

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District COMMACK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 580410030000 Superintendent JAMES FELTMAN Telephone (631) 912-2010 Grades K-12, UE, US

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

## Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

## Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



## View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

## Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

# **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	602	556	557
Grade 1	571	606	554
Grade 2	598	584	614
Grade 3	618	605	588
Grade 4	581	624	606
Grade 5	580	582	621
Grade 6	610	594	590
Ungraded Elementary	93	108	128
Grade 7	587	608	609
Grade 8	543	590	597
Grade 9	578	530	603
Grade 10	520	582	525
Grade 11	487	501	581
Grade 12	521	466	503
Ungraded Secondary	22	25	23
Total K–12	7511	7561	7699

## Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

## **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	22	24	23
Grade 8			
English	23	25	24
Mathematics	21	23	24
Science	23	23	22
Social Studies	24	25	24
Grade 10			
English	25	23	26
Mathematics	21	22	23
Science	24	27	26
Social Studies	26	25	25

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

# **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	108	1%	101	1%	97	1%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	69	1%	103	1%	101	1%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	62	1%	47	1%	31	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	6	0%	7	0%	
Black or African American	68	1%	74	1%	76	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	183	2%	238	3%	205	3%	
Asian or Native	490	7%	494	7%	521	7%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	6768	90%	6749	89%	6890	89%	

\* Not available at the district level.

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	200	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	80	N/A	136	2%	125	2%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

# **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	1431	1469	2011
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	0	2
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	42%	48%	53%

# **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	584	572	565
Total Other Professional Staff	74	70	69
Total Paraprofessionals*	123	126	122
Assistant Principals	8	8	8
Principals	8	8	8

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

## Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

## 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

## A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

## 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

## 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

## **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

## **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

## Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

## **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

## Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

## **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

## **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

## **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

## **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

## **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

# **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

## Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

~	District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.								
<b>^</b>	<b>District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)</b> A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	•	<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1</b> ) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.						

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds. District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

## District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

.....

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

# 2 District Accountability

District COMMACK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


## Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	🔨 Go	▲ Good Standing							
	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	el.					
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing					
	Math	Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing					
	Science	A Good Standing	Graduation Rate	A Good Standing					
Title I Part A Funding	Years t	he District Received T	itle I Part A Funding						

Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07				
	YES	YES	YES				

## On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	-	–	••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<	••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••		
White	~	<b>~</b>	••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	~	<b>V</b>		<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>			
Limited English Proficient	~	✓	••••••••••••••••••••••	-	–	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<b>V</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••	-	-	••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 7 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	✔ 1 of 1		



# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (3705:3668)	~	~	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	186	120		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (32:28)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (96:94)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	184	112	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (223:217)	<	~	100%	~	194	114		••••
White (3353:3328)	<	<b>~</b>	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	186	120	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (510:494)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	97%	~	147	117		
Limited English Proficient (34:30)	<	_	-	~	183	105		
Economically Disadvantaged (130:123)	<	~	98%	~	181	113		••••
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7							

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (3709:3653)	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	190	84		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (32:27)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (94:90)	~	<	98%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	181	76	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (225:218)	<	~	100%	~	196	78		••••
White (3357:3317)	<	<	99%	<b>~</b>	190	84	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (511:492)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	97%	~	156	81		
Limited English Proficient (34:31)	<	_	-	~	187	69		
Economically Disadvantaged (131:122)	<	~	98%	~	181	77		••••
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7							

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performa	Performance Objectives	
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06 2	006-07
All Students (1246:1227)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	99%	<b>v</b>	188	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (8:6)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (38:37)	• •••••	–	_	-	<	184	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (77:74)		Qualified	~	100%	~	188	100		
White (1122:1109)		Qualified	<	99%	~	189	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (168:163)		Qualified	~	97%	~	174	100		
Limited English Proficient (16:13)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (31:28)	• •••••	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

### NOTES

- **AYP Status** 
  - Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

# Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures 4 of 4		Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (527:509)			99%		195	149	2005-00	2000-07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (8:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino (16:14)	- -	-	-	–	-	–	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (36:33)		-	-	~	200	137	••• ••••••	•••••
White (467:455)	<	<b>~</b>	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	195	149	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (84:80)	~	<b>~</b>	98%	~	179	143		
Limited English Proficient (11:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged (15:14)	–	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
<sup>2</sup> Comparison of the students in the 12th and a statement of the students in the students.

Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

**V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status those two years.
<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

 $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

# **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP Participat		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (527:509)	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	197	141		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (8:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (16:14)		_	-	–	-	–	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (36:33)	<	_	-	~	200	129		••••
White (467:455)	<	<b>~</b>	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	197	141	••• •••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (84:80)	~	<b>~</b>	98%	~	190	135		
Limited English Proficient (11:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (15:14)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

### NOTES

 These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status those two years.
<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

 $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group	Met		Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (474)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	96%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (6)		-	-	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino (25)		-	-	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (46)		~	98%	55%			
White (397)		<	96%	55%			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (63)		~	84%	55%			
Limited English Proficient (4)		-	-	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (15)		_	_	_	-	_	
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1					

### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

SAWMILL INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL

WOOD PARK SCHOOL

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
▲ Good Standing	
8 schools identified 100% of total	
BURR INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL	
COMMACK HIGH SCHOOL	
COMMACK MIDDLE SCHOOL	
INDIAN HOLLOW SCHOOL	
NORTH RIDGE SCHOOL	
ROLLING HILLS SCHOOL	

## Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that r above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	90%		595
Grade 4	90%		619
Grade 5	92%		630
Grade 6	88%		601
Grade 7	85%		594
Grade 8	79%		611
Mathematics			
Grade 3	96%		599
Grade 4	96%		621
Grade 5	92%		630
Grade 6	89%		601
Grade 7	87%		589
Grade 8	86%		610
Science			
Grade 4	99%		620
Grade 8	62%		42
	-	of students that r above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	96%		511
Mathematics	98%		511
	Percentage who gradua	of students ted	2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	96%	I	511

## About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

## This District's N/RC Category:

## **Low Need Districts**

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

# This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentages	ercentage scoring at level(s): -4 3-4 4 2% 69% 69% 7% 7% 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 695	Range:	616-780	650-	780 7	30-780					
	100%	98%	90%			92%				
			90%			5270	69%			
			18%					7%		
Number of Students:		582	536	1	.10					
Number of Students.		302	220		.10					
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
Student Group		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		595	98%	90%	18%					
Female		282	99%	93%	21%					
Male		313	97%	87%	16%					
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive									
Black or African American		2	-		_					
Hispanic or Latino		10								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	26	100%	100%	12%					
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			o <del>-</del>	
White		557	98%	90%	19%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		12	100%	92%	25%					
General-Education Students		512	100%	95%	20%					
Students with Disabilities		83	84%	61%	7%					
English Proficient		591	-	_	-					
Limited English Proficient		4								
Economically Disadvantaged		19	95%	84%	16%					
Not Disadvantaged		576	98%	90%	19%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		595	98%	90%	18%					

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 Sc	School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	6	6	This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	4.05	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0	o				was not yiv		4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	2	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 707	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770					
	100%	99%	96%			94%				
						54%	81%			
				5	9%					
								25%		
								2570		
Number of Students:		592	576	3	52					
Number of Students.		552	510	5	52					
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Yea			
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Grou	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		599	99%	<b>96</b> %	<b>59%</b>					
Female		285	99%	97%	62%					
Male		314	98%	96%	55%					
American Indian or Alaska N	ative									
Black or African American		2								
Hispanic or Latino		11								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her	28	100%	96%	61%					
Pacific Islander			100 /0							
White		558	99%	96%	59%	This te	st was not giv	/en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		13	100%	92%	46%					
General-Education Students		514	100%	99%	63%					
Students with Disabilities		85	92%	76%	35%					
English Proficient		593	99%	96%	59%					
Limited English Proficient		6	100%	67%	17%					
Economically Disadvantaged		21	100%	86%	43%					
Not Disadvantaged		578	99%	97%	59%		•••••	••••••	•••••	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••	599	99%	96%	59%		•••••	••••••	•••••	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year:			2004-05 S	2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

# This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t		NY State Public			
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	NY State Public           Percentage scoring at level           4         2-4         3-4           716-775         91%         69%           10%         69%         69%				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 683	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775				
	100%	99%	90%	10%	91%	69%	9%	
Number of Students:		613	560	63				

Results by	2005-06 \$	School Yea	r		20	04–05 Sc	hool Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total		Percentage scoring at level(s):		vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	sted	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	619	99%	<b>90</b> %	10%								
Female	319	99%	92%	14%								
Male	300	99%	88%	6%								
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-								
Black or African American	5	-	-	-								
Hispanic or Latino	19	100%	84%	21%		New asse	assessments for elementary-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	24	100%	100%	17%		and middle-level English languag						
Pacific Islander		100%	100%	L I 70			nathematic					
White	570	99%	91%	10%			ninistered in 2006. Results fro					
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	0%				nents cannot be directly				
General-Education Students	540	100%	96%	12%		•	to results red assessi	from previ	ously			
Students with Disabilities	79	94%	53%	0%		auministe		nents.				
English Proficient	613	99%	90%	10%								
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	100%	0%								
Economically Disadvantaged	18	94%	83%	0%								
Not Disadvantaged	601	99%	91%	10%								
Migrant												
Not Migrant	619	99%	90%	10%								

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 9	School Year		hool Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			2	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent		-		_	3	-		_
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	2	-	-	N/A	2	-	-	N/A
Grade 4								

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District	:		NY State	Public		
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800				
	100%	99%	96%	49%	93%	78%	26%	
Number of Students:		612	595	304				

Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004–05 School Year			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4	4		
All Students	621	99%	96%	<b>49</b> %				
Female	319	99%	96%	45%				
Male	302	98%	96%	53%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	5	-	-	–	•••••			
Hispanic or Latino	18	94%	89%	39%	New assessments for eleme	ntary-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	26	100%	100%	69%	and middle-level English lar arts and mathematics were	iguage		
White	571	99%	96%		administered in 2006. Resul	ts from		
Small Group Totals	6	83%	83%		these assessments cannot b	-		
General-Education Students	542	100%	99%	53%	compared to results from p	eviously		
Students with Disabilities	79	89%	73%	19%	administered assessments.			
English Proficient	613	99%	96%	49%				
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	100%	50%				
Economically Disadvantaged	18	94%	83%	50%				
Not Disadvantaged	603	99%	96%	49%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	621	99%	96%	49%		••••••		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004-05 <b>S</b> e	chool Year	ear				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s)			l(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-		

# This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 90	Range:	45-100	65-1	3 00	35-100						
■ 2005-06	100%	100%100%	99% s		5% 82%	97% 95%	86% 80		<sup>%</sup> 42%		
2004-05											
Number of Students:		620 631	616	621 5	24 518						
Results by		2005–06 School Year				2004-05 \$	School Yea	ır			
Student Group		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		620	100%	99%	85%	632	100%	<b>98%</b>	82%		
Female		319	100%	99%	86%	305	100%	99%	79%		
Male		301	100%	99%	83%	327	100%	98%	85%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	1									
Black or African American		5	-		-	3	-				
Hispanic or Latino		17	100%	100%	82%	15	-	_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		26	100%	100%	92%	31	100%	100%	90%		
White		571	100%	99%	85%	583	100%	98%	82%		
Small Group Totals	•••••	6	100%	100%	50%	18	100%	94%	72%		
General-Education Students		541	100%	100%	89%	564	100%	99%	85%		
Students with Disabilities	•••••	79	100%	96%	56%	68	99%	88%	59%		
English Proficient		612	100%	99%	85%	629	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8	100%	100%	63%	3	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged		18	100%	100%	67%	22	100%	95%	68%		
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	602	100%	99%	85%	610	100%	98%	82%		
Migrant											

Not Migrant

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

620

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004-05 <b>S</b> e	chool Year	ear				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s)			l(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-		

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

85%

99%

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

100%

. . . . . . . . .

632

82%

98%

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

100%

# This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 691	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795						
	100%	99%	92%			94%					
			5270				67%				
				3	0%						
								12%			
Number of Students:		626	580	1	86						
		2005–06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
Results by		Total Percentage scoring at level(s)			t level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		630	99%	92%	30%						
Female		303	99%	94%	34%						
Male		327	100%	91%	25%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American		3	-	_							
Hispanic or Latino		14	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		32	100%	97%	44%						
Pacific Islander		JZ	100 %	9170		· · · · · · · · ·		·	<u> </u>		
White		581	99%	92%	29%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		17	94%	88%	35%						
General-Education Students		550	100%	97%	32%						
Students with Disabilities		80	95%	61%	10%						
English Proficient		627	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		19	95%	89%	11%						
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	611	100%	92%	30%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		630	99%	92%	30%						

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	٤l(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			This tost	was not giv	on in 200	4.05		
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	د 		-	_		was not yn		4-05.		
New York State English as a Second										
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 5										

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 690	Range:	619-780	650-	780 6	99–780						
	100%	99%	92%			90%					
			5270			90%	68%				
				3	6%			19%			
								19%			
Number of Students:		622	581	2	27						
			ah a al Ma a				Cabaal Vaa				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b>					School Year				
<b>Student Grou</b>	<b>ID</b>	Total Tested	2-4	e scoring at 3–4	4	Total Tested	2-4	scoring at le 3–4	ver(s): 4		
All Students		630	99%	92%	36%	Testeu	2-4	5-4	4		
Female		303	98%	93%	31%						
Male		327	99%	91%	41%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska I	Vative	-									
Black or African American	•••••	3	-								
Hispanic or Latino	••••••		-		-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther	32	100%	100%	63%						
Pacific Islander		JZ	100%	100%		· •······ · ·			<u>-</u>		
White		581	99%	92%	35%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		17	100%	76%	35%						
General-Education Students	;	550	100%	95%	39%						
Students with Disabilities		80	90%	70%	15%						
English Proficient		627	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantage	t t	19	100%	89%	42%						
Not Disadvantaged		611	99%	92%	36%		•••••				
Migrant											
Not Migrant		630	99%	92%	36%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment	3	-		-		was not giv		
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5					nus not gri		1 0 0 .

# This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	level(s):       Percent of the second			coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	۱ <u> </u>	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 681	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785						
	100%	99%	2004			93%					
			88%								
							60%				
				2	3%						
				2	570			12%			
Number of Students:		594	526	1	36						
Number of Students.		554	520		.50				_		
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		601	99%	88%	23%						
Female		277	99%	88%	25%						
Male		324	98%	87%	21%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American		8	88%	63%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		11	100%	91%	27%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•	35	100%	97%	23%						
Pacific Islander		رر 	100 %	J 1 70	2J/0				<u> </u>		
White		547	99%	87%	23%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		527	100%	93%	25%						
Students with Disabilities		74	91%	47%	3%						
English Proficient		596	99%	88%	23%						
Limited English Proficient		5	100%	80%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged		33	100%	88%	9%						
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	568	99%	88%	23%		••••	•••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	601	99%		23%		••••	••••••			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	4	4	This test	is test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentages	scoring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 681	Range:	616-780	650-	780 6	96-780						
	100%	98%	89%			87%					
				2	4%		60%	13%			
Number of Students:		591	534	1	47						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		601	<b>98</b> %	<b>89</b> %	24%						
Female		275	98%	88%	21%						
Male		326	98%	89%	28%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive										
Black or African American		8	100%	50%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		10	100%	80%	30%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er	37	100%	97%	19%						
White	••••••	546	98%	89%	25%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals General-Education Students		528	99%	93%	27%						
Students with Disabilities			90%	56%	7%	• •••••					
English Proficient		595	90%	89%	25%						
imited English Proficient	•••••		100%	100%	17%	• •••••					
Economically Disadvantaged		33	100%	85%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged	•••••		98%	89%	26%	• ••••••	•••••				
Migrant		500	5070	0970	2070						
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		98%			• ••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		
Not Migrant		001	90%	0970	2470						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	5	4	This test	test was not given in 2004-05.			

# This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 680	Range:	600-790	650-7	90	712-790						
	100%	99%	0.5%			92%					
			85%								
							56%				
				:	20%						
								8%			
Number of Students:		588 506 116									
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total Percentage scoring a			at level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		594	99%	85%	20%						
Female		284	99%	84%	22%						
Male		310	99%	86%	17%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		10	100%	80%	20%						
Hispanic or Latino		19	100%	84%	11%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		51	100%	92%	31%						
Pacific Islander		JT				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			~ -		
White		514	99%	85%	19%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		522	100%	91%	22%						
Students with Disabilities		72	92%	42%	1%						
English Proficient		592									
imited English Proficient		2	-	_	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		22	95%	82%	9%						
Not Disadvantaged		572	99%	85%	20%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		594	99%	85%	20%						

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	5	5	This tost y	vac not div	on in 200	1 05	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	ر 	с 			This test was not given in 2004-05.				
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	2	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 683	Range:	611-800	650-8	300 6	93-800						
	100%	99%									
			87%			87%					
							56%				
				31	8%						
								12%			
Number of Students:		585	512	2	25						
De sulta has		2005–06 <b>S</b> e				2004.05	School Year				
Results by				e scoring at				scoring at le	vol(s).		
Student Group		Total Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3–4	4		
All Students	-	589	99%	87%	38%						
Female		282	100%	86%	40%						
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	307	99%	88%	36%		•••••	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska	Native										
Black or African American		9	100%	78%	33%						
Hispanic or Latino		20	95%	80%	40%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/	Other	52	100%	94%	62%						
Pacific Islander						This too	st was not giv	/en in 2004	-05		
White		508	99%	87%	36%		st was not gi	7 cm m 2004	55.		
Small Group Totals General-Education Student	to	518	100%	92%	43%						
Students with Disabilities			94%	54%	4%	• • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient		585	9470	J470	4 70						
Limited English Proficient					_	• • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantage	ed	23	96%	87%	30%						
Not Disadvantaged		<u>25</u> 566	99%	87%	39%	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••		
Migrant			0070	0170	0070						
Not Migrant	••••••		99%	87%	38%		•••••	•••••	•••••		
NOTES		505	5570	0170	0070						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	5		Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	4	4	4	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.

# This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	5):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 675	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790				
	100%	100%				010/			
			79%			91%			
							49%		
				ç	9%			5%	
Number of Chudents		600	402						
Number of Students:		609	482		56				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Gro	սթ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		611	100%	79%	9%				
Female		322	100%	81%	10%				
Male		289	100%	76%	8%				
American Indian or Alaska									
Black or African American	l	3		<u>-</u>					
Hispanic or Latino		21					sessments for		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/	Other	48	100%	88%	10%		Idle-level Eng		age
Pacific Islander							l mathematic tered in 2006		rom
White		539	100%	78%	9%		ssessments c		
Small Group Totals		24 531	100%	83% 84%	4%		ed to results		
General-Education Studen	ts			•••••		adminis	tered assessr	nents.	
Students with Disabilities		80	98%	45%	0%				
English Proficient			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			• •••••			
Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantage	od	4	100%	67%	- 0%				
•••••••••••••••••	eu	<u>12</u> 	100%	79%		• •••••		••••••	•••••
Not Disadvantaged Migrant		222	100%	1970	970				
•••••			100%			• ••••••	••••	•••••	•••••
Not Migrant		011	100%	1970	970				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	4	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	э	_	_	_	4	_	_	_
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	3	-	-	N/A	1	-	-	N/A
Grade 8								

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

# This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage se	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):	
		2-4	3-4	۷	ļ.	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 679	Range:	616-775	650-	775 7	701-775				
	100%	99%							
			86%			85%			
							54%		
				2	3%				
								10%	
Number of Students:		604	525	1	.42				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o			*  =   / - )		School Year		
<b>Student Grou</b>	D	Total	-	e scoring a		Total	-	scoring at le	
All Students		Tested 610	2-4 99%	3-4 <b>86%</b>	4 23%	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
Female		320	99%	87%	23%				
Male	•••••	290	99%	85%	24%	• •••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •
American Indian or Alaska I	Vative	250	5570	0370	2470				
Black or African American		3			-				
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	19			-	New as	sessments for	r elementai	-y-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther		1000/	0.40/	200/		Idle-level Eng		•
Pacific Islander		50	100%	94%	28%		l mathematic		
White		538	99%	86%	23%		tered in 2000		
Small Group Totals		22	100%	82%	14%		ssessments c ed to results		-
General-Education Students		530	100%	92%	27%		tered assessr	•	Justy
Students with Disabilities		80	94%	45%	0%			inerites.	
English Proficient		604	99%	86%	23%				
Limited English Proficient		6	100%	100%	83%				
Economically Disadvantaged	d	13	100%	62%	15%				
Not Disadvantaged		597	99%	87%	23%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		610	99%	86%	23%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
_	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4 4			Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	_	_

# This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 67	Range:	44-100	65-10	8 00	5-100				
<ul> <li>■ 2005-06</li> <li>2004-05</li> </ul>	100%	100% 99%	8 62%	31%	18%	91% 91%	64% 68	18	% <sup>25%</sup>
Number of Students:		42 191	26 1	_	1 35				
Results by		2005-06 S			1 33	2004-05 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Group		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		42	100%	62%	2%	193	99%	81%	18%
Female		24	100%	63%	4%	69	100%	83%	10%
Male		18	100%	61%	0%	124	98%	80%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American						2			
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	_		6	_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		3	-	-	-	9	100%	78%	11%
White		36	100%	58%	3%	176	99%	81%	19%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	6	100%	83%	0%	8	100%	88%	0%
General-Education Students		15	100%	67%	7%	130	100%	88%	25%
Students with Disabilities		27	100%	59%	0%	63	97%	67%	5%
English Proficient		40	-	_	_	191	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		3	-	_	-	16	100%	75%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	39	-	-	-	177	99%	81%	18%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	42	100%	62%	2%	193	99%	81%	18%
Not Migrant		42	100%	62%	2%	193	99%	81%	


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	ichool Year	2004–05 School Year					
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	4	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5			_	4	_	_	_
Regents Science	568	531	480	184	402	400	387	194

# **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	3	55	350	221	629	687	
Feb 2004	3	47	361	175	586	681	
Feb 2003	3	42	288	247	580	685	


	This School			NY State Pub	lic	
	Percentage so	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc	:	
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
<ul> <li>2004-05</li> <li>2003-04</li> <li>2002-03</li> </ul>	99% 99% 99%	82% 85% 78%	32% 20%	93% 93% 91%	48% 47% 45%	9% 11% 8%

### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	4	103	373	117	597	718	
Jan 2004	3	75	285	173	536	726	
Jan 2003	5	123	329	117	574	717	

# **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	el:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	2	13	190	427	632	695	
May 2004	0	14	259	314	587	683	
May 2003	1	19	204	358	582	685	

	This School			NY State Pub	lic	
	Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage sc	:	
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882			
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	98% 99% 98%	81% 86% 75%	17% 18%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 51%	9% 13% 9%

## Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	13	102	385	100	600	736	
May 2004	6	69	314	142	531	745	
May 2003	12	133	321	105	571	736	

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2002 Cohort	100%	97% 97%	96% 94%	54% 54%	76% 74%	69% 68%	28% 33%	
2001 Cohort								

Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	511	97%	<b>96</b> %	54%	489	97%	94%	65%
Female	269	99%	98%	66%	256	98%	96%	68%
Male	242	95%	95%	42%	233	94%	93%	61%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	100%	29%	7	86%	86%	43%
Hispanic or Latino	14	93%	93%	64%	25	100%	100%	44%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	34	100%	100%	65%	48	98%	96%	67%
White	456	97%	96%	54%	409	96%	94%	66%
Small Group Totals	••••••			•••••••			••••••	••••••
General-Education Students	432	99%	99%	62%	419	99%	98%	74%
Students with Disabilities	79	86%	81%	11%	70	83%	71%	10%
English Proficient	510	-	-	-	479	96%	95%	65%
Limited English Proficient	1	–	-	-	10	100%	90%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	86%	86%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged	497	98%	97%	56%				••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	511	97%	96%	54%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 <b>Coho</b> r	t*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number sco	l(s):		
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	Number scoring at le nts 2–4 3–4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_		_	2	_			
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public           Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
<ul> <li>2002 Cohort</li> <li>2001 Cohort</li> </ul>	100%	98% 96%	98% 95%	38% 38%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 <b>Coho</b> r	t*		2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	511	<b>98</b> %	<b>98</b> %	38%	489	96%	<b>95</b> %	<b>38</b> %
Female	269	99%	99%	45%	256	97%	96%	39%
Male	242	98%	96%	29%	233	95%	94%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	100%	29%	7	86%	86%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	93%	36%	25	96%	96%	28%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	34	100%	100%	59%	48	98%	98%	58%
White	456	98%	98%	36%	409	96%	95%	37%
Small Group Totals	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
General-Education Students	432	99%	99%	43%	419	99%	99%	44%
Students with Disabilities	79	94%	89%	8%	70	81%	74%	6%
English Proficient	510	-	-	-	479	96%	95%	39%
Limited English Proficient	1	_	-	–	10	90%	90%	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	93%	93%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged	497	99%	98%	38%			•••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	511	98%	98%	38%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 <b>Coho</b> r	·t*			2001 Cohort*			
Accoccmonte	Number	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Number	Number sco	l(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	s 2-4 3-4		4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	5	_	-	_	5	_	-	-

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

## **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

## **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**


Percentage of students who:

0%

0% 0%

3% 4%

0% 1%



2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	511	96%	1%	0%	3%	0%
	2001	489	93%	0%	0%	4%	1%
Female	2002	269	97%	0%	0%	3%	0%
	2001	256	96%	0%	0%	2%	2%
Male	2002	242	95%	1%	0%	4%	0%
	2001	233	90%	1%	1%	7%	1%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
African American	2001	7	71%	0%	0%	14%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	2002		93%	0%	0%	7%	0%
	2002	25	84%	0%	0%	12%	4%
Asian or Native	2001		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	48	96%	0%	0%	4%	0%
White	2001	456	96%	1%	0%	3%	0%
Wille	2002	409	94%	0%	0%	4%	1%
Small Group Totals	2001						
General-Education Students	2002	432	97%	0%	0%	3%	0%
	2001	419	96%	0%	0%	2%	1%
Students with Disabilities	2002	79	89%	4%	0%	6%	1%
	2001	70	76%	3%	0%	19%	3%
English Proficient	2002	510	_	-	-	-	-
<b>y</b>	2001	479	94%	0%	0%	4%	1%
Limited English Proficient	2002	1		_	_		
	2001	10	80%	0%	0%	10%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	14	79%	0%	0%	21%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	497	97%	1%	0%	3%	0%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	511	96%	1%	0%	3%	0%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 97% 72% 19% 0% 2% 0% 1% 0% 5% 2%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	483	97%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Female	254	98%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Male	229	96%	1%	0%	0%	3%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	7	86%	0%	0%	0%	14%
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	23	96%	0%	0%	0%	4%
Asian or Native	47	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	406	97%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Small Group Totals	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
General-Education Students	417	99%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Students with Disabilities	66	86%	3%	0%	3%	8%
English Proficient	480	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	_		-		_
Economically Disadvantaged	15	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	468	97%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	483	97%	0%	0%	0%	2%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.