

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 580104030000 Superintendent NEIL LEDERER Telephone (631) 226-6511 Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	511	507	482
Grade 1	531	492	510
Grade 2	511	526	498
Grade 3	540	504	504
Grade 4	580	528	501
Grade 5	583	583	465
Grade 6	622	595	586
Ungraded Elementary	146	132	126
Grade 7	597	621	596
Grade 8	602	619	594
Grade 9	628	612	634
Grade 10	646	606	579
Grade 11	534	596	583
Grade 12	513	502	567
Ungraded Secondary	145	59	86
Total K-12	7689	7482	7311

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	22	22	21
Grade 8			
English	23	22	20
Mathematics	24	23	24
Science	25	24	24
Social Studies	24	24	24
Grade 10			
English	23	23	22
Mathematics	24	24	22
Science	25	23	23
Social Studies	24	24	22

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	618	8%	542	7%	529	7%
Reduced-Price Lunch	438	6%	343	5%	484	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	224	3%	240	3%	231	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	19	0%	26	0%	13	0%
Black or African American	138	2%	148	2%	141	2%
Hispanic or Latino	615	8%	689	9%	749	10%
Asian or Native	148	2%	176	2%	162	2%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	6769	88%	6443	86%	6246	85%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	182	N/A	337	4%	379	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	1544	1574	2217
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	2%	3%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	2	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	6	4	7
Percentage of Total	1%	1%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	39%	41%	46%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	555	577	587
Total Other Professional Staff	55	69	72
Total Paraprofessionals*	141	141	140
Assistant Principals	7	5	4
Principals	9	9	9

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006-07)

Good Standing

Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♦ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
Math	♠ Good Standing	Math	
Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

Today the Pistrice Received Title II di tal unding					
2004-05	004-05 2005-06				
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	✓	✓	✓	V	✓	V		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	/	~	•••••••	_	_	••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	/	~	••••••••	X	~	•••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	V	V	••••••	_	_	••••••		
White	~	V	•••••••	V	V	••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓		X	V			
Limited English Proficient	V	V	••••••••		- -	••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	V	/	••••••••	<i>V</i>	V	••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	X 3 of 5	✓ 5 of 5	✓ 1 of 1		

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Improvement (Year 1)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Improvement (Year 3) 🔨 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Improvement (Year 4) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹ St	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (3488:3395)	<u>✓</u>	<u>✓</u>	99%	<u>/</u>	177	120	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (66:58)	V	V	98%	V	162	109	••••••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino (317:284)	V	V	99%	V	165	116	•••••••	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (73:72)	V	/	100%	~	190	111	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
White (3025:2974)	/	/	99%	/	178	120	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (563:534)	/	✓	97%	V	133	117		
Limited English Proficient (95:77)	V	/	100%	~	184	111	•••••••	•••
Economically Disadvantaged (655:612)	V	/	98%	~	165	118	•••••••	•••••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 8 of 8							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (3480:3371)	V	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	185	84		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (65:55)	V	V	98%	V	180	73	••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (318:282)	V	V	99%	~	172	80	••••••••	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (76:72)	~	~	100%	/	199	75	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
White (3014:2955)	~	~	99%	/	186	84	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (562:536)	V	/	98%	/	152	81		
Limited English Proficient (90:77)	V	~	97%	/	143	75	•••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (653:606)	/	V	99%	V	177	82	•••••••	•••••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 8 of 8							

AYP Status







Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005-06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95%participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
Safe Harbor		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target
V	Qualified	·	98%	<u>/</u>	190	100	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	Qualified	/	96%	'	183	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	_	_	-	_	-	_	_
• • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	98%	~	191	100	· ····································
	Qualified	V	94%	~	164	100	
	-	_	-	_	_	_	_
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	/	97%	~	182	100	•
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification Qualified - Qualified Qualified Qualified - Qualified Qualified —	Safe Harbor Qualification Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified	Safe Harbor Qualification Qualified Qualified Percentage Tested 98% Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified 98%	Safe Harbor Qualification V Qualified Percentage Tested Criterion Percentage Tested Criterion Oualified Percentage Tested Criterion Percentage Tested Crite	Safe Harbor Status Qualification Criterion Tested Criterion Tested Criterion Index	Status Safe Harbor Qualification Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance State Standard V Qualified V 98% V 190 100 — — — — — — — Qualified V 96% V 183 100 — — — — — — Qualified V 98% V 191 100 Qualified V 94% V 164 100 — — — — — — —

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Performance ³			Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target		
All Students (612:571)	V	<u> </u>	98%	<u></u>	185	149		<u> </u>		
Ethnicity								'		
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (10:8)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino (92:42)	X	X	92%	V	169	139	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:5)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
White (544:516)	/	/	98%	/	186	149	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (144:73)	X	X	93%	~	147	143				
Limited English Proficient (12:9)	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_		
Economically Disadvantaged (66:55)	/	V	100%	V	175	141	••••••••	•••		
Final AYP Determination	X 3 of 5	j								

AYP Status









Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?


	AYP Participat		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (612:571)	<u>✓</u>	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	191	141	2003 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (10:8)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (52:42)	V	V	98%	V	190	131	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:5)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
White (544:516)	/	/	99%	/	191	141	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (81:73)	V	✓	95%	~	162	135		
Limited English Proficient (12:9)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged (66:55)	V	V	100%	V	193	133	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 5 of 5							

AYP Status









Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator	^	Good Standing
(2006-07) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
•	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target	
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP Criterion		Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (517)	~	V	88%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)				····			
Black or African American (8)		-	-	-	_	-	
Hispanic or Latino (36)		~	75%	55%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6)		_	_	-	_	-	
White (467)	• • • • • • • •	~	89%	55%			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (63)		~	76%	55%			
Limited English Proficient (21)		_ 	-	_	_	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (41)			83%	55%			
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1					

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing 8 schools identified 89% of total

ALBANY AVENUE SCHOOL ALLEGHANY AVENUE SCHOOL DANIEL STREET SCHOOL EDWARD W. BOWER SCHOOL HARDING AVENUE SCHOOL LINDENHURST MIDDLE SCHOOL WEST GATES AVENUE SCHOOL

WILLIAM RALL SCHOOL

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

1 school identified 11% of total

LINDENHURST SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005-06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	_	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	87%		507
Grade 4	86%		514
Grade 5	77%		550
Grade 6	80%		■ 583
Grade 7	77%		595
Grade 8	68%		599
Mathematics			
Grade 3	94%		519
Grade 4	94%		530
Grade 5	84%		5 59
Grade 6	86%		599
Grade 7	83%		■ 609
Grade 8	79%		l 614
Science			
Grade 4	99%		526
Grade 8	78%		496
	_	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	81%		632
Mathematics	86%		632
	Percentage of who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	83%		632

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	ır		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	507	98%	87%	10%						
Female	253	99%	91%	11%						
Male	254	98%	83%	9%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	12	92%	83%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	44	91%	80%	0%	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	100%	19%						
White	435	99%	88%	11%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••	•••••					
General-Education Students	420	100%	93%	12%						
Students with Disabilities	87	92%	57%	0%						
English Proficient	503	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	4			<u> </u>						
Economically Disadvantaged	100	97%	76%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	407	99%	90%	11%	•••••	•••••••••				
Migrant										
Not Migrant	507	98%	87%	10%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_		_	This tost	was not giv	on in 200	14-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	т	_	_	_	iiis test	was not giv	en in 200	74-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	14	12	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	519	99%	94%	39%					
Female	253	99%	96%	40%					
Male	266	99%	93%	38%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	10	100%	90%	30%					
Hispanic or Latino	54	100%	87%	22%	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	100%	65%					
White	438	99%	95%	40%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.	
Small Group Totals	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••	•••••				
General-Education Students	430	100%	98%	44%					
Students with Disabilities	89	96%	79%	10%					
English Proficient	502	99%	95%	39%					
Limited English Proficient	17	100%	76%	29%					
Economically Disadvantaged	110	100%	90%	20%					
Not Disadvantaged	409	99%	96%	44%	••••••	•••••			
Migrant									
Not Migrant	519	99%	94%	39%	••••••	•••••			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accocciments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Total Number scoring at level(
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	<u>r</u>		2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4					
All Students	514	98%	86%	7%						
Female	237	99%	87%	8%						
Male	277	97%	84%	6%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	_	-						
Black or African American	11	_		-						
Hispanic or Latino	Latino 43 100% 84% 2% New assessments for elemen									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	93%	21%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
White	443	98%	86%	7%	administered in 2006. Results from					
Small Group Totals	14	93%	86%	0%	these assessments cannot be directly					
General-Education Students	436	99%	91%	8%	compared to results from previously					
Students with Disabilities	78	92%	59%	1%	administered assessments.					
English Proficient	514	98%	86%	7%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	107	94%	78%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged	407	99%	88%	9%						
Migrant										
Not Migrant	514	98%	86%	7%	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	4				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	_	_	_	0				
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	13	13	13	N/A	17	15	14	N/A	
Grade 4									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	ır		2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	: level(s):	Total		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Teste	ed	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	530	99%	94%	41%						
Female	242	99%	93%	39%						
Male	288	99%	95%	43%			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-						
Black or African American	12	_	_							
Hispanic or Latino	46	100%	89%	28%	N	ew asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ıry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	100%	53%		and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
White	452	99%	94%	42%	ac	dministe	red in 2006	5. Results	from	
Small Group Totals	15	100%	100%	47%			essments c		,	
General-Education Students	448	100%	96%	47%		•	I to results	•	iously	
Students with Disabilities	82	98%	80%	12%	ac	aministe	red assessr	nents.		
English Proficient	518	99%	94%	41%						
Limited English Proficient	12	100%	83%	42%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	116	98%	92%	34%						
Not Disadvantaged	414	100%	94%	43%	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	530	99%	94%	41%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	mber scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	0				

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	526	100%	99%	79%	554	99%	96%	66%	
Female	242	100%	99%	78%	278	99%	97%	66%	
Male	284	100%	99%	81%	276	99%	96%	65%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3			_	3	_			
Black or African American	12	-	-	-	9	100%	100%	44%	
Hispanic or Latino	47	100%	96%	74%	59	95%	95%	51%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	100%	82%	9	_	_	_	
White	447	100%	99%	79%	474	100%	96%	68%	
Small Group Totals	15	100%	100%	93%	12	100%	100%	50%	
General-Education Students	449	100%	99%	83%	475	100%	99%	71%	
Students with Disabilities	77	100%	97%	58%	79	96%	84%	32%	
English Proficient	513	100%	99%	80%	534	99%	97%	66%	
Limited English Proficient	13	100%	85%	54%	20	90%	80%	50%	
Economically Disadvantaged	115	100%	97%	72%	79	95%	94%	52%	
Not Disadvantaged	411	100%	100%	82%	475	100%	97%	68%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	526	100%	99%	79%	554	99%	96%	66%	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total Number scoring at lev			el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	-				O				

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	·		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	550	97%	77%	10%					
Female	274	97%	80%	9%					
Male	276	97%	73%	11%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-					
Black or African American	12	92%	50%	8%					
Hispanic or Latino	54	94%	59%	2%	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	_	_	_					
White	473	98%	79%	11%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.	
Small Group Totals	11	100%	91%	9%	•••••				
General-Education Students	460	99%	82%	12%					
Students with Disabilities	90	90%	48%	2%	•••••				
English Proficient	549	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	1	-			•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	92	92%	61%	4%					
Not Disadvantaged	458	98%	80%	11%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant	1	-	-	-					
Not Migrant	549			- -	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	J		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	14-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	-				11115 105				
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	12	10	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	1				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	559	96%	84%	18%						
Female	279	96%	84%	15%						
Male	280	96%	83%	21%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	_	-						
Black or African American	12	100%	75%	8%						
Hispanic or Latino	58	90%	74%	7%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	_	_	_						
White	476	97%	84%	19%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals	13	100%	100%	31%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
General-Education Students	468	99%	88%	20%						
Students with Disabilities	91	86%	58%	5%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	547	97%	84%	18%						
Limited English Proficient	12	58%	58%	8%	• • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	99	93%	79%	11%						
Not Disadvantaged	460	97%	85%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant	1	-	_	-						
Not Migrant	558		_	_	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4						
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	583	98%	80%	20%								
Female	278	100%	84%	21%								
Male	305	97%	75%	18%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-								
Black or African American	13	100%	62%	0%				i				
Hispanic or Latino	33	94%	67%	12%	•••••			İ				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	_	_	_				Ì				
White	529	98%	81%	20%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004					
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	25%	•••••			·				
General-Education Students	499	99%	87%	23%								
Students with Disabilities	84	93%	35%	1%	•••••			Ì				
English Proficient	583	98%	80%	20%								
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••				Ì				
Economically Disadvantaged	87	97%	68%	13%								
Not Disadvantaged	496	98%	82%	21%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Migrant	1	-	_	-								
Not Migrant	582	-	-	<u> </u>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4 3-		4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This tos	t was not air	on in 200	M-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3			_	This test was not given in 2004-05				
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	17	17	16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	599	98%	86%	21%					
Female	285	99%	87%	19%					
Male	314	97%	85%	23%			•••••••••••	•••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	12	100%	67%	0%	• • • • • • •				
Hispanic or Latino	42	83%	62%	14%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	_	_	_				İ	
White	534	99%	88%	22%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	
Small Group Totals	11	100%	91%	36%					
General-Education Students	516	99%	91%	24%					
Students with Disabilities	83	92%	51%	2%					
English Proficient	583	98%	87%	22%					
Limited English Proficient	16	69%	44%	0%				,	
Economically Disadvantaged	98	92%	71%	12%					
Not Disadvantaged	501	99%	89%	23%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant	1	_	-	-					
Not Migrant	598	-					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	595	98%	77%	13%						
Female	287	99%	80%	12%						
Male	308	97%	74%	14%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	6	100%	67%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	52	94%	63%	10%	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	82%	36%						
White	526	98%	78%	13%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••••						
General-Education Students	511	99%	84%	15%						
Students with Disabilities	84	88%	31%	0%						
English Proficient	594	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient	1	_	-	_						
Economically Disadvantaged	111	96%	61%	7%						
Not Disadvantaged	484	98%	80%	15%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	595	98%	77%	13%	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	6		was not giv		•	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	17	16	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Percentage scoring at level(s): d 2-4 3-4 4				
_	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	609	98%	83%	25%						
Female	294	98%	86%	23%						
Male	315	97%	80%	27%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	6	100%	67%	0%				i		
Hispanic or Latino	60	93%	63%	10%				į		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	100%	64%						
White	529	98%	85%	26%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	••••••	•••••••	•••••••	•••••				·		
General-Education Students	524	99%	90%	29%						
Students with Disabilities	85	93%	36%	0%				Ì		
English Proficient	594	98%	84%	26%						
Limited English Proficient	15	80%	47%	7%				İ		
Economically Disadvantaged	123	98%	70%	13%						
Not Disadvantaged	486	98%	86%	28%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	609	98%	83%	25%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):						
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	This test	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
(1115/11). Orace i Equivatent									

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	·			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	599	97%	68%	8%						
Female	271	98%	68%	8%						
Male	328	97%	68%	9%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	11	100%	36%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	49	94%	55%	6%	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ıry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	57%	0%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
White	532	97%	70%	9%	adminis	tered in 200	6. Results 1	from		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ssessments c		,		
General-Education Students	502	100%	78%	10%		ed to results	•	iously		
Students with Disabilities	97	82%	13%	0%	adminis	tered assessi	ments.			
English Proficient	599	97%	68%	8%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	92	96%	54%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged	507	97%	70%	9%	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Migrant										
Not Migrant	599	97%	68%	8%	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	4	4	2	-	-	_	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	15	15	13	N/A	9	9	6	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 S 0	chool Year				
•	Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	: level(s):	Total	coring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	614	98%	79%	14%						
Female	281	96%	78%	14%						
Male	333	99%	80%	15%			••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	12	100%	58%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	53	96%	77%	2%	New asse	ssments for	elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	100%	25%		and middle-level English language				
White	541	98%	80%	16%	administe	ered in 2006.	. Results f	rom		
Small Group Totals	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		essments ca		•		
General-Education Students	516	99%	87%	17%		d to results f	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	98	94%	42%	0%	administe	red assessm	ents.			
English Proficient	600	98%	81%	15%						
Limited English Proficient	14	79%	14%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged	99	99%	70%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged	515	98%	81%	16%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant										
Not Migrant	614	98%	79%	14%	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):					
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	Б	4	4	2				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	4	4	2	_		_	

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	496	97%	78%	18%	499	99%	82%	20%
Female	228	97%	72%	13%	235	98%	80%	17%
Male	268	97%	84%	23%	264	99%	83%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	11	100%	64%	18%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	51	94%	71%	10%	53	98%	83%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	0%	3	_	_	_
White	429	97%	80%	20%	439	99%	82%	21%
Small Group Totals	•••••	••••	••••	•••••	7	100%	71%	14%
General-Education Students	403	98%	87%	22%	416	100%	90%	23%
Students with Disabilities	93	91%	42%	3%	83	94%	42%	4%
English Proficient	481	98%	80%	19%	489	99%	82%	20%
Limited English Proficient	15	60%	13%	0%	10	90%	50%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	90	96%	64%	14%	80	99%	80%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	406	97%	82%	19%	419	99%	82%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	496	97%	78%	18%	499	99%	82%	20%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	4	4	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4 4		2			_	
Regents Science	100	100	100	88	113	113	113	84	


District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 12 86 99 539 668 10 106 359 104 579 667 Feb 2004 Feb 2003 19 131 291 149 590 666




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	4	173	334	98	609	712
Jan 2004	19	179	252	165	615	719
Jan 2003	29	264	245	37	575	697


District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 6 11 191 347 555 690 May 2005 ...5 37 312 242 596 675 May 2004 May 2003 55 312 219 597 668 11



	Number o	f students sco					
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	9	89	431	90	619	737	
May 2004	34	135	359	91	619	730	
May 2003	55	199	286	46	586	719	

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	632	86%	81%	40%	595	80%	75%	35%
Female	305	91%	88%	47%	287	83%	80%	44%
Male	327	82%	75%	33%	308	77%	70%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	80%	80%	20%	11	45%	45%	27%
Hispanic or Latino	50	80%	66%	20%	50	62%	56%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	56%	44%	33%	9	67%	56%	44%
White	563	87%	83%	42%	525	82%	78%	37%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	546	90%	86%	45%	511	85%	81%	41%
Students with Disabilities	86	63%	51%	7%	84	50%	40%	4%
English Proficient	620	87%	82%	40%	566	81%	76%	37%
Limited English Proficient	12	50%	42%	0%	29	66%	59%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	80%	70%	23%				
Not Disadvantaged	563	87%	83%	42%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	632	86%	81%	40%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Number of Students	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	3			-	1			

A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2002 Cohort*					2001 Cohort*			
•	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	632	89%	86%	39%	595	75%	63%	14%		
Female	305	93%	91%	41%	287	79%	67%	15%		
Male	327	86%	82%	37%	308	71%	59%	14%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	10	90%	80%	20%	11	55%	55%	0%		
Hispanic or Latino	50	84%	82%	12%	50	62%	50%	4%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	56%	56%	44%	9	44%	44%	22%		
White	563	90%	87%	41%	525	77%	65%	16%		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••		
General-Education Students	546	92%	91%	43%	511	81%	68%	16%		
Students with Disabilities	86	70%	58%	10%	84	39%	31%	2%		
English Proficient	620	90%	87%	40%	566	75%	63%	14%		
Limited English Proficient	12	42%	42%	0%	29	66%	62%	17%		
Economically Disadvantaged	69	86%	81%	29%						
Not Disadvantaged	563	90%	87%	40%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	632	89%	86%	39%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••		

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002	Cohort
2001	Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	632	83%	1%	2%	6%	8%
	2001	595	73%	0%	5%	13%	9%
Female	2002	305	88%	0%	2%	5%	5%
	2001	287	77%	0%	3%	11%	8%
Male	2002	327	79%	1%	2%	7%	10%
	2001	308	69%	0%	6%	15%	10%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native	.						
Black or	2002	10	70%	0%	0%	20%	10%
African American	2001	11	64%	0%	9%	9%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	50	78%	0%	4%	8%	10%
·	2001	50	44%	0%	12%	30%	14%
Asian or Native	2002	9	56%	0%	11%	11%	22%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	9	56%	0%	11%	22%	11%
White	2002	563	84%	1%	2%	6%	7%
	2001	525	76%	0%	4%	11%	9%
Small Group Totals							
General-Education Students	2002	546	86%	0%	2%	6%	7%
	2001	511	76%	0%	4%	12%	8%
Students with Disabilities	2002	86	69%	5%	2%	8%	16%
	2001	84	54%	0%	7%	23%	17%
English Proficient	2002	620	84%	1%	2%	5%	8%
	2001	566	74%	0%	5%	12%	9%
Limited English Proficient	2002	12	33%	0%	8%	33%	25%
	2001	29	45%	0%	3%	38%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	69	74%	3%	6%	7%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	563	84%	0%	2%	6%	8%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	632	83%	1%	2%	6%	8%

NOTES

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



District	
NY State	Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	548	85%	0%	5%	1%	8%
Female	266	88%	0%	4%	1%	6%
Male	282	83%	0%	5%	1%	11%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	9	89%	0%	11%	0%	0%
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	43	65%	0%	14%	5%	16%
Asian or Native	9	78%	0%	11%	0%	11%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	487	87%	0%	4%	1%	8%
Small Group Totals			••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	***************************************
General-Education Students	477	88%	0%	4%	1%	7%
Students with Disabilities	71	70%	1%	8%	4%	15%
English Proficient	523	86%	0%	5%	1%	8%
Limited English Proficient	25	76%	0%	8%	0%	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	76%	0%	6%	2%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	498	86%	0%	5%	1%	8%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	548	85%	0%	5%	1%	8%

NOTES