pacific Islander		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
White			<u>-</u>		78		<u>-</u>	
Small Group Totals	93	91%	89%	35%	79	85%	81%	53%
General-Education Students	83	93%	93%	39%	67	91%	90%	63%
Students with Disabilities	10	80%	60%	10%	12	50%	33%	0%
English Proficient	93	91%	89%	35%	77	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	15	93%	93%	33%				
Not Disadvantaged	78	91%	88%	36%				••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	93	91%	89%	35%		••••••		••••••
-								

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): s 2-4 3-4 4			Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:

0%

1%


6% 3%

6%

3%

9%

6%



2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	93	81%	0%	6%	6%	6%
	2001	79	85%	1%	3%	3%	9%
Female	2002	45	84%	0%	7%	7%	2%
	2001	46	87%	2%	2%	4%	4%
Чаle	2002	48	77%	0%	6%	6%	10%
	2001	33	82%	0%	3%	0%	15%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American							
lispanic or Latino	2002	2	-	-	-	-	-
	2001						
Asian or Native	2002	1	-	-	-	-	-
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r						
Vhite	2002	90	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	78	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	2002	93	81%	0%	6%	6%	6%
	2001	79	85%	1%	3%	3%	9%
General-Education Students	2002	83	82%	0%	5%	7%	6%
	2001	67	88%	0%	3%	3%	6%
Students with Disabilities	2002	10	70%	0%	20%	0%	10%
	2001	12	67%	8%	0%	0%	25%
nglish Proficient	2002	93	81%	0%	6%	6%	6%
-	2001	77	-	-	-	-	-
imited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	2001	2	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	15	60%	0%	0%	27%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	78	85%	0%	8%	3%	5%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	93	81%	0%	6%	6%	6%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 88% 72% 1% 2% 3% 1% 0% 5% 8% 19%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	77	88%	1%	3%	0%	8%
Female	45	91%	2%	2%	0%	4%
Male	32	84%	0%	3%	0%	13%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	1		-	-		
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	76	-	-	-		
Small Group Totals	77	88%	1%	3%	0%	8%
General-Education Students	65	92%	0%	3%	0%	5%
Students with Disabilities	12	67%	8%	0%	0%	25%
English Proficient	76	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	_		_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	6	83%	0%	0%	0%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	71	89%	1%	3%	0%	7%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	77	88%	1%	3%	0%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.