

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT
District ID 580209020000
Superintendent CARLA D'AMBROSIO
Telephone (631) 744-1600
Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District
Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Wiew School
Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	289	278	282
Grade 1	287	275	271
Grade 2	264	281	271
Grade 3	282	254	279
Grade 4	293	270	255
Grade 5	268	286	261
Grade 6	290	260	288
Ungraded Elementary	75	89	94
Grade 7	302	284	257
Grade 8	293	278	284
Grade 9	258	306	292
Grade 10	243	265	276
Grade 11	207	237	243
Grade 12	200	219	219
Ungraded Secondary	43	35	44
Total K-12	3594	3617	3616

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	25	23	24
Grade 8			
English	26	24	25
Mathematics	26	23	26
Science	24	25	27
Social Studies	26	23	27
Grade 10			
English	25	23	26
Mathematics	22	23	22
Science	24	22	25
Social Studies	25	25	23

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	2004-05		5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	256	7%	257	7%	287	8%
Reduced-Price Lunch	149	4%	161	4%	151	4%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	43	1%	44	1%	62	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	1	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	36	1%	44	1%	43	1%
Hispanic or Latino	109	3%	106	3%	135	4%
Asian or Native	27	1%	28	1%	31	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3422	95%	3438	95%	3404	94%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		94%		95%
Student Suspensions	199	N/A	174	5%	153	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	548	593	873
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	46%	49%	52%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	241	263	267
Total Other Professional Staff	33	32	36
Total Paraprofessionals*	36	47	58
Assistant Principals	5	6	6
Principals	4	4	4

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006-07)

Good Standing

Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
 Math	♠ Good Standing	Math Good Standing	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	V	✓	V
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_				
Black or African American	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	•••••••
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	•••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	- -	••••••	_	- -	••••••
White	V	/	•••••••••	V	V	•••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	V	V		_	_	
Limited English Proficient	_		•••••••	_	- -	•••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	V	/	•••••••••	- -	- -	••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 5 of 5	✓ 5 of 5	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Improvement (Year 3) 🔨

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

Improvement (Year 4) ∧

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		es
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (1684:1656)	✓ ✓	<u>✓</u>	99%	<u>/</u>	165	119	2003 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								1
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (21:16)	_	_	_	_	_	_	•••••••	_
Hispanic or Latino (53:49)	V	V	100%	V	118	108	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:13)	_	_	_	_	_	_	•••••••••	<u> </u>
White (1596:1577)	/	/	99%	V	166	119	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (281:275)	/	✓	98%	X	98	115	111	108
Limited English Proficient (26:22)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	•••••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (272:259)	/	/	98%	V	141	115	•••••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 5 of 5							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject		
(2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation ²		rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (1681:1641)	V	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	160	83		,
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (21:16)	-	_	-	_	-	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (52:48)	/	V	98%	V	113	72	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:13)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	••••••••	_
White (1594:1563)	/	V	99%	V	161	83	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (281:271)	/	V	97%	V	106	79		
Limited English Proficient (26:21)	- -	_	_	_	-	_	••••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (272:255)	/	V	99%	V	132	79	••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 5 of 5							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Perf	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005-06 2006-07		
All Students (565:525)	/	Qualified		95%	<u> </u>	190	100			
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (10:8)		_	-	-	-	_	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino (17:12)		_	_	_	_	_	<u> </u>	- -		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:4)		-	_	_	_	_	- -	_		
White (533:501)		Qualified	~	95%	V	192	100	• •••••••••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (91:82)		Qualified	V	90%	~	174	100			
Limited English Proficient (8:5)		_	-	-	_	-	_	_		
Economically Disadvantaged (88:79)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	95%	V	181	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1								

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation ²		rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (222:223)	<u>/</u>	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	182	147		2000 07
Ethnicity								1
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:2)	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (5:4)	_	_	-	_	-		_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	_	-	_	_	_		_
White (210:213)	/	V	99%	V	182	146	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (20:20)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged (14:15)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (222:223)	<u>/</u>	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	190	139		2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:2)	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (5:4)	_	_	-	_	-		_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	_	_	-	_	_	_		_
White (210:213)	/	V	99%	V	190	138	••••••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (20:20)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged (14:15)	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator	^	Good Standing
(2006-07) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
•	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP Criterion		Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (242)	~	V	83%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (3)		_	-	-	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino (16)	• • • • • • •	_	-	<u> </u>	-	- -		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2)		_	-	_	_	_		
White (221)	• • • • • • • •	V	86%	55%		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (20)		_	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (3)		_ 	-	_	_	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (22)		_	-	-	_	_		
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1						

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status



Good Standing

4 schools identified 100% of total

FRANK J. CARASITI ELEMENTARY SCHOOL JOSEPH A. EDGAR IMTERMEDIATE SCHOOL ROCKY POINT HIGH SCHOOL ROCKY POINT MIDDLE SCHOOL

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005-06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	_	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	70%		287
Grade 4	75%		264
Grade 5	76%		270
Grade 6	70%		279
Grade 7	64%		256
Grade 8	64%		283
Mathematics			
Grade 3	85%		295
Grade 4	81%		■ 268
Grade 5	78%		272
Grade 6	53%		282
Grade 7	59%		257
Grade 8	48%		285
Science			
Grade 4	98%		249
Grade 8	81%		224
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	79%		240
Mathematics	87%		240
	Percentage o	of students	2002
	who graduat	ed	Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	81%	,	240

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004–05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
287	92%	70%	4%						
133	96%	77%	7%						
154	88%	64%	2%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••			
4	_		_						
8	75%	25%	0%	•••••					
		_	_	•••••					
۷		_ 	_ 						
273	92%	72%	4%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.		
6	100%	50%	0%						
246	98%	78%	5%						
41	54%	22%	0%						
287	92%	70%	4%						
•••••	••••	••••••							
44	86%	52%	0%						
243	93%	73%	5%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
287	92%	70%	4%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
	Total Tested 287 133 154 4 8 2 273 6 246 41 287 44 243	Total Tested 2-4 287 92% 133 96% 154 88% 4 - 8 75% 2 - 273 92% 6 100% 246 98% 41 54% 287 92% 44 86% 243 93%	Tested 2-4 3-4 287 92% 70% 133 96% 77% 154 88% 64% 4 8 75% 25% 2 273 92% 72% 6 100% 50% 246 98% 78% 41 54% 22% 287 92% 70% 44 86% 52% 243 93% 73%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 287 92% 70% 4% 133 96% 77% 7% 154 88% 64% 2% 4 8 75% 25% 0% 2 273 92% 72% 4% 6 100% 50% 0% 246 98% 78% 5% 41 54% 22% 0% 287 92% 70% 4% 486% 52% 0% 243 93% 73% 5%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 287 92% 70% 4% 133 96% 77% 7% 154 88% 64% 2% 4 8 75% 25% 0% 2 273 92% 72% 4% This tested 6 100% 50% 0% 246 98% 78% 5% 41 54% 22% 0% 287 92% 70% 4% 44 86% 52% 0% 243 93% 73% 5%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 287 92% 70% 4% 133 96% 77% 7% 154 88% 64% 2% 4 8 75% 25% 0% 2 273 92% 72% 4% This test was not given for the second of the	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 287 92% 70% 4% 133 96% 77% 7% 154 88% 64% 2% 4 8 75% 25% 0% 2 273 92% 72% 4% 6 100% 50% 0% 246 98% 78% 5% 41 54% 22% 0% 287 92% 70% 4% 44 86% 52% 0% 243 93% 73% 5%		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	Tested 2	2-4	3-4	_	Tested This test	2-4 was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4)4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	8	6	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	295	97%	85%	23%						
Female	138	99%	83%	25%						
Male	157	95%	87%	20%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	4	-	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	12	92%	58%	8%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	<u> </u>						
White	276	97%	86%	24%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	14%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
General-Education Students	252	100%	90%	25%						
Students with Disabilities	43	79%	53%	9%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	288	97%	86%	23%						
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	43%	14%	• • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	52	90%	65%	15%						
Not Disadvantaged	243	98%	89%	24%		•••••	•••••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	295	97%	85%	23%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	2004-05 School Year							
Accocciments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	2005-06 School Year					2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Percentage scoring				g at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Test	ed	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	264	92%	75%	15%								
Female	121	98%	83%	20%								
Male	143	87%	68%	11%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American	1	_	_	_								
Hispanic or Latino	4		-	-	New assessments for elementary-							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	3				a	nd midd	le-level Eng	glish langu	age			
Pacific Islander			_	_ 	a	rts and i	mathematic	s were				
White	256	92%	75%	15%	-		red in 200					
Small Group Totals	8	88%	75%	13%			essments c		-			
General-Education Students	213	98%	87%	19%		•	l to results red assessr	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities	51	67%	25%	0%	а	ummste	red assessi	nents.				
English Proficient	264	92%	75%	15%								
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Economically Disadvantaged	38	87%	50%	11%								
Not Disadvantaged	226	93%	79%	16%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	264	92%	75%	15%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent								
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	5	2	2	N/A	1	-	-	N/A
Grade 4								

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06 School Year					2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			tal	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	sted	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	268	93%	81%	25%							
Female	125	94%	84%	26%							
Male	143	91%	78%	25%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	1	_		_							
Hispanic or Latino	8			- -	New assessments for elementary-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	າ	••••	•••••	••••••		and midd	le-level Eng	glish langu	age		
Pacific Islander	3		_	_		arts and mathematics were					
White	256	93%	82%	25%			red in 2006				
Small Group Totals	12	83%	58%	25%			essments c		•		
General-Education Students	217	97%	87%	30%		•	l to results red assessr	•	iously		
Students with Disabilities	51	75%	55%	4%		aummste	red assessi	nents.			
English Proficient	263	93%	82%	26%							
Limited English Proficient	5	60%	20%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged	43	74%	53%	12%							
Not Disadvantaged	225	96%	86%	28%			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	268	93%	81%	25%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accomments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1			_	3			_

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	249	100%	98%	69%	284	99%	93%	61%	
Female	115	100%	98%	72%	146	99%	94%	59%	
Male	134	99%	98%	67%	138	99%	92%	63%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	_	3	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino	6	_	_	-	5	_	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	_	_	1	-	_	_	
White	240	100%	98%	70%	275	99%	93%	62%	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	44%	9	100%	89%	33%	
General-Education Students	200	100%	99%	76%	246	100%	97%	68%	
Students with Disabilities	49	100%	94%	43%	38	92%	66%	16%	
English Proficient	245	_	_	-	283	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_	_	1	_	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	
Economically Disadvantaged	41	100%	95%	44%	44	100%	98%	45%	
Not Disadvantaged	208	100%	99%	75%	240	99%	92%	64%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	249	100%	98%	69%	284	99%	93%	61%	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	270	96%	76%	12%						
Female	142	98%	82%	13%						
Male	128	95%	70%	12%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_	-	_						
Hispanic or Latino	7	_	_	_	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Pacific Islander										
White	262	96%	77%	13%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals	8	100%	38%	0%						
General-Education Students	223	100%	88%	15%						
Students with Disabilities	47	81%	21%	0%						
English Proficient	270	96%	76%	12%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	40	98%	75%	13%						
Not Disadvantaged	230	96%	77%	12%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	270	96%	76%	12%	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	Tested 3	2-4	3-4	<u> </u>	Tested This tes	2-4 et was not giv	3-4 ven in 200	404-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	2	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	272	95%	78%	19%						
Female	140	95%	76%	14%						
Male	132	95%	80%	25%		••••	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_	-	_	• • • • • • •					
Hispanic or Latino	7			_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • •					
Pacific Islander	т		_ 							
White	263	95%	78%	19%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	9	89%	67%	33%						
General-Education Students	225	99%	86%	23%						
Students with Disabilities	47	74%	38%	4%						
English Proficient	270	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	• • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	42	95%	76%	17%						
Not Disadvantaged	230	95%	78%	20%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	272	95%	78%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S d	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	279	96%	70%	13%				
Female	141	97%	71%	14%				
Male	138	96%	70%	11%			••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	_	-	_				
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander			. .		******			
White	272	96%	71%	13%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	7	100%	29%	14%				
General-Education Students	226	99%	81%	15%				
Students with Disabilities	53	87%	26%	0%				
English Proficient	277	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	2	-	_	_				
Economically Disadvantaged	42	98%	57%	10%				
Not Disadvantaged	237	96%	73%	13%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	279	96%	70%	13%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0			· ·		st was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	282	86%	53%	7%				
Female	142	83%	44%	7%				
Male	140	89%	62%	7%			••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	_	_	-				
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	_	-	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	_					
Pacific Islander				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
White	275	87%	54%	7%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	7	57%	14%	14%				
General-Education Students	229	94%	58%	9%				
Students with Disabilities	53	51%	32%	0%				
English Proficient	279	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3	_	_					
Economically Disadvantaged	43	79%	33%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged	239	87%	56%	8%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	282	86%	53%	7%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	256	96%	64%	9%				
Female	120	96%	73%	13%				
Male	136	96%	56%	5%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_				
Black or African American	4	_	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	7	86%	29%	0%	• • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_				
White	242	96%	64%	9%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	14%				
General-Education Students	217	98%	73%	10%				
Students with Disabilities	39	82%	10%	0%	• • • • • • •			
English Proficient	256	96%	64%	9%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	42	86%	31%	5%				
Not Disadvantaged	214	98%	70%	9%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	256	96%	64%	9%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year	ool Year 2004-05 School					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	J		Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	14-05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	÷				1331 g 233			
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	5	3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	257	91%	59%	11%				
Female	120	93%	64%	14%				
Male	137	88%	55%	7%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	4	_		_				
Hispanic or Latino	11	64%	27%	0%	• • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_				
White	239	92%	60%	10%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	43%				
General-Education Students	218	94%	67%	12%				
Students with Disabilities	39	69%	15%	3%	• • • • • • •			
English Proficient	252	92%	60%	11%				
Limited English Proficient	5	40%	20%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	43	74%	33%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged	214	94%	64%	12%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	257	91%	59%	11%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	283	98%	64%	7%				
Female	139	99%	71%	10%				
Male	144	97%	56%	5%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	88%	38%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	anic or Latino 6 – – New assessments for eleme							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	າ	••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and mid	dle-level Enq	glish langu	age
Pacific Islander	2	_	_ 	_	arts and	mathematic	s were	
White	267	99%	65%	8%		ered in 2006		
Small Group Totals	8	88%	38%	0%		sessments c		•
General-Education Students	250	99%	71%	8%		ed to results tered assessr	•	ously
Students with Disabilities	33	88%	9%	0%	auminis	ereu assessi	nents.	
English Proficient	283	98%	64%	7%				
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged	42	95%	40%	5%				
Not Disadvantaged	241	98%	68%	8%	•	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •
Migrant								
Not Migrant	283	98%	64%	7%	•	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	J	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	т		 		۷			 	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	3	-	-	N/A	2	_	_	N/A	
Grade 8									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	285	91%	48%	8%					
Female	140	92%	44%	11%					
Male	145	90%	52%	6%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	8	_		_	• • • • • • •				
Hispanic or Latino	9	78%	0%	0%	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ıry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_		<u> </u>	and middle-level English language				
Pacific Islander						d mathematic			
White	266	92%	50%	8%		stered in 200			
Small Group Totals	10	70%	40%	10%		ssessments c		•	
General-Education Students	253	94%	53%	9%		ed to results stered assessi	•	iously	
Students with Disabilities	32	66%	13%	3%	auminis	stereu assessi	nents.		
English Proficient	282	_	-	-					
Limited English Proficient	3	_		-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged	45	80%	22%	2%					
Not Disadvantaged	240	93%	53%	9%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant									
Not Migrant	285	91%	48%	8%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	5-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1				2			_	

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05	2004–05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	224	99%	81%	18%	220	100%	87%	27%
Female	107	99%	79%	11%	87	99%	85%	22%
Male	117	99%	83%	24%	133	100%	89%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	88%	63%	13%	2	-		
Hispanic or Latino	8	100%	25%	0%	9		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Pacific Islander					1	_	_	_
White	208	100%	84%	19%	208	100%	87%	27%
Small Group Totals					12	100%	92%	17%
General-Education Students	193	99%	86%	20%	179	100%	92%	31%
Students with Disabilities	31	97%	52%	6%	41	98%	66%	7%
English Proficient	221	-	_	-	219	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	3	_	_	_	1			<u> </u>
Economically Disadvantaged	42	100%	67%	12%	24	96%	79%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	182	99%	85%	19%	196	100%	88%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	224	99%	81%	18%	220	100%	87%	27%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at level(s)		l(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			2				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	т		_		2			_	
Regents Science	60	60	60	51	59	58	58	48	


District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 12 47 155 70 284 670 18 75 168 37 298 657 Feb 2004 Feb 2003 12 83 134 45 274 658




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	5	76	159	42	282	711	
Jan 2004	9	127	114	47	297	705	
Jan 2003	6	100	140	32	278	705	


District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 4 30 122 285 674 May 2005 10 35 181 75 301 May 2004 661 May 2003 6 37 132 102 277 667



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	11	72	172	23	278	727	
May 2004	26	61	185	33	305	726	
May 2003	16	75	146	44	281	728	

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2001 Cohor	2001 Cohort*					
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	240	85%	79%	38%	258	83%	80%	43%
Female	123	89%	86%	46%	125	87%	84%	50%
Male	117	81%	72%	31%	133	79%	76%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	_	_	-	3	_	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	5	60%	40%	20%	17	53%	53%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
White	229	85%	79%	39%	236	85%	82%	46%
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	17%	5	80%	80%	40%
General-Education Students	216	90%	85%	42%	236	86%	85%	47%
Students with Disabilities	24	38%	25%	8%	22	45%	23%	0%
English Proficient	239	_	_	-	254	_	_	-
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	4	_	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	15	80%	73%	27%				
Not Disadvantaged	225	85%	80%	39%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	240	85%	79%	38%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number Number scoring at level			.(s):	
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2002 Cohort*					2001 Cohort*			
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	240	89%	87%	36%	258	83%	81%	18%		
Female	123	89%	89%	41%	125	85%	84%	18%		
Male	117	89%	85%	31%	133	81%	77%	18%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	_	_	_	3	_	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino	5	60%	60%	20%	17	65%	59%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_	2	_	_	_		
White	229	90%	87%	37%	236	84%	82%	19%		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	17%	5	80%	80%	20%		
General-Education Students	216	94%	93%	40%	236	87%	85%	19%		
Students with Disabilities	24	50%	33%	0%	22	36%	36%	5%		
English Proficient	239	-	-	-	254	-	_	-		
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	4	_	-			
Economically Disadvantaged	15	87%	87%	27%						
Not Disadvantaged	225	89%	87%	36%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	240	89%	87%	36%	••••••		•••••	••••••		

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number Number scoring at leve			(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		(s):	
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002	Cohort
2001	Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	240	81%	2%	5%	9%	3%
	2001	258	78%	1%	10%	11%	0%
Female	2002	123	84%	2%	5%	7%	2%
	2001	125	82%	2%	6%	11%	0%
Male	2002	117	79%	2%	6%	11%	3%
	2001	133	74%	1%	14%	11%	1%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
African American	2001	3	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	5	60%	0%	0%	20%	20%
•	2001	17	41%	0%	18%	35%	6%
Asian or Native	2002	4	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	2	_	_	_	_	
White	2002	229	81%	2%	6%	9%	2%
	2001	236	80%	1%	9%	10%	0%
Small Group Totals	2002	6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
·	2001	5	80%	0%	20%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	216	86%	0%	5%	7%	2%
	2001	236	82%	0%	10%	8%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2002	24	42%	17%	8%	25%	8%
	2001	22	27%	14%	9%	45%	5%
English Proficient	2002	239	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	254			<u>.</u>	<u>-</u>	
Limited English Proficient	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	4	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	15	80%	0%	0%	13%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	225	81%	2%	6%	9%	2%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	240	81%	2%	5%	9%	3%

NOTES

District ROCKY POINT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	255	84%	2%	10%	2%	3%
Female	124	89%	2%	6%	1%	3%
Male	131	79%	2%	14%	3%	3%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	3	_	_			_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	17	53%	0%	12%	18%	18%
Asian or Native	2	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	233	86%	2%	9%	1%	2%
Small Group Totals	5	80%	0%	20%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	233	88%	0%	10%	1%	1%
Students with Disabilities	22	41%	18%	9%	9%	23%
English Proficient	251	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	4	_	_		_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	22	86%	5%	0%	9%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	233	83%	1%	11%	1%	3%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	255	84%	2%	10%	2%	3%

NOTES