

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

School YATES SCHOOL
District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL
DISTRICT
School ID 530600010017
Principal VALARIE SCOTT
Telephone (518) 370-8320
Grades K-6

### This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	46	24	0
Kindergarten	42	53	46
Grade 1	41	56	44
Grade 2	46	43	43
Grade 3	51	37	50
Grade 4	57	45	46
Grade 5	50	61	46
Grade 6	0	0	35
Ungraded Elementary	27	0	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	314	295	310

## **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	22	20	21
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

## **Average Class Size Information**

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **School Profile**

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	173	55%	190	64%	190	61%
Reduced-Price Lunch	47	15%	34	12%	49	16%
Student Stability*		96%		79%		100%
Limited English Proficient	2	1%	2	1%	2	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	113	36%	110	37%	121	39%
Hispanic or Latino	51	16%	52	18%	41	13%
Asian or Native	16	5%	16	5%	20	6%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	134	43%	117	40%	128	41%

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004	4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	25	N/A	17	5%	28	9%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **School Profile**

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	34	46	97
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	0%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	0	1
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	4%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	17%	21%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	23	26	32
Total Other Professional Staff	0	1	6
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

## **Teacher Qualifications Information**

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

## **Staff Counts Information**

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

#### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### **Effective Annual Measurable Objective** (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100  $\times$  [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

#### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)

#### **New York State Status**

(Applies to all New York State public schools)

#### School in Good Standing

A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.

#### School in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

### School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### School in Corrective Action

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### School Planning for Restructuring

A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### **School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)**

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

### ★ School Restructuring (Year 1)

A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

### School Restructuring (Year 2)

A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Summary**

### **Overall Accountability** Status (2006-07)

### **Good Standing**

Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA
 Math	<b>♠</b> Good Standing	Math
 Science	★ Good Standing	Graduation Rate

### **Title I Part A Funding**

### Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	<b>/</b>	~	••••••	•••••••••	•••••••	••••••
Hispanic or Latino	<b>/</b>		•••••••	•••••••••		•••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- -	_	••••••		••••••	••••••
White	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	_	_				
Limited English Proficient	•••••••		•••••••	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	<b>V</b>	~	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>✓</b> 5 of 5	✓ 4 of 4	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1			

#### **Accountability Status Levels**

#### Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Improvement (Year 2) \_\_\_\_\_ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Corrective Action \_\_\_\_ Planning for Restructuring ∧

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

Restructuring (Year 1) 🔥 Restructuring (Year 2 & Above) 🔥



■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

### **AYP Status**

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>✓</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (167:164)	<b>✓</b>	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	129	114		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (58:57)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	111	109	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (30:30)	<b>V</b>	_		<b>V</b>	130	105	••••••••	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	•••••••	_
White (71:69)	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	138	110	••••••••	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (26:25)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		••••••	••••			•	•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (128:125)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	123	113	•••••••	•••••••
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 5 of 5							

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target	
All Students (164:157)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	99%	✓ ✓	127	78	2003 00	2000 07	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (58:54)			100%	<b>V</b>	115	73	•••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino (29:29)	_	_	-	_	-	_		_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:8)	-	_	_	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
White (68:66)	<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	99%	<b>/</b>	138	74	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (22:19)	_	_	-	-	-	_		-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		••••••	••••		•		••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (125:118)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	125	76	••••••••	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4								

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

**X** D

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	Safe Harbor Status Qualification		Participati	on <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>			Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
All Students (39:36)	<b>/</b>	-		-	V	183	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (11:10)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	_	_	_ _	_	
Hispanic or Latino (8:8)		_	_	_	_	_	_	- -	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (19:18)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	_	-	- -	- -	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (9:7)		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							••••••	••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (32:29)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 1 c	of 1							

#### **AYP Status**





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Summary of 2005-06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

Porcontago of students that

	5	f students that above Level 3	Total Tested
	Scored at or a	above Level 3	<u>resteu</u>
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	51%		47
Grade 4	34%		41
Grade 5	40%		43
Grade 6	46%		35
Mathematics			
Grade 3	72%		47
Grade 4	38%		39
Grade 5	29%		42
Grade 6	46%		35
Science			
Grade 4	79%		39

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## **Level 4**: **Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction**. Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject

## and grade level. How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

#### What are Similar Schools?


Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 8

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in urban or suburban school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for elementary level schools in these districts.

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05 School Year							
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	47	89%	51%	0%						
Female	28	96%	57%	0%						
Male	19	79%	42%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	15	73%	33%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	11	_	·····	_						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander										
White	19	95%	53%	0%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals	13	100%	69%	0%						
General-Education Students	39	95%	56%	0%						
Students with Disabilities	8	63%	25%	0%						
English Proficient	47	89%	51%	0%						
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••	••••••		••••			Ì		
Economically Disadvantaged	40	88%	53%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	7	100%	43%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	47	89%	51%	0%						


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0	2 4	3-4	4	Tested This tes	st was not giv		)4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004–05 School Year						
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	47	91%	72%	9%					
Female	27	93%	74%	7%					
Male	20	90%	70%	10%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	16	94%	75%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino	11	_	_	-	••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_					
White	18	89%	67%	17%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004		
Small Group Totals	13	92%	77%	8%	•••••				
General-Education Students	40	93%	73%	8%					
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	71%	14%					
English Proficient	47	91%	72%	9%					
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••	•••••	•••••	••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	40	93%	73%	5%					
Not Disadvantaged	7	86%	71%	29%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	47	91%	72%	9%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	: was not giv	en in 200	4-05.

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year						
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4			
All Students	41	71%	34%	2%						
Female	22	82%	27%	0%						
Male	19	58%	42%	5%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	12	58%	8%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	8	75%	38%	0%	New asse	essments for	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		and midd	and middle-level English language				
Pacific Islander					arts and	mathematic	s were			
White	21	76%	48%	5%		ered in 2006				
Small Group Totals						sessments c		•		
General-Education Students	30	90%	47%	3%		d to results ered assessr	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	11	18%	0%	0%	aummst	ereu assessi	nents.			
English Proficient	41	71%	34%	2%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••		•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	33	70%	33%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged	8	75%	38%	0%	••••••	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	41	71%	34%	2%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			Number sco	(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0			<u> </u>	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4				
All Students	39	74%	38%	3%					
Female	22	68%	27%	0%					
Male	17	82%	53%	6%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	11	73%	45%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	_	-	New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other					and middle-level English language				
Pacific Islander			_ 	 	arts and mathematics were				
White	19	74%	42%	5%	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	9	78%	22%	0%	these assessments cannot be directly				
General-Education Students	31	84%	48%	3%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities	8	38%	0%	0%	aummstered assessments.				
English Proficient	39	74%	38%	3%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	31	74%	42%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	8	75%	25%	13%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	39	74%	38%	3%					

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	39	97%	79%	10%	46	76%	46%	11%
Female	21	95%	76%	10%	26	81%	58%	12%
Male	18	100%	83%	11%	20	70%	30%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	11	100%	82%	0%	15	80%	40%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	_	-	11	-	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	_	_	4	-	_	-
White	19	95%	79%	16%	16	81%	50%	13%
Small Group Totals	9	100%	78%	11%	15	67%	47%	13%
General-Education Students	30	100%	87%	13%	43	-	-	_
Students with Disabilities	9	89%	56%	0%	3		-	_
English Proficient	39	97%	79%	10%	46	76%	46%	11%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	32	97%	78%	3%	34	74%	41%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	7	100%	86%	43%	12	83%	58%	25%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	39	97%	79%	10%	46	76%	46%	11%

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accesments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	43	86%	40%	7%						
Female	26	88%	42%	8%						
Male	17	82%	35%	6%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	17	94%	41%	6%				,		
Hispanic or Latino	8	63%	25%	0%	•••••			,		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	60%	20%		•••				
White	13	85%	38%	8%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••					
General-Education Students	41	-	_	_						
Students with Disabilities	2			_	•••••			İ		
English Proficient	43	86%	40%	7%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••			ľ		
Economically Disadvantaged	33	85%	30%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged	10	90%	70%	10%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	43	86%	40%	7%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	24 34 4			Tested This tes	st was not given in 2004-05.		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	42	60%	29%	0%						
Female	26	62%	35%	0%						
Male	16	56%	19%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	18	44%	28%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	7	43%	0%	0%	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	40%	0%						
White	12	75%	42%	0%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••		•••••					
General-Education Students	41	_	-	_						
Students with Disabilities	1	_	<del></del>							
English Proficient	42	60%	29%	0%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••		•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	32	53%	19%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	10	80%	60%	0%	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	42	60%	29%	0%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05			

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	35	94%	46%	9%					
Female	17	100%	47%	6%					
Male	18	89%	44%	11%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	13	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-				Ĭ	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	_	-					
White	18	89%	56%	11%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	17	100%	35%	6%				•	
General-Education Students	30	97%	47%	10%					
Students with Disabilities	5	80%	40%	0%					
English Proficient	35	94%	46%	9%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	21	90%	38%	5%					
Not Disadvantaged	14	100%	57%	14%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	35	94%	46%	9%					


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not giv	on in 200	14-05
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent					Tills test	was not giv		4-03.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6								

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	35	86%	46%	0%					
Female	17	88%	47%	0%					
Male	18	83%	44%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	13	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino	3	<del>-</del>	·····	-	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••				
Pacific Islander	т	_ 	_ 	_ 					
White	18	89%	61%	0%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.	
Small Group Totals	17	82%	29%	0%					
General-Education Students	30	90%	53%	0%					
Students with Disabilities	5	60%	0%	0%					
English Proficient	35	86%	46%	0%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	21	81%	43%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	14	93%	50%	0%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	35	86%	46%	0%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance level	:	
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	4	22	14	5	45	646
Feb 2004	2	28	21	3	54	645
Feb 2003	7	25	16	5	53	641

School YATES SCHOOL District SCHENECTADY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	of students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	el:	
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	6	11	22	7	46	642
May 2004	2	15	26	10	53	651
May 2003	3	14	27	9	53	651