



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2005 – 06**

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**
District ID **430700010000**
Superintendent **ROBERT YOUNG**
Telephone **(315) 781-0276**
Grades **PK-12, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	85
Kindergarten	183	174	173
Grade 1	182	178	165
Grade 2	165	181	192
Grade 3	196	167	179
Grade 4	193	181	180
Grade 5	228	192	186
Grade 6	183	231	196
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	180	173	236
Grade 8	220	182	171
Grade 9	221	246	197
Grade 10	198	217	211
Grade 11	181	190	186
Grade 12	175	173	169
Ungraded Secondary	29	0	32
Total K-12	2534	2485	2473

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	19	18	19
Grade 8			
English	20	17	13
Mathematics	20	18	16
Science	20	15	17
Social Studies	21	16	17
Grade 10			
English	19	21	21
Mathematics	20	22	20
Science	18	21	21
Social Studies	18	18	17

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	894	35%	935	38%	1058	43%
Reduced-Price Lunch	230	9%	217	9%	280	11%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	83	3%	83	3%	96	4%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	3	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	492	19%	470	19%	490	20%
Hispanic or Latino	267	11%	270	11%	289	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	41	2%	43	2%	51	2%
White	1732	68%	1699	68%	1640	66%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	166	N/A	177	7%	186	7%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	647	636	860
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	5%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	3	3	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	3	4	3
Percentage of Total	1%	2%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate			
	11%	12%	12%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	230	222	236
Total Other Professional Staff	22	25	16
Total Paraprofessionals*	90	83	87
Assistant Principals	4	4	4
Principals	4	3	4

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002–03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002–03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

▲ Good Standing

Elementary/Middle Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

Secondary Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Graduation Rate ▲ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004–05

2005–06

2006–07

YES

YES

YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	✓ ^{SH}	✓	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	✗	✓	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–
White	✓	✓	–	✓	✓	–
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✗	✓	–	✓ ^{SH}	✓ ^{SH}	–
Limited English Proficient	✓ ^{SH}	✓	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	–	✓	✓	–
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✗ 5 of 7	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing ▲ | Good Standing ■ |
| Improvement (Year 1) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 2) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 3) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 4) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■ |

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts























Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)  Good Standing

Accountability Measures 5 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (1190:1139)			98%		141	119	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (263:250)			100%		112	115	110 121
Hispanic or Latino (190:139)			95%		104	113	105 114
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (20:18)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (747:731)			99%		156	118	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (260:238)			96%		71	115	87 84
Limited English Proficient (49:37)			96%		95	106	20 106
Economically Disadvantaged (615:581)			99%		117	117	
Final AYP Determination	 5 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.




² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.


AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (1184:1127)			99%		138	83	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (259:243)			99%		110	79	
Hispanic or Latino (155:140)			97%		98	77	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (17:17)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (752:726)			99%		155	82	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (256:233)			96%		79	79	
Limited English Proficient (48:39)			98%		77	70	
Economically Disadvantaged (608:571)			99%		116	81	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (368:346)		Qualified		99%		171	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (81:76)		Qualified		100%		150	100	
Hispanic or Latino (53:47)		Qualified		98%		143	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (230:219)		Qualified		99%		185	100	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (97:90)		Qualified		99%		142	100	
Limited English Proficient (19:15)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (182:169)		Qualified		99%		157	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**












Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]




How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹							2005–06	2006–07
All Students (157:181)			96%		173	146		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (21:25)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (4:8)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (129:145)			96%		180	145		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (21:35)		–	–		114	138	108	123
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (34:46)		–	–		161	140		
Final AYP Determination	 4 of 4							

NOTES


- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.


AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**












Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]




How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹						2005–06	2006–07	
All Students (157:181)			97%		172	138		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (21:25)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (4:8)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (129:145)			98%		182	137		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (21:35)		–	–		120	130	114	128
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (34:46)		–	–		159	132		
Final AYP Determination	 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**







Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target
				2005–06	2006–07
All Students (192)			76%	55%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)					
Black or African American (26)	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (13)	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4)	–	–	–	–	–
White (149)			81%	55%	
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (45)			53%	55%	45% 54%
Limited English Proficient (4)	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (37)			76%	55%	
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

2006–07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing

2 schools identified 50% of total

NORTH STREET ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

WEST STREET ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

1 school identified 25% of total

GENEVA HIGH SCHOOL

Corrective Action

1 school identified 25% of total

GENEVA MIDDLE SCHOOL

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	59%			174
Grade 4	51%			172
Grade 5	67%			186
Grade 6	57%			195
Grade 7	45%			235
Grade 8	44%			170

Mathematics	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	70%			178
Grade 4	66%			184
Grade 5	72%			184
Grade 6	38%			199
Grade 7	30%			241
Grade 8	46%			175

Science	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 4	90%			183
Grade 8	58%			163

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	74%			208
Mathematics	75%			208

Graduation Rate	Percentage of students who graduated			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
2002 Cohort	64%			208

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public					
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 653	Range: 616-780			650-780			730-780		
									
Number of Students:	147	102	3	147	102	3	147	102	3

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	174	84%	59%	2%				
Female	89	87%	57%	3%				
Male	85	82%	60%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	47	70%	43%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	20	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-				
White	104	90%	66%	3%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	23	87%	57%	0%				
General-Education Students	134	96%	70%	2%				
Students with Disabilities	40	45%	20%	0%				
English Proficient	174	84%	59%	2%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	101	76%	46%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	73	96%	77%	4%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	174	84%	59%	2%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 3	7	4	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	178	90%	70%	15%				
Female	91	88%	66%	12%				
Male	87	92%	74%	18%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	44	89%	59%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	22	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-				
White	108	93%	77%	24%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	26	81%	58%	4%				
General-Education Students	139	97%	79%	19%				
Students with Disabilities	39	64%	36%	3%				
English Proficient	171	91%	71%	15%				
Limited English Proficient	7	57%	43%	29%				
Economically Disadvantaged	102	86%	61%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	76	95%	82%	28%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	178	90%	70%	15%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 648	612-775	650-775	716-775			
Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775			
Number of Students:	139	88	7	91%	69%	9%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	172	81%	51%	4%				
Female	90	81%	56%	3%				
Male	82	80%	46%	5%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	43	72%	33%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	14	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	113	84%	58%	4%				
Small Group Totals	16	81%	56%	13%				
General-Education Students	128	95%	64%	5%				
Students with Disabilities	44	41%	14%	0%				
English Proficient	170	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	92	74%	41%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	80	89%	63%	9%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	172	81%	51%	4%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 4	4	-	-	N/A	0			

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	184	88%	66%	20%				
Female	95	83%	59%	16%				
Male	89	93%	73%	24%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	42	86%	52%	7%				
Hispanic or Latino	22	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	-	-	-				
Pacific Islander								
White	118	90%	69%	25%				
Small Group Totals	24	83%	71%	17%				
General-Education Students	137	97%	77%	25%				
Students with Disabilities	47	62%	34%	4%				
English Proficient	176	89%	66%	20%				
Limited English Proficient	8	75%	63%	13%				
Economically Disadvantaged	100	86%	61%	10%				
Not Disadvantaged	84	90%	71%	31%				
Migrant	2	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	182	-	-	-				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	183	99%	90%	44%	181	98%	91%	56%
Female	93	99%	87%	41%	86	97%	91%	58%
Male	90	100%	92%	48%	95	99%	92%	54%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	43	100%	91%	16%	37	92%	76%	32%
Hispanic or Latino	20	-	-	-	12	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	118	100%	91%	55%	129	99%	95%	65%
Small Group Totals	22	95%	82%	41%	15	100%	93%	33%
General-Education Students	135	99%	95%	51%	150	98%	95%	63%
Students with Disabilities	48	100%	75%	25%	31	97%	71%	23%
English Proficient	175	100%	91%	45%	179	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	8	88%	63%	25%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	100	99%	88%	31%	75	96%	83%	39%
Not Disadvantaged	83	100%	92%	60%	106	99%	97%	68%
Migrant	2	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	181	-	-	-	181	98%	91%	56%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	186	91%	67%	7%				
Female	91	92%	67%	9%				
Male	95	91%	66%	5%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	37	86%	49%	5%				
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-				
White	135	94%	73%	7%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	14	79%	57%	7%				
General-Education Students	151	98%	77%	8%				
Students with Disabilities	35	63%	20%	3%				
English Proficient	185	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	80	85%	51%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	106	96%	78%	9%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	186	91%	67%	7%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 5	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	184	90%	72%	16%				
Female	90	93%	74%	13%				
Male	94	87%	69%	19%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	36	86%	50%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	12	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-				
White	133	93%	80%	20%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	15	73%	53%	20%				
General-Education Students	152	97%	81%	20%				
Students with Disabilities	32	56%	28%	0%				
English Proficient	181	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	79	82%	58%	10%				
Not Disadvantaged	105	96%	82%	21%				
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	183	-	-	-				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 649	598-785	650-785	705-785			
Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785			
Number of Students:	173	111	20	93%	60%	12%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	195	89%	57%	10%				
Female	103	92%	68%	16%				
Male	92	85%	45%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	42	81%	36%	5%				
Hispanic or Latino	30	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-				
White	119	94%	74%	14%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	34	79%	24%	3%				
General-Education Students	157	94%	66%	13%				
Students with Disabilities	38	66%	18%	0%				
English Proficient	187	90%	59%	11%				
Limited English Proficient	8	63%	13%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	106	83%	37%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged	89	96%	81%	20%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	195	89%	57%	10%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 6	5	3	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 641	600-790	650-790	712-790			
Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790			
Number of Students:	203	106	7	92%	56%	8%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	235	86%	45%	3%				
Female	127	87%	50%	5%				
Male	108	85%	39%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	52	75%	27%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	26	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-				
White	153	92%	55%	4%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	30	80%	27%	3%				
General-Education Students	195	94%	52%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	40	50%	13%	3%				
English Proficient	232	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	125	80%	26%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	110	94%	66%	5%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	235	86%	45%	3%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 7	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 631	611-800	650-800	693-800			
Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
	81%	30%	0%	87%	56%	12%
Number of Students:	196	73	1			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	241	81%	30%	0%				
Female	129	80%	26%	0%				
Male	112	83%	35%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	53	68%	6%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	30	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-				
White	154	88%	41%	0%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	34	71%	21%	3%				
General-Education Students	199	88%	35%	1%				
Students with Disabilities	42	50%	7%	0%				
English Proficient	234	83%	31%	0%				
Limited English Proficient	7	29%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	129	73%	13%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	112	91%	50%	0%				
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	240	-	-	-				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 643	602-790	650-790	715-790			
Number of Students:	147	75	6			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	170	86%	44%	4%				
Female	75	89%	56%	7%				
Male	95	84%	35%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	38	74%	24%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	22	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	108	95%	56%	6%				
Small Group Totals	24	67%	21%	0%				
General-Education Students	129	98%	55%	5%				
Students with Disabilities	41	51%	10%	0%				
English Proficient	167	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	74	76%	26%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	96	95%	58%	6%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	170	86%	44%	4%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 8	8	7	6	N/A	2	-	-	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	175	85%	46%	3%				
Female	75	92%	52%	5%				
Male	100	79%	41%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	36	67%	17%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	30	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	107	94%	62%	6%				
Small Group Totals	32	72%	25%	0%				
General-Education Students	136	95%	57%	4%				
Students with Disabilities	39	49%	8%	0%				
English Proficient	165	87%	47%	4%				
Limited English Proficient	10	50%	20%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	77	75%	27%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	98	92%	60%	6%				
Migrant	2	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	173	-	-	-				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	163	89%	58%	9%	152	97%	80%	24%
Female	67	93%	54%	4%	76	97%	78%	16%
Male	96	86%	60%	13%	76	96%	83%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	37	84%	22%	0%	29	93%	66%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	31	-	-	-	17	94%	29%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	93	97%	77%	14%	106	98%	92%	33%
Small Group Totals	33	73%	42%	6%				
General-Education Students	120	94%	67%	12%	106	99%	89%	29%
Students with Disabilities	43	74%	33%	2%	46	91%	61%	11%
English Proficient	152	91%	60%	10%	146	97%	82%	25%
Limited English Proficient	11	55%	27%	0%	6	100%	50%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	82%	37%	3%	65	94%	63%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	84	95%	77%	15%	87	99%	93%	37%
Migrant	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	160	-	-	-	151	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Regents Science	15	15	15	10	18	18	18	16

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4 3-4 4

Range: 603-800 645-800 692-800

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	14	21	97	47	179	668
Feb 2004	19	54	85	22	180	651
Feb 2003	10	84	91	29	214	653

Grade 8

This School

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4 3-4 4

Range: 658-830 697-830 737-830

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	21	84	57	16	178	691
Jan 2004	29	114	63	16	222	690
Jan 2003	10	74	73	22	179	700

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4
 Range: 602-810 637-810 678-810

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	4	19	99	60	182	666
May 2004	10	45	98	42	195	654
May 2003	9	48	115	54	226	657

Grade 8

This School


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4
 Range: 681-882 716-882 760-882

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	27	59	88	7	181	711
May 2004	28	51	112	27	218	717
May 2003	21	57	90	21	189	720

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	208	76%	74%	26%	220	70%	66%	32%
Female	107	83%	79%	30%	109	70%	65%	32%
Male	101	69%	68%	22%	111	71%	67%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	26	77%	65%	8%	35	46%	31%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	-	12	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	162	81%	80%	31%	169	76%	74%	40%
Small Group Totals	20	40%	40%	5%	16	69%	56%	13%
General-Education Students	165	84%	82%	33%	161	83%	80%	42%
Students with Disabilities	43	47%	44%	0%	59	37%	29%	5%
English Proficient	203	77%	75%	27%	216	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	40%	40%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	50	78%	70%	18%				
Not Disadvantaged	158	76%	75%	28%				
Migrant	2	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	206	-	-	-				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	2001 Cohort*
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	2

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	208	76%	75%	21%	220	73%	73%	28%
Female	107	82%	81%	15%	109	74%	74%	24%
Male	101	70%	68%	27%	111	72%	72%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	26	69%	58%	4%	35	54%	54%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	-	12	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	162	83%	83%	24%	169	77%	77%	34%
Small Group Totals	20	35%	35%	15%	16	75%	75%	13%
General-Education Students	165	84%	82%	22%	161	86%	86%	35%
Students with Disabilities	43	49%	49%	16%	59	37%	37%	8%
English Proficient	203	77%	76%	21%	216	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	40%	40%	20%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	50	76%	70%	20%				
Not Disadvantaged	158	77%	77%	21%				
Migrant	2	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	206	-	-	-				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	2001 Cohort*
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	2

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	208	64%	3%	9%	16%	7%
	2001	220	67%	7%	10%	7%	10%
Female	2002	107	70%	3%	8%	14%	5%
	2001	109	65%	7%	7%	8%	12%
Male	2002	101	58%	3%	10%	19%	10%
	2001	111	69%	6%	12%	5%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	2002	26	50%	8%	4%	31%	8%
	2001	35	46%	9%	17%	17%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	16	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	12	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2002	4	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	4	–	–	–	–	–
White	2002	162	71%	1%	8%	14%	6%
	2001	169	72%	6%	8%	5%	9%
Small Group Totals	2002	20	30%	10%	25%	15%	20%
	2001	16	63%	13%	13%	6%	6%
General-Education Students	2002	165	70%	0%	9%	14%	7%
	2001	161	78%	0%	9%	6%	7%
Students with Disabilities	2002	43	42%	14%	9%	26%	9%
	2001	59	39%	25%	10%	8%	17%
English Proficient	2002	203	65%	3%	8%	17%	7%
	2001	216	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	2002	5	40%	0%	40%	0%	20%
	2001	4	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	50	54%	8%	6%	28%	4%
	2001	–	–	–	–	–	–
Not Disadvantaged	2002	158	68%	1%	10%	13%	8%
	2001	–	–	–	–	–	–
Migrant	2002	2	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	–	–	–	–	–	–
Not Migrant	2002	206	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	–	–	–	–	–	–


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District **GENEVA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	217	70%	6%	12%	2%	10%
Female	108	67%	7%	10%	3%	13%
Male	109	72%	6%	14%	1%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	32	50%	6%	22%	9%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	13	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	–	–	–	–	–
White	168	74%	6%	9%	1%	10%
Small Group Totals	17	59%	12%	24%	0%	6%
General-Education Students	159	80%	0%	10%	2%	8%
Students with Disabilities	58	41%	24%	17%	2%	16%
English Proficient	213	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	38	74%	13%	11%	3%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	179	69%	5%	12%	2%	12%
Migrant	2	–	–	–	–	–
Not Migrant	215	–	–	–	–	–

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.