

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL
District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT
School ID 580512030015
Principal ADRIENNE RATUSZNY
Telephone (631) 434-2371
Grades 6-8, UE, US

### This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	252	301	261
Ungraded Elementary	24	18	32
Grade 7	220	260	267
Grade 8	251	239	265
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	62	51	79
Total K-12	809	869	904

# **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	25	26	21
Grade 8			
English	21	21	20
Mathematics	20	24	20
Science	26	28	23
Social Studies	26	25	26
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

# **Average Class Size Information**

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **School Profile**

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	447	55%	571	66%	601	66%
Reduced-Price Lunch	121	15%	86	10%	98	11%
Student Stability*		52%		57%		55%
Limited English Proficient	74	9%	69	8%	103	11%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	186	23%	200	23%	199	22%
Hispanic or Latino	377	47%	432	50%	452	50%
Asian or Native	15	2%	29	3%	37	4%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	231	29%	207	24%	215	24%

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2002-03		200	3-04	200	4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	154	N/A	105	13%	122	14%

# **Demographic Factors Information**

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **School Profile**

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	194	189	231
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	1%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	0	0
Percentage of Total	2%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	45%	51%	50%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	58	59	60
Total Other Professional Staff	7	12	13
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

# **Teacher Qualifications Information**

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

# Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

#### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### **Effective Annual Measurable Objective** (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) <math>\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100  $\times$  [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

#### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)

### **New York State Status**

(Applies to all New York State public schools)

#### School in Good Standing

A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.

#### School in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

### School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### School in Corrective Action

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### School Planning for Restructuring

A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

### School Restructuring (Year 1)

A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

### School Restructuring (Year 2)

A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Summary**

### Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

#### Corrective Action

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Level
ELA	Corrective Action	ELA
Math	<b>♦</b> Good Standing	Math
Science	★ Good Standing	Graduation Rate

Title I Part A Funding

### Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05	2004-05 2005-06				
YES	YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_				
Black or African American	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	••••••••	• •••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
White	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>✓</b> SH				
Limited English Proficient	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>✓</b> 8 of 8	<b>✓</b> 8 of 8	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1			

Restructuring (Year 2 & Above)

#### **Accountability Status Levels**

# Federal Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Improvement (Year 2) Corrective Action Planning for Restructuring Restructuring (Year 1) Restructuring (Year 1) State Good Standing Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

### **AYP Status**

✓ Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Corrective Action
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2006-07, the school will be Planning for Restructuring in 2007-08. If this school makes AYP in 2006-07, the school will be

in good standing in 2007-08. [112]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		es
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion		Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (786:740)	- Status	Criterion	99%	- Criterion	139	118	2005-06	2000-07
Ethnicity				_	100			
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (162:153)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	~	132	114	•••••••	•••••••
Hispanic or Latino (398:368)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	133	116	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (34:32)	<b>/</b>	- -	_	~	169	105	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
White (191:186)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	99%	~	154	114	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (148:141)	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>✓</b> SH	79	113	76	91
Limited English Proficient (81:68)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	131	110	••••••••	•••
Economically Disadvantaged (413:391)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	130	116	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 8 of 8							

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performar	ance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (788:733)	✓ ×	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	135	82		2000 07	
Ethnicity								,	
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (164:150)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	126	78	•••••••••	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (401:365)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	126	80	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (34:32)	<b>V</b>	_	_	~	163	69	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	
White (188:185)	<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	155	78	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (148:140)	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>✓</b>	99%	<b>✓</b> SH	69	77	66	82	
Limited English Proficient (77:68)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	~	104	74	••••••••	•••	
Economically Disadvantaged (412:389)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	~	129	80	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 8 of 8								

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	Participation <sup>2</sup>		ormance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005-06 2006-07	
All Students (269:244)	<b>/</b>	Qualified	<u> </u>	96%	~	159	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (52:48)		Qualified		96%	~	160	100		
Hispanic or Latino (132:115)		Qualified		95%	<b>V</b>	152	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:9)		_	_	_	_	_	<u> </u>	_	
White (76:72)	· · • · · · · · · · ·	Qualified	<b>V</b>	97%	~	171	100	· ····································	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (38:36)		-	-	-	~	128	100		
Limited English Proficient (26:22)		_	_	-	_	_	-	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (130:120)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>V</b>	98%	~	146	100		
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 1 c	f 1							

#### **AYP Status**





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Summary of 2005-06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 4	0%		10
Grade 5	0%		9
Grade 6	56%		225
Grade 7	45%		227
Grade 8	48%		234
Mathematics			
Grade 4	0%		9
Grade 5	0%		9
Grade 6	57%		256
Grade 7	52%		252
Grade 8	37%		257
Science			
Grade 4	38%		8
Grade 8	62%		251
	Percentage	of students that	2002
	_	above Level 3	Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	_	I I	2
Mathematics			2
	Percentage	of students	2002
	who gradua		Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	_	I.	2

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### **Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.**Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject

# and grade level. How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

#### What are Similar Schools?


Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 27

All schools in this group are middle level schools in urban or suburban school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	10	30%	0%	0%					
Female	3	-	_	_					
Male	7	-	_	-			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	-					
Hispanic or Latino	5	40%	0%	0%	New asso	essments fo	r elementa	ry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
Pacific Islander									
White	4	-	_	-		ered in 200			
Small Group Totals	5	20%	0%	0%		sessments c		-	
General-Education Students						d to results ered assessr	•	ously	
Students with Disabilities	10	30%	0%	0%	auminist	ereu assessi	nents.		
English Proficient	9	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	1		_	_					
Economically Disadvantaged	5	40%	0%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	5	20%	0%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	10	30%	0%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at level	(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0			<u> </u>	0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0					

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




2005-06 <b>S</b>	2005-06 School Year					2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Percentage scoring at			at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested		2-4	3-4	4			
9	33%	0%	0%								
3	-	-	-								
6	_	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	•••••		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
1	_		-	•••••							
4	-	<del>-</del>	-	New assessments for elementary-							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and	and middle-level English language						
				arts	and m	nathematic	s were				
4	_	-	-								
9	33%	0%	0%					-			
					•		•	iously			
9	33%	0%	0%	aum	mister	eu assessi	nents.				
8	_	_	_								
1	_		-	•••••							
4	-	_	_								
5	_		- -	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
9	33%	0%	0%	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	Total Tested  9 3 6 1 4 9 9 8 1 4 5	Total Percentage Tested 2-4  9 33% 3 - 6 - 1 - 4 - 9 33% 9 33% 8 - 1 - 4 - 5 -	Total Tested 2-4 3-4  9 33% 0%  3  6  1  4  9 33% 0%  9 33% 0%  9 33% 0%  8  1  4  5	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4  9 33% 0% 0%  3 6  1 4  9 33% 0% 0%  9 33% 0% 0%  9 33% 0% 0%  8 1 4 5	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested  9 33% 0% 0%  3  6  1 New and arts adm 4 adm thes com adm  9 33% 0% 0% 0%  9 33% 0% 0%  9 33% 0% 0%  8  1  4  5	Total   Percentage scoring at level(s):   Total   Tested	Total	Total   Percentage scoring at level(s):   Total   Percentage scoring a			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	8	75%	38%	13%						
Female	2	-	_	-						
Male	6	_	_	-			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	-	_	-						
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	_	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••			•••••				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Pacific Islander					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
White	4	_	_	_						
Small Group Totals	8	75%	38%	13%						
General-Education Students										
Students with Disabilities	8	75%	38%	13%						
English Proficient	7	_	_	-						
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	-						
Economically Disadvantaged	4	-	_	-						
Not Disadvantaged	4	_	_	_	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	8	75%	38%	13%	••••••					

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year							
	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	9	56%	0%	0%						
Female	4	-	_	-						
Male	5	_	_	-						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	4	_	_	-						
Hispanic or Latino	4	<del>-</del>		-	••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••					
Pacific Islander		_	_ 	<del>-</del>						
White					This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals	9	56%	0%	0%						
General-Education Students										
Students with Disabilities	9	56%	0%	0%						
English Proficient	9	56%	0%	0%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	8	-	_	_						
Not Disadvantaged	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	9	56%	0%	0%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
-										


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total		Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test	was not giv	en in 200	14-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent						was not gr		03.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Year			2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	9	22%	0%	0%				
Female	4							
Male	5	-	_	-				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	4			-				·
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_				i
Pacific Islander	т		 					
White					This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals	9	22%	0%	0%				
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities	9	22%	0%	0%				ĺ
English Proficient	9	22%	0%	0%				
Limited English Proficient			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					·
Economically Disadvantaged	8	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	1			-		•••••		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	9	22%	0%	0%				

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	225	93%	56%	8%				
Female	115	96%	61%	10%				
Male	110	91%	51%	5%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	42	90%	45%	5%				
Hispanic or Latino	118	92%	51%	8%	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	20%				
White	60	98%	72%	8%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	•••••			
General-Education Students	174	98%	68%	9%				
Students with Disabilities	51	76%	16%	2%	•••••			
English Proficient	223	_	_	_				
Limited English Proficient	2	_		<del></del>	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	123	93%	47%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged	102	94%	67%	8%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	225	93%	56%	8%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	2 4	J 4			st was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	27	17	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Percentage scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	256	83%	57%	11%							
Female	126	88%	63%	13%							
Male	130	78%	51%	10%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	44	84%	55%	5%				,			
Hispanic or Latino	145	77%	48%	8%	•••••			,			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	83%	67%	33%							
White	61	97%	80%	23%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004				
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	•••••			,			
General-Education Students	200	94%	68%	14%							
Students with Disabilities	56	45%	20%	2%	•••••			İ			
English Proficient	229	86%	61%	13%							
Limited English Proficient	27	63%	22%	0%	•••••			İ			
Economically Disadvantaged	146	82%	51%	10%							
Not Disadvantaged	110	85%	65%	14%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	256	83%	57%	11%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2004-05	Total Percentage scoring at level(stressed 2-4 3-4				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	227	90%	45%	3%						
Female	88	92%	45%	2%						
Male	139	88%	45%	4%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1									
Black or African American	61	85%	38%	0%				ĺ		
Hispanic or Latino	97	90%	41%	2%	••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	-	_	_				İ		
White	51	96%	55%	4%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals	18	89%	61%	17%				ľ		
General-Education Students	186	95%	53%	4%						
Students with Disabilities	41	68%	10%	0%				ľ		
English Proficient	225	_	_	-						
Limited English Proficient	2	_						Ì		
Economically Disadvantaged	112	88%	36%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged	115	92%	54%	3%	••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	227	90%	45%	3%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	This tos	t was not giv	on in 200	M-05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent					IIIIs tes			
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	24	21	18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-0	5 School Year						
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	252	88%	52%	9%								
Female	106	90%	48%	8%								
Male	146	88%	54%	10%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-								
Black or African American	63	86%	43%	3%				,				
Hispanic or Latino	118	90%	46%	8%	• • • • • • •			,				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	_	<u> </u>	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
White	52	90%	69%	10%	This to	est was not giv	en in 2004					
Small Group Totals	19	84%	68%	32%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			,				
General-Education Students	208	97%	59%	11%								
Students with Disabilities	44	50%	18%	2%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
English Proficient	227	89%	54%	10%								
Limited English Proficient	25	84%	32%	4%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			İ				
Economically Disadvantaged	126	90%	50%	8%								
Not Disadvantaged	126	87%	53%	10%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Migrant												
Not Migrant	252	88%	52%	9%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••					

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accesments	Total	Total	Number scoring at level(s):						
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	234	92%	48%	3%						
Female	115	96%	56%	2%						
Male	119	88%	41%	4%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	49	90%	53%	4%						
Hispanic or Latino	109	94%	39%	1%	New a	ssessments fo	r elementa	ıry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	83%	83%	17%		and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
White	70	91%	56%	4%		istered in 200				
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••		assessments of		-		
General-Education Students	204	96%	54%	3%		ared to results istered assess	•	iously		
Students with Disabilities	30	67%	10%	0%	admin	istered assess	ments.			
English Proficient	231	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient	3									
Economically Disadvantaged	113	89%	42%	1%						
Not Disadvantaged	121	94%	54%	5%			••••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	234	92%	48%	3%	••••••	•••••	•••••			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent					U			
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	22	17	15	N/A	22	20	14	N/A
Grade 8								

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year						
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	257	87%	37%	2%						
Female	123	90%	40%	2%						
Male	134	84%	35%	1%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	50	86%	26%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	127	86%	33%	2%	New	assessments fo	or elementa	ary-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	67%	11%		and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
White	71	89%	49%	3%	admi	nistered in 200	06. Results	from		
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••		assessments		-		
General-Education Students	229	89%	39%	2%		pared to results	•	iously		
Students with Disabilities	28	75%	25%	0%	admii	nistered assess	sments.			
English Proficient	233	88%	39%	2%						
Limited English Proficient	24	79%	21%	0%	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	126	88%	34%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged	131	86%	40%	2%	••••••	••••••	••••••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	257	87%	37%	2%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	2004-05	2004–05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	251	98%	62%	9%	241	94%	58%	16%
Female	119	98%	63%	7%	117	97%	58%	15%
Male	132	98%	61%	11%	124	91%	58%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	49	100%	63%	4%	56	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	123	97%	54%	6%	123	92%	48%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	44%	11%	2	-	_	_
White	70	99%	76%	17%	60	97%	77%	15%
Small Group Totals	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	58	95%	60%	17%
General-Education Students	223	99%	65%	9%	196	97%	69%	19%
Students with Disabilities	28	93%	39%	4%	45	80%	11%	2%
English Proficient	228	99%	64%	10%	219	95%	62%	18%
Limited English Proficient	23	87%	39%	0%	22	77%	23%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	123	97%	51%	6%	125	91%	46%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	128	99%	72%	12%	116	97%	71%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	251	98%	62%	9%	241	94%	58%	16%


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
-	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number sco		oring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent									
Regents Science	0				0				

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	ıl:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	16	104	88	15	223	695	
Jan 2004	15	125	93	28	261	699	
Jan 2003	33	109	92	16	250	691	

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance leve	el:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	34	119	82	7	242	706	
May 2004	53	110	103	13	279	706	
May 2003	59	107	99	7	272	703	

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohoi	t*		2001 Cohort*				
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	2	-	-	-				
Female	1	_		_				
Male	1	-	-	-				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Pacific Islander								
White	2	_	_	_				
Small Group Totals	2	-	-	-				
General-Education Students	2	-	-	-				
Students with Disabilities			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	2	_	-	_				
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•	
Economically Disadvantaged	2	_	-	_				
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Migrant								
Not Migrant	2		- -	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •


#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Number	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	
Assessments	of Students 2-4 3-4 4				of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohoi	rt*			2001 Cohor	t*		
_	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage	scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	2	-	-	-				
Female	1	-	-	-				
Male	1	-		-			•	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			•	
Hispanic or Latino			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•	
Pacific Islander								
White	2	-	_	-				
Small Group Totals	2	-	_	-				
General-Education Students	2	-	-	-				
Students with Disabilities			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
English Proficient	2	_	-	_				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•	
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	2	- <b>-</b>		_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assassments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

School WEST MIDDLE SCHOOL District BRENTWOOD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:

100%

2002 Cohort

2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	2	-	-	_	-	-
Female	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
Male	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	2002	2	_	_	_		_
General-Education Students	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
Students with Disabilities	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Proficient	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	2	_				_
Not Disadvantaged	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	2		····-	····-	···· <u>·</u>	·····-

#### **NOTES**