

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School EDMESTON CENTRAL SCHOOL District EDMESTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 470501040001 Principal MARTHA WINSOR Telephone (607) 965-8931 Grades K-12

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning

2 Review School Accountability Status.

environment.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	46	36	35
Grade 1	37	40	35
Grade 2	50	40	39
Grade 3	41	48	43
Grade 4	38	42	49
Grade 5	38	38	44
Grade 6	46	38	40
Ungraded Elementary	5	3	0
Grade 7	52	50	43
Grade 8	41	54	46
Grade 9	51	47	60
Grade 10	38	44	41
Grade 11	43	43	40
Grade 12	33	42	41
Ungraded Secondary	8	10	0
Total K–12	567	575	556

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	21	21	21
Grade 8			
English	21	26	15
Mathematics	21	27	22
Science	21	26	15
Social Studies	21	26	15
Grade 10			
English	19	19	21
Mathematics	21	20	19
Science			
Social Studies	19	14	

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	185	33%	165	29%	173	31%
Reduced-Price Lunch	60	11%	89	15%	84	15%
Student Stability*		100%		76%		100%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	6	1%	6	1%	5	1%
Hispanic or Latino	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Asian or Native	2	0%	2	0%	2	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	558	98%	566	98%	547	98%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	6	N/A	22	4%	18	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	127	130	160
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	6%	8%	4%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	1	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	2%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	3	3
Percentage of Total	2%	7%	7%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	0%	2%	0%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	48	49	49
Total Other Professional Staff	5	4	5
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State schools receiving Tit	le I funds) (Applies to all New York State public schools)
• •	if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, juiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same a measure for two consecutive years while receir Title I funds is considered a School in Need of (Year 1) for the following year.	iving measure for two consecutive years is considered a School
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that make AYP on the accountability measure for w identified is considered a School in Need of Im (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to Title I funds.	vhich it wasmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedapprovementis considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that make AYP on the accountability measure for w identified is considered a School in Corrective following year, if it continues to receive Title I	vhich it wasmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedAction for theis considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not may on the accountability measure for which it was is considered a School Planning for Restructur the following year, if it continues to receive Tit	s identifiedmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedring foris considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does AYP on the accountability measure for which is is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) f following year, if it continues to receive Title I	t was identified does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was or the identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not r the accountability measure for which it was id considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for	entified is

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

2 School Accountability

School EDMESTON CENTRAL SCHOOL District EDMESTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	🔺 G	ood Standing			
	Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	əl	
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing	
	Math	Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing	
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing	
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the School Received Ti	tle I Part A Funding		

Years the School Received little i Part A Funding					
004–05	2005-06	2006-07			
ES	YES	YES			
0	004-05	004-05 2005-06			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le		
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	v	v	v	 Image: A start of the start of	X	V
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_				
Black or African American	–	–	••••	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••	•••••
White	 	v	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	X	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	V		_	_	
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	 	 	••••	–	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	X 0 of 2	✔ 1 of 1



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rformance ³ Performance Objectives		es	
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (253:252)	V	V	100%	V	152	115		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	_	–	-	-	•••••••••••	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-		–
White (246:245)	<	✓	100%	 ✓ 	151	115	••• •••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (41:40)	✓ SH	~	98%	✓ SH	80	107	20	92
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••					
Economically Disadvantaged (123:122)	~	~	99%	~	130	113	••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students errolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006-07
All Students (256:253)	V	 Image: A state of the state of	100%	 Image: Contract of the second s	155	79	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		_	-	-	-	-		-
White (249:246)	<	✓	100%	 	154	79	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (42:41)	 	~	100%	~	90	71		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		•••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••
Economically Disadvantaged (125:123)	~	 	100%	~	132	77		••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (86:86)	~	Qualified	 ✓ 	100%	~	200	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		–
White (83:83)	•••••••	Qualified	~	100%	~	200	100	•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (12:12)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••				••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (40:40)		Qualified	~	100%	~	200	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participat		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	or Target 2006–07	
All Students (43:43)			95%		156	139	2005-00	2008-07	
			95%	•	120	139			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)	••••••••••••••••	•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)				•••••••••••••••••••					
White (43:43)	✓	~	95%	 ✓ 	156	139	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (9:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (9:8)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

NOTES

- AYP Status Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A school that fails to make AYP in Mathematics for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this school fails to make AYP in 2006-07, the school will be School In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this school makes AYP in 2006-07, the school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [102]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		on ²	Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	or Target 2006–07		
All Students (83:43)	X	X	93%	 Image: A start of the start of	156	131				
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)										
White (82:43)	X	X	93%	~	156	131	••••	••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (9:11)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)										
Economically Disadvantaged (9:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 2									

in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

NOTES

These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students

AYP Status

~ Made AYP

VSH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

[‡] This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives	6	
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (42)	~	v	88%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino (0)						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)						
White (41)	• • • • • • • • • •	✓	88%	55%	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (7)		-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (12)		_	_	-		_
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		age of stu t or abov	dents that e Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%		50%	100%
Grade 3	84%			43
Grade 4	77% 🗖			4 7
Grade 5	56% 🗖			41
Grade 6	58%			38
Grade 7	44%			43
Grade 8	43%			40
Mathematics				
Grade 3	91%			43
Grade 4	85%			47
Grade 5	57% 🗖			42
Grade 6	35% 🗖			40
Grade 7	48%			44
Grade 8	70%			40
Science				
Grade 4	100%			46
Grade 8	100%			40
		age of stu t or above	dents that e Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%		50%	100%
English	63%			46
Mathematics	65%			46
	Percenta who grad	age of stu duated	dents	2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%		50%	100%
2002 Cohort	83%			46

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 64

All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo Percentage s		<u>)(c)</u> .		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3–4	4(5):		2-4	3–4	4			
Mean Score: 680	Range:	616-780	650-7		30-780	2 7	5 -				
	Range.		000-1	00 I	30-160						
	100%	98%	84%			91%					
							66%				
				1	4%						
					4%			5%			
Number of Students:	L	42	36		6						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		43	98%	84%	14%						
emale		18	100%	83%	11%						
Male		25	96%	84%	16%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		2	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				······			<u> </u>		
White		40	_			This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004-	05.		
Small Group Totals		43	98%	84%	14%						
General-Education Students		40	-	-	-						
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-						
English Proficient		43	98%	84%	14%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		18	94%	78%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		25	100%	88%	24%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		43	98%	84%	14%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not giv	on in 200	4-05
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent								-4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo Percentage se		<u></u>		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3–4		Ļ	2-4	3–4	s): 4			
Mean Score: 678	Range:	624-770	650-7		, 703–770	2 7	5 -				
	nunge.		000 1		05 110						
	100%	98%	91%			95%	80%				
				2	1%			16%			
Number of Students:		42	39		9						
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
_		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		43	98%	91%	21 %						
Female		18	100%	89%	11%						
Male		25	96%	92%	28%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American		2									
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0.5		
White		40	_		_	I his te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		43	98%	91%	21%						
General-Education Students		40	-		-						
Students with Disabilities		3	_	-	-						
English Proficient		43	98%	91%	21%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		18	94%	83%	11%						
Not Disadvantaged		25	100%	96%	28%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		43	98%	91%	21%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0					t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage s	-			-	-			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 670	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775					
	1000/	94%				90%				
	100%		77%				64%			
							0478			
				6	%			6%		
Number of Students:		44	36		3					
Results by		2005-06 S					School Year	cooring at la		
Student Group		Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le		
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		47 20	94%	77%	6%					
Female		· · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100%	95%	5%		•••••	•••••		
Male		27	89%	63%	7%					
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••	• •••••				
Black or African American		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••					
Hispanic or Latino		•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		sessments fo ddle-level Eng		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	2	-	-	-		d mathematic		age	
White			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······_	<u>-</u>		stered in 200		rom	
Small Group Totals	•••••	45 47	94%	77%	 6%		ssessments c			
General-Education Students		39	97%	85%	8%		ed to results	•	ously	
Students with Disabilities	•••••		75%	38%	0%	· ····· adminis	stered assessi	nents.		
English Proficient		47	94%	77%	6%					
Limited English Proficient	•••••	יד 			0,0	• • • • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged		27	89%	67%	4%					
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	20	100%	90%	10%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	••••		94%		6%	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••		
NOTES			0170		0,0					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0			

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	coring at level	(c).		Similar Sch	ools coring at level(-).	
		2-4	3-4	(s). 4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 682	Range:	622-800	650-80		02-800				
	100%	96%	85%			93%	770/		
				28	3%		77%	18%	
Number of Students:		45	40	1	.3				
Results by		2005–06 S o	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year		
-		Total	Percentage s	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		47	96%	85%	28%				
Female		20	100%	90%	30%				
Male		27	93%	81%	26%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino							essments fo		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		2	-	-	-		dle-level Eng mathematic	, ,	age
White		45	-	-	-		ered in 200		
Small Group Totals		47	96%	85%	28%		sessments c		-
General-Education Students		39	97%	90%	26%		ed to results ered assessr	•	ousiy
Students with Disabilities		8	88%	63%	38%	auminis	lereu assessi	nems.	
English Proficient		47	96%	85%	28%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		27	93%	78%	19%				
Not Disadvantaged		20	100%	95%	40%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		47	96%	85%	28%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School				Similar Schools				
	Percentage s	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):			
	2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	45-100	65-1	.00	85-100						
100%	100%100%	100%		68%	99% 98%	92% 88		[%] 44%		
	46 34	46	33	34 23						
	2005-06 S	chool Yea	ar		2004-05	School Yea	r			
	Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	46	100%	100%	74%	34	100%	97%	68%		
	19	100%	100%	89%	21	100%	100%	71%		
	27	100%	100%	63%	13	100%	92%	62%		
9										
	2	-	-	-						
	44	-	-	-	34	100%	97%	68%		
	46	100%	100%	74%		••••				
	38	100%	100%	79%	31	-	-	-		
•••••	8	100%	100%	50%	3	_	-	-		
	46	100%	100%	74%	34	100%	97%	68%		
	••••••••••••••••••									
	27	100%	100%	63%	19	100%	95%	58%		
	19	100%	100%	89%	15	100%	100%	80%		
	46	100%	100%	74%	34	100%	97%	68%		
		Range: 45-100 100% 100% 100% 46 34 2005-06 S Total Tested 46 19 27 e 2 44 46 38 8 46 38 8 46	Range: 45-100 65-1 100%100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 46 34 46 2005-06 School Yee Total Percentage 2-4 100% 19 100% 19 100% 27 19 100% 27 2 - 46 2 - 46 2 - 46 2 - 46 100% 27 100% 2 - 46 100% 38 100% 8 100% 46 100% 8 100% 27 100% 19 100% 2 - 46 100% 38 100% 46 100% 27 100% 19 100%	Range: 45-100 65-100 100%100% 100%97% 100%97% 100% 100%397% 100% 46 34 46 33 46 34 46 33 46 34 46 33 70tal Percentage scoring a Tested 2-4 3-4 10 100% 100% 100% 19 100% 100% 100% 27 100% 100% 100% 2 - - - 44 - - - 2 - - - 44 - - - 44 - - - 2 - - - 44 - - - 44 - - - 46 100% 100% 100% 38 100% 100% 100% 46 100% 100% 100% 27 100% 100% 100%	Range: 45-100 65-100 85-100 100%100% 100%97% 74%68% 100% 74%68% 68% 46 34 4633 3423 2005-06 Scbool Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 46 100% 100% 74% 19 100% 100% 89% 27 100% 100% 63% 2 - - - 2 - - - 446 100% 100% 74% 38 100% 100% 74% 38 100% 100% 74% 46 100% 100% 74% 38 100% 100% 74% 38 100% 100% 74% 46 100% 100% 74% 38 100% 100% 63% 19 100% 100% 63% 19 100% 100% 63% <td>Range: $45-100$ $65-100$ $85-100$ 100% 100%100% 100% 97% 99% 98% 100% 100% 33 74% 68% 99% 98% 46 34 46 33 34 23 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 19 100% 100% 74% 34 19 100% 100% 63% 13 2 - - - 46 100% 100% 74% 34 19 100% 100% 74% 34 2 - - - 2 - - - 38 100% 100% 74% 31 38 100% 100% 74% 34 27 100% 100% 74% 34 38 100% 100% 74% 34 19 100% 100% 74% 34 27 100% 100% 74% 34 19 100% 100% 74% 34<td>Range: $45-100$ $65-100$ $85-100$ 99% 98% 92% 92% 88% 100% 100% 100% 100% 97% 99% 99% 98% 92% 88% 46 34 46 33 34 23 2004-05 School Year Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 School Year Total Percentage Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 2-4 3-4 4 100% 19 100% 100% 63% 13 100% 2-4 34 100% 27 100% 100% 74% 34 100% 100% 31 - 2 - - - 34 100% 100% 13 100% 2 - - - - 34 100% 100% 13 100% 2 - - - - 34 100% 100% 13 100% 2 - - -</td><td>Range: $45-100$ $65-100$ $85-100$ 100% 100% 100% 97% 99% 98% 92% 88% 100% 46 34 46 33 34 23 $2004-05$ 50% Zoo5-06 School Year Zoo4-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total 2-4 3-4 19 100% 100% 74% 34 100% 97% 27 100% 100% 74% 34 100% 97% 38 100% 100% 74% 34 100% 97% 46 100% 100% 74% 34 100</td></td>	Range: $45-100$ $65-100$ $85-100$ 100% 100%100% 100% 97% 99% 98% 100% 100% 33 74% 68% 99% 98% 46 34 46 33 34 23 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 19 100% 100% 74% 34 19 100% 100% 63% 13 2 - - - 46 100% 100% 74% 34 19 100% 100% 74% 34 2 - - - 2 - - - 38 100% 100% 74% 31 38 100% 100% 74% 34 27 100% 100% 74% 34 38 100% 100% 74% 34 19 100% 100% 74% 34 27 100% 100% 74% 34 19 100% 100% 74% 34 <td>Range: $45-100$ $65-100$ $85-100$ 99% 98% 92% 92% 88% 100% 100% 100% 100% 97% 99% 99% 98% 92% 88% 46 34 46 33 34 23 2004-05 School Year Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 School Year Total Percentage Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 2-4 3-4 4 100% 19 100% 100% 63% 13 100% 2-4 34 100% 27 100% 100% 74% 34 100% 100% 31 - 2 - - - 34 100% 100% 13 100% 2 - - - - 34 100% 100% 13 100% 2 - - - - 34 100% 100% 13 100% 2 - - -</td> <td>Range: $45-100$ $65-100$ $85-100$ 100% 100% 100% 97% 99% 98% 92% 88% 100% 46 34 46 33 34 23 $2004-05$ 50% Zoo5-06 School Year Zoo4-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total 2-4 3-4 19 100% 100% 74% 34 100% 97% 27 100% 100% 74% 34 100% 97% 38 100% 100% 74% 34 100% 97% 46 100% 100% 74% 34 100</td>	Range: $45-100$ $65-100$ $85-100$ 99% 98% 92% 92% 88% 100% 100% 100% 100% 97% 99% 99% 98% 92% 88% 46 34 46 33 34 23 2004-05 School Year Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 School Year Total Percentage Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 2-4 3-4 4 100% 19 100% 100% 63% 13 100% 2-4 34 100% 27 100% 100% 74% 34 100% 100% 31 - 2 - - - 34 100% 100% 13 100% 2 - - - - 34 100% 100% 13 100% 2 - - - - 34 100% 100% 13 100% 2 - - -	Range: $45-100$ $65-100$ $85-100$ 100% 100% 100% 97% 99% 98% 92% 88% 100% 46 34 46 33 34 23 $2004-05$ 50% Zoo5-06 School Year Zoo4-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total 2-4 3-4 19 100% 100% 74% 34 100% 97% 27 100% 100% 74% 34 100% 97% 38 100% 100% 74% 34 100% 97% 46 100% 100% 74% 34 100		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested		oring at leve 3–4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

				This School				Similar Schools				
		Percentage s					coring at level(
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 650	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 71	L1-795							
	100%	000/				92%						
	100%	83%					63%					
			56%				0378					
				29	%			8%				
Number of Students:		34	23	1								
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		41	83%	56%	2%							
Female		27	85%	67%	4%							
Male		14	79%	36%	0%							
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive											
Black or African American												
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er											
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			05			
White			83%	56%	2%	Inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals												
General-Education Students			97%	74%	3%							
Students with Disabilities		10	40%	0%	0%							
English Proficient		41	83%	56%	2%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		20	65%	30%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		21	100%	81%	5%							
Migrant		1	_	_								
Not Migrant		40	_	_	_							

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 2-4 3-4 4 A 14 Varle Ctate

New York State Alternate Assessment	0	This test w	as not aiv	on in 200	4-05
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent		1113 test w	as not giv		,4=05.
New York State English as a Second					
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) [†] :	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5					

3-4

4

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

			This School				Similar Schools					
		Percentage s		el(s):		Percentages	scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 648	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780							
	100%					88%						
	20070	79%	==0/				E00/					
			57%				59%					
				5	%			10%				
Number of Students:		33	24		2							
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Year	r		2004-05	School Year					
_		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		42	79 %	57%	5%							
Female		28	75%	57%	7%							
Male		14	86%	57%	0%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve											
Black or African American												
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other												
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0.5			
White		42	79%	57%	5%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals												
General-Education Students			91%	72%	6%							
Students with Disabilities		10	40%	10%	0%							
English Proficient		42	79%	57%	5%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		20	55%	35%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		22	100%	77%	9%							
Migrant		1	_	_								
Not Migrant		41	-	_	-							

NOTES

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Sc				
		Percentage s				_	scoring at level			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 654	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785					
	1000/	95%				92%				
	100%									
			58%				58%			
				8	%			8%		
Number of Students:		36	22		3					
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		38	95%	58 %	8%					
Female		20	95%	65%	10%					
Male		18	94%	50%	6%					
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r									
Pacific Islander						This to			05	
White			95%	58%	8%		st was not giv	'en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		31	100%	65%	10%					
Students with Disabilities		7	71%	29%	0%					
English Proficient		38	95%	58%	8%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		23	91%	43%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		15	100%	80%	20%					
Migrant		1	_	-	-					
Not Migrant		37	_	_	_					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	t was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent						was not yiv	2004	+-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo					Similar Schools					
		Percentage s				_	coring at level(
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	_			
Mean Score: 637	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780							
	100%					88%						
	100,0	80%										
			250/				55%					
			35%									
				5	%			7%				
Number of Students:		32	14		2							
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year	1				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		40	80%	35%	5 %							
Female		21	81%	52%	5%							
Male		19	79%	16%	5%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e											
Black or African American				••••••	•••••							
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other												
Pacific Islander				•••••	•••••		t was not giv	on in 2004	05			
White		40	80%	35%	5%		t was not yiv	2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		24	0.00/	420/	C 0/							
General-Education Students		31	90%	42%	6%							
Students with Disabilities		9	44%	11%	0%							
English Proficient		40	80%	35%	5%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		25	72%	24%	4%							
Not Disadvantaged		15	93%	53%	7%							
Migrant		1										
Not Migrant		39	-	-	-							

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0	2-4	5-4	4		t was not giv	• •	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0					t was not gri		+ 05.

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):					Similar Schools					
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Range:	600-790	650-7	90 72	12-790							
100%	0.40/				91%						
100%	84%										
		11%				52%					
		4470									
			29	%			5%				
	36	19	1								
	2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
			scoring at	level(s):				evel(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
	43	84%	44%	2%							
	19	84%	47%	5%							
	24	83%	42%	0%							
ve	1	-	-	-							
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •										
	42				This tes	it was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
	43	84%	44%	2%							
	35	91%	54%	3%							
	8	50%	0%	0%							
	43	84%	44%	2%	_						
	21	76%	29%	0%							
	22	91%	59%	5%							
	1	-	-	-							
	42										
	100%	2-4 Range: 600-790 100% 84% 36 2005-06 Sc Total Tested 43 19 24 ve 1 42 43 35 8 43 35 8 43	2-4 3-4 Range: 600-790 650-7 100% 84% 44% 36 19 36 19 2005-06 Scbool Year 7 Total Percentage Tested 2-4 43 84% 24 83% ve 1 - 42 - 43 84% 35 91% 8 50% 43 84% 21 76% 22 91%	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 91% 52% 100% 84% 44% 2% 91% 52% 36 19 1 52% 52% 52% 36 19 1 52% 52% 52% 36 19 1 52% 52% 52% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 704 19 84% 44% 2% 70% 70% 70% 24 83% 42% 0% 70% 70% 70% 42 - - - - - 70% 70% 70% 43 84% 44% 2% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 43 84% 44% 2% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 43 84% 44% 2% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 7	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test y	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent								4-0J.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) [†] :	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		<u>This Schoo</u>					Similar Schools					
			coring at level			_	scoring at level	s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 641	Range:	611-800	650-80	00 69	93-800							
	100%	86%				89%						
	10070											
			48%				55%					
				09	%			8%				
Number of Students:		38	21	С)							
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		44	86%	48%	0%							
Female		19	79%	53%	0%							
Male		25	92%	44%	0%							
American Indian or Alaska N	ative	1	-	_	-							
Black or African American												
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her											
Pacific Islander						······			05			
White		43				inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		44	86%	48%	0%							
General-Education Students		36	92%	56%	0%							
Students with Disabilities		8	63%	13%	0%							
English Proficient		44	86%	48%	0%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		22	82%	32%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		22	91%	64%	0%							
Migrant		1	-	-	-							
Not Migrant		43	_	_	_							

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo		- 1 / - \			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage so										
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 654	Range:	602-790	650-7	'90 7:	15-790							
	100%	98%				91%						
	10070											
			43%				46%					
				3	%			4%				
Number of Students:		39	17	1								
Results by		2005–06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at level(s):				
Student Grou	ib 	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4				
All Students		40	98%	43%	3%							
Female		20	100%	50%	0%							
Male		20	95%	35%	5%							
American Indian or Alaska	Native											
Black or African American												
Hispanic or Latino		1				New as	sessments fo	r elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C)ther							glish language				
Pacific Islander							d mathematic					
White		39						6. Results from				
Small Group Totals		40	98%	43%	3%			annot be directly from previously				
General-Education Students	5	36	-	-	-		stered assess	• •				
Students with Disabilities		4	-	-	-			include.				
English Proficient		40	98%	43%	3%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantage	d	13	92%	15%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		27	100%	56%	4%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		40	98%	43%	3%							

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol scoring at level	(c):		Similar Sc	hools coring at level(<u>_}</u>	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 667	Range:	616-775	650-77		01-775				
	100%	100%	70%			89%			
				8	%		55%	6%	
Number of Students:		40	28	3	3				
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		40	100%	70%	8%				
Female		20	100%	90%	5%				
Male		20	100%	50%	10%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		1	_		_	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							dle-level Eng		age
Pacific Islander							d mathematic		
White		39	-				tered in 200		
Small Group Totals		40	100%	70%	8%		ssessments c		-
General-Education Students		36	-	_			ed to results tered assessi	•	ousty
Students with Disabilities		4					10100 0330331		
English Proficient		40	100%	70%	8%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		13	100%	54%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		27	100%	78%	11%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		40	100%	70%	8%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science


		This Schoo	อเ			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage s	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
1ean Score: 80	Range:	44-100	65-1	LOO	85-100				
	100%	100%100%	100%		38%	97% 98%	77% 83	%	, 32%
2005-06 2004-05								23	
Number of Students:		40 50	40	47	12 19				
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Yea	ar		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		40	100%	100%	30%	50	100%	94%	38%
- emale		20	100%	100%	30%	24	100%	88%	38%
Male		20	100%	100%	30%	26	100%	100%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American									
lispanic or Latino		1							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
Vhite		39				50	100%	94%	38%
mall Group Totals		40	100%	100%	30%				
General-Education Students		36	-	-	-	38	100%	95%	50%
Students with Disabilities		4	-	-	-	12	100%	92%	0%
nglish Proficient		40	100%	100%	30%	50	100%	94%	38%
imited English Proficient									
conomically Disadvantaged		13	100%	100%	0%	15	100%	100%	20%
lot Disadvantaged		27	100%	100%	44%	35	100%	91%	46%
ligrant									
lot Migrant		40	100%	100%	30%	50	100%	94%	38%

NOTES


Other	2005–06 S e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Accoccmonts	Total	al Number scoring at level(s):		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent								
Regents Science	0				0			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance level	l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	2	9	16	9	36	664	
Feb 2004	0	12	17	7	36	664	
Feb 2003	0	15	14	10	39	663	




Number of students scoring at each performance level:


Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	0	30	20	1	51	694	
Jan 2004	4	23	11	4	42	693	
Jan 2003	4	21	19	3	47	694	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance level:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	0	2	20	15	37	667	
May 2004	0	1	25	9	35	668	
May 2003	0	8	22	8	38	658	



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	0	18	28	5	51	726	
May 2004	4	14	22	0	40	716	
May 2003	4	21	23	1	49	713	

This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2002 Cohort	100%	74%	63%	22%	80% 78%	72% 72%	27% 32%	

2002 Cohor	ťť		2001 Cohort*				
Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
46	74%	63%	22%	44	84%	75%	11%
23	91%	83%	26%	19	89%	84%	16%
23	57%	43%	17%	25	80%	68%	8%
•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••	1	-	-	-
			•••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
46	74%	63%	22%	43	-	-	-
				44	84%	75%	11%
34	91%	82%	29%	37	92%	86%	14%
12	25%	8%	0%	7	43%	14%	0%
46	74%	63%	22%	44	84%	75%	11%
••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••			••••••	••••••
8	75%	63%	0%				
38	74%	63%	26%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••
46	74%	63%	22%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
	Number of Students 46 23 23 23 46 34 12 46 8 38	of Students 2-4 46 74% 23 57% 23 57% 46 74% 34 91% 12 25% 46 74% 334 91% 12 25% 46 74% 38 75%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at 2-4 3-4 46 74% 63% 23 91% 83% 23 57% 43% 23 57% 43% 46 74% 63% 46 74% 63% 34 91% 82% 12 25% 8% 46 74% 63% 33 74% 63% 38 74% 63%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 46 74% 63% 22% 23 91% 83% 26% 23 57% 43% 17% 46 74% 63% 22% 46 74% 63% 22% 34 91% 82% 29% 12 25% 8% 0% 46 74% 63% 22% 8 75% 63% 0% 38 74% 63% 26%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Number of Students 46 74% 63% 22% 44 23 91% 83% 26% 19 23 57% 43% 17% 25 23 57% 43% 17% 25 46 74% 63% 22% 43 46 74% 63% 22% 43 46 74% 63% 22% 43 46 74% 63% 22% 43 46 74% 63% 22% 44 34 91% 82% 29% 37 12 25% 8% 0% 7 46 74% 63% 22% 44 8 75% 63% 0% 38 74% 63% 26% 44	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Number of Students Percentage 2-4 46 74% 63% 22% 44 84% 23 91% 83% 26% 19 89% 23 57% 43% 17% 25 80% 23 57% 43% 17% 25 80% 46 74% 63% 22% 43 - 46 74% 63% 22% 43 - 46 74% 63% 22% 43 - 46 74% 63% 22% 43 - 46 74% 63% 22% 43 - 46 74% 63% 22% 44 84% 34 91% 82% 29% 37 92% 12 25% 8% 0% 7 43% 8 75% 63% 0% 44 84% 38<	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): Number of Students Percentage scoring at 2-4 Percentage scoring at 3-4 46 74% 63% 22% 44 84% 75% 23 91% 83% 26% 19 89% 84% 23 57% 43% 17% 25 80% 68% 23 57% 43% 17% 25 80% 68% 46 74% 63% 22% 43 - - 46 74% 63% 22% 43 - - 46 74% 63% 22% 43 - - 46 74% 63% 22% 43 - - 46 74% 63% 29% 37 92% 86% 12 25% 8% 0% 7 43% 14% 46 74% 63% 26% 44 84% 75% 38

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the pext smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohoi	rt*		2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sc	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at leve		.(s):
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
					_			
2002 Cohort	100%	72% 77%	65% 57%	15% 16%	83% 79%	^{78%} 72%	24% 20%	
2001 Cohort								

2002 Coho i	ťť		2001 Cohort*				
Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
46	72%	65%	15%	44	77%	57%	16%
23	87%	83%	17%	19	84%	58%	16%
23	57%	48%	13%	25	72%	56%	16%
•••••				1	-	-	-
••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
46	72%	65%	15%	43	-	-	-
				44	77%	57%	16%
34	94%	88%	21%	37	86%	65%	19%
12	8%	0%	0%	7	29%	14%	0%
46	72%	65%	15%	44	77%	57%	16%
••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••			•••••	••••••
8	75%	75%	13%				
38	71%	63%	16%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
46	72%	65%	15%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••
	Number of Students 46 23 23 23 46 46 34 12 46 8 38	of Students 2-4 46 72% 23 57% 23 57% 23 57% 46 72% 46 72% 34 94% 12 8% 46 72% 334 94% 12 8% 38 75% 38 71%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at 2-4 3-4 46 72% 65% 23 87% 83% 23 57% 48% 23 57% 48% 46 72% 65% 46 72% 65% 34 94% 88% 12 8% 0% 46 72% 65% 34 94% 88% 12 8% 0% 38 75% 75% 38 71% 63%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 46 72% 65% 15% 23 87% 83% 17% 23 57% 48% 13% 23 57% 48% 13% 46 72% 65% 15% 46 72% 65% 15% 46 72% 65% 15% 46 72% 65% 15% 46 72% 65% 15% 34 94% 88% 21% 8 75% 15% 15% 8 75% 75% 13% 38 71% 63% 16%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Number of Students 46 72% 65% 15% 44 23 87% 83% 17% 19 23 57% 48% 13% 25 23 57% 48% 13% 25 46 72% 65% 15% 43 46 72% 65% 15% 43 46 72% 65% 15% 43 46 72% 65% 15% 44 34 94% 88% 21% 37 12 8% 0% 0% 7 46 72% 65% 15% 44 34 94% 88% 21% 37 12 8% 0% 0% 7 46 72% 65% 15% 44 8 75% 75% 13% 38 38 71% 63%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): Number of Students Percentage 2-4 46 72% 65% 15% 44 77% 23 87% 83% 17% 19 84% 23 57% 48% 13% 25 72% 23 57% 48% 13% 25 72% 46 72% 65% 15% 43 - 46 72% 65% 15% 43 - 46 72% 65% 15% 43 - 46 72% 65% 15% 43 - 46 72% 65% 15% 43 - 46 72% 65% 15% 44 77% 34 94% 88% 21% 37 86% 12 8% 0% 0% 7 29% 46 72% 65% 15% 44 77% 38 <td>Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Number of Students Percentage scoring at 2-4 Percen</td>	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Number of Students Percentage scoring at 2-4 Percen

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
_	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	Number scoring at leve	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School


Percentage of students who:

2%

7% 7%

4% 5%

2% 2%



2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	46	83%	4%	7%	4%	2%
	2001	44	84%	2%	7%	5%	2%
Female	2002	23	96%	0%	0%	0%	4%
	2001	19	89%	0%	5%	5%	0%
Male	2002	23	70%	9%	13%	9%	0%
	2001	25	80%	4%	8%	4%	4%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	2001	1	_	-	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2002	J J					
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande			,	,	,	,	,
White	2002	46	83%	4%	7%	4%	
Winte	2002	43	-		-		270
Small Group Totals	2001		•••••	•••••	••••	••••	•••••
	2001	44	84%	2%	7%	5%	2%
General-Education Students	2001	34	94%	0%	6%	0%	0%
Seneral Education Students	2002	37	89%	0%	3%	5%	3%
Students with Disabilities	2002	12	50%	17%		17%	
statents with Disubilities	2001	7	57%	14%	29%	0%	0%
English Proficient	2001	46	83%	4%	7%	4%	2%
	2001	44	84%	2%	7%	5%	2%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2002	J J					
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	8	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%
	2002	5		2070	0,0	0.0	
Not Disadvantaged	2002	38	84%	0%	8%	5%	3%
			•	270	- / 0	•	•
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5		-					
Not Migrant	2002	46	83%	4%	7%	4%	2%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

^{100%} 89% 78% 2% 4% 7% 5% 0% 1% 2% ^{12%}

SchoolSimilar Schools

	Number		Earned an	Transferred	Were Still	Dropped
	of Students	Graduated	IEP Diploma	to GED	Enrolled	Out
All Students	44	89%	2%	7%	0%	2%
Female	19	95%	0%	5%	0%	0%
Male	25	84%	4%	8%	0%	4%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	1	_	-	-		_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	43	-	-	-	_	_
Small Group Totals	44	89%	2%	7%	0%	2%
General-Education Students	37	95%	0%	3%	0%	3%
Students with Disabilities	7	57%	14%	29%	0%	0%
English Proficient	44	89%	2%	7%	0%	2%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	13	69%	8%	15%	0%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	31	97%	0%	3%	0%	0%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	44	89%	2%	7%	0%	2%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.