

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District POTSDAM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 512902060000 Superintendent PATRICK BRADY Telephone (315) 265-2000 Grades PK-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	11	16	33
Kindergarten	85	70	96
Grade 1	95	88	75
Grade 2	79	100	93
Grade 3	101	87	94
Grade 4	95	100	93
Grade 5	107	108	106
Grade 6	112	106	114
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	110	119	117
Grade 8	126	109	115
Grade 9	133	137	115
Grade 10	116	124	138
Grade 11	129	122	117
Grade 12	116	121	111
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1404	1391	1384

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	18	17	20
Grade 8			
English	21	18	20
Mathematics	21	18	19
Science	21	19	19
Social Studies	22	19	20
Grade 10			
English	20	17	18
Mathematics	16	20	17
Science	17	15	20
Social Studies	17	16	17

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	360	26%	318	23%	338	24%
Reduced-Price Lunch	164	12%	96	7%	93	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	14	1%	16	1%	10	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	18	1%	22	2%	18	1%
Black or African American	19	1%	13	1%	13	1%
Hispanic or Latino	10	1%	9	1%	11	1%
Asian or Native	25	2%	36	3%	36	3%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1332	95%	1311	94%	1306	94%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%	
Student Suspensions	61	N/A	49	3%	40	3%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	335	333	461
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	7%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	2	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	2%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	2	2	0
Percentage of Total	2%	2%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	30%	30%	29%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	118	117	111
Total Other Professional Staff	12	7	11
Total Paraprofessionals*	33	30	40
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	3	3	3

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title | Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
•	District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	-	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
•	District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
•	District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
^	District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District POTSDAM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Status (2006–07)	Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	el de la constante de la const	
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing	
	Math	Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing	
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing	

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
04–05	2005-06	2006–07				
	YES	YES				
C	04-05	04-05 2005-06				

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	v	 Image: A start of the start of	v	 ✓ 		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_		_	_			
Black or African American	–	–	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	_	••••	–	_	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	_	••••		
White	✓	 ✓ 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	 ✓ 	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	X		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	–	–	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	×	X	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–		••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 2 of 4	X 2 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006-07		
All Students (678:640)	Status	- <u>·</u>	96%		174	118	2005 00	2000 07		
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:5)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_		
Black or African American (12:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:14)		_	-	-	-	-		–		
White (641:606)	<	 	97%	 ✓ 	173	118	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (143:86)	X	X	85%	√ SH	101	111	64	111		
Limited English Proficient (6:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		–		
Economically Disadvantaged (218:145)	X	 	95%	~	151	113				
Final AYP Determination	X 2 of 4	1								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006-07
All Students (678:633)	~	~	96%	 ✓ 	178	82		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:5)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (12:12)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	_	_	_	–	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)		-	-	-	-	-		–
White (641:598)	<	<	96%	 ✓ 	178	82		••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (143:82)	X	X	83%	~	116	75		
Limited English Proficient (6:5)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (218:143)	X	X	93%	~	159	77		••••
Final AYP Determination	X 2 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	АҮР		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (218:198)	~	Qualified	 Image: A start of the start of	95%	~	193	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:2)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (204:185)	• ••••	Qualified	~	96%	~	193	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (33:26)		-	_	-	-	-	_		-
Limited English Proficient (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (52:48)		Qualified	~	94%	~	179	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Partici		ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (105:98)			98%		180	144	2005 00	2000 07
 Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (102:95)	✓	~	98%	~	180	144	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (19:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (15:12)	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- AYP Status
- Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	
			lesteu		Index		2005-06	2006-07
All Students (105:98)	V	V	98%	V	192	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (0:0)								•••••
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (102:95)	<	✓	98%	 ✓ 	192	136	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (19:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (15:12)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- AYP Status
- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target	
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (125)	~	~	90%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		-	-	-	-	-	
Black or African American (0)							
Hispanic or Latino (1)		-	-	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)		-	-	-	-	_	
White (119)		<	91%	55%			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (18)		_	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (19)		_	_	-	-	_	
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1					

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

³ School Accountability Status

District POTSDAM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

▲ Good Standing

A.A. KINGSTON MIDDLE SCHOOL LAWRENCE AVENUE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL POTSDAM SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

3 schools identified 100% of total

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	83%		98
Grade 4	87%		90
Grade 5	71%		108
Grade 6	79%		113
Grade 7	76%		120
Grade 8	62%		117
Mathematics			
Grade 3	92%		101
Grade 4	91%		92
Grade 5	81%		108
Grade 6	83%		1 14
Grade 7	77%		118
Grade 8	61%		114
Science			
Grade 4	L00%		90
Grade 8	88%		97
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	75%		121
Mathematics	85%		1 21
	Percentage who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	74%	1	121

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	Public					
		Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 682	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780							
	100%	95%	83%	1	0%	92%	69%					
Number of Students:		93	81		LO			7%				
Number of Students.		93	01	-	10							
Results by		2005–06 S e				2004-05	School Year					
Student Group		Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le	vel(s):			
_		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		98	95%	83%	10%	_						
Female			91%	79%	9%			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Male		51	98%	86%	12%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e											
Black or African American			-									
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other												
Pacific Islander						· ······			<u> </u>			
White		95				This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		98	95%	83%	10%							
General-Education Students		83	100%	94%	12%							
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	15	67%	20%	0%							
English Proficient		98	95%	83%	10%							
Limited English Proficient		•••••••			•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged		24	88%	79%	4%							
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	74	97%	84%	12%		••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant												
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		95%	83%	10%		••••	•••••				
NOTES			0070	2370	2070							

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Accocchonts	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	∍l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent					This test	was not yn		4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct		NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 686	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770					
	100%	96%	92%			94%				
			5270				81%			
				3	0%			25%		
Number of Students:		97	93		30					
Results by 2005–06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s):					2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Grou	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		101	96%	92 %	30%					
Female		49	94%	90%	29%					
Male		52	98%	94%	31%					
American Indian or Alaska N	Vative									
Black or African American		3	-							
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther									
Pacific Islander				•••••					05	
White		98				I his te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		101	96%	92%	30%					
General-Education Students		86	100%	98%	33%					
Students with Disabilities		15	73%	60%	13%					
English Proficient		100	-	-	-					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	-	-	_					
Economically Disadvantaged	ł	26	92%	88%	27%					
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75	97%	93%	31%		•••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant		101	96%	92%	30%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This te	st was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State	Public		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 681	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775				
	100%	98%	87%	10%	91%	69%	9%	
Number of Students:		88	78	9				

Results by	2005-06 \$	School Yea	r		2004–05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	90	98 %	87 %	10%						
Female	41	98%	83%	12%						
Male	49	98%	90%	8%			•••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American	2	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino					New as	sessments fo	or elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4	_	_	_	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
Pacific Islander	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
White	83	98%	86%	8%		tered in 200				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	29%		ssessments c				
General-Education Students	80	100%	95%	11%		ed to results tered assess	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	10	80%	20%	0%	auminis	lereu assess	ments.			
English Proficient	90	98%	87%	10%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••			••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	21	95%	71%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	69	99%	91%	12%						
Migrant										
Not Migrant	90	98%	87%	10%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 Sc	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	-	-	N/A	1	-	-	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distric	t		NY State	Public			
		Percentage sco	rcentage scoring at level(s): -4 3-4 4 22-800 650-800 702-800			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 692	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800					
	100%	99%	91%	38%	93%	78%	26%		
Number of Students:		91	84	35					

Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4				
All Students	92	99 %	91%	38%					
Female	42	98%	83%	24%					
Male	50	100%	98%	50%		•••			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	2	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	6				and middle-level English language				
Pacific Islander	0				arts and mathematics were				
White	83	99%	90%	35%	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	67%	these assessments cannot be directly	/			
General-Education Students	82	100%	96%	41%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities	10	90%	50%	10%	administered assessments.				
English Proficient	91	-	-	-					
Limited English Proficient	1		-	–					
Economically Disadvantaged	22	95%	86%	23%					
Not Disadvantaged	70	100%	93%	43%		••••			
Migrant									
Not Migrant	92	99%	91%	38%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distric	t		NY State Pu	ublic		
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 87	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
 2005–06 2004–05 	100%	100%100%	100% _{94%}	57%	97% 95%	86% 80%	49% 42%	
Number of Students:		90 101	90 95	63 58				

Results by	2005-06	School Yea	nr		2004–05 School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	90	100%	100%	70%	101	100%	94%	57%
Female	42	100%	100%	55%	41	100%	95%	63%
Male	48	100%	100%	83%	60	100%	93%	53%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino				••••••			•••••	••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	81	100%	100%	67%	97	-	-	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	100%	101	100%	94%	57%
General-Education Students	80	100%	100%	75%	88	100%	94%	66%
Students with Disabilities	10	100%	100%	30%	13	100%	92%	0%
English Proficient	89	-	-	-	100	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	20	100%	100%	45%	23	100%	91%	30%
Not Disadvantaged	70	100%	100%	77%	78	100%	95%	65%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	90	100%	100%	70%	101	100%	94%	57%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4		Total Tested		oring at leve 3–4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	Testeu		3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 669	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795						
	100%	97%				94%					
			71%				67%				
							0170				
					00/						
				1	8%			12%			
Number of Students:		105	77		L9						
lesults by		2005–06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		108	97%	71%	18%						
Female			98%	77%	16%		••••				
Male		64	97%	67%	19%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	2		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>						
Black or African American		2	.	<u>-</u>							
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander							t was not giv	en in 2004	-05		
White		103	97%	73%	18%		t was not giv	2004	05.		
Small Group Totals		<u> </u>	100% 99%	40% 84%	0% 21%						
General-Education Students	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •										
Students with Disabilities		19	89%	11%	0%	_					
English Proficient		108	97%	71%	18%	• •••••					
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		26	96%	58%	12%						
Not Disadvantaged		82	98%	76%	20%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		108	97%	71%	18%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 This test was not given in 2004-05. (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 677	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780						
	100%	96%				0.001					
			81%			90%	600/				
							68%				
				2	5%						
				2	J %			19%			
Number of Chudente		101	00								
Number of Students:		104	88	2	27						
Results by		2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		108	96%	81%	25%						
Female		45	96%	89%	20%						
Male		63	97%	76%	29%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	2			_						
Black or African American		2									
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		<u>+</u>		•••••		This to:			05		
White		103	97%	84%	26%		st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		5	80%	20%	0%						
General-Education Students		87	99%	95%	31%						
Students with Disabilities		21	86%	24%	0%						
English Proficient		108	96%	81%	25%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		27	93%	70%	19%						
Not Disadvantaged		81	98%	85%	27%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		108	96%	81%	25%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Total	Number scoring at level(s):						
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tos	t was not di	on in 200	4-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				THIS LES	est was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	ring at level(s): 3–4 4 60% 12%			
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 670	Range:	598-785	650-7	'85 7	05-785						
	100%	98%				93%					
			79%			5570					
							60%				
				1	2%			12%			
Number of Students:		111	89		 14	_					
					- 1		_				
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year	r		2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Giot	<u>ч</u> р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		113	98%	79%	12%						
Female		49	100%	82%	12%						
Male		64	97%	77%	13%						
American Indian or Alaska	Native	1									
Black or African American		3									
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther	2	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander						This tos	t was not ai	on in 2004	-05		
White	•••••	107	98%	78%	12%		st was not giv	2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		6	100%	100%	17%						
General-Education Students	5	98			14%						
Students with Disabilities		15	87%	33%	0%						
English Proficient		112	.	.							
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantage	d	22	95%	64%	14%						
Not Disadvantaged		91	99%	82%	12%	_					
Migrant											
Not Migrant		113	98%	79%	12%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 This test was not given in 2004-05. (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 2 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t			NY State I	NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 674	Range:	616-780	650-7	780 6	96-780							
	100%	97%										
			83%			87%						
							60%					
				1	3%			13%				
Number of Students:		111	95	2	20							
Results by		2005-06 S o	hool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year					
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		114	97%	83%	18%							
Female		51	100%	80%	12%							
Male		63	95%	86%	22%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve	1										
Black or African American		3										
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		3	_	_	_							
Pacific Islander	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					This to		an in 2004	05			
White	•••••	107	97%	82%	16%	This tes	st was not giv	ren in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		7	100%	100%	43%							
General-Education Students		98	100%	89%	18%							
Students with Disabilities		16	81%	50%	13%							
English Proficient												
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-							
Economically Disadvantaged		22	91%	64%	14%							
Not Disadvantaged		92	99%	88%	18%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		114	97%	83%	18%							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.	

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(56%		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 670	Range:	600-790	650-79	90 7	12-790					
	100%	93%				92%				
		5578	76%			92 %				
							56%			
				2	3%					
				2	5%			8%		
Number of Students:		112	91		27					
		110	51	-						
Results by		2005–06 S e			2004-05	School Year				
Student Grou	n	Total	Percentage	scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		120	93%	76%	23%					
Female		58	97%	83%	19%					
Male		62	90%	69%	26%					
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative									
Black or African American		1		.						
Hispanic or Latino		2								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	ner	4	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander						······			05	
White		113	93%	75%	21%	inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		7	100%	86%	43%					
General-Education Students		107	99%	83%	24%					
Students with Disabilities		13	46%	15%	8%					
English Proficient		120	93%	76%	23%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		28	75%	46%	7%					
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	92	99%	85%	27%		••••	••••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••	120	93%	76%	23%		••••	•••••••••		
NOTES										

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	٤l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			This tost	was not qiv	on in 200	4.05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2			_		was not yn		4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 665	Range:	611-800	650-8	300 6	93-800						
	100%	93%									
		5570	77%			87%					
							56%				
				19	9%			12%			
Number of Chudonte		110	01		<u> </u>						
Number of Students:		110	91	2	23						
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year	•		2004-05 \$	School Year				
student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		118	93%	77%	19%						
Female		59	97%	73%	12%						
Male		59	90%	81%	27%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		1			_						
Hispanic or Latino		2									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe		4	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander						······· -· · ·			<u>-</u>		
White		111	93%	77%	18%	Inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		7	100%	86%	43%						
General-Education Students		106	100%	85%	22%						
Students with Disabilities		12	33%	8%	0%						
English Proficient		118	93%	77%	19%						
imited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		29	72%	48%	7%						
Not Disadvantaged		89	100%	87%	24%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	118	93%	77%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
NOTES											

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number sco			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	_	_	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.	

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distri	This District					NY State Public					
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percent	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 01% 49% 5% 2004-05 School Year 5%						
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4		3-4	4				
Mean Score: 667	Range:	602-790	650-7	790 7	15-790								
	100%	97%				010/							
						91%							
			62%					49%					
				1	0%				5%				
									3,0				
Number of Students:		114	72	1	12								
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r		2004	-05 Sc	hool Year					
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total		Percentage scoring at level(s					
Student Group		Tested	2-4	-	4	Teste	d	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		117	97%	62%	10%								
Female		53	98%	66%	15%								
Male		64	97%	58%	6%								
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	е	1	-	-	-								
Black or African American		1	-	-	-								
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-	Ne	w asse	ssments for	elementa	ary-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_			le-level Eng	-	iage			
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ						nathematic		_			
White		113	-		_			red in 2006					
Small Group Totals		117	97%	62%	10%			essments ca I to results		-			
General-Education Students		100	99%	72%	12%		•	red assessn	•	lously			
Students with Disabilities		17	88%	0%	0%		minote		iciits.				
English Proficient		116	_										
Limited English Proficient		1	-	_	-								
Economically Disadvantaged		29	93%	28%	7%								
Economically Disaavantagea													

NOTES

Not Migrant

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

117

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0				

62%

10%

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

97%

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public				
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 661	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775					
	100%	94%								
						85%				
			61%				54%			
				1	1%			10%		
Number of Students:		107	69		13					
			L I							
Results by		2005-06 Sc			h / - \		School Year			
Student Group		Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le		
All Students		Tested 114	2-4 94%	3-4 61%	4 11%	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
Female		51	94%	61%	8%					
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		94%		14%	• •••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American		<u>-</u> 1	-	·····-	-					
Hispanic or Latino		 1		 —		New ass	sessments fo	r elementar	·v-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		Idle-level Eng		-	
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	-	_ 	-		l mathematic			
White		110	-		_		tered in 200			
Small Group Totals		114	94%	61%	11%		ssessments c			
General-Education Students		101	97%	67%	13%		ed to results tered assessi	•	busiy	
Students with Disabilities		13	69%	8%	0%			1161113.		
English Proficient		113	-	-	-					
Limited English Proficient		1	_		-					
Economically Disadvantaged		27	89%	41%	4%					
Not Disadvantaged		87	95%	67%	14%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		114	94%	61%	11%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at level(s):			l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 76	Range:	44-100	65-100	85-100				
 2005-06 2004-05 	100%	99% 100%	88% 95%	48%	91% 91%	64% 68%	18% ^{25%}	
Number of Students:		96 99	85 94	24 48				

Results by	2005-06	School Yea		2004-05	2004–05 School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	97	99 %	88%	25%	99	100%	95%	48 %
Female	43	98%	81%	16%	43	100%	95%	40%
Male	54	100%	93%	31%	56	100%	95%	55%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	–	1	-	-	-
White	94	-	-	–	93	100%	95%	48%
Small Group Totals	97	99%	88%	25%	6	100%	100%	50%
General-Education Students	82	100%	93%	27%	86	100%	97%	50%
Students with Disabilities	15	93%	60%	13%	13	100%	85%	38%
English Proficient	96	-	-	-	99	100%	95%	48%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	28	96%	68%	21%	23	100%	87%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	69	100%	96%	26%	76	100%	97%	55%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	97	99%	88%	25%	99	100%	95%	48%


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 Sc	hool Year:		
Assessments Total		tal Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent		••••				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Regents Science	13	13	13	13	20	20	20	17

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	d:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	2	17	57	25	101	676	
Feb 2004	2	10	68	20	100	671	
Feb 2003	3	13	54	43	113	680	


	This School			NY State Pu	blic	
	Percentage se	coring at level(s):	Percentage s	coring at level(s):
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	96% 98% 98%	58% 62% 539	[%] 11% ^{16%} 11%	93% 93% 919	48% 47% 459	% 9% 11% 8%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	4	42	51	12	109	708	
Jan 2004	2	47	60	20	129	707	
Jan 2003	3	58	55	14	130	700	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance level	l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	3	7	41	50	101	676	
May 2004	1	5	50	44	100	678	
May 2003	2	7	48	55	112	677	

	This School			NY State Pub	olic	
	Percentage so	coring at level(s	5):	Percentage so	coring at level(s):
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882			
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	99% 92% 98%	78% 76% 71	% 16% ^{19%} 14%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 519	6 9% ^{13%} 9%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	1	22	66	17	106	735	
May 2004	10	20	73	24	127	731	
May 2003	3	35	75	19	132	730	

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Coho i	2001 Cohort*						
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	121	82 %	75%	40%	137	85%	79 %	47 %
Female	66	82%	74%	42%	63	90%	83%	52%
Male	55	82%	76%	38%	74	80%	76%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	•••••						••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	115	83%	77%	40%	130	85%	79%	46%
Small Group Totals	6	67%	50%	50%	7	86%	71%	71%
General-Education Students	104	88%	82%	46%	114	94%	89%	57%
Students with Disabilities	17	47%	35%	6%	23	39%	26%	0%
English Proficient	121	82%	75%	40%	137	85%	79%	47%
Limited English Proficient	••••••						••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	17	53%	35%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	104	87%	82%	47%		••••••	••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	121	82%	75%	40%		•••••	•••••	••••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Coho r	rt*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	88% 87%	85% 82%	48% 40%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Cohoi	rt*			2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	121	88%	85%	48 %	137	87%	82%	40%
Female	66	86%	83%	42%	63	89%	86%	44%
Male	55	91%	87%	55%	74	85%	80%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	••••••						••••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	-	_	3	_	-	–
Pacific Islander		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
White	115	90%	86%	48%	130	88%		39%
Small Group Totals	6	67%	67%	50%	7	71%	71%	57%
General-Education Students	104	93%	91%	56%	114	95%	91%	42%
Students with Disabilities	17	59%	47%	0%	23	48%	39%	30%
English Proficient	121	88%	85%	48%	137	87%	82%	40%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	17	65%	59%	18%				
Not Disadvantaged	104	92%	89%	53%			••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	121	88%	85%	48%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	.(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			


* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	121	74%	3%	12%	5%	7%
	2001	137	82%	4%	5%	4%	5%
Female	2002	66	80%	5%	8%	0%	8%
	2001	63	87%	2%	5%	3%	3%
Male	2002	55	65%	2%	16%	11%	5%
	2001	74	78%	5%	5%	4%	7%
American Indian	2002	2	-	-	-	-	-
or Alaska Native	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	2	_	_	_	_	
- P	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	3	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	115	75%	3%	10%	5%	7%
	2001	130	83%	4%	5%	3%	5%
Small Group Totals	2002	6	50%	17%	33%	0%	0%
	2001	7	71%	0%	14%	14%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	104	76%	0%	13%	4%	8%
	2001	114	90%	0%	4%	3%	3%
Students with Disabilities	2002	17	59%	24%	6%	12%	0%
	2001	23	43%	22%	9%	9%	17%
English Proficient	2002	121	74%	3%	12%	5%	7%
	2001	137	82%	4%	5%	4%	5%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	17	59%	18%	12%	0%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	104	76%	1%	12%	6%	6%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	121	74%	3%	12%	5%	7%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	137	83%	4%	4%	3%	5%
Female	63	87%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Male	74	80%	5%	5%	3%	7%
American Indian	3	-	-	-	-	-
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	2	_	-	_	_	_
Asian or Native	3	_	-	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	129	84%	5%	4%	2%	5%
Small Group Totals	8	63%	0%	13%	13%	13%
General-Education Students	114	90%	0%	4%	3%	3%
Students with Disabilities	23	48%	26%	4%	4%	17%
English Proficient	137	83%	4%	4%	3%	5%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	20	75%	5%	5%	10%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	117	85%	4%	4%	2%	5%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	137	83%	4%	4%	3%	5%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.