



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2005 – 06**

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE  
UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **580203020000**

Superintendent **SHELLEY SAFFER**

Telephone **(631) 474-8105**

Grades **K-12**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: [RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov)

## Use this report to:

### **1 Get District Profile information.**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### **2 Review District Accountability Status.**

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

### **3 View School Accountability Status.**

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

### **4 Review an Overview of District Performance.**

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	308	299	283
Grade 1	309	299	288
Grade 2	310	318	297
Grade 3	334	326	308
Grade 4	296	348	321
Grade 5	310	324	352
Grade 6	302	321	329
Ungraded Elementary	115	0	0
Grade 7	287	322	322
Grade 8	291	294	323
Grade 9	276	311	294
Grade 10	236	295	311
Grade 11	216	243	301
Grade 12	224	246	250
Ungraded Secondary	116	0	0
<b>Total K-12</b>	<b>3930</b>	<b>3946</b>	<b>3979</b>

### Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
<b>Common Branch</b>	23	22	21
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	26	26	24
Mathematics	27	25	27
Science	26	24	25
Social Studies	24	23	26
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	24	25	24
Mathematics	22	25	25
Science	22	19	24
Social Studies	22	25	23

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

## Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	316	8%	346	9%	356	9%
Reduced-Price Lunch	144	4%	145	4%	146	4%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	118	3%	169	4%	172	4%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	2	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	75	2%	77	2%	90	2%
Hispanic or Latino	434	11%	484	12%	557	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	157	4%	159	4%	137	3%
White	3262	83%	3224	82%	3193	80%

\* Not available at the district level.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		95%
Student Suspensions	184	N/A	150	4%	248	6%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
<b>Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers</b>			
Total Number of Core Classes	780	796	1197
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	3%	1%
<b>Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate</b>			
Total Number of Teachers	1	2	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	1%	0%
<b>Individuals Teaching Out of Certification</b>			
Number of Teachers	3	5	1
Percentage of Total	1%	2%	0%
<b>Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate</b>	51%	56%	56%

## Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	287	283	291
Total Other Professional Staff	32	34	34
Total Paraprofessionals*	59	0	0
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	6	6	6

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml).



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002–03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002–03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times [( \text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4} ) \div \text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times [( \text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4} ) \div \text{Count of All Cohort Members}]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

### Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml).

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.



## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

#### ▲ Good Standing

##### Elementary/Middle Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

##### Secondary Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Graduation Rate ▲ Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004–05

2005–06

2006–07

YES

YES

YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
White	✓	✓	–	✓	✓	–
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	✗	✓	–	✓	✓	–
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	✗ 7 of 8	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 1 of 1

#### AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### Accountability Status Levels

##### Federal

- Good Standing ▲
- Improvement (Year 1) ▲
- Improvement (Year 2) ▲
- Improvement (Year 3) ▲
- Improvement (Year 4) ▲
- Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲

##### State

- Good Standing ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

7 of 8

Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts



Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
							2005–06	2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (1991:1937)	✓	✓	99%	✓	161	120		
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (37:36)	✓	–	–	✓	156	106		
Hispanic or Latino (277:251)	✓	✓	97%	✓	131	115		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (70:64)	✓	✓	99%	✓	184	110		
White (1607:1586)	✓	✓	100%	✓	165	119		
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (304:292)	✗	✓	97%	✗	87	116	104	98
Limited English Proficient (71:57)	✓	✓	99%	✓	135	109		
Economically Disadvantaged (326:297)	✓	✓	98%	✓	129	116		
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✗ 7 of 8							

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

8 of 8

Student groups making AYP in Mathematics



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06      2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (1986:1908)	✓	✓	99%	✓	165	84	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (37:35)	✓	–	–	✓	131	70	
Hispanic or Latino (274:254)	✓	✓	99%	✓	132	79	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (71:64)	✓	✓	99%	✓	184	74	
White (1604:1555)	✓	✓	99%	✓	170	83	
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (306:292)	✓	✓	99%	✓	98	80	
Limited English Proficient (69:58)	✓	✓	100%	✓	74	73	
Economically Disadvantaged (328:298)	✓	✓	98%	✓	126	80	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 8 of 8						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status













## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2006–07)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]




### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
								2005–06	2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (666:625)		Qualified		98%		181	100		
<b>Ethnicity</b>									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (9:9)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Hispanic or Latino (99:84)		Qualified		96%		143	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (23:20)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
White (535:512)		Qualified		98%		188	100		
<b>Other Groups</b>									
Students with Disabilities (121:109)		Qualified		97%		145	100		
Limited English Proficient (26:21)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (115:100)		Qualified		96%		154	100		
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

3 of 3

Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
							2005–06	2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (230:231)	✓	✓	100%	✓	185	147		
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (5:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (22:25)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (189:187)	✓	✓	100%	✓	188	146		
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (42:41)	✓	✓	100%	✓	139	139		
Limited English Proficient (12:9)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (21:25)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students  
to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level Mathematics

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

3 of 3

Student groups making AYP in Mathematics



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
							2005–06	2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (230:231)	✓	✓	98%	✓	179	139		
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (5:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (22:25)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (189:187)	✓	✓	99%	✓	187	138		
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (42:41)	✓	✓	100%	✓	146	131		
Limited English Proficient (12:9)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (21:25)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students  
to Determine AYP Status






## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Indicator**  
**(2006–07)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	Graduation		Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate <sup>2</sup>	State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (243)			84%	55%	
<b>Ethnicity</b>					
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		–	–	–	– –
Black or African American (6)		–	–	–	– –
Hispanic or Latino (29)		–	–	–	– –
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13)		–	–	–	– –
White (194)			89%	55%	
<b>Other Groups</b>					
Students with Disabilities (50)			64%	55%	
Limited English Proficient (14)		–	–	–	– –
Economically Disadvantaged (15)		–	–	–	– –
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 1 of 1				

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

# 3 School Accountability Status

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## 2006–07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

### New York State Status

#### Good Standing

6 schools identified 100% of total

BOYLE ROAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

CLINTON AVENUE SCHOOL

COMSEWOGUE HIGH SCHOOL

JOHN F. KENNEDY MIDDLE SCHOOL

NORWOOD AVENUE SCHOOL







TERRYVILLE ROAD SCHOOL









District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Summary of 2005–06 District Performance



Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.



English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	74%			296
Grade 4	69%			319
Grade 5	69%			349
Grade 6	70%			310
Grade 7	70%			310
Grade 8	52%			312


### Mathematics

Grade 3	82%		307
Grade 4	76%		330
Grade 5	74%		337
Grade 6	63%		327
Grade 7	73%		319
Grade 8	66%		326

### Science

Grade 4	91%		334
Grade 8	71%		269

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	85%			247
Mathematics	80%			247

Graduation Rate	Percentage of students who graduated			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
2002 Cohort	85%			247

## About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


## This District's N/RC Category:

### Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>7%</b>				
Female	145	94%	76%	9%				
Male	151	91%	72%	5%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino	34	82%	56%	6%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	—	—	—				
White	243	94%	75%	7%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	19	100%	89%	5%				
General-Education Students	255	97%	82%	8%				
Students with Disabilities	41	66%	22%	0%				
English Proficient	295	—	—	—				
Limited English Proficient	1	—	—	—				
Economically Disadvantaged	48	88%	56%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	248	94%	77%	7%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	296	93%	74%	7%				

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 3	12	6	3	N/A				
					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>24%</b>				
Female	150	96%	82%	23%				
Male	157	95%	82%	25%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino	44	84%	61%	7%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	—	—	—				
White	243	98%	85%	26%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	20	95%	90%	40%				
General-Education Students	264	97%	88%	27%				
Students with Disabilities	43	86%	47%	7%				
English Proficient	294	97%	84%	25%				
Limited English Proficient	13	69%	38%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	57	82%	63%	9%				
Not Disadvantaged	250	98%	86%	28%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	307	95%	82%	24%				

### NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	—	—	—	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>9%</b>				
Female	169	92%	75%	12%				
Male	150	87%	63%	7%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino	40	75%	28%	8%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	—	—	—				
White	265	92%	75%	10%				
Small Group Totals	14	100%	86%	7%				
General-Education Students	267	99%	80%	11%				
Students with Disabilities	52	46%	15%	0%				
English Proficient	318	—	—	—				
Limited English Proficient	1	—	—	—				
Economically Disadvantaged	50	70%	30%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	269	94%	77%	10%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	319	90%	69%	9%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				2	—	—	—
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 4	11	8	6	N/A	5	5	3	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>21%</b>				
Female	173	91%	74%	17%				
Male	157	90%	78%	24%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino	52	67%	38%	15%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	—	—	—				
White	263	95%	83%	22%				
Small Group Totals	15	93%	80%	20%				
General-Education Students	274	96%	84%	24%				
Students with Disabilities	56	61%	36%	5%				
English Proficient	318	92%	78%	21%				
Limited English Proficient	12	58%	25%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	61	70%	48%	11%				
Not Disadvantaged	269	95%	82%	23%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	330	90%	76%	21%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				2	—	—	—

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>38%</b>
Female	174	99%	89%	56%	181	99%	88%	38%
Male	160	98%	93%	58%	168	99%	90%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	—	—	—	12	100%	92%	33%
Hispanic or Latino	52	92%	69%	25%	32	100%	75%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	—	—	—	11	100%	100%	55%
White	266	100%	95%	62%	294	99%	90%	40%
Small Group Totals	16	94%	94%	69%				
General-Education Students	278	99%	94%	62%	300	100%	90%	42%
Students with Disabilities	56	95%	75%	34%	49	94%	84%	14%
English Proficient	322	99%	93%	59%	342	99%	89%	39%
Limited English Proficient	12	75%	50%	8%	7	100%	71%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	62	94%	71%	29%	44	100%	77%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	272	99%	96%	63%	305	99%	91%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	334	98%	91%	57%	349	99%	89%	38%

### NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				2	—	—	—

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>11%</b>				
Female	184	96%	73%	12%				
Male	165	93%	65%	10%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	100%	50%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	32	84%	59%	3%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	92%	17%				
White	295	96%	70%	12%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	302	98%	77%	12%				
Students with Disabilities	47	72%	17%	2%				
English Proficient	349	95%	69%	11%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	47	81%	51%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	302	97%	72%	12%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	349	95%	69%	11%				

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments


	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 5	8	7	5	N/A				
					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.



District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>19%</b>				
Female	174	93%	74%	17%				
Male	163	93%	75%	21%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	88%	25%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	38	79%	66%	8%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	100%	55%				
White	280	95%	76%	20%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	289	97%	80%	22%				
Students with Disabilities	48	69%	40%	0%				
English Proficient	330	94%	76%	19%				
Limited English Proficient	7	43%	14%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	50	84%	60%	10%				
Not Disadvantaged	287	94%	77%	21%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	337	93%	74%	19%				

### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>19%</b>				
Female	152	96%	76%	24%				
Male	158	96%	65%	13%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	80%	40%	20%				
Hispanic or Latino	38	92%	50%	11%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	89%	33%				
White	258	97%	73%	19%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	265	100%	80%	22%				
Students with Disabilities	45	73%	11%	0%				
English Proficient	310	96%	70%	19%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	36	83%	42%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged	274	98%	74%	20%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	310	96%	70%	19%				

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 6	13	10	10	N/A				
					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>11%</b>				
Female	156	91%	72%	13%				
Male	171	80%	55%	10%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	50%	17%	17%				
Hispanic or Latino	51	73%	51%	2%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	80%	30%				
White	260	88%	66%	12%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	279	94%	73%	13%				
Students with Disabilities	48	38%	6%	0%				
English Proficient	313	88%	65%	12%				
Limited English Proficient	14	36%	29%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	52	60%	37%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	275	90%	68%	13%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	327	85%	63%	11%				

### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>8%</b>				
Female	171	99%	71%	11%				
Male	139	96%	68%	6%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	100%	75%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	29	97%	55%	3%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	70%	20%				
White	263	97%	71%	9%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	275	99%	75%	9%				
Students with Disabilities	35	83%	29%	0%				
English Proficient	310	97%	70%	8%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	44	91%	41%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged	266	98%	75%	9%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	310	97%	70%	8%				

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 7	10	7	4	N/A				
					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>18%</b>				
Female	175	95%	75%	18%				
Male	144	93%	71%	18%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	88%	75%	13%				
Hispanic or Latino	40	78%	63%	10%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	82%	27%				
White	260	97%	74%	19%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	285	96%	79%	20%				
Students with Disabilities	34	79%	26%	0%				
English Proficient	309	96%	75%	19%				
Limited English Proficient	10	30%	10%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	51	78%	53%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	268	97%	77%	21%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	319	94%	73%	18%				

### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>312</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>3%</b>				
Female	147	95%	63%	5%				
Male	165	90%	42%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	83%	50%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	33	82%	24%	3%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	78%	11%				
White	264	94%	55%	3%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	254	98%	61%	4%				
Students with Disabilities	58	67%	12%	0%				
English Proficient	312	92%	52%	3%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	38	95%	34%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	274	92%	55%	4%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	312	92%	52%	3%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 8	14	10	8	N/A	11	7	3	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>12%</b>				
Female	159	89%	68%	16%				
Male	167	92%	64%	9%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	67%	50%	17%				
Hispanic or Latino	45	71%	40%	4%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	80%	50%				
White	265	94%	70%	12%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	264	95%	77%	15%				
Students with Disabilities	62	68%	21%	0%				
English Proficient	313	92%	68%	12%				
Limited English Proficient	13	38%	8%	8%				
Economically Disadvantaged	52	75%	35%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	274	93%	72%	14%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	326	90%	66%	12%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–



District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>20%</b>
Female	128	93%	70%	10%	122	96%	72%	15%
Male	141	92%	72%	21%	120	93%	82%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	—	—	—	6	100%	67%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	41	68%	37%	2%	33	79%	55%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	—	—	—	7	100%	71%	43%
White	219	98%	77%	17%	196	96%	81%	20%
Small Group Totals	9	78%	78%	44%				
General-Education Students	209	95%	82%	20%	191	97%	83%	24%
Students with Disabilities	60	85%	32%	2%	51	82%	55%	6%
English Proficient	255	96%	74%	17%	230	96%	80%	21%
Limited English Proficient	14	36%	14%	0%	12	58%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	47	79%	47%	6%	37	84%	54%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	222	95%	76%	18%	205	96%	81%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	269	93%	71%	16%	242	94%	77%	20%

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
Regents Science	47	47	47	33	53	53	53	26

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

### Grade 4

#### This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
Range: 603-800	645-800	692-800

#### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
-----	-----	---



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	10	80	170	85	345	669
Feb 2004	21	84	172	39	316	655
Feb 2003	10	77	151	83	321	666

### Grade 8

#### This School


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
Range: 658-830	697-830	737-830

#### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
-----	-----	---



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	11	123	127	29	290	702
Jan 2004	10	109	143	34	296	708
Jan 2003	11	99	142	29	281	702

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

### Grade 4

#### This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
Range: 602-810	637-810	678-810

#### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
-----	-----	---



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	5	24	189	132	350	670
May 2004	14	49	165	93	321	661
May 2003	6	18	171	134	329	672

### Grade 8

#### This School


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
Range: 681-882	716-882	760-882

#### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
-----	-----	---




#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	25	62	192	19	298	724
May 2004	14	79	177	31	301	727
May 2003	29	86	152	20	287	719

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



## Results by Student Group

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>41%</b>
Female	126	93%	89%	41%	125	82%	78%	42%
Male	121	87%	82%	28%	125	80%	78%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
Black or African American	6	—	—	—	8	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	28	79%	71%	11%	30	57%	50%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	87%	80%	60%	12	92%	92%	58%
White	197	92%	88%	36%	199	85%	82%	45%
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	43%	9	56%	56%	11%
General-Education Students	202	96%	94%	42%	198	93%	90%	51%
Students with Disabilities	45	64%	49%	4%	52	33%	29%	4%
English Proficient	234	91%	87%	36%	236	83%	81%	43%
Limited English Proficient	13	62%	54%	15%	14	36%	29%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	92%	88%	13%				
Not Disadvantaged	223	90%	85%	37%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	247	90%	85%	35%				

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



## Results by Student Group

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>23%</b>
Female	126	90%	80%	12%	125	82%	75%	22%
Male	121	82%	79%	17%	125	81%	78%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
Black or African American	6	—	—	—	8	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	28	68%	57%	7%	30	63%	57%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	93%	87%	47%	12	92%	92%	58%
White	197	89%	84%	13%	199	85%	81%	23%
Small Group Totals	7	57%	29%	0%	9	44%	33%	0%
General-Education Students	202	92%	88%	17%	198	94%	89%	28%
Students with Disabilities	45	58%	42%	0%	52	31%	31%	2%
English Proficient	234	87%	81%	14%	236	83%	79%	24%
Limited English Proficient	13	69%	62%	15%	14	43%	36%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	71%	54%	13%				
Not Disadvantaged	223	87%	83%	14%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	247	86%	80%	14%				

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

## Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
<b>All Students</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>4%</b>
	<b>2001</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Female	2002	126	88%	1%	2%	6%	3%
	2001	125	81%	2%	2%	11%	4%
Male	2002	121	82%	1%	3%	8%	6%
	2001	125	79%	0%	9%	10%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2002	1	—	—	—	—	—
	2001	1	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	2002	6	—	—	—	—	—
	2001	8	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	2002	28	61%	4%	11%	18%	7%
	2001	30	57%	3%	10%	20%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2002	15	80%	0%	7%	7%	7%
	2001	12	92%	0%	0%	8%	0%
White	2002	197	89%	1%	1%	6%	4%
	2001	199	85%	1%	5%	8%	2%
Small Group Totals	2002	7	86%	0%	0%	14%	0%
	2001	9	33%	0%	22%	33%	11%
General-Education Students	2002	202	89%	0%	1%	5%	4%
	2001	198	85%	0%	2%	9%	4%
Students with Disabilities	2002	45	67%	4%	7%	16%	7%
	2001	52	60%	4%	19%	15%	2%
English Proficient	2002	234	87%	0%	2%	6%	4%
	2001	236	83%	0%	5%	9%	3%
Limited English Proficient	2002	13	54%	8%	8%	23%	8%
	2001	14	36%	7%	14%	36%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	24	83%	4%	0%	8%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	223	85%	0%	3%	7%	4%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	247	85%	1%	2%	7%	4%


### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District **BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
<b>All Students</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>7%</b>
Female	126	83%	2%	4%	6%	6%
Male	127	83%	1%	8%	0%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	8	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	33	61%	6%	15%	6%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	92%	0%	0%	0%	8%
White	198	88%	1%	4%	2%	6%
Small Group Totals	9	33%	0%	22%	22%	22%
General-Education Students	200	88%	0%	3%	2%	8%
Students with Disabilities	53	64%	6%	19%	6%	6%
English Proficient	237	85%	1%	5%	2%	7%
Limited English Proficient	16	50%	6%	19%	13%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	76%	6%	0%	18%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	236	83%	1%	6%	2%	8%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	253	83%	1%	6%	3%	7%

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.