

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE
SCHOOL DISTRICT
District ID 580905020000
Superintendent JOANNE LOEWENTHAL
Telephone (631) 723-2100
Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	138	120	147
Grade 1	129	140	107
Grade 2	139	123	147
Grade 3	145	135	125
Grade 4	160	143	143
Grade 5	119	161	145
Grade 6	138	119	158
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	134	140	126
Grade 8	140	130	132
Grade 9	150	174	156
Grade 10	139	124	156
Grade 11	115	132	117
Grade 12	123	111	126
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1769	1752	1785

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	21	22	22
Grade 8			
English	17	20	17
Mathematics	19	21	21
Science	17	18	21
Social Studies	28	21	21
Grade 10			
English	23	17	19
Mathematics	22	19	20
Science	23	24	20
Social Studies	19	16	17

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	178	10%	265	15%	333	19%
Reduced-Price Lunch	67	4%	94	5%	103	6%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	197	11%	211	12%	247	14%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	1	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	24	1%	21	1%	17	1%
Hispanic or Latino	474	27%	535	31%	590	33%
Asian or Native	7	0%	8	0%	5	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1263	71%	1187	68%	1171	66%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	26	N/A	36	2%	64	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	355	374	542
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	9%	11%	3%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	4	4	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	3%	3%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	9	11	5
Percentage of Total	6%	7%	3%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	47%	44%	48%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	153	165	155
Total Other Professional Staff	5	6	7
Total Paraprofessionals*	92	82	83
Assistant Principals	3	3	3
Principals	2	2	2

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006-07)

Good Standing

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	l
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA	♠ Good Standing
Math	♦ Good Standing	Math	♦ Good Standing
Science	♦ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	♠ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05 2005-06		2006-07		
YES	YES	YES		

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	V	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	_	_	••••••	_		•••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	••••••	_		•••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_	••••••	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
White	/	V	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	V	V	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	V		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	/	/	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	~	V	•••••••		- -	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1	

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Improvement (Year 1)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Improvement (Year 3) 🔨 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Improvement (Year 4) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (828:799)	✓	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	165	118			
Ethnicity								,	
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (10:7)	_ _	_	_	_	_	_		_	
Hispanic or Latino (267:246)	V	V	99%	V	158	115		••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	••••••••	_	
White (549:544)	V	V	99%	/	169	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (113:111)	✓ SH	/	98%	✓ SH	97	112	85	107	
Limited English Proficient (104:86)	V	V	98%	V	159	111	••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (232:224)	V	V	99%	V	146	115	••••••••	•••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6								

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (823:795)	V	<u> </u>	99%	<u> </u>	158	82		<u> </u>
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (8:7)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (265:244)	V	V	99%	V	144	79	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	••••••••	_
White (548:542)	/	/	99%	V	165	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (113:110)	/	✓	97%	/	101	76		
Limited English Proficient (100:85)	/	V	98%	~	138	75	•••••••	•••
Economically Disadvantaged (232:222)	V	V	99%	~	137	79	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

AYP		Participat	Participation ²		rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Status	Safe Harbor	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
✓	Qualified	<u> </u>	99%	<u> </u>	191	100		
	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	
	Qualified		99%	'	182	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
				••••	•	•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	98%	V	195	100	• ••••••••••	
	-	-	-	~	185	100		
	Qualified	V	98%	•	166	100	•••••••••	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	100%	~	181	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Status	Safe Harbor Status Qualification V Qualified - Qualified Qualified Qualified	Safe Harbor Status Qualification Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified	Safe Harbor Qualification Qualified Qualified Qualified Percentage Tested Y 99% Qualified 99% Qualified 99% Qualified 98%	Safe Harbor Qualification V Qualified Percentage Tested Criterion Percentage Tested Criterion Percentage Tested Percentage Tested Criterion Percentage Tested Percentage Tested Criterion Percentage Tested Criterion Percentage Tested Percentage Tested Criterion Percentage Tested Percentage Tested Criterion Percentage Tested Per	Safe Harbor Status Qualification V Qualified V Qualified V 99% Met Criterion Tested Percentage Tested Criterion 1ndex 199% 191 Performance Index 191 Performance Performance Index 198% 195 Qualified V 98% 182 Qualified V 98% 185 Qualified V 98% 166	Safe Harbor Status Qualification Percentage Tested Percentage Criterion Performance State Standard	

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	AYP Partici		ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (128:136)	✓	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	172	145		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:3)	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (22:26)	_	_	-	_	-	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	- -
White (102:106)	/	/	100%	V	184	144	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (15:16)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (9:14)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged (8:14)	- -	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (128:136)	✓	<u>✓</u>	98%	<u> </u>	179	137	2003 00	2000 07	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (3:3)	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (22:26)	_	_	-	_	-		_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	_	-	_	_	_	- -	_	
White (102:106)	V	/	99%	V	185	136	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (15:16)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (9:14)	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (8:14)	_ _	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2								

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target			
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP Criterio		Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (120)	/	/	85%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-	_	-		
Hispanic or Latino (27)		_	_	_	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)								
White (92)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	V	91%	55%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (21)		-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (13)		-	-	<u> </u>	_	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (11)			_	-				
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1						

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status



Good Standing

2 schools identified 100% of total

HAMPTON BAYS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL HAMPTON BAYS SECONDARY SCHOOL

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	75%		107
Grade 4	74%		123
Grade 5	76%		134
Grade 6	74%		150
Grade 7	59%		104
Grade 8	58%		116
Mathematics			
Grade 3	67%		131
Grade 4	83%		139
Grade 5	58%		143
Grade 6	57%		155
Grade 7	54%		119
Grade 8	72%		127
Science			
Grade 4	94%		144
Grade 8	83%		1 03
	_	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English Mathematics	78%		147 147
	Percentage of who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	76%	1	147

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	107	90%	75%	6%					
Female	48	98%	88%	8%					
Male	59	83%	64%	3%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	_	_	-					
Hispanic or Latino	23	_	_	-	••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander			. .						
White	81	90%	75%	7%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	26	88%	73%	0%					
General-Education Students	92	99%	83%	7%					
Students with Disabilities	15	33%	27%	0%					
English Proficient	105	-	-	-					
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_					
Economically Disadvantaged	25	84%	68%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	82	91%	77%	7%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	107	90%	75%	6%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	14-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	23	15	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3								

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	131	92%	67%	22%				
Female	62	90%	68%	21%				
Male	69	93%	67%	23%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	_	_	-				
Hispanic or Latino	46	-	_	-	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	-	_				İ
Pacific Islander		0.00		220/	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
White	82	96%	80%	33%		J		
Small Group Totals	49	84%	45%	4%				
General-Education Students	113	94%	72%	25%				
Students with Disabilities	18	78%	39%	6%				
English Proficient	106	95%	75%	27%				
Limited English Proficient	25	76%	32%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	40	85%	48%	5%				
Not Disadvantaged	91	95%	76%	30%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	131	92%	67%	22%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year	pol Year 2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	Number sco	er scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	•	
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	123	90%	74%	10%				
Female	61	93%	75%	13%				
Male	62	87%	73%	6%		•		
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	37	86%	70%	3%	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••	•••••••	and mid	ddle-level En	glish langu	age
Pacific Islander					arts and	d mathematio	s were	
White	86	92%	76%	13%		stered in 200		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••		ssessments c		•
General-Education Students	109	96%	83%	11%		red to results stered assess	•	ously
Students with Disabilities	14	43%	7%	0%	auminis	stereu assessi	ments.	
English Proficient	123	90%	74%	10%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••	•••••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	38	79%	58%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	85	95%	81%	14%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	123	90%	74%	10%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • •
.								


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	Year 2004-05 School Year					
Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4		el(s):
	0	2-4	3-4	4	0	2-4	3-4	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	20	15	13	N/A	12	9	7	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r		20	Total Percentage scoring at level(s) Tested 2-4 3-4						
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Tot	al	Percentage scoring at level(s)					
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tes	ted	2-4	3-4	4			
139	97%	83%	18%								
69	100%	84%	22%								
70	94%	83%	14%				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•								
55	95%	82%	13%		New assessments for elementary-						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••		and middle-level English language						
					arts and mathematics were						
84	99%	85%	21%								
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••	••••••					,			
121	98%	89%	21%		•		•	iously			
18	89%	44%	0%		aummste	ereu assessi	nents.				
120	98%	85%	20%								
19	89%	74%	5%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
49	94%	78%	8%								
90	99%	87%	23%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
139	97%	83%	18%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Total Tested 139 69 70 55 84 121 18 120 19 49 90	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 139 97% 69 100% 70 94% 55 95% 84 99% 121 98% 18 89% 120 98% 19 89% 49 94% 90 99%	Tested 2-4 3-4 139 97% 83% 69 100% 84% 70 94% 83% 55 95% 82% 84 99% 85% 121 98% 89% 18 89% 44% 120 98% 85% 19 89% 74% 49 94% 78% 90 99% 87%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 139 97% 83% 18% 69 100% 84% 22% 70 94% 83% 14% 55 95% 82% 13% 84 99% 85% 21% 121 98% 89% 21% 18 89% 44% 0% 120 98% 85% 20% 19 89% 74% 5% 49 94% 78% 8% 90 99% 87% 23%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tot Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tes 139 97% 83% 18% 69 100% 84% 22% 70 94% 83% 14% 55 95% 82% 13% 84 99% 85% 21% 121 98% 89% 21% 18 89% 44% 0% 120 98% 85% 20% 19 89% 74% 5% 49 94% 78% 8% 90 99% 87% 23%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 139 97% 83% 18% 69 100% 84% 22% 70 94% 83% 14% 55 95% 82% 13% New asse and midd arts and independent and midd arts and independent at these assections and middle arts and independent at the sea assections and middle arts and independent at the sea assections and middle arts and independent at the sea assections and minister at the sea assection at the sea assectio	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 139 97% 83% 18% 69 100% 84% 22% 70 94% 83% 14% New assessments for and middle-level Engarts and mathematic administered in 2006 these assessments of 121 98% 89% 21% compared to results administered assessments 18 89% 44% 0% 120 98% 85% 20% 19 89% 74% 5% 49 94% 78% 8% 90 99% 87% 23%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 139 97% 83% 18% 69 100% 84% 22% 70 94% 83% 14% 55 95% 82% 13% New assessments for elementa and middle-level English languarts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results to these assessments cannot be of compared to results from previation 120 98% 85% 20% 120 98% 85% 20% 19 89% 74% 5% 49 94% 78% 8% 90 99% 87% 23%			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	cool Year 2-ercentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 97% 91% 48% 96% 95% 60% 98% 88% 40% - - - - - - 99% 97% 58%			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	144	99%	94%	52%	142	97%	91%	48%		
Female	70	100%	94%	50%	57	96%	95%	60%		
Male	74	99%	93%	54%	85	98%	88%	40%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	2			_		
Hispanic or Latino	60	98%	87%	37%	47		·····			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••		
Pacific Islander										
White	84	100%	99%	63%	93	99%	97%	58%		
Small Group Totals					49	94%	80%	29%		
General-Education Students	126	99%	94%	57%	124	97%	90%	50%		
Students with Disabilities	18	100%	94%	17%	18	100%	94%	33%		
English Proficient	124	100%	97%	60%	128	99%	96%	52%		
Limited English Proficient	20	95%	75%	5%	14	79%	43%	14%		
Economically Disadvantaged	51	100%	92%	39%	28	100%	79%	29%		
Not Disadvantaged	93	99%	95%	59%	114	96%	94%	53%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	144	99%	94%	52%	142	97%	91%	48%		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0					

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	134	99%	76%	13%				
Female	51	98%	82%	20%				
Male	83	99%	72%	8%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	_	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	36	_	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••••	•••••			
Pacific Islander								
White	96	99%	81%	16%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.
Small Group Totals	38	97%	63%	5%				
General-Education Students	114	100%	83%	15%				
Students with Disabilities	20	90%	35%	0%	••••			
English Proficient	131	_	_	_				
Limited English Proficient	3		- -					
Economically Disadvantaged	33	94%	52%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	101	100%	84%	15%	••••••	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	134	99%	76%	13%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	2-4 3-4 4				2-4 3-4 4 est was not given in 2004-05.		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	10	10	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	143	90%	58%	12%				
Female	55	93%	71%	20%				
Male	88	89%	50%	7%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-		_				
Hispanic or Latino	46				•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••	•••••			
Pacific Islander								
White	95	92%	60%	17%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	48	88%	54%	2%				
General-Education Students	123	97%	65%	14%				
Students with Disabilities	20	50%	15%	0%				
English Proficient	130	89%	59%	13%				
Limited English Proficient	13	100%	46%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	40	75%	48%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	103	96%	62%	16%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	143	90%	58%	12%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	School Year 2004-05 School Year								
Accoccimonts	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	ber scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.		

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	150	99%	74%	17%						
Female	80	100%	78%	15%						
Male	70	97%	70%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_	_	-						
Hispanic or Latino	36	_	_	-	••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander			. .							
White	112	100%	78%	21%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	38	95%	63%	5%						
General-Education Students	130	99%	81%	19%						
Students with Disabilities	20	95%	30%	0%						
English Proficient	148	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient	2	-	_	- -						
Economically Disadvantaged	36	97%	69%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged	114	99%	75%	20%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant										
Not Migrant	150	99%	74%	17%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	2 4	J 4	-		was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	7	7	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	155	85%	57%	6%						
Female	84	79%	57%	7%						
Male	71	93%	56%	4%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••						
Hispanic or Latino	40		·····	.	******					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••	••••••	•••••						
Pacific Islander	1	_	_ 	_ 						
White	114	88%	63%	6%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals	41	78%	39%	5%						
General-Education Students	135	88%	63%	7%						
Students with Disabilities	20	65%	15%	0%						
English Proficient	148	85%	57%	5%						
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	57%	14%	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	39	82%	36%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged	116	86%	64%	7%	•••••	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	155	85%	57%	6%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	104	95%	59%	6%						
Female	45	91%	60%	9%						
Male	59	98%	58%	3%			•			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	28	_	_	_	••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••••							
Pacific Islander										
White	75	97%	63%	8%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	29	90%	48%	0%						
General-Education Students	88	100%	66%	7%						
Students with Disabilities	16	69%	19%	0%						
English Proficient	101	_	-	_						
Limited English Proficient	3									
Economically Disadvantaged	24	96%	42%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	80	95%	64%	8%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	104	95%	59%	6%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	2-4	3-4	_		2–4 was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4)4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	14	13	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	119	93%	54%	8%					
Female	55	91%	49%	13%					
Male	64	95%	58%	5%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	-					
Hispanic or Latino	42	_	_	-	••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••					
Pacific Islander									
White	76	97%	64%	13%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	43	86%	35%	0%					
General-Education Students	103	94%	60%	10%					
Students with Disabilities	16	88%	13%	0%	••••				
English Proficient	103	96%	56%	10%					
Limited English Proficient	16	75%	38%	0%	••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	31	87%	35%	3%					
Not Disadvantaged	88	95%	60%	10%		••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	119	93%	54%	8%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4		
All Students	116	116	116	97%	58%	3%			
Female	64	98%	67%	5%					
Male	52	96%	46%	0%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	3	_	_	_					
Hispanic or Latino	23		_	-	New assess	sments for el	ementary-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	••••	•••••••		and middle	e-level Englis	h language		
Pacific Islander					arts and m	athematics w	vere		
White	90	98%	61%	3%		ed in 2006. R			
Small Group Totals	26	96%	46%	0%			not be directly		
General-Education Students	101	99%	65%	3%		to results fro ed assessmer	m previously		
Students with Disabilities	15	87%	7%	0%	administere	eu assessmer	115.		
English Proficient	109	98%	60%	3%					
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	29%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged	27	93%	33%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	89	99%	65%	3%		•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	116	97%	58%	3%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0	2 7	<u> </u>		Tested 0	2 7	3 4	7	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	11	10	10	N/A	12	9	8	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4					
All Students	127	127	127	127	94%	72%	12%			
Female	73	97%	77%	15%						
Male	54	91%	67%	7%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	-	_	-						
Hispanic or Latino	33	-	_	-	New assessments for elementary-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	and middle-level English language					
Pacific Islander					arts and mathematics were					
White	92	95%	74%	14%	administered in 2006. Results from					
Small Group Totals	35	94%	69%	6%	these assessments cannot be directl	-				
General-Education Students	112	98%	78%	13%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.					
Students with Disabilities	15	67%	33%	7%	administered assessments.					
English Proficient	109	94%	75%	14%						
Limited English Proficient	18	94%	56%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged	31	90%	42%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged	96	96%	82%	14%						
Migrant										
Not Migrant	127	94%	72%	12%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 S	chool Yea	r		
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	103	99%	83%	15%	118	91%	75%	20%
Female	54	100%	76%	11%	55	84%	67%	15%
Male	49	98%	92%	18%	63	97%	83%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	_	_	_			•••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino	33	-	_	-	38	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••	••••	•••••	••••••	1	_	_	_
Pacific Islander			. .		т	_ 	_ . .	
White	69	99%	91%	16%	79	95%	81%	28%
Small Group Totals	34	100%	68%	12%	39	82%	64%	5%
General-Education Students	88	100%	84%	16%	88	93%	82%	25%
Students with Disabilities	15	93%	80%	7%	30	83%	57%	7%
English Proficient	84	99%	90%	18%	107	92%	77%	22%
Limited English Proficient	19	100%	53%	0%	11	82%	64%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	100%	61%	10%	38	92%	76%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	72	99%	93%	17%	80	90%	75%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	103	99%	83%	15%	118	91%	75%	20%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 S d	:hool Year			
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
Regents Science	25	25	25	19	13	13	13	12	


District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 4 25 75 25 666 43 82 14 147 Feb 2004 8 655 Feb 2003 6 39 52 14 111 655




	Number o	f students sco				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	8	60	51	4	123	693
Jan 2004	8	66	46	8	128	698
Jan 2003	4	52	44	1	101	694


District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 0 78 58 143 676 May 2005 34 3 24 99 160 660 May 2004 May 2003 1 18 61 43 123 668



Test Date	Number o	of students sco	ring at each p	:		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	22	52	54	8	136	709
May 2004	14	24	86	13	137	724
May 2003	8	31	71	4	114	723

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	147	84%	78%	37%	125	82%	74%	27%
Female	64	94%	86%	47%	64	84%	77%	34%
Male	83	77%	71%	30%	61	80%	70%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	_	_	_	1	_		_
Hispanic or Latino	34	_	_	-	29	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_			•••••	••••••
White	109	93%	88%	45%	95	83%	78%	33%
Small Group Totals	38	61%	47%	16%	30	80%	60%	10%
General-Education Students	130	87%	82%	42%	104	88%	83%	33%
Students with Disabilities	17	65%	41%	6%	21	52%	29%	0%
English Proficient	129	90%	86%	43%	112	85%	79%	30%
Limited English Proficient	18	44%	17%	0%	13	62%	23%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	59%	47%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	130	88%	82%	42%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	147	84%	78%	37%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assossments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	147	84%	81%	39%	125	81%	80%	17%	
Female	64	94%	88%	47%	64	81%	80%	20%	
Male	83	77%	76%	33%	61	80%	80%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	3	_	_	-	1	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino	34	_	_	-	29	_	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_			••••••	•••••	
White	109	90%	88%	42%	95	83%	82%	19%	
Small Group Totals	38	68%	61%	29%	30	73%	73%	10%	
General-Education Students	130	89%	87%	43%	104	89%	88%	18%	
Students with Disabilities	17	47%	35%	6%	21	38%	38%	10%	
English Proficient	129	88%	87%	44%	112	83%	82%	17%	
Limited English Proficient	18	56%	39%	0%	13	62%	62%	15%	
Economically Disadvantaged	17	59%	59%	24%					
Not Disadvantaged	130	88%	84%	41%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	147	84%	81%	39%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number Number scoring at level(s):			l(s):	
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	147	76%	0%	2%	10%	12%
	2001	125	82%	1%	2%	9%	6%
Female	2002	64	84%	0%	0%	11%	5%
	2001	64	83%	2%	3%	6%	6%
Male	2002	83	70%	0%	4%	10%	17%
	2001	61	80%	0%	2%	11%	7%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	3	_	_	_	_	_
African American	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	34	<u> </u>	_	_	_	
The second second	2001	29	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	1	_	_	_		_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r						
White	2002	109	86%	0%	2%	6%	6%
	2001	95	86%	1%	3%	5%	4%
Small Group Totals	2002	38	47%	0%	3%	21%	29%
·	2001	30	67%	0%	0%	20%	13%
General-Education Students	2002	130	77%	0%	2%	11%	11%
	2001	104	83%	0%	2%	8%	8%
Students with Disabilities	2002	17	71%	0%	6%	6%	18%
	2001	21	76%	5%	5%	14%	0%
English Proficient	2002	129	82%	0%	2%	9%	8%
5	2001	112	87%	1%	3%	5%	4%
Limited English Proficient	2002	18	33%	0%	6%	22%	39%
9	2001	13	38%	0%	0%	38%	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	17	47%	0%	6%	29%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	130	80%	0%	2%	8%	11%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	147	76%	0%	2%	10%	12%

NOTES

District HAMPTON BAYS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	123	88%	1%	1%	2%	9%
Female	64	88%	2%	2%	2%	8%
Male	59	88%	0%	0%	2%	10%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	1	_	_	_	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	28	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	94	90%	1%	1%	2%	5%
Small Group Totals	29	79%	0%	0%	0%	21%
General-Education Students	103	87%	0%	1%	1%	11%
Students with Disabilities	20	90%	5%	0%	5%	0%
English Proficient	111	91%	1%	1%	2%	5%
Limited English Proficient	12	58%	0%	0%	0%	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	0%	0%	0%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	111	87%	1%	1%	2%	9%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	123	88%	1%	1%	2%	9%

NOTES