

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005-06

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL **DISTRICT** District ID 590901060000 Superintendent EDWARD RHINE Telephone (845) 292-6990 Grades PK-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234

Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

> This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

> This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

View School Accountability Status.

> This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

> This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	53	59	65
Kindergarten	117	109	111
Grade 1	122	117	109
Grade 2	133	120	103
Grade 3	117	122	105
Grade 4	146	122	109
Grade 5	155	145	117
Grade 6	136	165	142
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	155	130	145
Grade 8	171	139	119
Grade 9	197	180	142
Grade 10	133	182	158
Grade 11	138	129	148
Grade 12	109	126	128
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1829	1786	1636

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	22	20	17
Grade 8			
English	24	26	20
Mathematics	25	23	18
Science	22	20	18
Social Studies	21	23	18
Grade 10			
English	18	21	23
Mathematics	14	21	21
Science	22	22	17
Social Studies	18	23	23

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	864	47%	696	39%	765	47%
Reduced-Price Lunch	225	12%	171	10%	190	12%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	57	3%	64	4%	77	5%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	4	0%	4	0%
Black or African American	244	13%	229	13%	190	12%
Hispanic or Latino	292	16%	312	17%	307	19%
Asian or Native	33	2%	31	2%	25	2%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1260	69%	1210	68%	1110	68%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		200	3-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		91%		92%		91%
Student Suspensions	269	N/A	251	14%	240	13%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	471	365	544
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	9%	4%	2%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	3	2	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	1%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	9	3	2
Percentage of Total	6%	2%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	21%	21%	19%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	152	146	153
Total Other Professional Staff	16	20	26
Total Paraprofessionals*	57	59	58
Assistant Principals	4	4	4
Principals	7	3	3

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006-07)

Good Standing

Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
 Math	♠ Good Standing	Math 🔥 Good Standing	
 Science	◆ Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	V		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_			
Black or African American	~	/	•••	_	_	•••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	•••••••	_	- -	••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_	••••••	_	- -	••••••		
White	~	V	••••••••	V	X	••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	V		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	✓ SH	V	•••••••	_		•••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	V	/	••••••••	X	V	•••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	X 2 of 3	X 1 of 3	✓ 1 of 1		

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Improvement (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Improvement (Year 3) 🔨 Improvement (Year 4) ∧

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

AYP Participation ² Test Performance ³		rmance ³	Performance Objectives					
Student Group	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective Safe Harbor Targ		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		•	iesteu		maex	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (764:723)		V	99%	V	139	118		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (89:83)	V	V	98%	V	130	111		
Hispanic or Latino (137:128)	/	V	99%	V	127	113	•••••••	••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (11:11)	- -	_	_	_	- -	- -	••••••	_
White (524:498)	/	/	99%	V	143	117	••••••	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (153:143)	✓ SH	V	96%	✓ SH	69	113	66	82
Limited English Proficient (36:33)	✓SH	_	_	V SH	94	105	20	105
Economically Disadvantaged (374:344)	/	V	98%	~	121	116		••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 7 of 7	,						

- NOTES

 These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- † This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (763:718)	- Status	- Criterion	99%	- Criterion	156	82	2005-06	2006-07
Ethnicity				_	100			
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (91:84)	V	V	98%	~	146	75	•••••••	•••••••
Hispanic or Latino (137:124)	/	V	97%	V	148	77	••••••••	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (11:11)	- -	_	_	_	- -	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- -
White (521:496)	~	/	99%	/	159	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (150:141)	/	V	97%	V	99	77		
Limited English Proficient (34:32)	/	_	_	V	119	69	•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (372:339)	V	V	98%	V	141	80	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 7 of 7	•						

NOTES 1 These

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group	_	Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06 2006-07	
All Students (234:217)	V	Qualified	/	97%	V	184	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		_	-	-	-	-	_	_	
Black or African American (37:34)		_	_	-	/	182	100		
Hispanic or Latino (38:35)		_	_	-	V	163	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)					••••		•••	•••••••••	
White (158:147)		Qualified	V	97%	~	190	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (43:36)		Qualified	V	95%	~	167	100		
Limited English Proficient (10:10)		_	_	-	_	_	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (110:100)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	99%	~	170	100	•••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	1 0	of 1							

AYP Status



Made AYF



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participati	articipation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (119:127)	/	/	97%	V	157	145			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Black or African American (13:10)	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (20:22)	_	- -	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	
White (82:91)	/	V	98%	V	164	144	•••••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (5:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (4:4)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (31:33)	X		_	X	127	137	125‡	134	
Final AYP Determination	X 2 of 3								

AYP Status



Made AYP





Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures 1	1 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the


How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹ All Students (232:127)	X	X	91%	Citterion	154	137	2005-06	2000-07
Ethnicity			3170	·	154			
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-
Black or African American (13:10)	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Hispanic or Latino (20:22)	_	_	-	_	-	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	_	-	_	- -	_	_	- -
White (169:91)	X	X	92%	V	153	136	••••••••	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (5:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (4:4)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged (31:33)	V	<u> </u>	_	V	130	129	•••••••	•••••••••
Final AYP Determination	X 1 of 3							

AYP Status







 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or

secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator	^	Good Standing
(2006-07) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
•	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group	Met		Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target	
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (145)	~	~	68%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		-	-	_	_	-	
Black or African American (17)		-	-	-	_	-	
Hispanic or Latino (21)		_	-	-	_	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2)		_	-	-	_	-	
White (104)		~	78%	55%			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (30)		X	20%	55%	45%	21%	
Limited English Proficient (2)		_	-	_	_	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (33)		X	52%	55%	55%	53%	
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1					

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
▲ Good Standing	
2 schools identified 67% of total	
LIBERTY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
LIBERTY MIDDLE SCHOOL	
	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
	1 school identified 33% of total
	LIBERTY HIGH SCHOOL

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at o	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	48%		101
Grade 4	57%		110
Grade 5	58%		110
Grade 6	47%		144
Grade 7	51%		150
Grade 8	36%		118
Mathematics			
Grade 3	75%		106
Grade 4	75%		110
Grade 5	58%		114
Grade 6	58%		144
Grade 7	59%		153
Grade 8	53%		119
Science			
Grade 4	93%		110
Grade 8	73%		102
	Percentage scored at o	2002 Cohort	
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	59%		169
Mathematics	59%		169
	Percentage	2002	
	who gradua	ted	Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	54%	<u> </u>	169

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	101	79%	48%	0%						
Female	52	81%	56%	0%						
Male	49	78%	39%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	18	-	_	_				Ì		
Hispanic or Latino	20	70%	40%	0%	••••			Ì		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_				ľ		
White	62	81%	50%	0%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	19	84%	47%	0%	•••••			İ		
General-Education Students	82	88%	55%	0%						
Students with Disabilities	19	42%	16%	0%				,		
English Proficient	94	81%	51%	0%						
Limited English Proficient	7	57%	0%	0%	••••			ľ		
Economically Disadvantaged	54	70%	39%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	47	89%	57%	0%		•••••	•••••••			
Migrant	1	_	-	-						
Not Migrant	100			-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Number scoring a			at level(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	This tost	was not giv	on in 200	14-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent						was not giv			
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	2	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 st was not given in 2004-05.			
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	106	96%	75%	9%				
Female	56	98%	77%	11%				
Male	50	94%	74%	8%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	19	_	_	_				i
Hispanic or Latino	23	96%	61%	0%	••••			İ
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_				Ì
White	63	98%	81%	14%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	20	90%	75%	5%	•••••			İ
General-Education Students	87	99%	80%	11%				
Students with Disabilities	19	84%	53%	0%				Ì
English Proficient	96	97%	79%	10%				
Limited English Proficient	10	90%	40%	0%				İ
Economically Disadvantaged	58	95%	69%	5%				
Not Disadvantaged	48	98%	83%	15%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant	3	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	103					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Total	Number sco	mber scoring at level(s):					
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total		scoring at le	scoring at level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	110	87%	57%	6%					
Female	55	93%	60%	7%					
Male	55	82%	55%	5%		•	•		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	25	88%	48%	4%					
Hispanic or Latino	16	81%	63%	6%	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ıry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	and mi	ddle-level En	glish langu	age	
Pacific Islander					arts an	d mathematio	cs were		
White	69	88%	59%	7%					
Small Group Totals		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				•	
General-Education Students	88	97%	69%	7%			•	iously	
Students with Disabilities	22	50%	9%	5%	adminis	stereu assessi	ments.		
English Proficient	105	89%	60%	7%					
Limited English Proficient	5	60%	0%	0%	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	57	81%	42%	2%					
Not Disadvantaged	53	94%	74%	11%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••		
Migrant	4	-	_	-					
Not Migrant	106	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Number scoring at Tested 2–4 3–4				
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0			· ·	1	-		-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	-	-	N/A	6	4	3	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05 S	chool Year		
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	110	92%	75%	24%				
Female	55	93%	80%	24%				
Male	55	91%	71%	24%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	24	92%	71%	17%				
Hispanic or Latino	16	81%	69%	31%	New ass	essments foi	r elementar <u>y</u>	y-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	and mid	dle-level Eng	glish langua	ge
Pacific Islander						mathematic		
White	70	94%	79%	24%		ered in 2006		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••		sessments c		•
General-Education Students	86	98%	88%	30%	•	ed to results ered assessr	•	usly
Students with Disabilities	24	71%	29%	0%	aummist	ereu assessi	nents.	
English Proficient	104	93%	78%	25%				
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	33%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	58	86%	62%	9%				
Not Disadvantaged	52	98%	90%	40%		•••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant	4	-	-	_				
Not Migrant	106	_	_	_		••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	ercentage scoring at level(s): 2-4		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	110	100%	93%	35%	124	97%	72%	21%	
Female	54	100%	93%	37%	66	94%	68%	15%	
Male	56	100%	93%	32%	58	100%	76%	28%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	23	100%	96%	26%	10	100%	70%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	17	100%	88%	41%	31	90%	58%	6%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••	5	100%	80%	20%	
White	70	100%	93%	36%	78	99%	77%	29%	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	•••••	
General-Education Students	87	100%	93%	43%	103	96%	76%	25%	
Students with Disabilities	23	100%	91%	4%	21	100%	52%	0%	
English Proficient	104	100%	93%	37%	111	99%	77%	23%	
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	83%	0%	13	77%	31%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	58	100%	86%	28%	61	95%	57%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged	52	100%	100%	42%	63	98%	86%	38%	
Migrant	4	-	_	_					
Not Migrant	106	-	-		124	97%	72%	21%	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	110	92%	58%	4%							
Female	57	95%	58%	2%							
Male	53	89%	58%	6%			•				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	7	71%	43%	0%				·			
Hispanic or Latino	20	90%	60%	0%	•••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	40%	0%	••••			·			
White	78	94%	60%	5%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004				
Small Group Totals	•••••••	••••	••••••								
General-Education Students	88	98%	70%	5%							
Students with Disabilities	22	68%	9%	0%	•••••			ľ			
English Proficient	105	92%	60%	4%							
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	20%	0%				Ì			
Economically Disadvantaged	58	90%	43%	2%							
Not Disadvantaged	52	94%	75%	6%	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant	2	_	-	-							
Not Migrant	108			_	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	5	4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5								

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	114	88%	58%	10%							
Female	60	88%	57%	10%							
Male	54	87%	59%	9%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	8	63%	13%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Hispanic or Latino	24	88%	50%	4%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	0%				,			
White	77	90%	64%	13%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004				
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
General-Education Students	90	97%	68%	12%							
Students with Disabilities	24	54%	21%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•			
English Proficient	106	89%	59%	10%							
Limited English Proficient	8	75%	38%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged	62	82%	42%	2%							
Not Disadvantaged	52	94%	77%	19%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant	5	60%	40%	0%							
Not Migrant	109	89%	59%	10%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	er scoring at level(s):						
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	144	87%	47%	5%				
Female	68	94%	51%	7%				
Male	76	80%	43%	3%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	14	_	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	22	82%	32%	5%	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_				
White	105	87%	50%	5%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.
Small Group Totals	17	94%	47%	6%				
General-Education Students	107	99%	62%	7%				
Students with Disabilities	37	51%	5%	0%				
English Proficient	144	87%	47%	5%				
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged	70	84%	40%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	74	89%	54%	8%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	143	-		_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-		t was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	2	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	144	92%	58%	6%						
Female	67	91%	58%	6%						
Male	77	94%	57%	5%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	_	-	-						
Black or African American	14	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	_				,		
Hispanic or Latino	23	87%	39%	9%				,		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_						
White	104	92%	61%	5%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	17	100%	65%	6%	•••••			Ì		
General-Education Students	108	96%	67%	7%						
Students with Disabilities	36	81%	31%	0%	•••••					
English Proficient	142	_	_	-						
Limited English Proficient	2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	70	91%	49%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged	74	93%	66%	5%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant	1	_	-	-						
Not Migrant	143			_	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	i(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	chool Year Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	150	93%	51%	3%								
Female	68	97%	59%	6%								
Male	82	89%	45%	1%								
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American	7	-	_	-								
Hispanic or Latino	26	88%	46%	0%	•••••							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4	_	_	_	••••							
Pacific Islander												
White	113	94%	51%	4%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.				
Small Group Totals	11	91%	64%	0%								
General-Education Students	128	96%	58%	4%	<u> </u>							
Students with Disabilities	22	73%	14%	0%								
English Proficient	147	-	-	-								
Limited English Proficient	3	_	_	_	••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	65	91%	38%	0%								
Not Disadvantaged	85	94%	61%	6%		••••	•••••••					
Migrant	4	-	-	-								
Not Migrant	146	-		-		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	Total		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested 1	2-4	2-4 3-4 4		Tested This tes	2-4 3-4 4 st was not given in 2004-05.		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	_	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	153	95%	59%	3%				
Female	70	94%	66%	4%				
Male	83	95%	53%	1%			••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	-	_	_	• • • • • • •			
Hispanic or Latino	28	100%	61%	0%				,
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_				Ì
White	113	94%	56%	3%	This te	est was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals	12	92%	83%	8%				ľ
General-Education Students	131	98%	67%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	22	73%	9%	0%				·
English Proficient	149	_	-	_				
Limited English Proficient	4	-						,
Economically Disadvantaged	67	91%	45%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	86	98%	70%	3%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant	4	_	-	_				
Not Migrant	149	-				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number sco	mber scoring at level(s):					
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	r	
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
118	92%	36%	1%				
60	98%	37%	2%				
58	84%	36%	0%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
1	-	_	-				
14	_	_	_	• • • • • • •			
16	81%	25%	0%	New a	ssessments fo	r elementa	ary-
•••••	••••	••••••		and m	iddle-level En	glish langu	iage
				arts ar	nd mathematic	cs were	
87	93%	40%	1%				
15	93%	27%	0%				•
103	100%	42%	1%			•	iously
15	33%	0%	0%	aumini	stereu assess	ments.	
116	_	-	-				
2	_	-	_				
49	84%	16%	0%				
69	97%	51%	1%	•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
1	_	-	_				
117	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Total Tested 118 60 58 1 14 16 87 15 103 15 116 2 49 69	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 118 92% 60 98% 58 84% 1 - 14 - 16 81% 87 93% 15 93% 103 100% 15 33% 116 - 2 - 49 84% 69 97% 1 -	Tested 2-4 3-4 118 92% 36% 60 98% 37% 58 84% 36% 1 14 16 81% 25% 87 93% 40% 15 93% 27% 103 100% 42% 15 33% 0% 116 2 49 84% 16% 69 97% 51% 1	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 118 92% 36% 1% 60 98% 37% 2% 58 84% 36% 0% 1 16 81% 25% 0% 87 93% 40% 1% 15 93% 27% 0% 103 100% 42% 1% 15 33% 0% 0% 116 2 49 84% 16% 0% 69 97% 51% 1% 1	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 118 92% 36% 1% 60 98% 37% 2% 58 84% 36% 0% 1 16 81% 25% 0% New as and minimarts and adminimarts a	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 118 92% 36% 1% 60 98% 37% 2% 58 84% 36% 0% 1 14 16 81% 25% 0% New assessments for and middle-level En arts and mathematic administered in 200 these assessments of compared to results administered assess 15 93% 27% 0% 103 100% 42% 1% 15 33% 0% 0% 116 2 49 84% 16% 0% 69 97% 51% 1% 1	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 3-4


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0	2 4	3 4	4	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	2	-	-	N/A	1	-	-	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-	-05 S c	hool Year	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 ments for elementary-			
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total		Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	ł	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	119	87%	53%	10%							
Female	60	90%	53%	13%							
Male	59	85%	53%	7%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	-							
Black or African American	14	_		_		•					
Hispanic or Latino	18	78%	44%	6%	Ne	w asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	and middle-level English language						
Pacific Islander					arts	s and r	mathematic	s were			
White	86	92%	58%	13%							
Small Group Totals	15	73%	33%	0%					-		
General-Education Students	104	95%	60%	12%		•		•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	15	33%	7%	0%	aur	mmste	red assessi	nents.			
English Proficient	115	_	_	_							
Limited English Proficient	4			-	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	48	79%	40%	2%							
Not Disadvantaged	71	93%	62%	15%	••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant	3	-	_	-							
Not Migrant	116			-	••••••		••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	chool Yea	r		2004-05 School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	102	99%	73%	6%	136	95%	74%	25%
Female	52	100%	65%	4%	54	94%	63%	22%
Male	50	98%	80%	8%	82	95%	82%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1		_	_				
Black or African American	11	-	-	-	13	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	19	95%	42%	5%	25	96%	60%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		2	_	_	_
Pacific Islander						.		. .
White	71	100%	85%	7%	96	96%	78%	30%
Small Group Totals	12	100%	50%	0%	15	87%	73%	13%
General-Education Students	86	100%	79%	7%	108	98%	86%	31%
Students with Disabilities	16	94%	38%	0%	28	82%	29%	0%
English Proficient	98	-	_	-	134	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	_	-	2	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	50	98%	50%	4%	54	91%	57%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	52	100%	94%	8%	82	98%	85%	34%
Migrant	3	-	_	_				
Not Migrant	99	_	-	_	136	95%	74%	25%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
-	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	۷		_	_	0			
Regents Science	14	14	14	11	0			


District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 9 42 55 16 122 651 13 58 59 15 145 Feb 2004 646 Feb 2003 5 31 77 20 133 659




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance level:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	23	70	45	7	145	688
Jan 2004	20	96	39	11	166	689
Jan 2003	24	84	41	10	159	686


District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 4 22 78 21 656 May 2005 5 32 84 30 151 May 2004 654 May 2003 3 20 90 31 144 659



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance level:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	23	47	59	9	138	713
May 2004	16	50	89	12	167	718
May 2003	20	53	65	16	154	715

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2002 Cohort*					2001 Cohort*				
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	169	62%	59%	22%	159	66%	64%	35%			
Female	73	71%	67%	30%	81	74%	73%	43%			
Male	96	54%	52%	16%	78	58%	54%	26%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	_			
Black or African American	18	_	_	_	22	32%	23%	0%			
Hispanic or Latino	27	70%	56%	19%	22	_	_	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	-	2	-	-	_			
White	120	63%	62%	23%	112	74%	72%	43%			
Small Group Totals	22	45%	45%	18%	25	36%	28%	4%			
General-Education Students	139	75%	71%	27%	122	81%	80%	44%			
Students with Disabilities	30	0%	0%	0%	37	16%	11%	3%			
English Proficient	163	61%	59%	23%	157	-	_	-			
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	50%	0%	2	_	_	-			
Economically Disadvantaged	46	50%	41%	4%							
Not Disadvantaged	123	66%	65%	28%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••			
Migrant	3	-	_	-							
Not Migrant	166	_	_	_							


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Accessments	Number	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Number	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2002 Cohort*					2001 Cohort*				
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	169	62%	59%	13%	159	59%	58%	16%			
Female	73	73%	70%	16%	81	65%	63%	14%			
Male	96	53%	51%	10%	78	53%	53%	19%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	_			
Black or African American	18	_	_	_	22	14%	14%	0%			
Hispanic or Latino	27	67%	63%	4%	22	_	_	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_	2	_	_	_			
White	120	61%	59%	14%	112	71%	70%	22%			
Small Group Totals	22	59%	55%	18%	25	44%	44%	4%			
General-Education Students	139	75%	72%	16%	122	76%	75%	21%			
Students with Disabilities	30	0%	0%	0%	37	3%	3%	0%			
English Proficient	163	61%	59%	13%	157	-	_	-			
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	67%	0%	2	_	-	<u> </u>			
Economically Disadvantaged	46	52%	46%	7%							
Not Disadvantaged	123	65%	64%	15%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••			
Migrant	3	-	-	-							
Not Migrant	166	_		_	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••			

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	(s):	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	l(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002	Cohort
2001	Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	169	54%	7%	6%	14%	19%
	2001	159	59%	8%	4%	11%	18%
Female	2002	73	66%	1%	5%	10%	18%
	2001	81	64%	9%	4%	9%	15%
Male	2002	96	46%	10%	6%	18%	20%
	2001	78	54%	6%	4%	14%	22%
American Indian	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Black or	2002	18	_	_	_	_	_
African American	2001	22	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	27	48%	7%	11%	26%	7%
	2001	22	41%	23%	5%	14%	18%
Asian or Native	2002	3	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	120	58%	7%	6%	11%	19%
	2001	112	70%	4%	3%	9%	14%
Small Group Totals	2002	22	45%	5%	0%	18%	32%
	2001	25	28%	8%	8%	20%	36%
General-Education Students	2002	139	65%	0%	7%	16%	12%
•••••	2001	122	72%	0%	5%	10%	13%
Students with Disabilities	2002	30	3%	37%	0%	7%	53%
	2001	37	16%	32%	0%	16%	35%
English Proficient	2002	163	55%	6%	6%	13%	20%
•••••	2001	157	.	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>
Limited English Proficient	2002	6	33%	17%	0%	50%	0%
	2001	2					
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	46	41%	15%	2%	28%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	123	59%	3%	7%	9%	21%
Migrant	2002	3	_	_	_	_	_
Not Migrant	2002	166		_	_	_	

NOTES

District LIBERTY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	157	65%	8%	5%	3%	20%
Female	81	68%	7%	6%	1%	17%
Male	76	62%	8%	4%	4%	22%
American Indian	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native						
Black or	22	_	_	_		_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	22	50%	18%	9%	0%	23%
Asian or Native	2	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	110	75%	5%	3%	1%	15%
Small Group Totals	25	32%	8%	12%	12%	36%
General-Education Students	124	77%	0%	6%	2%	15%
Students with Disabilities	33	21%	36%	0%	6%	36%
English Proficient	155	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	36	56%	17%	0%	8%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	121	68%	5%	7%	1%	20%
Migrant	1	_	_	_	_	_
Not Migrant	156	_	_	_	_	_

NOTES