

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District ID 512300010000

District ID **512300010000**Superintendent **MAURICE BARRY**Telephone **(315) 393-0900**Grades **PK-12**, **UE**, **US** 

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

## Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

**2** Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

**3** View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## **District Profile**

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	54	65	73
Kindergarten	145	132	125
Grade 1	121	120	103
Grade 2	127	112	116
Grade 3	118	115	157
Grade 4	127	106	120
Grade 5	146	121	117
Grade 6	137	135	132
Ungraded Elementary	27	41	22
Grade 7	157	147	145
Grade 8	161	150	133
Grade 9	143	162	171
Grade 10	151	138	147
Grade 11	146	140	133
Grade 12	152	141	119
Ungraded Secondary	74	82	58
Total K-12	1932	1842	1798

# **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

## **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	18	17	18
Grade 8			
English	23	22	24
Mathematics	20	20	19
Science	23	20	20
Social Studies	21	19	20
Grade 10			
English	17	18	20
Mathematics	24	18	24
Science	21	19	21
Social Studies	20	20	16

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **District Profile**

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	729	38%	749	41%	621	35%
Reduced-Price Lunch	298	15%	288	16%	249	14%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	3	0%	2	0%	1	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	17	1%	20	1%	30	2%
Hispanic or Latino	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%
Asian or Native	15	1%	14	1%	15	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1900	98%	1806	98%	1752	97%

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2002-03		2003	-04	200	4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		94%
Student Suspensions	129	N/A	158	8%	152	8%

# Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **District Profile**

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	391	409	641
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	6%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	4	0	0
Percentage of Total	2%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	24%	26%	29%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	174	179	169
Total Other Professional Staff	12	17	16
Total Paraprofessionals*	78	72	54
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	4	4	4

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

# **Teacher Qualifications Information**

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

# **Staff Counts Information**

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# **Effective Annual Measurable Objective** (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100  $\times$  [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

### **New York State Status**

(Applies to New York State districts)

### District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

### ♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Summary**

### **Overall Accountability** Status (2006-07)

### **Good Standing**

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	l
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA	♠ Good Standing
Math	<b>♦</b> Good Standing	Math	<b>♦</b> Good Standing
Science	<b>♦</b> Good Standing	Graduation Rate	♠ Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	_	_	••••••	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		_	••••••	_		••••••••••		
White	~	<b>/</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	V		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<b>V</b>	••••••••		- -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 3 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1	<b>✓</b> 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1		

### **AYP Status**

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

### **Accountability Status Levels**

#### Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Improvement (Year 3) 🔨

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

Improvement (Year 4) ∧

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (784:770)	<b>✓</b>	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	148	118		2000 07
Ethnicity						,		,
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (16:16)	_	_	-	-	-	_	•••••••	_
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	_	_	_	_	-	-		-
White (762:748)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	148	118	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (159:155)	X	<b>✓</b>	99%	X	74	114	80	87
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	_	_	_	_	_	_	•••••••••	<u> </u>
Economically Disadvantaged (431:422)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	99%	~	131	117	••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 3 of 4	1						

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>✓</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (787:768)	<u>✓</u>	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	151	82	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								1
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (16:15)	_	_	-	_	-	-	•••••••	_
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:5)	_	_	-	_	-	-		-
White (765:748)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	151	82	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (161:154)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	97	78		
Limited English Proficient		••••••		•			••••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (432:418)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	135	81	•••••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perf	ormance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Safe Harbor Status Qualification		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005-06 2006-07	
All Students (259:248)	✓ ✓	Qualified	- Criterion	98%	✓ CHEHOII	183	100	2003 00 2000 07	
Ethnicity								,	
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (5:4)		_	_	-	_	_	<u> </u>	_	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		_	_	-	-	_	- -	_	
White (252:242)		Qualified	<b>~</b>	98%	~	183	100	• •••••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (50:44)		Qualified	<b>/</b>	94%	~	145	100		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)					••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Economically Disadvantaged (134:127)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>/</b>	98%	~	172	100	······································	
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 1 c	of 1							

### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
  - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (121:130)	~	<b>✓</b>	98%	<u>/</u>	178	145		<u> </u>	
Ethnicity								,	
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	
White (118:127)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	97%	<b>V</b>	178	145	•••••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (15:15)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		••••••		•					
Economically Disadvantaged (22:27)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2								

### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>V</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group	Chahara	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status		iesteu	4	index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (121:130)			98%		188	137		
Ethnicity								'
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)					•		•••••••	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (118:127)	<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	187	137	•••••••	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (15:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								•••
Economically Disadvantaged (22:27)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	-	-
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Indicator (2006–07)		
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
<b>Student Group</b>		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (139)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	86%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
	· • · · · · · · ·	-	- . <del></del>	_ 	_	_ 		
Hispanic or Latino (0)	. <b>.</b>							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		_	-	-	_	-		
White (137)	• • • • • • • •	~	85%	55%		• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (20)		-	-	_	_	-		
Limited English Proficient (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (39)		<b>/</b>	82%	55%				
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b>	of 1						

### NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- <sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

# **Graduation Rate Information**

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

# **School Accountability Status**

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

### **New York State Status**

Good Standing

4 schools identified 80% of total

JOHN F. KENNEDY SCHOOL

LINCOLN SCHOOL

MADILL SCHOOL

SHERMAN SCHOOL

Improvement (Year 2)

1 school identified 20% of total

OGDENSBURG FREE ACADEMY

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Summary of 2005-06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	_	e of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	65%		118
Grade 4	74%		117
Grade 5	64%		112
Grade 6	56%		130
Grade 7	49%		156
Grade 8	43%		140
Mathematics			
Grade 3	76%		119
Grade 4	87%		117
Grade 5	48%		114
Grade 6	45%		130
Grade 7	53%		157
Grade 8	56%		140
Science			
Grade 4	97%		117
Grade 8	68%		115
	_	e of students that or above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English Mathematics	73%		152 152
	-		-
	_	e of students	2002
	who gradu	ated	Cohort
<b>Graduation Rate</b>	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	75%		152

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


### This District's N/RC Category:

### **High Need/Resource Rural Districts**

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
118	86%	65%	8%						
65	86%	65%	9%						
53	87%	66%	8%						
2	_								
		••••••	•••••	******					
	••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••					
۷	_	_ 	_ 						
114	-	_	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
118	86%	65%	8%						
98	94%	78%	10%						
20	50%	5%	0%						
118	86%	65%	8%						
•••••	••••	••••••	•••••	•••••					
71	80%	54%	7%						
47	96%	83%	11%	••••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••			
118	86%	65%	8%	••••••	••••••••••	••••••••••			
	Total Tested  118 65 53 2 2 114 118 98 20 118 71 47	Total Percentag Tested 2-4  118 86% 65 86% 53 87%  2 -  2 -  114 -  118 86% 98 94% 20 50% 118 86% 71 80% 47 96%	Tested 2-4 3-4  118 86% 65% 65 86% 65% 53 87% 66%  2  2  114  118 86% 65% 98 94% 78% 20 50% 5% 118 86% 65% 118 86% 65% 71 80% 54% 47 96% 83%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4  118 86% 65% 8% 65 86% 65% 9% 53 87% 66% 8%  2  114  118 86% 65% 8% 98 94% 78% 10% 20 50% 5% 0% 118 86% 65% 8%  71 80% 54% 7% 47 96% 83% 11%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested  118 86% 65% 8% 65 86% 65% 9% 53 87% 66% 8%  2  114 This tested  118 86% 65% 8% 98 94% 78% 10% 20 50% 5% 0% 118 86% 65% 8%  71 80% 54% 7% 47 96% 83% 11%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total Tested         Percentage 2-4           118         86%         65%         8%           65         86%         65%         9%           53         87%         66%         8%           2         -         -         -           114         -         -         -           118         86%         65%         8%           98         94%         78%         10%           20         50%         5%         0%           118         86%         65%         8%           71         80%         54%         7%           47         96%         83%         11%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4  118 86% 65% 8%  65 86% 65% 9%  53 87% 66% 8%  2  114  118 86% 65% 8%  98 94% 78% 10%  20 50% 5% 0%  118 86% 65% 8%  71 80% 54% 7%  47 96% 83% 11%		


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-		st was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004–05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	119	96%	76%	21%						
Female	66	95%	70%	15%						
Male	53	96%	85%	28%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino				•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2			_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Pacific Islander	۷	_	_ 							
White	115	-	_	_	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	119	96%	76%	21%						
General-Education Students	99	98%	86%	25%						
Students with Disabilities	20	85%	30%	0%						
English Proficient	119	96%	76%	21%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•	• • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	72	96%	68%	15%						
Not Disadvantaged	47	96%	89%	30%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	119	96%	76%	21%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Р	ercentage	entage scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested		2-4	3-4	4	
117	93%	74%	8%						
51	96%	82%	10%						
66	91%	68%	6%				•		
3		<del></del>		•••••					
••••••	••••	••••••	••••••	New	ı assess	ments for	elementa	ry-	
	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and	middle-	-level Eng	ılish langu	age	
2	_	_	_ 	arts	and ma	athematic	s were		
112	93%	74%	7%						
5	100%	80%	20%					•	
100	98%	83%	9%		•		•	ously	
17	65%	24%	0%	adm	iinistere	a assessr	nents.		
117	93%	74%	8%						
••••••	••••	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••					
62	89%	65%	3%						
55	98%	85%	13%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
117	93%	74%	8%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	Total Tested  117 51 66 3 2 112 5 100 17 117 62 55	Total Percentag Tested 2-4  117 93% 51 96% 66 91%  3 -  2 -  112 93% 5 100% 100 98% 17 65% 117 93% 62 89% 55 98%	Tested 2-4 3-4  117 93% 74%  51 96% 82%  66 91% 68%  3  2  112 93% 74%  5 100% 80%  100 98% 83%  17 65% 24%  117 93% 74%  62 89% 65%  55 98% 85%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4  117 93% 74% 8%  51 96% 82% 10%  66 91% 68% 6%  3  2  112 93% 74% 7%  5 100% 80% 20%  100 98% 83% 9%  17 65% 24% 0%  117 93% 74% 8%  62 89% 65% 3%  55 98% 85% 13%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested  117 93% 74% 8%  51 96% 82% 10%  66 91% 68% 6%   3  New and arts  112 93% 74% 7% adm  5 100% 80% 20%  100 98% 83% 9%  117 65% 24% 0%  117 93% 74% 8%  62 89% 65% 3%  55 98% 85% 13%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested  117 93% 74% 8%  51 96% 82% 10%  66 91% 68% 6%  3  New assess and middle arts and maximistere these asses compared to administere 17 65% 24% 0%  117 93% 74% 8%  62 89% 65% 3%  55 98% 85% 13%	Total         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total         Percentage           Tested         2-4         3-4         4         Tested         2-4           117         93%         74%         8%         51         96%         82%         10%           66         91%         68%         6% <td>Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): Total         Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level Tested         Percentage scoring at level Tested         Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level Tested         Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level Tested         Tested         2-4         3-4         <th< td=""></th<></td>	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): Total         Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level Tested         Percentage scoring at level Tested         Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level Tested         Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level Tested         Tested         2-4         3-4 <th< td=""></th<>	


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	ı		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s	5):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4	4	
All Students	117	97%	87%	24%			
Female	52	96%	88%	19%			
Male	65	97%	86%	28%			
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	3	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	••••••	New assessments for elementary-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	••••	•••••	•••••••	and middle-level English language		
Pacific Islander					arts and mathematics were		
White	112	96%	88%	24%	administered in 2006. Results from		
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%	these assessments cannot be direc	•	
General-Education Students	100	99%	92%	26%	compared to results from previous administered assessments.	.y	
Students with Disabilities	17	82%	59%	12%	duministered assessments.		
English Proficient	117	97%	87%	24%			
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	62	94%	82%	18%			
Not Disadvantaged	55	100%	93%	31%			
Migrant							
Not Migrant	117	97%	87%	24%			
-							

### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	117	100%	97%	61%	111	98%	91%	50%	
Female	52	100%	96%	50%	50	100%	92%	46%	
Male	65	100%	97%	69%	61	97%	90%	54%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	3	-	_	_	1	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino				•••••	•••••		•••••	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander					<u> </u>	<b>.</b>			
White	112	100%	96%	62%	109	_	_	_	
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	40%	111	98%	91%	50%	
General-Education Students	100	100%	99%	64%	93	99%	90%	52%	
Students with Disabilities	17	100%	82%	41%	18	94%	94%	44%	
English Proficient	117	100%	97%	61%	111	98%	91%	50%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	62	100%	94%	48%	67	99%	90%	48%	
Not Disadvantaged	55	100%	100%	75%	44	98%	93%	55%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	117	100%	97%	61%	111	98%	91%	50%	

### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
112	93%	64%	12%					
55	95%	73%	11%					
57	91%	56%	12%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
3	_		<u> </u>					
•••••		•••••	••••••••	•••••				
••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••				
109	-	-	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.	
112	93%	64%	12%					
94	98%	74%	14%					
18	67%	11%	0%					
112	93%	64%	12%					
••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••				
69	91%	57%	7%					
43	95%	77%	19%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
112	93%	64%	12%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested  112 55 57 3 109 112 94 18 112 69 43	Total Percentag Tested 2-4  112 93% 55 95% 57 91%  3 -  109 -  112 93% 94 98% 18 67% 112 93% 69 91% 43 95%	Tested 2-4 3-4  112 93% 64%  55 95% 73%  57 91% 56%  3  109  112 93% 64%  94 98% 74%  18 67% 11%  112 93% 64%  69 91% 57%  43 95% 77%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4  112 93% 64% 12%  55 95% 73% 11%  57 91% 56% 12%  3  109  112 93% 64% 12%  94 98% 74% 14%  18 67% 11% 0%  112 93% 64% 12%  69 91% 57% 7%  43 95% 77% 19%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested  112 93% 64% 12%  55 95% 73% 11%  57 91% 56% 12%  3  112 93% 64% 12%  94 98% 74% 14%  18 67% 11% 0%  112 93% 64% 12%  69 91% 57% 7%  43 95% 77% 19%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4  112 93% 64% 12%  55 95% 73% 11%  57 91% 56% 12%  3  109  112 93% 64% 12%  94 98% 74% 14%  18 67% 11% 0%  112 93% 64% 12%  69 91% 57% 7%  43 95% 77% 19%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4  112 93% 64% 12%  55 95% 73% 11%  57 91% 56% 12%  3  This test was not given in 2004  112 93% 64% 12%  94 98% 74% 14%  18 67% 11% 0%  112 93% 64% 12%  69 91% 57% 7%  43 95% 77% 19%	


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	3		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	1 -		-	This test	This test was not given in 2004-05			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




2005-00	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year						
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Tested	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested		2-4	3-4	4	
114	89%	48%	2%							
55	93%	55%	0%							
59	85%	42%	3%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
3		_	_	•••••						
	••••	••••••		•••••						
••••••	••••	••••••		•••••						
111	-	-	_	This	test w	as not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
114	89%	48%	2%							
96	93%	53%	2%							
18	67%	22%	0%							
114	89%	48%	2%							
•••••	••••	••••••		•••••						
69	84%	45%	1%							
45	96%	53%	2%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
114	89%	48%	2%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Tested  114  55  59  3  111  114  96  18  114  69  45	Tested 2-4  114 89% 55 93% 59 85%  3 -  111 -  114 89% 96 93% 18 67% 114 89% 69 84% 45 96%	Tested 2-4 3-4  114 89% 48% 55 93% 55% 59 85% 42%  3  111  114 89% 48% 96 93% 53% 18 67% 22% 114 89% 48% 69 84% 45% 45 96% 53%	Tested         2-4         3-4         4           114         89%         48%         2%           55         93%         55%         0%           59         85%         42%         3%           3         -         -         -           111         -         -         -           114         89%         48%         2%           96         93%         53%         2%           18         67%         22%         0%           114         89%         48%         2%           69         84%         45%         1%           45         96%         53%         2%	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested  114 89% 48% 2%  55 93% 55% 0%  59 85% 42% 3%  3  111 This  114 89% 48% 2%  96 93% 53% 2%  18 67% 22% 0%  114 89% 48% 2%  69 84% 45% 1%  45 96% 53% 2%	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested  114 89% 48% 2%  55 93% 55% 0%  59 85% 42% 3%  3  111 This test w  114 89% 48% 2%  96 93% 53% 2%  18 67% 22% 0%  114 89% 48% 2%  69 84% 45% 1%  45 96% 53% 2%	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4  114 89% 48% 2%  55 93% 55% 0%  59 85% 42% 3%  3  111 This test was not given as a second sec	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4  114 89% 48% 2%  55 93% 55% 0%  59 85% 42% 3%  3  This test was not given in 2004  114 89% 48% 2%  96 93% 53% 2%  18 67% 22% 0%  114 89% 48% 2%  69 84% 45% 1%  45 96% 53% 2%		

### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> C	2004-05 School Year							
Accocciments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
130	91%	56%	11%					
63	95%	54%	17%					
67	87%	58%	4%					
1	_		<u> </u>					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	•••••	••••••	•••••				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••					
129	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	ŀ-05.	
130	91%	56%	11%					
102	99%	71%	14%					
28	61%	4%	0%					
130	91%	56%	11%					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••					
73	85%	41%	7%					
57	98%	75%	16%	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
130	91%	56%	11%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested  130 63 67 1 129 130 102 28 130 73 57	Total Percentag Tested 2-4  130 91% 63 95% 67 87%  1 -  129 -  130 91% 102 99% 28 61% 130 91% 130 91%  73 85% 57 98%	Tested 2-4 3-4  130 91% 56% 63 95% 54% 67 87% 58%  1  129  130 91% 56% 102 99% 71% 28 61% 4% 130 91% 56%  73 85% 41% 57 98% 75%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4  130 91% 56% 11% 63 95% 54% 17% 67 87% 58% 4%  1  130 91% 56% 11% 102 99% 71% 14% 28 61% 4% 0% 130 91% 56% 11% 73 85% 41% 7% 57 98% 75% 16%	Total Tested  2-4 3-4 4 Tested  130 91% 56% 11% 63 95% 54% 17% 67 87% 58% 4%  1  130 91% 56% 11%  129  130 91% 56% 11%  102 99% 71% 14% 28 61% 4% 0% 130 91% 56% 11%  73 85% 41% 7% 57 98% 75% 16%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4  130 91% 56% 11%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at leve	


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4				
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-		-		test was not given in 2004-				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year							
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	130	86%	45%	8%							
Female	63	90%	46%	8%							
Male	67	82%	43%	7%							
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	1	_		- -	••••						
Hispanic or Latino		••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••						
Pacific Islander											
White	129	-	-	-	This te	est was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.			
Small Group Totals	130	86%	45%	8%							
General-Education Students	102	90%	54%	9%							
Students with Disabilities	28	71%	11%	4%							
English Proficient	130	86%	45%	8%							
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	73	79%	26%	4%							
Not Disadvantaged	57	95%	68%	12%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Migrant											
Not Migrant	130	86%	45%	8%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

### NOTE

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	156	87%	49%	8%						
Female	74	89%	50%	11%						
Male	82	85%	49%	5%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	5	_	_	-						
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Pacific Islander			_ 	 						
White	150	87%	50%	7%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	i-05.		
Small Group Totals	6	83%	33%	17%						
General-Education Students	117	96%	62%	9%						
Students with Disabilities	39	62%	13%	3%						
English Proficient	156	87%	49%	8%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	76	78%	38%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged	80	96%	60%	11%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	156	87%	49%	8%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0			·		was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
157	86%	53%	8%					
74	88%	53%	8%					
83	84%	53%	7%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
5	_	_	-					
		•••••	•••••	•••••				
	_	_	_	••••				
т	-							
151	87%	53%	7%	This te	est was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.	
6	67%	50%	17%					
118	95%	62%	9%					
39	59%	26%	3%					
157	86%	53%	8%					
		•••••	•					
77	77%	36%	4%					
80	95%	69%	11%	••••••		••••••••••••		
157	86%	53%	8%	••••••		••••••••••		
	Total Tested  157 74 83 5 1 151 6 118 39 157 77 80	Total Percentag Tested 2-4  157 86% 74 88% 83 84%  5 -  1 -  151 87% 6 67% 118 95% 39 59% 157 86%  77 77% 80 95%	Tested 2-4 3-4  157 86% 53%  74 88% 53%  83 84% 53%  5  1  151 87% 53%  6 67% 50%  118 95% 62%  39 59% 26%  157 86% 53%  77 77% 36%  80 95% 69%	Total Tested  2-4 3-4 4  157 86% 53% 8% 74 88% 53% 88  83 84% 53% 7%  5  151 87% 53% 7%  6 67% 50% 17% 118 95% 62% 9% 39 59% 26% 39 157 86% 53% 8%  77 77% 36% 4% 80 95% 69% 11%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested         Total Tested           157         86%         53%         8%           74         88%         53%         8%           83         84%         53%         7%           5         -         -         -           1         -         -         -           151         87%         53%         7%         This to the second secon	Total         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total         Percentage Tested         2-4         3-4         4         Total         Percentage Tested         2-4           157         86%         53%         8%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4  157 86% 53% 8%  74 88% 53% 8%  83 84% 53% 7%  5  1 1  151 87% 53% 7%  This test was not given in 2004  6 67% 50% 17%  118 95% 62% 9%  39 59% 26% 3%  157 86% 53% 8%  77 77% 36% 4%  80 95% 69% 11%	

### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> C	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-0	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	P	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested		2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	140	90%	43%	7%						
Female	71	87%	45%	7%						
Male	69	93%	41%	7%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino			••••••	••••••	New	assessi	ments for	elementa	ry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and	middle-	level Eng	lish langu	age	
Pacific Islander					arts	and ma	thematics	s were		
White	138	_	_	-		administered in 2006. Results fro				
Small Group Totals	140	90%	43%	7%				annot be d	•	
General-Education Students	109	97%	54%	9%			o results d assessn	from previ	ously	
Students with Disabilities	31	65%	3%	0%	aumi	nistere	u assessii	ients.		
English Proficient	140	90%	43%	7%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	71	83%	23%	1%						
Not Disadvantaged	69	97%	64%	13%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	140	90%	43%	7%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	_	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0				

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4					
All Students	140	90%	56%	7%						
Female	70	91%	51%	10%						
Male	70	89%	60%	4%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_	_	-						
Hispanic or Latino		••••	••••••	••••••	New assessments for elementary-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••	and middle-level English language					
Pacific Islander					arts and mathematics were					
White	139	-	_	-	administered in 2006. Results from					
Small Group Totals	140	90%	56%	7%	these assessments cannot be directly					
General-Education Students	108	99%	68%	9%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.					
Students with Disabilities	32	59%	16%	0%	adillilistered assessments.					
English Proficient	140	90%	56%	7%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	69	86%	42%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	71	94%	69%	14%						
Migrant										
Not Migrant	140	90%	56%	7%	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					

### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Total Percentage scoring at le		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	115	97%	68%	12%	132	94%	75%	21%
Female	59	97%	58%	8%	68	94%	68%	22%
Male	56	96%	79%	16%	64	94%	83%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	_	_	2	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••		••••••	•••••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	••••	•••••	1			
Pacific Islander					1		_ 	
White	114	-	_	-	129	_	_	-
Small Group Totals	115	97%	68%	12%	132	94%	75%	21%
General-Education Students	85	100%	80%	15%	103	100%	83%	27%
Students with Disabilities	30	87%	33%	3%	29	72%	48%	0%
English Proficient	115	97%	68%	12%	132	94%	75%	21%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••	•••••			•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	69	94%	57%	4%	57	88%	63%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	46	100%	85%	24%	75	99%	84%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	115	97%	68%	12%	132	94%	75%	21%


### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year			2004-05 <b>S</b> d	ichool Year			
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-	
Regents Science	22	22	22	17	21	21	21	20	


District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 6 25 65 16 112 663 13 35 70 11 129 Feb 2004 653 Feb 2003 6 37 65 28 136 665




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance level	d:				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score			
Jan 2005	17	90	42	7	156	689			
Jan 2004	10	72	61	22	165	702			
Jan 2003	15	87	40	5	147	688			


District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 1 10 61 39 111 668 May 2005 22 72 28 128 659 May 2004 6 May 2003 4 21 76 34 135 660



	Number o	of students sco	ring at each p					
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score		
May 2005	21	50	78	9	158	712		
May 2004	13	35	89	27	164	728		
May 2003	17	45	74	8	144	715		

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohor	2001 Cohort*			
Student Group	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	152	77%	73%	30%	164	73%	69%	23%	
Female	74	91%	88%	39%	80	78%	75%	35%	
Male	78	64%	59%	22%	84	69%	63%	12%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	-	1	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2				2				
Pacific Islander		_	_ 	_	۷		_ 	_ 	
White	149	_	_	_	161	_	_	_	
Small Group Totals	152	77%	73%	30%	164	73%	69%	23%	
General-Education Students	127	86%	83%	36%	137	82%	78%	28%	
Students with Disabilities	25	32%	24%	0%	27	26%	22%	0%	
English Proficient	152	77%	73%	30%	164	73%	69%	23%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	44	50%	43%	16%					
Not Disadvantaged	108	88%	85%	36%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	
Migrant	1	-	-	-					
Not Migrant	151	_	_	_	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	


### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number sco	lumber scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
152	86%	82%	32%	164	80%	77%	29%	
74	92%	89%	35%	80	79%	78%	29%	
78	79%	76%	28%	84	81%	76%	29%	
1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	
	•••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	
			_	າ				
				۷		 . <b>.</b>		
149	_	_	_	161	_	_	_	
152	86%	82%	32%	164	80%	77%	29%	
127	93%	91%	37%	137	85%	83%	33%	
25	48%	40%	4%	27	52%	44%	7%	
152	86%	82%	32%	164	80%	77%	29%	
•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	
44	66%	59%	11%					
108	94%	92%	40%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	
1	_	_	-					
151	_	<del></del>	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	
	Number of Students  152 74 78  1 2 149 152 127 25 152 44 108 1	of Students 2-4  152 86%  74 92%  78 79%  1 -  2 -  149 -  152 86%  127 93%  25 48%  152 86%  152 86%  144 66%  108 94%  1 -	Number of Students         Percentage scoring at 3-4           152         86%         82%           74         92%         89%           78         79%         76%           1         -         -           2         -         -           152         86%         82%           127         93%         91%           25         48%         40%           152         86%         82%           44         66%         59%           108         94%         92%           1         -         -	Number of Students         Percentage scoring at level(s):           152         86%         82%         32%           74         92%         89%         35%           78         79%         76%         28%           1         -         -         -           2         -         -         -           152         86%         82%         32%           127         93%         91%         37%           25         48%         40%         4%           152         86%         82%         32%           44         66%         59%         11%           108         94%         92%         40%           1         -         -         -	Number of Students         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Number of Students           152         86%         82%         32%         164           74         92%         89%         35%         80           78         79%         76%         28%         84           1         -         -         -         1           2         -         -         -         161           152         86%         82%         32%         164           127         93%         91%         37%         137           25         48%         40%         4%         27           152         86%         82%         32%         164           44         66%         59%         11%           108         94%         92%         40%           1         -         -         -	Number of Students         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Number of Students         Percentage scoring at level(s):           152         86%         82%         32%         164         80%           74         92%         89%         35%         80         79%           78         79%         76%         28%         84         81%           1         -         -         -         1         -           2         -         -         -         161         -           152         86%         82%         32%         164         80%           127         93%         91%         37%         137         85%           25         48%         40%         4%         27         52%           152         86%         82%         32%         164         80%           44         66%         59%         11%           108         94%         92%         40%           1         -         -         -         -	Number of Students         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Number of Students         Percentage scoring at of Students         2-4         3-4         4         of Students         2-4         3-4           152         86%         82%         32%         164         80%         77%           74         92%         89%         35%         80         79%         78%           78         79%         76%         28%         84         81%         76%           1         -         -         -         1         -         -           2         -         -         -         1         -         -           149         -         -         -         161         -         -           152         86%         82%         32%         164         80%         77%           127         93%         91%         37%         137         85%         83%           25         48%         40%         4%         27         52%         44%           152         86%         82%         32%         164         80%         77%           44         66%         59%         11%         11	

### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort* 2001 Cohort*							
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	152	75%	2%	6%	7%	11%
	2001	164	74%	1%	7%	8%	10%
Female	2002	74	89%	0%	0%	4%	7%
	2001	80	79%	0%	9%	3%	10%
Male	2002	78	62%	4%	12%	9%	14%
	2001	84	69%	1%	6%	13%	11%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
African American	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	2002	2	_		_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	149	_	_	_	_	<del>-</del>
	2001	161	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	2002	152	75%	2%	6%	7%	11%
·	2001	164	74%	1%	7%	8%	10%
General-Education Students	2002	127	81%	0%	6%	6%	6%
	2001	137	77%	0%	9%	7%	7%
Students with Disabilities	2002	25	44%	12%	4%	8%	32%
	2001	27	59%	4%	0%	11%	26%
English Proficient	2002	152	75%	2%	6%	7%	11%
	2001	164	74%	1%	7%	8%	10%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	44	45%	5%	14%	9%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	108	87%	1%	3%	6%	4%
Migrant	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
Not Migrant	2002	151			_		_

### **NOTES**

District OGDENSBURG CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	160	78%	1%	8%	1%	12%
Female	76	84%	0%	8%	0%	8%
Male	84	71%	2%	8%	2%	15%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	1	_	_	_	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	158	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	160	78%	1%	8%	1%	12%
General-Education Students	133	80%	0%	10%	0%	10%
Students with Disabilities	27	63%	7%	0%	7%	22%
English Proficient	160	78%	1%	8%	1%	12%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	47	74%	4%	9%	0%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	113	79%	0%	8%	2%	12%
Migrant	2	_	_	_	_	_
Not Migrant	158	_		_	_	

### NOTES