

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT
District ID 580805060000
Superintendent MARY DEROSE
Telephone (631) 269-3210
Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District
Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	261	295	288
Grade 1	310	286	318
Grade 2	324	302	294
Grade 3	307	331	304
Grade 4	309	316	350
Grade 5	321	327	336
Grade 6	302	321	330
Ungraded Elementary	82	80	27
Grade 7	330	305	323
Grade 8	312	343	304
Grade 9	309	327	350
Grade 10	299	313	330
Grade 11	266	301	323
Grade 12	262	260	299
Ungraded Secondary	13	19	7
Total K-12	4007	4126	4183

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	22	23	23
Grade 8			
English	17	18	18
Mathematics	22	19	17
Science	20	22	19
Social Studies	18	19	17
Grade 10			
English	24	24	27
Mathematics	21	16	19
Science	20	23	22
Social Studies	24	24	24

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	67	2%	73	2%	75	2%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	39	1%	53	1%	68	2%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	62	2%	48	1%	31	1%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	5	0%	2	0%	
Black or African American	25	1%	15	0%	21	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	84	2%	98	2%	111	3%	
Asian or Native	94	2%	89	2%	102	2%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	3801	95%	3919	95%	3947	94%	

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	65	N/A	63	2%	73	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	800	837	1220
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	3%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	2	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	1%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	5	1
Percentage of Total	0%	2%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	35%	35%	36%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	293	305	304
Total Other Professional Staff	19	25	23
Total Paraprofessionals*	82	83	56
Assistant Principals	6	6	7
Principals	5	5	4

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

Good Standing

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	l
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA	♠ Good Standing
Math	♦ Good Standing	Math	♦ Good Standing
Science	♦ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	♠ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

<u></u>					
2004-05	2004-05 2005-06				
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	V	V	✓	✓	V	✓		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native				-	_			
Black or African American	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	V	~	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	V	V	•••••••	_	_ _	•••••••••		
White	~	V	•••••••	V	V	••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	_		••••••••	_	- -	•••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	V	••••••••	- -	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1		

AYP Status

Made AY

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing ♠ ■ Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) ♠ ■ Requiring Acad

Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)
Improvement (Year 2) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Improvement (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
Improvement (Year 4) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔥 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³ Perform		Performar	rmance Objectives	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (1966:1950)	V	<u> </u>	100%	<u>/</u>	177	120		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (6:5)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (37:35)	V	_	_	V	183	106	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (46:45)	V	V	100%	~	176	108	•••••••	•••••••
White (1877:1865)	V	/	100%	V	177	119	••••••••	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (288:279)	/	✓	98%	V	116	115		
Limited English Proficient (24:22)	_ _	_	_	_	_	-	•••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (86:84)	V	V	100%	V	144	111	•••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³			Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target	
All Students (1964:1949)	V	/	100%	<u> </u>	181	84			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (6:6)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	
Hispanic or Latino (37:34)	V	_	_	V	188	69	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (46:45)	V	V	100%	V	187	72	•••••••	•••	
White (1875:1864)	/	/	100%	/	181	83	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (288:281)	/	✓	99%	/	125	80			
Limited English Proficient (23:22)	- -	_	_	_	_	-	••••••••	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (85:83)	V	V	100%	~	152	75	•••••••		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6								

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Perfo	ormance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (651:648)		Qualified	V	100%	V	197	100		
Ethnicity									'
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (2:2)		_	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Hispanic or Latino (14:13)		_	_	-	_	-	_		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (17:17)		-	-	_	_	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
White (618:616)	••••••	Qualified	V	100%	V	197	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (82:80)		Qualified	V	100%	~	176	100		
Limited English Proficient (10:9)		_	_	_	_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- -
Economically Disadvantaged (25:25)	•••••	_	_	_	_	_	- -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- -

AYP Status





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



- Did Not Make AYP
- **Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (291:287)	V	<u> </u>	98%	<u>/</u>	190	148			
Ethnicity								,	
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	
Black or African American (1:1)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (10:9)	_	_	-	_	_		_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:7)	_	_	_	_	_	_		-	
White (271:270)	/	V	98%	V	190	147	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (29:27)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (4:2)	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (12:12)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2								

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (291:287)	~	<u> </u>	99%	V	191	140			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	
Black or African American (1:1)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (10:9)	_	_	-	_	-		_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:7)	-	_	_	_	_	_		-	
White (271:270)	V	/	99%	V	191	139	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (29:27)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (4:2)	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (12:12)	_ _	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2								

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State		ss Target	
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (253)	/	V	96%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		_	-	-	-	-	
Black or African American (0)							
Hispanic or Latino (5)		_ 	_	_	-	_ 	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4)		_	-	-	_	-	
White (243)		V	96%	55%			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (43)		~	84%	55%			
Limited English Proficient (1)		_	-	_	_	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (5)		_	_	_	_	_	
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1					

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status



Good Standing

5 schools identified 100% of total

FORT SALONGA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL KINGS PARK HIGH SCHOOL PARKVIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL R.J.O. INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL WILLIAM T. ROGERS MIDDLE SCHOOL

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005-06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	100%	
Grade 3	84%		■ 309
Grade 4	79%		343
Grade 5	81%		332
Grade 6	84%		■ 329
Grade 7	80%		
Grade 8	73%		303
Mathematics			
Grade 3	94%		311
Grade 4	90%		347
Grade 5	83%		■ 333
Grade 6	84%		329
Grade 7	76%		321
Grade 8	83%		■ 301
Science			
Grade 4	96%		346
Grade 8	98%		236
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English Mathematics	93%		294 294
	Percentage who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	94%	ı	294

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	309	95%	84%	16%						
Female	140	97%	90%	21%						
Male	169	93%	79%	12%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	-	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	_	_	_	• • • • • • • •			·		
White	296	95%	83%	16%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	13	100%	100%	15%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
General-Education Students	266	100%	92%	18%						
Students with Disabilities	43	65%	33%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	308	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient	1		_	_	• • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	11	91%	82%	9%						
Not Disadvantaged	298	95%	84%	16%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	309	95%	84%	16%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	7	5	4	This test	was not giv	en in 200)4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	2	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	311	97%	94%	50%					
Female	140	99%	95%	58%					
Male	171	96%	92%	44%			••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	_	_					
Hispanic or Latino	4		-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	100%	67%					
White	297	97%	93%	50%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004		
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	40%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
General-Education Students	266	100%	99%	57%					
Students with Disabilities	45	82%	62%	13%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
English Proficient	308	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	3	-	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged	11	91%	91%	36%					
Not Disadvantaged	300	98%	94%	51%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	311	97%	94%	50%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s			l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	7	6	4	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.
(NYSAA). Grade 3 Equivalent								

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	scoring at le	scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	343	94%	79%	11%						
Female	165	96%	83%	15%						
Male	178	92%	75%	7%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_								
Hispanic or Latino	8	_			New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	85%	69%	23%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
White	321	95%	79%	11%	adminis	stered in 200	6. Results 1	from		
Small Group Totals	9	89%	67%	0%		ssessments c		,		
General-Education Students	307	98%	86%	12%		ed to results	•	iously		
Students with Disabilities	36	61%	14%	3%	adminis	stered assess	ments.			
English Proficient	335	94%	79%	11%						
Limited English Proficient	8	88%	50%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	15	73%	47%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	328	95%	80%	12%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	343	94%	79%	11%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	-	-	N/A	0				

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):	g at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
All Students	347	96%	90%	38%						
Female	167	96%	90%	35%						
Male	180	97%	90%	41%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2									
Hispanic or Latino	······································									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	92%	31%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
White	323	96%	90%	38%	administered in 2006. Results from					
Small Group Totals	11	91%	91%	36%	these assessments cannot be directl	•				
General-Education Students	307	99%	96%	42%	compared to results from previously					
Students with Disabilities	40	73%	48%	3%	administered assessments.					
English Proficient	338	96%	90%	38%						
_imited English Proficient	9	100%	89%	11%						
Economically Disadvantaged	15	87%	60%	20%						
Not Disadvantaged	332	97%	92%	39%						
Migrant										
Not Migrant	347	96%	90%	38%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	ring at level	l(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			2				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3				2	_		_	

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	346	99%	96%	80%	327	99%	94%	58%
Female	166	99%	96%	81%	139	99%	91%	57%
Male	180	99%	96%	80%	188	99%	97%	59%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	_	_	-				
Hispanic or Latino	9	-	_	-	6	100%	100%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	92%	62%	6	100%	100%	50%
White	322	99%	96%	81%	315	99%	94%	59%
Small Group Totals	11	91%	91%	73%			••••••	•••••
General-Education Students	306	100%	99%	87%	280	100%	98%	64%
Students with Disabilities	40	93%	70%	28%	47	91%	77%	21%
English Proficient	337	99%	96%	81%	325	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	9	100%	89%	44%	2	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	15	93%	87%	40%	15	93%	80%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	331	99%	96%	82%	312	99%	95%	60%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	346	99%	96%	80%	327	99%	94%	58%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	ring at level	l(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			2				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3				2	_		_	

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	332	97%	81%	17%						
Female	143	97%	80%	19%						
Male	189	97%	81%	16%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•						
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	100%	20%						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	17%						
White	321	97%	80%	17%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••••	•••••					
General-Education Students	279	100%	89%	20%						
Students with Disabilities	53	79%	38%	4%						
English Proficient	330	-	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	_						
Economically Disadvantaged	19	89%	47%	11%						
Not Disadvantaged	313	97%	83%	18%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	332	97%	81%	17%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 S 0	004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scc	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	<u> </u>	-		was not giv		4)4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	2	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	333	95%	83%	20%					
Female	144	94%	81%	20%					
Male	189	95%	84%	21%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•					
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	60%	20%	• • • • • • •				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	33%					
White	322	94%	83%	20%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
General-Education Students	279	100%	92%	24%					
Students with Disabilities	54	69%	33%	4%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
English Proficient	330	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	3	_	_	_	• • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged	19	79%	58%	5%					
Not Disadvantaged	314	96%	84%	21%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	333	95%	83%	20%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	329	98%	84%	20%							
Female	181	99%	88%	24%							
Male	148	97%	78%	14%			••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	2	_	_	_							
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4										
Pacific Islander	4		_ 								
White	319	98%	84%	20%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals	10	90%	80%	20%							
General-Education Students	287	100%	91%	22%							
Students with Disabilities	42	86%	31%	5%							
English Proficient	325	-	-	-							
Limited English Proficient	4	-	_	_							
Economically Disadvantaged	14	93%	71%	7%							
Not Disadvantaged	315	98%	84%	20%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	329	98%	84%	20%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessifients	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1				This tost	was not air	on in 200	14.05	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	т		_	_	iiis test v	is test was not given in 2004			
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	329	96%	84%	24%				
Female	182	97%	85%	21%				
Male	147	95%	82%	29%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	0%				
White	318	96%	83%	25%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%	•••••			
General-Education Students	288	100%	89%	27%				
Students with Disabilities	41	66%	49%	2%	•••••			
English Proficient	325	_	_	_				
Limited English Proficient	4		_	_				
Economically Disadvantaged	14	93%	71%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	315	96%	84%	25%	••••••	•••••	•••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	329	96%	84%	24%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	•	
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
320	98%	80%	14%				
159	99%	87%	14%				
161	98%	73%	14%			•	
1	_	_	_				
9	100%	78%	0%	• • • • • • •			
	_	_	_				
302	98%	80%	14%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
9	100%	67%	33%				
269	100%	89%	17%				
51	92%	31%	0%				
317	-	-	-				
3		_	_				
16	94%	50%	6%				
304	99%	82%	14%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••	
320	98%	80%	14%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
_	Total Tested 320 159 161 1 9 8 302 9 269 51 317 3 16 304	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 320 98% 159 99% 161 98% 1 - 9 100% 8 - 302 98% 9 100% 269 100% 51 92% 317 - 3 - 16 94% 304 99%	Tested 2-4 3-4 320 98% 80% 159 99% 87% 161 98% 73% 1 9 100% 78% 8 302 98% 80% 9 100% 67% 269 100% 89% 51 92% 31% 317 3 16 94% 50% 304 99% 82%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 320 98% 80% 14% 159 99% 87% 14% 161 98% 73% 14% 1 9 100% 78% 0% 8 302 98% 80% 14% 9 100% 67% 33% 269 100% 89% 17% 51 92% 31% 0% 317 3 16 94% 50% 6% 304 99% 82% 14%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 320 98% 80% 14% 159 99% 87% 14% 161 98% 73% 14% 1 9 100% 78% 0% 8 9 100% 67% 33% 269 100% 89% 17% 51 92% 31% 0% 317 3 16 94% 50% 6% 304 99% 82% 14%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 320 98% 80% 14% 159 99% 87% 14% 161 98% 73% 14% 1	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 320 98% 80% 14% 159 99% 87% 14% 161 98% 73% 14% 1 9 100% 78% 0% 8 9 100% 67% 33% 269 100% 89% 17% 51 92% 31% 0% 317 3 3 16 94% 50% 6% 304 99% 82% 14%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	3		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	_ 	-	Inis test v	was not giv	en in 200		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	321	98%	76%	16%				
Female	159	99%	82%	17%				
Male	162	98%	70%	14%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	_	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	9	100%	78%	11%	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	_	_	_				
White	303	98%	77%	16%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	56%	22%	•••••			
General-Education Students	270	100%	84%	18%				
Students with Disabilities	51	88%	37%	2%				
English Proficient	318	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3		_	_				
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	56%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	305	98%	77%	16%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	321	98%	76%	16%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total Number scoring a			at level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-0	5 School Year	•				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at le	evel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	303	99%	73%	5%							
Female	154	154	154	154	99%	82%	6%				
Male	149	98%	64%	5%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	•••••••	••••	•••••••								
Hispanic or Latino	5	_	·····	_	New assessments for elementary-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4	••••	•••••••		and r	and middle-level English language					
Pacific Islander	4	_	- 	_	arts a	and mathemation	cs were				
White	294	99%	73%	5%		nistered in 200					
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	0%		assessments o		•			
General-Education Students	266	100%	77%	6%		pared to results nistered assess	•	iously			
Students with Disabilities	37	92%	46%	0%	aumi	nistered assess	ments.				
English Proficient	302	_	-	-							
Limited English Proficient	1		- -	_	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	11	91%	45%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged	292	99%	74%	5%	•••••••		••••••				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	303	99%	73%	5%	••••••	••••••	••••••				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve	l(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	ichool Yea	r		2004-	o5 Scl	hool Year			
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total		Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested		2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	301	98%	83%	18%						
Female	153	99%	86%	19%						
Male	148	98%	80%	18%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	_	-	New	ı asses	sments for	r elementa	ry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4				and	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_ 	arts					
White	292	98%	83%	18%				5. Results f		
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	22%				annot be d	•	
General-Education Students	264	100%	88%	20%		•	to results ed assessr	from previ	ously	
Students with Disabilities	37	89%	51%	5%	auii	iiiiistei	eu assessi	nents.		
English Proficient	300	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	1		_	_	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	10	80%	60%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	291	99%	84%	19%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	301	98%	83%	18%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):						
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	2		_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	_			3	_			

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	236	100%	98%	52%	243	100%	96%	49%
Female	120	100%	100%	53%	116	100%	96%	47%
Male	116	100%	97%	52%	127	99%	97%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	2	-	-	_
Hispanic or Latino	3		-	_	9	100%	89%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
White	230	100%	98%	52%	229	100%	97%	50%
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	50%	5	100%	100%	20%
General-Education Students	200	100%	100%	57%	203	100%	98%	56%
Students with Disabilities	36	100%	89%	28%	40	98%	88%	13%
English Proficient	235	-	-	-	242	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	-	1	-	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged	10	100%	80%	40%	15	100%	80%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	226	100%	99%	53%	228	100%	97%	51%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	236	100%	98%	52%	243	100%	96%	49%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 S d	2004-05 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at level(s):		l(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent			_		3			_	
Regents Science	63	63	63	52	91	91	91	76	


District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 10 49 185 84 328 672 52 204 60 327 Feb 2004 11 668 Feb 2003 6 56 157 91 310 672




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	2	105	195	35	337	708
Jan 2004	7	91	169	60	327	715
Jan 2003	5	99	172	38	314	708


District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 3 167 328 680 May 2005 17 14 181 129 327 674 May 2004 3 May 2003 0 21 134 160 315 678



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	11	70	202	54	337	734
May 2004	15	51	179	83	328	737
May 2003	7	51	198	60	316	736

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	294	95%	90%	43%	257	93%	86%	52%
Female	159	96%	92%	48%	117	96%	89%	61%
Male	135	94%	89%	38%	140	91%	83%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	_	_	-	1	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	10	90%	90%	30%	5	100%	100%	80%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	_	_	_	4	_	_	- -
White	275	96%	91%	44%	246	93%	85%	51%
Small Group Totals	9	89%	89%	44%	6	83%	83%	50%
General-Education Students	265	98%	96%	48%	214	98%	93%	61%
Students with Disabilities	29	69%	41%	0%	43	72%	47%	5%
English Proficient	292	_	_	-	256	_	_	_
_imited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	1	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged	12	75%	75%	33%				
Not Disadvantaged	282	96%	91%	44%	••••••	••••••	•••••	••••••
Migrant	2	-	_	-				
Not Migrant	292	_	_	-	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2002 Cohort* 2001 Cohort*						
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	294	95%	93%	50%	257	93%	87%	37%
Female	159	96%	94%	50%	117	95%	86%	42%
Male	135	93%	91%	51%	140	92%	88%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	-
Black or African American	1	_	_	_	1	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	10	90%	90%	20%	5	100%	100%	40%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	_	_	_	4	_	_	_
White	275	95%	93%	51%	246	93%	87%	38%
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	56%	6	83%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	265	97%	97%	55%	214	98%	94%	43%
Students with Disabilities	29	72%	59%	7%	43	72%	53%	7%
English Proficient	292	-	_	-	256	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	2		_	_	1	_		-
Economically Disadvantaged	12	75%	75%	42%				
Not Disadvantaged	282	95%	94%	51%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Migrant	2	-	_	-				
Not Migrant	292	_	_	_	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assossments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number Number scoring at level(s):			(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort
2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	294	94%	1%	0%	2%	3%
	2001	257	96%	1%	0%	1%	2%
Female	2002	159	96%	1%	0%	2%	2%
	2001	117	96%	1%	0%	1%	3%
Male	2002	135	92%	1%	0%	3%	4%
	2001	140	96%	1%	0%	1%	1%
American Indian	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Black or	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
African American	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	10	80%	0%	0%	20%	0%
•	2001	5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Asian or Native	2002	7	_	_	_	_	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	4	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	275	95%	1%	0%	1%	3%
	2001	246	96%	1%	0%	1%	2%
Small Group Totals	2002	9	89%	0%	0%	11%	0%
·	2001	6	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	265	96%	0%	0%	2%	3%
	2001	214	98%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Students with Disabilities	2002	29	76%	10%	0%	10%	3%
	2001	43	86%	7%	0%	2%	5%
English Proficient	2002	292	_	_	_	_	_
_	2001	256	_	_			
Limited English Proficient	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	12	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	282	94%	0%	0%	2%	3%
Migrant	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
Not Migrant	2002	292	_	_	_	_	····· <u>-</u>

NOTES

District KINGS PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



■ District ■ NY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	257	96%	0%	0%	1%	2%
Female	116	96%	0%	0%	2%	3%
Male	141	96%	1%	0%	1%	2%
American Indian	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native						
Black or	1	_	_	_	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Asian or Native	4	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	246	96%	0%	0%	1%	2%
Small Group Totals	6	83%	0%	0%	17%	0%
General-Education Students	213	99%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Students with Disabilities	44	84%	2%	0%	7%	7%
English Proficient	256	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	_			_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	6	83%	0%	0%	17%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	251	96%	0%	0%	1%	2%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	257	96%	0%	0%	1%	2%

NOTES