



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2005 – 06**

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**
District ID **491700010000**
Superintendent **LONNIE PALMER**
Telephone **(518) 271-5210**
Grades **PK-12, UE**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	109	83	88
Kindergarten	358	316	335
Grade 1	368	338	327
Grade 2	331	300	287
Grade 3	317	309	287
Grade 4	335	289	285
Grade 5	321	309	272
Grade 6	337	325	310
Ungraded Elementary	92	85	98
Grade 7	374	361	344
Grade 8	359	353	331
Grade 9	406	379	334
Grade 10	341	378	362
Grade 11	344	308	321
Grade 12	311	316	278
Ungraded Secondary	154	12	0
Total K-12	4748	4378	4171

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	24	20	19
Grade 8			
English	19	20	19
Mathematics	21	19	19
Science	23	19	19
Social Studies	23	19	19
Grade 10			
English	22	27	23
Mathematics	28	20	25
Science	22	26	18
Social Studies	24	27	24

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1914	40%	2076	47%	2042	49%
Reduced-Price Lunch	368	8%	392	9%	437	10%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	73	2%	75	2%	72	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	0%	4	0%	11	0%
Black or African American	1368	29%	1277	29%	1255	30%
Hispanic or Latino	402	8%	393	9%	406	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	83	2%	70	2%	68	2%
White	2887	61%	2634	60%	2431	58%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		90%		89%
Student Suspensions	777	N/A	764	16%	579	13%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	945	972	1382
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	6%	9%	3%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	5	4	3
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	13	18	13
Percentage of Total	4%	5%	3%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate			
	18%	17%	16%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	365	386	385
Total Other Professional Staff	40	20	24
Total Paraprofessionals*	86	76	83
Assistant Principals	4	4	4
Principals	8	8	8

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.


Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002–03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002–03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

▲ Good Standing

Elementary/Middle Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

Secondary Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Graduation Rate ▲ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004–05

2005–06

2006–07

YES

YES

YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	✗	✓	–	✓ ^{SH}	✓	–
Hispanic or Latino	✗	✗	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–
White	✓	✓	–	✓	✓	–
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✗	✗	–	✓ ^{SH}	✓ ^{SH}	–
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	✗	✓	–	–	–	–
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✗ 3 of 7	✗ 5 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing ▲ | Good Standing ■ |
| Improvement (Year 1) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 2) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 3) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 4) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■ |

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)  Good Standing

Accountability Measures 3 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (1953:1773)			95%		131	119	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (776:537)			95%		105	117	114 115
Hispanic or Latino (271:187)			92%		102	114	110 112
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (25:24)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (1103:1023)			95%		148	119	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (425:281)			89%		68	116	88 81
Limited English Proficient (81:70)			95%		130	111	
Economically Disadvantaged (1239:1116)			95%		113	119	116 122
Final AYP Determination	 3 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 5 of 7 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (1952:1752)			96%		124	83	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (613:533)			96%		99	81	
Hispanic or Latino (278:182)			94%		86	78	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (25:23)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (1098:1012)			96%		143	83	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (419:275)			90%		66	79	70 79
Limited English Proficient (94:68)			95%		112	74	
Economically Disadvantaged (1234:1098)			96%		105	83	
Final AYP Determination		5 of 7					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (656:564)		Qualified		92%		169	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (194:153)		Qualified		90%		152	100	
Hispanic or Latino (62:48)		Qualified		82%		133	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:12)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (388:351)		Qualified		94%		181	100	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (101:88)		Qualified		87%		148	100	
Limited English Proficient (41:37)		Qualified		90%		149	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (374:314)		Qualified		91%		159	100	
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (280:256)			100%		153	147	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (79:79)	 SH		100%	 SH	133	143	133 140
Hispanic or Latino (14:16)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (183:158)			99%		166	146	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (48:53)	 SH		100%	 SH	79	141	77 91
Limited English Proficient (3:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (1:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	 4 of 4						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (280:256)			99%		161	139	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (79:79)			99%		137	135	
Hispanic or Latino (14:16)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (183:158)			99%		173	138	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (48:53)			100%		102	133	89 112
Limited English Proficient (3:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (1:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	 4 of 4						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07	
All Students (359)			64%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (66)			55%	55%		
Hispanic or Latino (19)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2)	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (272)			65%	55%		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (69)			36%	55%	32%	37%
Limited English Proficient (2)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (92)			57%	55%		
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1					

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

2006–07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing

5 schools identified 63% of total

CARROLL HILL SCHOOL

P.S. 2

P.S. 14

P.S. 16

P.S. 18

Improvement (Year 1)

1 school identified 13% of total

P.S. 12

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

1 school identified 13% of total

TROY HIGH SCHOOL

Restructuring (Year 2)

1 school identified 13% of total

W. KENNETH DOYLE MIDDLE SCHOOL

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	51%			280
Grade 4	57%			286
Grade 5	52%			279
Grade 6	41%			326
Grade 7	40%			321
Grade 8	27%			315

Mathematics				
Grade 3	69%			284
Grade 4	65%			300
Grade 5	40%			293
Grade 6	30%			329
Grade 7	26%			329
Grade 8	44%			322

Science				
Grade 4	86%			284
Grade 8	61%			314

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	56%			315
Mathematics	58%			315

Graduation Rate	Percentage of students who graduated			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
2002 Cohort	57%			315

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:


High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 651	616-780	650-780	730-780			
Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780			
Number of Students:	233	142	9	92%	69%	7%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	280	83%	51%	3%				
Female	135	84%	56%	5%				
Male	145	82%	46%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	89	80%	37%	2%				
Hispanic or Latino	22	68%	32%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-				
White	164	87%	59%	4%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	20%				
General-Education Students	249	87%	55%	4%				
Students with Disabilities	31	55%	13%	0%				
English Proficient	280	83%	51%	3%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	199	78%	41%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	81	96%	74%	10%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	280	83%	51%	3%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 3	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 665	Range: 624-770			650-770 703-770		
Number of Students:	258	196	41			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	284	91%	69%	14%				
Female	140	90%	69%	14%				
Male	144	92%	69%	15%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	90	90%	59%	6%				
Hispanic or Latino	25	76%	56%	4%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-				
White	164	93%	76%	19%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	80%				
General-Education Students	253	94%	73%	16%				
Students with Disabilities	31	65%	35%	0%				
English Proficient	281	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	201	90%	62%	9%				
Not Disadvantaged	83	94%	86%	27%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	284	91%	69%	14%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 650	612-775	650-775	716-775			
Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775			
Number of Students:	239	164	13	91%	69%	9%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	286	84%	57%	5%				
Female	137	88%	62%	6%				
Male	149	80%	53%	3%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	80	79%	34%	4%				
Hispanic or Latino	24	63%	33%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	83%	33%				
White	176	88%	70%	5%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	246	89%	64%	5%				
Students with Disabilities	40	50%	18%	0%				
English Proficient	285	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	170	78%	44%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged	116	92%	77%	8%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	286	84%	57%	5%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 4	4	-	-	N/A	4	-	-	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	300	87%	65%	10%				
Female	142	90%	65%	11%				
Male	158	84%	65%	10%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	86	79%	55%	5%				
Hispanic or Latino	27	70%	41%	4%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	50%				
White	181	92%	72%	13%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	259	90%	69%	11%				
Students with Disabilities	41	63%	39%	5%				
English Proficient	294	87%	66%	11%				
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	33%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	174	82%	54%	5%				
Not Disadvantaged	126	94%	80%	18%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	300	87%	65%	10%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	–	–	–	0			

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	284	98%	86%	42%	298	95%	78%	33%
Female	138	99%	86%	42%	133	97%	74%	29%
Male	146	97%	86%	42%	165	93%	81%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	80	98%	80%	25%	79	91%	67%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	23	96%	57%	13%	40	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	83%	3	-	-	-
White	175	98%	92%	53%	176	98%	86%	43%
Small Group Totals					43	88%	65%	21%
General-Education Students	242	98%	88%	46%	255	95%	79%	36%
Students with Disabilities	42	95%	74%	21%	43	93%	70%	14%
English Proficient	279	98%	87%	43%	294	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	20%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	165	97%	83%	28%	168	93%	72%	22%
Not Disadvantaged	119	99%	90%	62%	130	98%	85%	47%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	284	98%	86%	42%	298	95%	78%	33%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 648	608-795	650-795	711-795			
Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
Number of Students:	247	145	14	247	145	14



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	279	89%	52%	5%				
Female	131	95%	50%	8%				
Male	148	82%	53%	3%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	86	79%	30%	1%				
Hispanic or Latino	32	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-				
White	158	95%	68%	8%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	35	83%	34%	3%				
General-Education Students	234	94%	58%	6%				
Students with Disabilities	45	60%	22%	0%				
English Proficient	279	89%	52%	5%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	181	86%	35%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged	98	94%	84%	11%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	279	89%	52%	5%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 5	6	5	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	293	73%	40%	6%				
Female	136	72%	39%	9%				
Male	157	73%	40%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	89	61%	20%	2%				
Hispanic or Latino	35	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-				
White	165	81%	52%	9%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	39	64%	33%	5%				
General-Education Students	249	78%	45%	8%				
Students with Disabilities	44	41%	11%	0%				
English Proficient	288	73%	40%	6%				
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	40%	20%				
Economically Disadvantaged	192	63%	23%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged	101	92%	71%	16%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	293	73%	40%	6%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 637	598-785	650-785	705-785			
Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785			
Number of Students:	274	133	16			



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	326	84%	41%	5%				
Female	154	89%	49%	8%				
Male	172	80%	34%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	110	77%	25%	2%				
Hispanic or Latino	37	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	177	88%	53%	7%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	39	85%	31%	3%				
General-Education Students	270	89%	47%	6%				
Students with Disabilities	56	59%	11%	0%				
English Proficient	326	84%	41%	5%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	220	78%	28%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	106	96%	67%	9%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	326	84%	41%	5%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 6	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 627	616-780	650-780	696-780			
Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780			
Number of Students:	215	98	11	87%	60%	13%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	329	65%	30%	3%				
Female	156	66%	33%	3%				
Male	173	65%	27%	3%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	112	54%	19%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	40	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	175	77%	38%	6%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	42	48%	24%	0%				
General-Education Students	270	71%	33%	4%				
Students with Disabilities	59	41%	14%	0%				
English Proficient	325	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	220	54%	20%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	109	88%	50%	9%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	329	65%	30%	3%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 636	600-790	650-790	712-790			
Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790			
Number of Students:	283	130	10	283	130	10



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	321	88%	40%	3%				
Female	149	93%	40%	5%				
Male	172	84%	41%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	109	86%	24%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	36	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-				
White	172	90%	55%	6%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	40	88%	23%	0%				
General-Education Students	263	94%	47%	4%				
Students with Disabilities	58	64%	10%	0%				
English Proficient	303	88%	40%	3%				
Limited English Proficient	18	94%	44%	11%				
Economically Disadvantaged	210	86%	31%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	111	92%	59%	7%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	321	88%	40%	3%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 7	8	8	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 622	611-800	650-800	693-800			
Range:						
	72%	26%	1%	87%	56%	12%
Number of Students:	236	84	3			



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	329	72%	26%	1%				
Female	155	76%	24%	1%				
Male	174	68%	27%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	110	64%	10%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	40	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-				
White	175	82%	38%	2%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	44	52%	16%	0%				
General-Education Students	272	78%	30%	1%				
Students with Disabilities	57	40%	4%	0%				
English Proficient	304	72%	25%	1%				
Limited English Proficient	25	64%	28%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	218	67%	15%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	111	80%	46%	3%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	329	72%	26%	1%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 634	602-790	650-790	715-790			
Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
Number of Students:	264	86	7	264	86	7



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	315	84%	27%	2%				
Female	151	89%	32%	3%				
Male	164	79%	23%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	97	73%	7%	1%				
Hispanic or Latino	27	63%	11%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	80%	40%	20%				
White	186	92%	40%	3%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	266	91%	32%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	49	43%	0%	0%				
English Proficient	286	84%	26%	2%				
Limited English Proficient	29	86%	38%	3%				
Economically Disadvantaged	173	75%	15%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	142	94%	42%	4%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	315	84%	27%	2%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 8	5	3	3	N/A	6	5	3	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 641	616-775	650-775	701-775			
Range:						
Number of Students:	258	143	19			



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	322	80%	44%	6%				
Female	155	87%	46%	5%				
Male	167	74%	43%	7%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	100	69%	30%	1%				
Hispanic or Latino	30	53%	23%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	80%	80%	40%				
White	187	90%	55%	9%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	275	87%	49%	7%				
Students with Disabilities	47	43%	15%	0%				
English Proficient	289	80%	45%	7%				
Limited English Proficient	33	85%	42%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	181	72%	32%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	141	90%	60%	13%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	322	80%	44%	6%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	0			

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	314	94%	61%	11%	274	94%	68%	20%
Female	149	97%	62%	9%	124	95%	62%	14%
Male	165	92%	59%	13%	150	93%	73%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	95	91%	42%	2%	67	94%	52%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	28	86%	36%	4%	18	72%	50%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	83%	50%	33%	5	-	-	-
White	185	98%	74%	16%	183	96%	76%	25%
Small Group Totals					6	100%	67%	50%
General-Education Students	268	95%	64%	13%	243	95%	71%	22%
Students with Disabilities	46	89%	39%	0%	31	81%	45%	3%
English Proficient	282	95%	61%	12%	266	96%	70%	20%
Limited English Proficient	32	91%	59%	3%	8	25%	13%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	176	91%	49%	3%	146	92%	56%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	138	99%	75%	21%	128	95%	82%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	314	94%	61%	11%	274	94%	68%	20%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
Regents Science	0				0			

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4 3-4 4

Range: 603-800 645-800 692-800

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	23	113	130	28	294	647
Feb 2004	33	153	139	15	340	640
Feb 2003	22	131	125	49	327	651

Grade 8

This School

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4 3-4 4

Range: 658-830 697-830 737-830

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	35	182	91	7	315	687
Jan 2004	43	170	92	11	316	687
Jan 2003	42	200	82	8	332	683

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4 3-4 4

Range: 602-810 637-810 678-810

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	16	55	167	60	298	655
May 2004	17	113	173	42	345	646
May 2003	15	80	180	50	325	649

Grade 8

This School

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4 3-4 4

Range: 681-882 716-882 760-882

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	75	108	128	9	320	701
May 2004	68	106	124	16	314	707
May 2003	44	135	117	14	310	710

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	Percentage scoring at level(s):			2001 Cohort*	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	315	64%	56%	22%	424	61%	56%	23%
Female	141	69%	62%	26%	216	65%	60%	26%
Male	174	60%	51%	18%	208	57%	51%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	93	59%	43%	4%	81	47%	41%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	20	-	-	-	25	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	198	67%	63%	31%	314	65%	61%	27%
Small Group Totals	24	58%	46%	17%	29	59%	48%	7%
General-Education Students	251	74%	64%	27%	339	71%	66%	28%
Students with Disabilities	64	25%	22%	0%	85	22%	14%	0%
English Proficient	314	-	-	-	422	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	32	3%	0%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	283	71%	62%	24%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	315	64%	56%	22%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	Number scoring at level(s):			2001 Cohort*	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	315	66%	58%	6%	424	60%	52%	12%
Female	141	72%	63%	5%	216	62%	54%	11%
Male	174	61%	55%	7%	208	58%	50%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Black or African American	93	56%	43%	1%	81	47%	38%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	20	–	–	–	25	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
White	198	71%	66%	8%	314	64%	57%	15%
Small Group Totals	24	63%	54%	8%	29	55%	38%	7%
General-Education Students	251	75%	69%	8%	339	66%	58%	14%
Students with Disabilities	64	28%	19%	0%	85	36%	28%	6%
English Proficient	314	–	–	–	422	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	32	6%	6%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	283	72%	64%	7%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	315	66%	58%	6%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	2001 Cohort*
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	315	57%	8%	17%	10%	9%
	2001	424	53%	7%	15%	16%	9%
Female	2002	141	63%	5%	12%	7%	13%
	2001	216	54%	5%	13%	16%	12%
Male	2002	174	52%	10%	20%	12%	6%
	2001	208	51%	9%	17%	16%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2002	1	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	1	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	2002	93	48%	16%	13%	15%	8%
	2001	81	43%	14%	16%	14%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	20	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	25	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2002	3	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	3	–	–	–	–	–
White	2002	198	62%	5%	18%	7%	9%
	2001	314	55%	6%	15%	17%	8%
Small Group Totals	2002	24	46%	4%	17%	17%	17%
	2001	29	55%	3%	14%	10%	17%
General-Education Students	2002	251	64%	0%	16%	11%	10%
	2001	339	59%	0%	15%	15%	11%
Students with Disabilities	2002	64	30%	39%	20%	6%	5%
	2001	85	27%	35%	14%	20%	4%
English Proficient	2002	314	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	422	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	2002	1	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	2	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	32	0%	6%	66%	13%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	283	63%	8%	11%	10%	8%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	315	57%	8%	17%	10%	9%


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District **TROY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	423	64%	8%	17%	1%	11%
Female	215	66%	5%	16%	0%	13%
Male	208	62%	10%	17%	1%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	84	49%	13%	17%	2%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	24	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	–	–	–	–	–
White	311	68%	6%	17%	1%	9%
Small Group Totals	28	64%	4%	14%	0%	18%
General-Education Students	344	70%	0%	17%	0%	13%
Students with Disabilities	79	34%	41%	15%	4%	6%
English Proficient	421	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	2	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	96	54%	15%	22%	1%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	327	66%	6%	15%	1%	12%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	423	64%	8%	17%	1%	11%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.