

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL
District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT
School ID 572301040001
Principal JOSEPH RUMSEY
Telephone (607) 522-3795
Grades PK-12

### This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

**2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	27	28	31
Kindergarten	32	37	28
Grade 1	38	32	40
Grade 2	39	37	29
Grade 3	30	38	38
Grade 4	40	32	36
Grade 5	41	41	31
Grade 6	47	39	41
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	40	52	41
Grade 8	51	41	41
Grade 9	38	49	35
Grade 10	39	42	44
Grade 11	45	39	45
Grade 12	34	38	34
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	514	517	483

# **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	18	19	18
Grade 8			
English	16	21	14
Mathematics	15	17	34
Science	17	21	14
Social Studies	16	21	14
Grade 10			
English	19	20	14
Mathematics	21	21	
Science	19	21	14
Social Studies	22	24	17

# **Average Class Size Information**

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **School Profile**

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	176	34%	185	36%	151	31%
Reduced-Price Lunch	60	12%	79	15%	73	15%
Student Stability*		100%		97%		94%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	13	3%	17	3%	11	2%
Hispanic or Latino	3	1%	4	1%	5	1%
Asian or Native	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	497	97%	495	96%	466	96%

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	200	2002-03		3-04	200	4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	35	N/A	26	5%	44	9%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **School Profile**

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	113	121	169
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	2%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	1	2
Percentage of Total	2%	2%	5%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	9%	7%	10%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	45	42	42
Total Other Professional Staff	4	4	6
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

# **Teacher Qualifications Information**

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

#### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### **Effective Annual Measurable Objective** (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100  $\times$  [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

#### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)

#### **New York State Status**

(Applies to all New York State public schools)

#### School in Good Standing

A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.

#### School in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### School in Corrective Action

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### School Planning for Restructuring

A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### School Restructuring (Year 1)

A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

### School Restructuring (Year 2)

A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Summary**

### Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

### Good Standing

Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
Math	<b>♠</b> Good Standing	Math Good Standing	
Science	Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

### **Title I Part A Funding**

### Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Elementary/Middle Level				Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	_	_	••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			••••	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
White	~	<b>V</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u> </u>	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>V</b>		-	_			
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<b>V</b>	•••••••	_	_	••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>✓</b> 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1	✓ 1 of 1	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1		

#### **Accountability Status Levels**

Restructuring (Year 1) 🔥

Restructuring (Year 2 & Above)

# Federal Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Improvement (Year 2) Corrective Action Planning for Restructuring State Good Standing Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

X Did Not Make AYP

**AYP Status** 

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup> Sta	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (228:220)	<b>V</b>	<u>/</u>	100%	<u>/</u>	162	115			
Ethnicity						,			
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (8:8)	_	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••	_	
Hispania or Latina (4.4)							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (216:208)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	163	114	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (35:33)	<b>✓</b> SH	-	-	<b>✓</b> SH	100	105	20	110	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							••••••••	•••	
Economically Disadvantaged (119:112)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	147	112	••••••••	•••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4	ļ							

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (222:216)	~	<u> </u>	100%	<u>/</u>		78		<u> </u>
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (8:8)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)	_	_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (212:206)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	184	78	••••••••	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (33:31)	<b>V</b>	_	-	<b>V</b>	135	69		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		•••••						•••
Economically Disadvantaged (113:108)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>'</b>	171	76		•••
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 4 of 4							

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	n <sup>2</sup> Test Performance <sup>3</sup>			Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup> All Students (73:71)	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification		Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Targe		
	<b>V</b>	Qualified	<u> </u>	100%	V	192	100		2000 07	
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (4:4)		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		_	_	_	_	-	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)					••••					
White (68:66)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Qualified	<b>~</b>	100%	~	194	100			
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (8:8)		-	-	-	-	-	_	-		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							•••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Economically Disadvantaged (39:37)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		_	~	192	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 1 c	of 1								

#### **AYP Status**





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>✓</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target	
All Students (32:30)	✓	_	-	<u>/</u>	197	137		2000 07	
Ethnicity								,	
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (2:0)	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	=	-	_	-	_	-	_	
White (31:29)		_	-	_	-	_	_	-	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (1:2)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)				•••••				•••	
Economically Disadvantaged (1:1)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1								

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

#### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- $^3$  For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>✓</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005-06 2006-07	
All Students (32:30)	<b>✓</b>	_	-	<u>/</u>	200	129		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (2:0)	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	_
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (31:29)	- -		-	_	-			_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (1:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								•••
Economically Disadvantaged (1:1)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 1 of 1							

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

#### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- $^3$  For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Indicator (2006–07)		
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
<b>Student Group</b>		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP Criterion		Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (39)	~	<b>V</b>	92%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (1)		_	-	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino (0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)	•		•	•••••		•		
White (38)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>V</b>	92%	55%				
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (4)		-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (11)		_			_			
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b>	of 1						

#### NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- <sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

# **Graduation Rate Information**

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Summary of 2005-06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		-	udents that ve Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	, 0	50%	100%
Grade 3	74%			38
Grade 4	76% ■			■ 37
Grade 5	61% <b>I</b>			31
Grade 6	61% <b>I</b>			41
Grade 7	63% ■			40
Grade 8	61% <b>I</b>			41
Mathematics				
Grade 3	84%			38
Grade 4	89% ■			35
Grade 5	68% ■			31
Grade 6	85% ■			40
Grade 7	87% ■			39
Grade 8	87% ■			39
Science				
Grade 4	100%			35
Grade 8	84%			38
		-	udents that ve Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	ó	50%	100%
English	97%			30
Mathematics	100%			30
		tage of st aduated	udents	2002 Cohort
<b>Graduation Rate</b>	0%	, o	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	93%		·t	30

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# **Level 4**: **Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction**. Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

#### What are Similar Schools?


Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 64

All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year			
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	38	97%	74%	11%					
Female	18	100%	83%	22%					
Male	20	95%	65%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_		_	• • • • • • •				
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	•••••	• • • • • • •				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • •				
Pacific Islander									
White	37	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	38	97%	74%	11%					
General-Education Students	31	100%	81%	13%					
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	43%	0%	• • • • • • •				
English Proficient	38	97%	74%	11%					
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged	16	94%	56%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	22	100%	86%	18%	•	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	38	97%	74%	11%	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):  Tested 2-4 3-4 4			Total	Number sco	J		
New York State Alternate Assessment	o rested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested This test	2-4 was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4 )4-05.
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent  New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-0	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
38	100%	84%	13%							
18	100%	78%	22%							
20	100%	90%	5%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	<del></del>				ľ			
••••••	••••	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
••••••	••••	••••••	••••••				İ			
37	-	_	-	This t	test was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.			
38	100%	84%	13%				ľ			
31	100%	90%	16%							
7	100%	57%	0%				,			
38	100%	84%	13%							
••••••	•••••••••	••••••	••••••				Ì			
16	100%	63%	0%							
22	100%	100%	23%		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
38	100%	84%	13%		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	Total Tested  38 18 20 1 37 38 31 7 38 16 22	Total Percentage	Tested 2-4 3-4  38 100% 84%  18 100% 78%  20 100% 90%  1  38 100% 84%  31 100% 90%  7 100% 57%  38 100% 84%  16 100% 63%  22 100% 100%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4  38 100% 84% 13%  18 100% 78% 22%  20 100% 90% 5%  1  38 100% 84% 13%  31 100% 90% 16%  7 100% 57% 0%  38 100% 84% 13%  16 100% 63% 0%  22 100% 100% 23%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested  38 100% 84% 13%  18 100% 78% 22%  20 100% 90% 5%  1  38 100% 84% 13%  31 100% 90% 16%  7 100% 57% 0%  38 100% 84% 13%  16 100% 63% 0%  22 100% 100% 23%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4  38 100% 84% 13%  18 100% 78% 22%  20 100% 90% 5%  1  1  This test was not given as a second sec	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 Tested 2-4 3-4  38 100% 84% 13%  18 100% 78% 22%  20 100% 90% 5%  1 This test was not given in 2004  38 100% 84% 13%  31 100% 90% 16%  7 100% 57% 0%  38 100% 84% 13%  16 100% 63% 0%  22 100% 100% 23%			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Total				2004-05 School Year							
	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	To	tal	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	ested	2-4	3-4	4			
37	95%	76%	5%								
17	94%	71%	6%								
20	95%	80%	5%				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	_								
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	New asse	ssments for	elementa	ry-			
••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			and middl	le-level Eng	ılish langu	age			
36	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	-		administe	ered in 2006. Results from					
37	95%	76%	5%					•			
31	100%	81%	6%		•		•	ously			
6	67%	50%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	administe	red assessr	nents.				
37	95%	76%	5%								
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		• • • • • • •							
23	91%	61%	0%								
14	100%	100%	14%	• • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
37	95%	76%	5%	• • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	37 31 6 37 23 14	37 95% 31 100% 6 67% 37 95% 23 91% 14 100%	37 95% 76% 31 100% 81% 6 67% 50% 37 95% 76%  23 91% 61% 14 100% 100%	37     95%     76%     5%       31     100%     81%     6%       6     67%     50%     0%       37     95%     76%     5%       23     91%     61%     0%       14     100%     100%     14%	37     95%     76%     5%       31     100%     81%     6%       6     67%     50%     0%       37     95%     76%     5%       23     91%     61%     0%       14     100%     100%     14%	37 95% 76% 5% these associated administe state of the search of the sear	37 95% 76% 5% these assessments of compared to results administered assessments administered assessments of compared to results administered assessments administered assessments administered assessments administered assessments administered assessments administered asse	37     95%     76%     5%       31     100%     81%     6%       6     67%     50%     0%       37     95%     76%     5%   23 91% 61% 0% 14 100% 100% 14%			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0			

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-0	o5 School Y	'ear			
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percen	tage scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-	4 3-4	4		
All Students	35	97%	89%	20%						
Female	15	100%	87%	7%						
Male	20	95%	90%	30%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_		_						
Hispanic or Latino					New	assessment	s for elemen	tary-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		•••••	•••••	and	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
Pacific Islander					arts					
White	34	-	-	-			2006. Result			
Small Group Totals	35	97%	89%	20%			nts cannot be	-		
General-Education Students	31	-	-	-		pared to res inistered ass	ults from pre	eviously		
Students with Disabilities	4		- -	<u> </u>	aum	inistered ass	sessments.			
English Proficient	35	97%	89%	20%						
Limited English Proficient		••••	•••••	••••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	21	95%	86%	14%						
Not Disadvantaged	14	100%	93%	29%	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	35	97%	89%	20%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	l(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	ır		7 100% 100% 14			
_	Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	35	100%	100%	74%	28	100%	96%	54%
Female	16	100%	100%	69%	7	100%	100%	14%
Male	19	100%	100%	79%	21	100%	95%	67%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	_	_	-	1	_	_	- -
Hispanic or Latino		••••	•	•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••	••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••
Pacific Islander			. <b></b>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
White	34		_	_	27	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	35	100%	100%	74%	28	100%	96%	54%
General-Education Students	31	-	-	-	23	100%	100%	57%
Students with Disabilities	4	_	_	-	5	100%	80%	40%
English Proficient	35	100%	100%	74%	28	100%	96%	54%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	21	100%	100%	62%	12	100%	92%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	14	100%	100%	93%	16	100%	100%	69%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	35	100%	100%	74%	28	100%	96%	54%

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	l(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	31	94%	61%	3%				
Female	11	91%	55%	0%				
Male	20	95%	65%	5%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••		••••			
Hispanic or Latino	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		••••••		••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				·
Pacific Islander								
White	31	94%	61%	3%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••					
General-Education Students	25	100%	72%	4%				
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	17%	0%	••••			
English Proficient	31	94%	61%	3%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	14	86%	50%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	17	100%	71%	6%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	31	94%	61%	3%		· · · · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	ol Year 2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4  3-4  4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	2 7				st was not given in 2004-05.		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	31	94%	68%	19%				
Female	11	91%	55%	9%				
Male	20	95%	75%	25%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American			•••••	•••••				
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	•••••••	******			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••			
Pacific Islander								
White	31	94%	68%	19%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••••••	•••••	••••••				
General-Education Students	25	100%	80%	24%				
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	17%	0%				
English Proficient	31	94%	68%	19%				
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	14	86%	50%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged	17	100%	82%	29%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	31	94%	68%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	2004-05 School Year					•		
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	al Percentage scoring at lev				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	41	95%	61%	15%						
Female	28	96%	64%	18%						
Male	13	92%	54%	8%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	3	_		<u> </u>	••••					
Hispanic or Latino	2	_		<del></del>	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	•••••••••	•••••	•••••••						
Pacific Islander										
White	36	97%	67%	17%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals	5	80%	20%	0%						
General-Education Students	35	100%	71%	17%						
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	0%	0%	••••					
English Proficient	41	95%	61%	15%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••••••	•••••	•••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	25	92%	52%	8%						
Not Disadvantaged	16	100%	75%	25%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	41	95%	61%	15%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	2-4	3-4	4		st was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
40	95%	85%	25%						
28	96%	86%	25%						
12	92%	83%	25%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
3	_	_	_						
1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	<del>-</del>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			·		
36	-	_	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
40	95%	85%	25%						
34	100%	91%	29%						
6	67%	50%	0%						
40	95%	85%	25%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • •					
24	92%	75%	17%						
16	100%	100%	38%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
40	95%	85%	25%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Total Tested  40 28 12 3 1 36 40 34 6 40 24 16	Total Percentage Tested 2-4  40 95% 28 96% 12 92%  3 - 1 -  36 - 40 95% 34 100% 6 67% 40 95% 24 92% 16 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4  40 95% 85%  28 96% 86%  12 92% 83%  3  1  36  40 95% 85%  34 100% 91%  6 67% 50%  40 95% 85%  24 92% 75%  16 100% 100%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4  40 95% 85% 25%  28 96% 86% 25%  12 92% 83% 25%  3  1  1  40 95% 85% 25%  34 100% 91% 29%  6 67% 50% 0%  40 95% 85% 25%  24 92% 75% 17%  16 100% 100% 38%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested  40 95% 85% 25%  28 96% 86% 25%  12 92% 83% 25%  3  1  1  36 This tested  40 95% 85% 25%  34 100% 91% 29%  6 67% 50% 0%  40 95% 85% 25%  24 92% 75% 17%  16 100% 100% 38%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4  40 95% 85% 25%  28 96% 86% 25%  12 92% 83% 25%  3 1 1  36 1  36 95% 85% 25%  34 100% 91% 29%  6 67% 50% 0%  40 95% 85% 25%  24 92% 75% 17%  16 100% 100% 38%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4  40 95% 85% 25%  28 96% 86% 25%  12 92% 83% 25%  3		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	<u>r</u>		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	40	95%	63%	5%					
Female	19	100%	53%	0%					
Male	21	90%	71%	10%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	••••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••				
Hispanic or Latino			••••••	•••••	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Pacific Islander									
White	40	95%	63%	5%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
General-Education Students	34	97%	71%	6%					
Students with Disabilities	6	83%	17%	0%	••••				
English Proficient	40	95%	63%	5%					
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	21	90%	52%	5%					
Not Disadvantaged	19	100%	74%	5%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	40	95%	63%	5%	••••••	•••••	•••••		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	3		Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested 0	2-4	3-4	4	Tested This test	was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4 )4-05.
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent  New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004–05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	39	100%	87%	10%						
Female	19	100%	95%	16%						
Male	20	100%	80%	5%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	••••••		•••••	•••••						
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	•••••	••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••••••	•••••	••••••						
Pacific Islander										
White	39	100%	87%	10%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals				•••••						
General-Education Students	33	100%	91%	12%						
Students with Disabilities	6	100%	67%	0%						
English Proficient	39	100%	87%	10%						
Limited English Proficient		••••	•••••	••••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	20	100%	80%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	19	100%	95%	16%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	39	100%	87%	10%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Perc	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4			
All Students	41	95%	61%	7%						
Female	20	90%	60%	5%						
Male	21	100%	62%	10%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	3	_	_		•••••					
Hispanic or Latino	2		·····		New assessme	ents for el	ementary-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	and middle-lev	and middle-level English language				
Pacific Islander					arts and math	ematics w	ere			
White	36	94%	64%	6%	administered i					
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	20%	these assessm		-	/		
General-Education Students	37	-	-	-	compared to r administered a					
Students with Disabilities	4			<u> </u>	administered a	assessmer	its.			
English Proficient	41	95%	61%	7%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	20	90%	60%	10%						
Not Disadvantaged	21	100%	62%	5%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	41	95%	61%	7%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • •		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	ı		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0				

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage sco	ring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4	3-4 4			
39	100%	87%	13%					
19	100%	89%	16%					
20	100%	85%	10%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
3	_	_	- -					
1	_	-	<del>-</del>	New assessments for el	ementary-			
•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••	and middle-level Englis	h language			
				arts and mathematics w	vere			
35	_	-	_	administered in 2006. R				
39	100%	87%	13%		-			
35	-	-	-	•				
4		-	<del>-</del>	administered assessmer	its.			
39	100%	87%	13%					
•••••	••••	••••••	••••••••					
18	100%	89%	11%					
21	100%	86%	14%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
39	100%	87%	13%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Total Tested  39 19 20 3 1 1 35 39 35 4 39 31 18 21	Total Percentag Tested 2-4  39 100%  19 100%  20 100%  3 - 1 -  35 - 39 100%  35 - 39 100%  35 - 4 - 39 100%  18 100%  21 100%	Tested         2-4         3-4           39         100%         87%           19         100%         89%           20         100%         85%           3         -         -           1         -         -           39         100%         87%           35         -         -           4         -         -           39         100%         87%           18         100%         89%           21         100%         86%	Total Tested  2-4  3-4  4  39  100%  87%  13%  19  100%  89%  16%  20  100%  85%  10%  3  1  1  39  100%  87%  13%  35  39  100%  87%  13%  35  39  100%  87%  13%  35  4  39  100%  87%  13%  18  100%  88%  11%  21  100%  86%  14%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at leve			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested 2–4 3–4 4			Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	38	100%	84%	29%	37	100%	78%	38%	
Female	19	100%	79%	26%	19	100%	74%	26%	
Male	19	100%	89%	32%	18	100%	83%	50%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	3	_	-	<del>-</del>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	-	••••••		•••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••	••••••••	•••••	•••••	1				
Pacific Islander					т	 <b>.</b>	 . <b>.</b>	 . <b>.</b>	
White	34		_	_	36	_	_	_	
Small Group Totals	38	100%	84%	29%	37	100%	78%	38%	
General-Education Students	34	-	-	-	35	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	4	_	_	-	2	_	_	_	
English Proficient	38	100%	84%	29%	37	100%	78%	38%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	83%	28%	11	100%	82%	36%	
Not Disadvantaged	20	100%	85%	30%	26	100%	77%	38%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	38	100%	84%	29%	37	100%	78%	38%	


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 Se	chool Year		
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s			.(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent								
Regents Science	0				0			


School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 2 20 2 659 22 8 40 Feb 2004 9 662 1 Feb 2003 1 9 19 13 42 671




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance level:				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score		
Jan 2005	1	16	17	5	39	705		
Jan 2004	1	29	16	6	52	700		
Jan 2003	0	16	18	1	35	697		


School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 0 4 10 14 679 May 2005 0 25 12 39 670 May 2004 2 May 2003 0 3 22 17 42 671



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	<b>:</b>		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	1	9	23	5	38	731
May 2004	2	11	28	8	49	732
May 2003	0	10	22	2	34	726

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohor	hort*			
_	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	30	97%	97%	37%	42	81%	81%	36%	
Female	11	100%	100%	64%	15	93%	93%	53%	
Male	19	95%	95%	21%	27	74%	74%	26%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American			•••••		2	-	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander	Т	_ 	_ 	_					
White	29	_		_	40	_	_	_	
Small Group Totals	30	97%	97%	37%	42	81%	81%	36%	
General-Education Students	28	-	-	-	36	92%	92%	42%	
Students with Disabilities	2	_	-	_	6	17%	17%	0%	
English Proficient	30	97%	97%	37%	42	81%	81%	36%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	_	-	-					
Not Disadvantaged	29	_	-	_	••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	30	97%	97%	37%	•••••	•••••	••••••	••••••	


#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number Number scoring at level(s):			l(s):
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	30	100%	100%	40%	42	93%	88%	26%	
Female	11	100%	100%	55%	15	100%	100%	27%	
Male	19	100%	100%	32%	27	89%	81%	26%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	•••••	••••••		•••••	2	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1			_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••••	
Pacific Islander	Т	_	_ <b>.</b>	_					
White	29	_	-	-	40	-	_	-	
Small Group Totals	30	100%	100%	40%	42	93%	88%	26%	
General-Education Students	28	-	-	-	36	94%	94%	31%	
Students with Disabilities	2	_	_	_	6	83%	50%	0%	
English Proficient	30	100%	100%	40%	42	93%	88%	26%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-					
Not Disadvantaged	29	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	30	100%	100%	40%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	

#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number Number scoring at level(s):			l(s):
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	30	93%	3%	0%	3%	0%
	2001	42	88%	0%	0%	7%	5%
Female	2002	11	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	15	93%	0%	0%	0%	7%
Male	2002	19	89%	5%	0%	5%	0%
	2001	27	85%	0%	0%	11%	4%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	2002	1	_	_	_	_	<del>-</del>
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					<b>.</b>		· · · · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
White	2002	29	_	_	_	_	_
•••••	2001	40	<del>.</del>		<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<del>.</del>
Small Group Totals	2002	30	93%	3%	0%	3%	0%
	2001	42	88%	0%	0%	7%	5%
General-Education Students	2002	28	_	_	_	_	_
•••••	2001	36	92%	0%	0%	3%	6%
Students with Disabilities	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	6	67%	0%	0%	33%	0%
English Proficient	2002	30	93%	3%	0%	3%	0%
	2001	42	88%	0%	0%	7%	5%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	2002	29	_	_	_	_	_
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	30	93%	3%	0%	3%	0%

#### **NOTES**

School PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL District PRATTSBURGH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



School
Similar Schools

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	43	93%	0%	0%	2%	5%
Female	15	93%	0%	0%	0%	7%
Male	28	93%	0%	0%	4%	4%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	2	_	_	_	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	41	_	_	_		_
Small Group Totals	43	93%	0%	0%	2%	5%
General-Education Students	38	95%	0%	0%	0%	5%
Students with Disabilities	5	80%	0%	0%	20%	0%
English Proficient	43	93%	0%	0%	2%	5%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	0%	0%	0%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	31	94%	0%	0%	3%	3%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	43	93%	0%	0%	2%	5%

#### NOTES