

## The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District MONROE-WOODBURY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 441201060000 Superintendent JOSEPH DILORENZO Telephone (845) 460-6200 Grades K-12

## **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



### View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

## Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	495	527	525
Grade 1	486	509	563
Grade 2	498	515	514
Grade 3	555	524	530
Grade 4	564	571	518
Grade 5	568	572	581
Grade 6	565	576	593
Ungraded Elementary	23	12	0
Grade 7	601	571	592
Grade 8	624	599	567
Grade 9	628	633	617
Grade 10	579	612	619
Grade 11	560	575	608
Grade 12	509	556	555
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	7255	7352	7382

## Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	24	24	24
Grade 8			
English	23	24	24
Mathematics	24	24	24
Science	24	24	24
Social Studies	24	23	24
Grade 10			
English	25	26	26
Mathematics	20	23	25
Science	23	22	24
Social Studies	25	24	25

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	300	4%	419	6%	336	5%
Reduced-Price Lunch	177	2%	237	3%	219	3%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	105	1%	107	1%	139	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	22	0%	19	0%	20	0%
Black or African American	278	4%	303	4%	367	5%
Hispanic or Latino	831	11%	881	12%	924	13%
Asian or Native	289	4%	357	5%	400	5%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	5835	80%	5792	79%	5671	77%

\* Not available at the district level.

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	181	N/A	198	3%	190	3%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	1233	1323	1831
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	3	3	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	5	4	4
Percentage of Total	1%	1%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	24%	26%	28%

## **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	519	532	539
Total Other Professional Staff	56	50	51
Total Paraprofessionals*	131	139	134
Assistant Principals	11	11	11
Principals	7	7	7

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

# District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress. District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

## 2 District Accountability

District MONROE-WOODBURY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	🔺 Go	▲ Good Standing						
	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	el				
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing				
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing				
Title I Part A Funding	Years t	he District Received T	itle I Part A Funding					

Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2004-05 2005-06 2006		2006-07	6–07		
	YES	YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		-	_			
Black or African American	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	••••	✓	✓	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	~	✓	••••	✓	~	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	••••	_	_	••••		
White	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	✓	<b>/</b>	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	<b>~</b>	✓	••••	_	_	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 8 of 8	✔ 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1	🖌 5 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	🖌 1 of 1		



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	r Target 2006–07
All Students (3443:3395)	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	177	120		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (9:9)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (179:177)	<	~	100%	~	164	114		
Hispanic or Latino (441:429)	~	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	164	117	•••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (201:196)	<	~	100%	~	188	114	•••••••••••••••	••••
White (2613:2584)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	179	120	••• •••••	••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (559:542)	~	<b>~</b>	98%	<b>~</b>	123	117		
Limited English Proficient (57:52)	<	~	100%	~	150	109		
Economically Disadvantaged (298:294)	<	~	99%	~	153	116	•••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8	5						

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target 2006–07
All Students (3455:3387)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	177	84		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (9:9)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (181:175)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	161	78		
Hispanic or Latino (451:428)	<	<b>V</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	156	81	•••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (201:196)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	~	191	78	•••••••••••••••	
White (2613:2579)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	180	84	••••••••••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (565:543)	~	<b>v</b>	99%	~	127	81		
Limited English Proficient (57:52)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<	100%	~	112	73		
Economically Disadvantaged (295:294)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	148	80	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (1106:1084)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	191	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (53:53)		Qualified	~	100%	~	181	100		
Hispanic or Latino (147:139)		Qualified	<	100%	~	175	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (67:66)		Qualified	~	100%	~	195	100		
White (836:823)		Qualified	<	100%	~	194	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (182:178)		Qualified	~	99%	~	169	100		
Limited English Proficient (20:19)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (98:98)		Qualified	~	100%	~	171	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (582:561)	Status		95%		185	149	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (33:31)	~	-	-	~	190	137		
Hispanic or Latino (64:60)	<	<	97%	<b>~</b>	172	142	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (24:24)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (460:445)	<	<	95%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	186	149	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (29:28)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (7:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (33:34)	~	-	-	~	162	137		•••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

### NOTES

These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance). 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

### **AYP Status**

1 Made AYP

**V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

those two years. <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

<sup>‡</sup> This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (582:561)	V	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	96%	Internet	186	141	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Black or African American (33:31)	<	-	-	~	171	129	••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino (64:60)	<	<b>~</b>	95%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	180	134	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (24:24)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (460:445)	<	<b>~</b>	96%	<b>~</b>	188	141	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (29:28)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (7:8)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (33:34)	~	-	-	~	165	129	••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

### NOTES

2

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing				
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate				
	✓	Made AYP				
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]				

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	uation		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (539)	~	~	91%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		-	-	-	-	-
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		•••••••••••••
Black or African American (20)		_	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (62)		~	79%	55%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (21)		-	-	-	-	-
White (434)	• • • • • • • • • •	~	92%	55%		•••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (24)		_	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (6)		-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (27)		_	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1				
Determination						

### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Fe	deral Title I Status	New York State Status
~	Good Standing	
	6 schools identified 86% of total	
	CENTRAL VALLEY SCHOOL	
	MONROE WOODBURY MIDDLE SCHOOL	
	NORTH MAIN STREET SCHOOL	
	PINE TREE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
	SAPPHIRE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
	SMITH CLOVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
		Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)
		1 school identified 14% of total
		MONROE WOODBURY HIGH SCHOOL

### Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	77%		529
Grade 4	82%		513
Grade 5	79%		584
Grade 6	84%		589
Grade 7	76%		592
Grade 8	80%		567
Mathematics			
Grade 3	90%		534
Grade 4	90%		<b>5</b> 24
Grade 5	80%		593
Grade 6	77%		603
Grade 7	73%		601
Grade 8	72%		575
Science			
Grade 4	97%		526
Grade 8	85%		471
	-	of students that	2002
	scored at or	above Level 3	Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English Mathematics	88% <b>9</b> 0% <b>•</b>		<b>5</b> 66 <b>5</b> 66
	Percentage who gradua	2002 Cohort	
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	90%	1	566

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

### **Average Need Districts**

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t			NY State Public				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level         2-4 $3-4$ 4       2-4 $3-4$ 616-780 $650-780$ $730-780$ 92%       92%         96%       77%       10%       92%       69%         507 $409$ $52$ $52$ $507$ $409$ $52$ <b>2005-06 School Year</b> Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage         Tested       2-4 $3-4$ $4$ $704-05$ $2-4$ 507 $409$ $52$ $507$ $77\%$						s):		
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 678	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	730-780					
	100%	96%				92%				
			77%			9270	60%			
							0570			
				1	.0%			7%		
Number of Students:		507	409		52					
		501	405		52					
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2004-05	School Year			
Student Gro		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student GIU	սբ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		529	96%	77%	10%					
Female		263	98%	81%	11%					
Male			94%	74%	8%					
American Indian or Alaska	Native									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino		64	91%	67%	3%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/	Other	36	97%	92%	19%					
Pacific Islander			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			This tee	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05	
White		398	96%	78%	11%		st was not giv	2004	05.	
Small Group Totals		<u> </u>	100% 99%	71%	0%					
General-Education Student	ts			•••••		• • • • • • • •				
Students with Disabilities		522	77%	32%	0%					
English Proficient	•••••	523	96%	78%	10%	•••••				
Limited English Proficient	ad	6 43	50%	33%	0%					
Economically Disadvantage	eu	43 486	88% 97%	51% 80%	2% 10%		•••••			
Not Disadvantaged		400	91%	00%	10%					
Migrant	•••••		0.00	770/	1.00/		•••••		•••••	
Not Migrant		529	96%	77%	10%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	ring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test v	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	5	2	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t		NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 688	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770					
	100%	98%	0.00/			94%				
			90%				81%			
				3	4%			25%		
								2370		
Number of Students:		525	482	1	82		_			
Number of Students:		525	402	1	02					
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2004-05	School Year			
-		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at			evel(s):	
Student Group	)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		534	98%	90%	34%					
Female		266	97%	89%	35%					
Male		268	99%	92%	33%					
American Indian or Alaska Na	itive	3								
Black or African American		30	_							
Hispanic or Latino		70	96%	84%	27%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	36	100%	97%	53%					
Pacific Islander		•••••					ct was not ai	on in 200/	1.05	
White		395	98%	91%	35%		st was not giv	2002	-05.	
Small Group Totals		33	100%	91%	12%					
General-Education Students		460	99%	94%	39%					
Students with Disabilities		74	95%	69%	5%					
English Proficient		523	99%	91%	35%					
Limited English Proficient		11	82%	64%	9%					
Economically Disadvantaged		46	93%	80%	17%					
Not Disadvantaged		488	99%	91%	36%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		534	98%	90%	34%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	_	-		was not giv		

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District	:		NY State	Public	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage	):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 678	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775			
	100%	97%	82%	10%	91%	69%	9%
Number of Students:		499	422	52			

2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year						
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percen	tage scori	ing at leve	el(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-	4 3	-4	4		
513	<b>97</b> %	82%	10%							
239	97%	85%	14%							
274	97%	80%	7%							
1	-	-	-							
22	-	-	-							
59	95%	64%	7%	New a	assessment	s for ele	mentary	/-		
37	97%	81%	16%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				ge		
394	98%	86%	11%	admir	nistered in	2006. Re	esults fro	om		
23	96%	70%	0%							
433	100%	90%	12%				•	usly		
80	85%	41%	0%	······ admir	listered ass	sessment	[S.			
507	97%	83%	10%							
6	83%	17%	0%							
42	90%	48%	2%							
471	98%	85%	11%		••••••		••••••			
513	97%	82%	10%		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	Total Tested 513 239 274 1 22 59 37 394 23 433 80 507 6 42 471	Total         Percentag           Tested         2-4           513         97%           239         97%           274         97%           1         -           22         -           59         95%           37         97%           23         96%           433         100%           80         85%           507         97%           6         83%           42         90%           471         98%	Tested         2-4         3-4           513         97%         82%           239         97%         85%           274         97%         80%           1         -         -           22         -         -           59         95%         64%           37         97%         81%           394         98%         86%           23         96%         70%           433         100%         90%           6         83%         17%           42         90%         48%           471         98%         85%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s):           1         2-4         3-4         4           513         97%         82%         10%           239         97%         85%         14%           274         97%         80%         7%           1         -         -         -           22         -         -         -           59         95%         64%         7%           37         97%         81%         16%           394         98%         86%         11%           23         96%         70%         0%           433         100%         90%         12%           80         85%         41%         0%           507         97%         83%         10%           6         83%         17%         0%           42         90%         48%         2%           471         98%         85%         11%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total Tested           513         97%         82%         10%           239         97%         85%         14%           274         97%         80%         7%           1         -         -         -           22         -         -         -           59         95%         64%         7%         New at and n arts at admin these           37         97%         81%         16%         admin these           23         96%         70%         0%         these           394         98%         86%         11%         admin these           23         96%         70%         0%         admin these           23         96%         70%         0%         admin these           433         100%         90%         12%         admin these           6         83%         17%         0%         42         90%         48%         2%           471         98%         85%         11%         471         98%         85%         11%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s):           513         97%         82%         10%         2	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total Tested         Percentage scor           513         97%         82%         10%         2-4         3           513         97%         82%         10%         2-4         3           239         97%         85%         14%         2-4         3           274         97%         80%         7%         2         3         2         3         2         3         2         3         2         3         2         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total         Percentage scoring at level (s):           Total         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total         Percentage scoring at level (s):           513         97%         82%         10%           239         97%         85%         14%           274         97%         80%         7%           1         -         -         -           22         -         -         -           59         95%         64%         7%           37         97%         81%         16%           239         96%         70%         0%           394         98%         86%         11%           433         100%         90%         12%           80         85%         41%         0%           507         97%         83%         10%           42         90%         48%         2%           471         98%         85%         11%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004-05 Sc	2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	3	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	۷				Э	_		_	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	7	6	5	N/A	7	5	3	N/A	
Grade 4									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		This Distri	ct			NY State	Public		
		Percentage s	scoring at level	l(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 690	Range:	622-800	650-80	7 00	02-800				
Number of Students:	100%	97%	90%		3%	93%	78%	26%	
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Yea	r	
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		524	<b>97</b> %	<b>90</b> %	38%				
Female		244	95%	89%	36%				

Male	280	98%	92%	39%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-		
Black or African American	22	-	–	-		
Hispanic or Latino	67	87%	75%	19%	New assessments for elementary-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	38	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
White	396	98%	93%	41%	administered in 2006. Results from	
Small Group Totals	23	100%	87%	13%	these assessments cannot be directly	
General-Education Students	442	99%	97%	44%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.	
Students with Disabilities	82	82%	56%	4%	administered assessments.	
English Proficient	511	97%	92%	39%		
Limited English Proficient	13	69%	38%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	47	85%	66%	9%		
Not Disadvantaged	477	98%	93%	41%		
Migrant						
Not Migrant	524	97%	90%	38%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s):			l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	coring at level 3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			2	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5		_	_	3	_	_	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District	:		NY State P	ublic	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 86	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100			
<ul> <li>2005–06</li> <li>2004–05</li> </ul>	100%	99% 100%	97% 95%	63% 62%	97% 95%	86% 80%	49% 42%
Number of Students:		523 578	508 548	332 361			
Results by		2005–06 Scl	nool Year		2004-05 \$	School Year	

Results by	2003 001		•						
-	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	526	99%	97%	<b>63</b> %	578	100%	95%	<b>62</b> %	
Female	245	100%	96%	59%	295	100%	95%	60%	
Male	281	99%	97%	67%	283	100%	95%	65%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	22	-	-	-	24	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	70	97%	87%	33%	75	100%	89%	45%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	38	100%	95%	68%	32	100%	97%	72%	
White	395	100%	98%	69%	445	100%	96%	65%	
Small Group Totals	23	100%	100%	43%	26	100%	92%	50%	
General-Education Students	443	100%	98%	68%	490	100%	96%	67%	
Students with Disabilities	83	99%	88%	37%	88	100%	89%	40%	
English Proficient	513	100%	98%	65%	567	100%	95%	63%	
Limited English Proficient	13	85%	54%	0%	11	100%	82%	36%	
Economically Disadvantaged	46	96%	83%	33%	54	100%	91%	44%	
Not Disadvantaged	480	100%	98%	66%	524	100%	95%	64%	
Migrant					1	-	-	-	
Not Migrant	526	99%	97%	63%	577	-	-	-	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> a	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	n	_		_	Э	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2		_		5		_	

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t						
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(	s):	
		2-4	3-4	Z	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 672	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795				
	100%	98%				94%			
			79%				670/		
							67%		
				1	3%			12%	
Number of Students:		570	461		77				
		510	401				_		
Results by 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year					School Year				
Student Grou	ID	Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Grot	, p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		584	<b>98%</b>	<b>79%</b>	13%				
Female		299	99%	82%	14%				
Male		285	96%	76%	12%				
American Indian or Alaska	Native	3	-						
Black or African American		31							
Hispanic or Latino		72	96%	61%	4%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C	Other	34	97%	85%	15%				
Pacific Islander		•••••••••••••••••				This too	st was not giv	on in 2004	-05
White	•••••		98%	83%	15%		st was not giv	2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		34	97%	62%	9%				
General-Education Student	S	487	100%	86%	16%	•••••			
Students with Disabilities		97	86%	43%	1%				
English Proficient	•••••	583		<u>-</u>		•••••			
Limited English Proficient		1	-	_	-				
Economically Disadvantage	d	45	96%	69%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged		539	98%	80%	14%				
Migrant		1							
Not Migrant		583	-	-	-				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	This test	was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	7	7	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 675	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780						
	100%	97%				0.0%					
			80%			90%	68%				
							68%				
				_							
				2	0%			19%			
Number of Students:		573	477	1	17						
Number of Students.		515	411		± 1						
Results bv		2005-06 <b>S</b> a	chool Year			2004-05					
Results by Student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Grou	up	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		593	97%	80%	20%						
Female		300	97%	79%	21%						
Male		293	96%	82%	19%						
American Indian or Alaska	Native	3									
Black or African American		31									
Hispanic or Latino		76	93%	59%	12%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/(	Other	35	100%	89%	26%						
Pacific Islander							t was not giv	en in 2004	-05		
White		448	97%	85%	21%		t was not giv	2004	05.		
Small Group Totals		34 495	94%	65% 86%	<u>9%</u> 23%						
General-Education Student	.s	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		• •••••					
Students with Disabilities		98	87%	50%	3%						
English Proficient		585	97%	81%	20%	• • • • • • • •					
Limited English Proficient		8	88%	50%	0%						
Economically Disadvantage	90	48	100%	71%	4%		••••				
Not Disadvantaged		545	96%	81%	21%						
Migrant		1	<del>.</del>	····· · ·	<u>-</u>						
Not Migrant		592	-	-	-						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	This test	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	۷	ŀ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 676	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785						
	100%	97%				93%					
			84%			3370					
							60%				
				2	1%						
				2	170			12%			
Number of Students:		574 493 123									
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total Percentage scoring			t level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		589	97%	84%	21%						
Female		262	99%	88%	24%						
Male		327	96%	80%	18%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		31	100%	68%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		71	96%	77%	13%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		30	100%	100%	47%						
Pacific Islander			100 %		4170	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>		
White		457	97%	85%	22%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		500	100%	92%	25%						
Students with Disabilities		89	83%	35%	0%						
English Proficient		589	97%	84%	21%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		51	90%	69%	8%						
Not Disadvantaged		538	98%	85%	22%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		589	97%		21%						

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	∍l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			This tost y	was not qiv	in 200	1 05	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	з			-		was not yn		4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	11	10	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public						
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 667	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780							
	100%	94%										
		5170	77%			87%						
							60%					
				1	6%			13%				
Number of Students:		568	466	ç	95							
Bocults by		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total Percentage scoring at le						
Results by Student Group All Students emale fale merican Indian or Alaska Native black or African American lispanic or Latino		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
 All Students		603	94%	77%	16%							
Female		269	96%	78%	13%							
Male	•••••	334	93%	76%	18%	••••••	••••	••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve											
Black or African American		31	90%	58%	0%							
Hispanic or Latino		81	86%	67%	4%							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	32	100%	97%	28%							
White	•••••	459	95%	79%	18%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	I-05.			
Small Group Totals	•••••											
General-Education Students		509	98%	85%	18%							
Students with Disabilities	•••••	94	71%	34%	4%	•••••						
English Proficient		592	95%	78%	16%	_						
Limited English Proficient		11	45%	18%	0%							
Economically Disadvantaged		60	75%	50%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		543	96%	80%	17%			••••••				
Migrant												
Not Migrant		603	94%	77%	16%							

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test	nis test was not given in 2004-05.			

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 670	Range:	600-790	650-7	90 7	12-790						
	100%	99%				0.2%					
			76%			92%					
							56%				
				1	4%			8%			
		504	146								
Number of Students:		584	449	ł	33						
Results by Student Group		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		592	99%	<b>76</b> %	14%						
Female		272	99%	79%	15%						
Male		320	98%	73%	13%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		33	100%	55%	9%						
Hispanic or Latino		71	99%	69%	7%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	30	100%	87%	33%						
Pacific Islander									05		
White		458	98%	78%	14%	Inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		496	100%	85%	17%						
Students with Disabilities		96	92%	29%	0%						
English Proficient		591	_								
imited English Proficient		1	-	_	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		39	100%	62%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged		553	99%	77%	15%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		592	99%	76%	14%						

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	∍l(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	3 This test w						was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	د 		-	-		was not yiv		4-05.		
New York State English as a Second										
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	6	5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 7										

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 650-800 693-4 73% 15% 15% 439 89 5chool Year Percentage scoring at leve 2-4 3-4 95% 73% 1 95% 71% 1 95% 75% 1 94% 58% 1 90% 56% 100% 84% 4 96% 76% 1			Percentage s	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 663	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 6	93-800						
	100%	95%									
		3370	73%			87%					
							56%				
				1	5%			12%			
Number of Students:		572	439		39						
Results by Student Group		2005–06 <b>S</b> e				2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Oroup		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		601	95%	73%	15%						
Female		274	95%	71%	13%						
Male		327	95%	75%	16%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		33	94%	58%	12%						
Hispanic or Latino		77	90%	56%	3%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		31	100%	84%	42%						
Pacific Islander							t was not ai	on in 2004	1 05		
White		460	96%	76%	15%	inis tes	t was not giv		<b>-</b> -05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		502	98%	81%	18%						
Students with Disabilities		99	79%	34%	0%						
English Proficient		594	95%	74%	15%						
Limited English Proficient		7	71%	14%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged		41	88%	54%	7%						
Not Disadvantaged		560	96%	74%	15%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		601	95%	73%	15%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	2004-05 School Year						
Accoccmonts	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test v	was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 673	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
	100%	98%	80%	9%	91%	49%	5%	
Number of Students:		554	453	49				

Results by	2005-06 \$	School Yea	r	2004–05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	567	98%	<b>80</b> %	<b>9</b> %					
Female	269	99%	85%	10%					
Male	298	97%	76%	7%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-					
Black or African American	30	100%	70%	3%					
Hispanic or Latino	72	97%	74%	6%	New ass	<ul> <li>New assessments for elementary-</li> <li>and middle-level English language</li> <li>arts and mathematics were</li> <li>administered in 2006. Results from</li> </ul>			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	29	-	–	-					
White	434	98%	81%	9%	administ				
Small Group Totals	31	97%	90%	19%		sessments c		,	
General-Education Students	474	100%	89%	10%		d to results	•	ously	
Students with Disabilities	93	87%	35%	0%	auminist	ered assessi	nents.		
English Proficient	566	-	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged	46	93%	57%	7%					
Not Disadvantaged	521	98%	82%	9%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Migrant									
Not Migrant	567	98%	80%	9%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	ring at level(s): 3–4          4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	6	6	5	N/A	9	6	5	N/A

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## **This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics**

		This Distri	ct			NY Stat	te Public			
		Percentage s	scoring at leve	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 664	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775					
	100%	95%								
			72%			85%				
							54%			
				1	1%		_	10%		
Number of Chudente			412		. 4		_			
Number of Students:		545	412	Ċ	54					
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	•		2004-0	05 School Yea	r		
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		575	95%	72%	11%					
Female		274	94%	74%	12%					
Male		301	95%	69%	10%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	2	-	-	-					
Black or African American		30	83%	50%	7%					
Hispanic or Latino		77	84%	53%	6%	New	assessments f	or elementa	iry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		29	_		_	and	middle-level E	nglish langu	age	
Pacific Islander							and mathemat			
White		437	97%	76%	11%		inistered in 20			
Small Group Totals		31	100%	84%	29%		e assessments		-	
General-Education Students		480	98%	81%	13%		pared to result	•	iously	

General-Education Students	480	98%	81%	13%	administered assessments.
Students with Disabilities	95	80%	23%	0%	auministereu assessments.
English Proficient	568	95%	72%	11%	
Limited English Proficient	7	71%	29%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	52	88%	44%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	523	95%	74%	12%	
Migrant					
Not Migrant	575	95%	72%	11%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):			(s):	Total	Number sco	5	l(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	_	-

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 76	Range:	44-100	65-100	85-100					
<ul> <li>■ 2005-06</li> <li>■ 2004-05</li> </ul>	100%	99% 99%	85% 85%	23% 30%	91% 91%	64% 68%	18% 25%		
Number of Students:		466 494	398 427	108 151					
Pocults by		2005-06 Sch	ool Year		2004–05 S	chool Year			

Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	471	<b>99</b> %	85%	23%	501	<b>99</b> %	85%	<b>30</b> %	
Female	218	99%	82%	20%	253	100%	85%	24%	
Male	253	99%	87%	25%	248	98%	85%	37%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	30	97%	70%	20%	25	96%	72%	8%	
Hispanic or Latino	71	97%	68%	10%	66	94%	68%	23%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	-	-	-	14	-	–	-	
White	355	99%	89%	26%	395	99%	89%	33%	
Small Group Totals	15	100%	93%	27%	15	100%	80%	13%	
General-Education Students	376	100%	91%	27%	401	99%	91%	35%	
Students with Disabilities	95	95%	58%	6%	100	96%	61%	12%	
English Proficient	464	99%	86%	23%	492	99%	87%	31%	
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	14%	0%	9	67%	11%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	46	96%	65%	4%	42	95%	57%	10%	
Not Disadvantaged	425	99%	87%	25%	459	99%	88%	32%	
Migrant					2	-	-	-	
Not Migrant	471	99%	85%	23%	499	–	–	-	


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
-	Total	ntal Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
Regents Science	103	103	103	93	95	95	95	90

## **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance leve	l:	
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	10	100	317	143	570	673
Feb 2004	10	133	321	88	552	664
Feb 2003	7	115	284	146	552	670


	This School		NY State Public				
	Percentage so	coring at level(s)	:	Percentage scoring at le			
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830				
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	99% 99% 98%	78% 80% 71%	25% 27% 18%	93% 93% 91%	48% 47% 45%	6 9% 11% 8%	

### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	5	128	312	150	595	720	
Jan 2004	6	119	325	163	613	722	
Jan 2003	11	167	323	112	613	713	

## **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	el:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	0	26	264	290	580	679	
May 2004	4	36	280	239	559	676	
May 2003	3	41	237	279	560	678	

	This School			NY State Pub	lic	
Grade 8	Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage sc	:	
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882			
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	96% 98% 97%	71% 75%	14% 20% 19%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 51%	9% <sup>13%</sup> 9%

### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	23	151	347	82	603	731	
May 2004	14	83	393	126	616	738	
May 2003	17	135	349	117	618	734	

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 <b>Coho</b> i	ť*		2001 Cohort*				
_	Number	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	566	<b>91</b> %	88%	<b>43</b> %	558	89%	86%	<b>47</b> %
Female	283	92%	88%	48%	285	92%	91%	55%
Male	283	91%	88%	38%	273	86%	82%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	30	97%	90%	37%	22	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	61	89%	79%	26%	67	78%	73%	30%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	-	-	-	23	87%	87%	61%
White	449	92%	89%	45%	444	91%	89%	49%
Small Group Totals	26	88%	88%	58%	24	88%	83%	33%
General-Education Students	536	94%	91%	45%	533	92%	90%	49%
Students with Disabilities	30	50%	37%	3%	25	24%	16%	4%
English Proficient	558	92%	89%	44%	550	90%	87%	47%
Limited English Proficient	8	63%	63%	13%	8	38%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	78%	69%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged	530	92%	89%	45%			•••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	566	91%	88%	43%		••••••		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(c) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

data for that group and the next smattest gro	suppressed to prote	ce the privacy of manuadat students.		
Other	2002 Coho	ort*	2001	Cohort*
-	Number	Number scoring at level(s):	Numb	or Numbe

Accoccmonte	Number Number scoring at level(s):		l(s):	Number	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public           Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2002 Cohort	100%	92% 91%	90% 87%	50% 37%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	
2001 Cohort								

Results by	2002 <b>Coho</b> r	ťť			2001 Cohort*			
_	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	566	92%	<b>90</b> %	50%	558	91%	<b>87</b> %	37%
Female	283	93%	90%	47%	285	92%	89%	40%
Male	283	92%	90%	52%	273	90%	85%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	30	87%	80%	37%	22	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	61	92%	89%	30%	67	78%	72%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	-	-	-	23	91%	91%	70%
White	449	93%	91%	53%	444	93%	89%	37%
Small Group Totals	26	92%	88%	58%	24	92%	92%	33%
General-Education Students	536	94%	92%	52%	533	93%	90%	38%
Students with Disabilities	30	57%	53%	3%	25	40%	24%	4%
English Proficient	558	92%	91%	50%	550	92%	88%	37%
Limited English Proficient	8	75%	63%	38%	8	38%	25%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	75%	72%	19%				
Not Disadvantaged	530	93%	92%	52%			•••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	566	92%	90%	50%		•••••		••••••

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) ar	e suppressed to protect	the privacy of	individual stude	ents.				
Other	2002 Cohor	2001 Cohort*						
	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number scoring at level(s)		.(s):
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent


\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

## **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



2%

2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	566	90%	1%	0%	7%	2%
	2001	558	86%	0%	2%	9%	2%
Female	2002	283	92%	2%	0%	5%	1%
	2001	285	91%	1%	0%	6%	2%
Male	2002	283	87%	1%	0%	10%	2%
	2001	273	82%	0%	4%	12%	2%
American Indian	2002	1	-	-	-	-	-
or Alaska Native	2001	2	-	-	_	-	-
Black or	2002	30	83%	0%	0%	17%	0%
African American	2001	22	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	61	79%	3%	0%	18%	0%
•	2001	67	73%	1%	4%	13%	7%
Asian or Native	2002	25	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	23	87%	0%	0%	13%	0%
White	2002	449	92%	1%	0%	5%	2%
	2001	444	88%	0%	2%	9%	1%
Small Group Totals	2002	26	92%	0%	0%	4%	4%
·	2001	24	88%	0%	4%	8%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	536	92%	1%	0%	6%	1%
	2001	533	89%	0%	2%	8%	1%
Students with Disabilities	2002	30	53%	10%	0%	30%	7%
	2001	25	20%	8%	0%	48%	24%
English Proficient	2002	558	90%	1%	0%	7%	2%
-	2001	550	87%	0%	2%	9%	1%
Limited English Proficient	2002	8	63%	0%	0%	38%	0%
	2001	8	38%	0%	13%	13%	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	36	78%	0%	0%	17%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	530	91%	1%	0%	7%	1%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	566	90%	1%	0%	7%	2%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

<sup>100%</sup> 92% 72% 1% 2% 2% 1% 2% <u>5%</u> 4%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	558	92%	1%	2%	2%	4%
Female	288	93%	1%	1%	1%	3%
Male	270	91%	0%	3%	2%	4%
American Indian	2	-	-	-	-	_
or Alaska Native						
Black or	22	_	-	_	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	68	84%	1%	4%	1%	9%
Asian or Native	24	88%	0%	4%	4%	4%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	442	94%	0%	1%	2%	3%
Small Group Totals	24	92%	4%	4%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	532	95%	0%	2%	0%	2%
Students with Disabilities	26	31%	15%	0%	27%	27%
English Proficient	550	93%	1%	2%	2%	3%
Limited English Proficient	8	50%	0%	13%	0%	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	86%	4%	7%	0%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	530	92%	1%	2%	2%	4%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	556	_	-	-		_

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.