

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT
District ID 621601060000
Superintendent RICHARD RHAU
Telephone (845) 247-6500
Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	169	179	197
Grade 1	259	238	247
Grade 2	233	224	198
Grade 3	220	234	238
Grade 4	249	220	240
Grade 5	224	258	248
Grade 6	243	235	276
Ungraded Elementary	69	82	34
Grade 7	284	267	265
Grade 8	312	296	257
Grade 9	300	345	311
Grade 10	277	297	321
Grade 11	253	286	271
Grade 12	235	248	268
Ungraded Secondary	9	16	5
Total K-12	3336	3425	3376

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	20	20	20
Grade 8			
English	23	19	19
Mathematics	22	21	19
Science	22	21	19
Social Studies	22	21	20
Grade 10			
English	22	21	23
Mathematics	23	25	24
Science	24	28	28
Social Studies	25	23	22

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	409	12%	441	13%	515	15%
Reduced-Price Lunch	259	8%	242	7%	403	12%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	23	1%	25	1%	32	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	3	0%	4	0%
Black or African American	88	3%	96	3%	98	3%
Hispanic or Latino	84	3%	102	3%	113	3%
Asian or Native	40	1%	42	1%	44	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3122	94%	3182	93%	3117	92%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		91%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	133	N/A	103	3%	138	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	582	593	882
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	1	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	3	3	3
Percentage of Total	1%	1%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	10%	10%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	206	240	242
Total Other Professional Staff	15	22	25
Total Paraprofessionals*	71	76	83
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	6	6	6

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

Good Standing

Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
 Math	♠ Good Standing	Math Good Standing	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	V	V	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native				_	_		
Black or African American	/	~	••••••	_	_	••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	/	~	••••••	_	_	•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	- -	••••••	_	- -	••••••	
White	~	V	••••••••	V	~	••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	✓SH	✓		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	_	- -	•••••••	••••••••	•••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Economically Disadvantaged	~	V	••••••••	V	V	•••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 1 of 1	

AYP Status

✓ Made AY

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Improvement (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
Improvement (Year 4) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔥 🔲 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Performance ³ Performance Objectives				es	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹ Status	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (1555:1511)	V	<u> </u>	99%	<u> </u>	162	119		<u> </u>
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (66:46)	V	V	95%	V	143	108	•••••••	•••
Hispanic or Latino (39:38)	/	_	- -	V	166	106	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (21:21)	- -	<u> </u>	_	_	_	_	•••••••••	<u> </u>
White (1442:1406)	/	V	99%	/	162	119	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (310:208)	✓ SH	V	95%	✓ SH	102	114	96	112
Limited English Proficient (4:4)	_	- -	_	_	_	_	••••••••	_ _
Economically Disadvantaged (397:376)	/	V	97%	~	143	116	••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (1556:1500)	V	<u> </u>	98%	<u> </u>	158	83		<u> </u>
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (65:44)	V	V	95%	V	141	71		
Hispanic or Latino (41:39)	V	V	100%	V	146	70	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (19:18)	- -	- -	_	_	- -	_	•••••••	_
White (1444:1399)	/	V	99%	/	158	83	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (229:210)	/	V	95%	V	98	78		
Limited English Proficient (4:4)	-	- -	_	_	_	_	•••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (396:375)	V	V	98%	V	137	80	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 6 of 6							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	Participation ²		ormance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group	C+-+	Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (508:477)		Qualified		97%	/	188	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (13:11)		_	_	-	_	_	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (10:9)		_	_	-	-	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)		_	-	-	_	-	_	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
White (480:452)	•••••	Qualified	V	97%	V	189	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (68:62)		Qualified	V	96%	~	168	100		
Limited English Proficient (2:2)		_	-	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Economically Disadvantaged (117:109)	•••••	Qualified	/	97%	~	183	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

AYP Status





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]


How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹ All Students (253:257)	AYP Participation		ion²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
	✓	<u> </u>	97%	<u>/</u>	172	147		2000 0/
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Black or African American (10:10)	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
								_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:3)	_	_	_	_	-	_	- -	<u> </u>
White (233:240)	/	V	98%	/	171	147	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (12:16)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							••••••••	•••
Economically Disadvantaged (38:37)	/	_ _	_	V	162	138	•••••••••	•••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3							

AYP Status







 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹ All Students (253:257)	AYP Participat		ion²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
	<u>✓</u>	<u> </u>	96%	<u>/</u>	170	139		2000 0/
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Black or African American (10:10)	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
					_			_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:3)	_	_	_	_	-	_		_
White (233:240)	/	V	97%	/	170	139	••••••••	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (12:16)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (38:37)	/	_ _	_	V	162	130	••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Indicator (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (246)	V V		79%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (3)		_	-	-	_	-		
Hispanic or Latino (6)	• • • • • • •	_	-	<u> </u>	-	- -		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2)		_	-	_	_	_		
White (235)	• • • • • • • •	~	79%	55%		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (16)		-	-	-	_	-		
Limited English Proficient (1)		-	-	-	_	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (21)		_		-	_			
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1						

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

♦ Good Standing

5 schools identified 83% of total

CAHILL SCHOOL

MORSE SCHOOL

MOUNT MARION ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

RICCARDI ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

SAUGERTIES SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

1 school identified 17% of total

SAUGERTIES JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	71%		234
Grade 4	71%		241
Grade 5	74%		250
Grade 6	65%		 277
Grade 7	63%		263
Grade 8	55%		253
Mathematics			
Grade 3	90%		233
Grade 4	85%		245
Grade 5	71%		252
Grade 6	59%		280
Grade 7	43%		255
Grade 8	48%		252
Science			
Grade 4	97%		243
Grade 8	78%		216
	_	of students that	2002
C		above Level 3	Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	72%		291
Mathematics	73%		291
	Percentage	of students	2002
	who graduat	ted	Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	75%	,	291

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	234	95%	71%	7%						
Female	107	96%	79%	10%						
Male	127	94%	65%	5%			••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	10	90%	40%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino	6	83%	67%	0%	••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	40%						
White	213	96%	73%	7%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	•••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	•••••					
General-Education Students	201	99%	78%	8%						
Students with Disabilities	33	76%	33%	3%						
English Proficient	234	95%	71%	7%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••	••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	58	88%	57%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged	176	98%	76%	9%		•••••	••••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	234	95%	71%	7%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested This tost t	2-4 was not giv	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent						was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004–05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
233	98%	90%	14%						
107	98%	89%	20%						
126	98%	90%	10%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
10	100%	60%	10%	•••••					
6	-		_	•••••					
4	-	_	_						
213	99%	92%	14%	This te	est was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
10	90%	70%	30%						
200	100%	92%	16%						
33	91%	76%	3%						
233	98%	90%	14%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••						
57	96%	84%	2%						
176	99%	91%	18%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •		
233	98%	90%	14%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••			
	Total Tested 233 107 126 10 6 4 213 10 200 33 233 57 176	Total Tested 2-4 233 98% 107 98% 126 98% 10 100% 6 - 4 - 213 99% 10 90% 200 100% 33 91% 233 98% 57 96% 176 99%	Tested 2-4 3-4 233 98% 90% 107 98% 89% 126 98% 90% 10 100% 60% 6 4 213 99% 92% 10 90% 70% 200 100% 92% 33 91% 76% 233 98% 90% 57 96% 84% 176 99% 91%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 233 98% 90% 14% 107 98% 89% 20% 126 98% 90% 10% 10 10 100% 60% 10% 6 4 213 99% 99% 14% 10 90% 70% 30% 200 100% 92% 16% 33 91% 76% 3% 233 98% 90% 14% 57 96% 84% 2% 176 99% 91% 18%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 233 98% 90% 14% 107 98% 89% 20% 126 98% 90% 10% 10 100% 60% 10% 6 4 213 99% 92% 14% 10 90% 70% 30% 200 100% 92% 16% 33 91% 76% 3% 233 98% 90% 14% 57 96% 84% 2% 176 99% 91% 18%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 233 98% 90% 14% 107 98% 89% 20% 126 98% 90% 10% 10 100% 60% 10% 6 4 213 99% 92% 14% 10 90% 70% 30% 200 100% 92% 16% 33 91% 76% 3% 233 98% 90% 14% 57 96% 84% 2% 176 99% 91% 18%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 233 98% 90% 14% 107 98% 89% 20% 126 98% 90% 10% 10 100% 60% 10% 6 213 99% 92% 14% 10 90% 70% 30% 200 100% 92% 16% 33 91% 76% 3% 233 98% 90% 14% 57 96% 84% 2% 176 99% 91% 18%		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	2004-05 School Year							
Accocciments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	241	241	95%	71%	5%				
Female	115	95%	71%	8%					
Male	126	94%	71%	3%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	9	_	_	_					
Hispanic or Latino	3		_	-	New ass	essments for	r elementa	ry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••	•••••••		and mid	dle-level Enq	glish langu	age	
Pacific Islander	1	_	- 	_	arts and	mathematic	s were		
White	228	95%	71%	6%			6. Results from		
Small Group Totals	13	92%	69%	0%		sessments c		•	
General-Education Students	208	99%	78%	6%		ed to results ered assessr	•	ously	
Students with Disabilities	33	70%	24%	3%	auminis	ereu assessi	nents.		
English Proficient	241	95%	71%	5%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged	52	88%	67%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	189	96%	72%	7%	•	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	241	95%	71%	5%	•	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	5	5	5	4	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				1	-	-	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2	2004-05 School Year						
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			otal	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	ested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	245	96%	85%	16%							
Female	117	97%	83%	18%							
Male	128	95%	87%	13%			••••••	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	8	88%	63%	13%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	_	-		New asse	ssments fo	r elementary	/-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_		and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
White	232	96%	86%	16%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	administe	red in 200	6. Results fro	Results from		
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	0%				annot be dir	,		
General-Education Students	210	100%	92%	17%		•	I to results red assessr	from previo	usly		
Students with Disabilities	35	74%	43%	6%		aummste	reu assessi	nents.			
English Proficient	245	96%	85%	16%							
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged	54	91%	78%	4%							
Not Disadvantaged	191	97%	87%	19%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	245	96%	85%	16%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			2				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	_			3				

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	243	100%	97%	61%	238	98%	92%	55%
Female	119	100%	95%	58%	118	98%	92%	55%
Male	124	100%	99%	65%	120	98%	92%	56%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	100%	70%	50%	9	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	11	91%	73%	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	_	3	-	_	_
White	228	100%	98%	62%	215	99%	92%	56%
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	40%	12	100%	100%	83%
General-Education Students	208	100%	98%	67%	203	100%	96%	58%
Students with Disabilities	35	100%	94%	26%	35	91%	66%	40%
English Proficient	243	100%	97%	61%	237	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	53	100%	98%	43%	68	99%	81%	44%
Not Disadvantaged	190	100%	97%	66%	170	98%	96%	60%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	243	100%	97%	61%	238	98%	92%	55%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			4				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3				4	_		_	

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	250	97%	74%	12%						
Female	127	99%	81%	16%						
Male	123	94%	67%	9%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	11	_	-	_						
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	73%	0%	••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_						
White	224	96%	75%	14%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals	15	100%	67%	0%	•••••					
General-Education Students	212	100%	81%	15%						
Students with Disabilities	38	82%	37%	0%						
English Proficient	249	_	-	-						
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_						
Economically Disadvantaged	81	95%	65%	7%						
Not Disadvantaged	169	98%	78%	15%	••••••	•••••				
Migrant										
Not Migrant	250	97%	74%	12%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	2		was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	252	93%	71%	11%					
Female	128	93%	72%	10%					
Male	124	93%	71%	11%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	11	_		_					
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	58%	0%	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	- -	-					
White	226	93%	73%	11%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	14	86%	64%	21%					
General-Education Students	213	98%	79%	13%					
Students with Disabilities	39	67%	31%	0%					
English Proficient	251	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	1		- -	<u> </u>					
Economically Disadvantaged	80	89%	65%	5%					
Not Disadvantaged	172	95%	74%	13%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	252	93%	71%	11%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S d	2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı	
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	277	95%	65%	13%				
Female	145	97%	70%	13%				
Male	132	92%	60%	12%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	70%	40%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	60%	0%	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	•••••			
Pacific Islander								
White	262	96%	66%	13%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	242	99%	73%	14%				
Students with Disabilities	35	69%	14%	3%				
English Proficient	276	_	_	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	_		_				
Economically Disadvantaged	76	86%	36%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	201	99%	77%	16%	••••••	••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	277	95%	65%	13%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	2 4		-		was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	•	
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	280	92%	59%	9%				
Female	147	93%	61%	10%				
Male	133	90%	57%	8%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	90%	30%	10%				
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	50%	0%	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••	••••	••••••	•••••	••••			
Pacific Islander								
White	264	92%	60%	9%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals				•				
General-Education Students	244	98%	66%	10%				
Students with Disabilities	36	53%	11%	0%	• • • • • •			
English Proficient	279	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1		_	_	• • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged	77	79%	36%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	203	97%	67%	12%	••••••	••••		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	280	92%	59%	9%	••••••			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	263	95%	63%	9%				
Female	125	99%	65%	12%				
Male	138	92%	62%	6%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	_	_	-				
Hispanic or Latino	7	100%	86%	14%	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4	_	- -	_				
Pacific Islander White	 245	96%	63%	9%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
•••••	.	91%	•••••	9% 9%				
Small Group Totals General-Education Students	229	91%	55% 69%	9% 10%	_			
Students with Disabilities	34	74%	21%	0%	•••••			
	263				_			
English Proficient Limited English Proficient	203	95%	63%	9%	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	57	91%	46%	9%				
Not Disadvantaged	206	97%	68%	9%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	263	95%	63%	9%	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested 3	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> _	Tested This test	2-4 was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4 4 4-05.	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent New York State English as a Second		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	255	84%	43%	2%				
Female	121	88%	40%	3%				
Male	134	80%	46%	1%			••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	-	_	-				j
Hispanic or Latino	7	86%	43%	0%	••••			İ
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4	_	- -	_				Ì
Pacific Islander White	237	84%	43%	3%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	11	73%	43% 36%	3% 	•••••	5		
General-Education Students	222	90%	48%	3%	_			
Students with Disabilities	33	42%	12%	0%	•••••			·
English Proficient	255	84%	43%	2%				
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••					Ì
Economically Disadvantaged	58	72%	22%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	197	87%	49%	3%	••••••	•••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	255	84%	43%	2%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		20	04-05 S 0	hool Year														
Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Percentage scoring			scoring at le	ng at level(s):												
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	sted	2-4	3-4	4												
253	253	253	253	253	253	253	253	253	253	253	253	253	93%	55%	3%					
125	96%	61%	3%																	
128	91%	49%	3%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •													
3	_		_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •																
3	_	·····	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	New asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ry-												
4	••••	••••••			and middle-level English language															
4	_	_	_																	
243	93%	54%	3%																	
10	90%	70%	0%					,												
226	98%	61%	3%		•		•	ously												
27	56%	7%	4%		aummste	reu assessi	nents.													
253	93%	55%	3%																	
••••••	••••	••••••																		
58	88%	43%	0%																	
195	95%	58%	4%	• • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •													
253	93%	55%	3%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •													
	Total Tested 253 125 128 3 3 4 243 10 226 27 253 58 195	Total Tested 2-4 253 93% 125 96% 128 91% 3 - 3 - 3 - 4 - 243 93% 10 90% 226 98% 27 56% 253 93% 58 88% 195 95%	Tested 2-4 3-4 253 93% 55% 125 96% 61% 128 91% 49% 3 - - 3 - - 4 - - 243 93% 54% 10 90% 70% 226 98% 61% 27 56% 7% 253 93% 55% 58 88% 43% 195 95% 58%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 253 93% 55% 3% 125 96% 61% 3% 128 91% 49% 3% 3% 3 3 4 243 93% 54% 3% 10 90% 70% 0% 226 98% 61% 3% 27 56% 7% 4% 253 93% 55% 3% 58 88% 43% 0% 195 95% 58% 4%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 253 93% 55% 3% 125 96% 61% 3% 128 91% 49% 3% 3 - - - 3 - - - 4 - - - 243 93% 54% 3% 10 90% 70% 0% 226 98% 61% 3% 27 56% 7% 4% 253 93% 55% 3% 58 88% 43% 0% 195 95% 58% 4%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 253 93% 55% 3% 125 96% 61% 3% 128 91% 49% 3% 3 - - - 3 - - - 4 - - - 243 93% 54% 3% administer 10 90% 70% 0% these ass compared administer 27 56% 7% 4% administer 253 93% 55% 3% administer 58 88% 43% 0% 195 95% 58% 4%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 253 93% 55% 3% 125 96% 61% 3% 128 91% 49% 3% 3 New assessments for and middle-level Engarts and mathematic atmainistered in 200% these assessments of 226 98% 61% 3% 27 56% 7% 4% 253 93% 55% 3% 58 88% 43% 0% 195 95% 58% 4%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested Tested Percentage scoring at le												


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	т				۷				
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	2	-	-	N/A	2	-	-	N/A	
Grade 8									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05 S	chool Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	rel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	252	88%	48%	5%						
Female	125	92%	49%	6%						
Male	127	85%	47%	4%		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	3	-		_						
Hispanic or Latino	5	60%	20%	0%	New asso	essments fo	r elementar	y-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and midd	and middle-level English language				
Pacific Islander	4	_	_ 	_ 	arts and	mathematic	s were			
White	240	89%	48%	4%		ered in 2000				
Small Group Totals	7	86%	71%	43%		sessments c		,		
General-Education Students	225	95%	53%	5%	•	d to results ered assessr	•	usly		
Students with Disabilities	27	37%	7%	0%	auminist	ereu assessi	nents.			
English Proficient	250	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	2	_			•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	59	80%	29%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged	193	91%	54%	5%	••••••	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	252	88%	48%	5%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	5-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total N						Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	3	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent									

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004–05 School Year					
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	216	97%	78%	16%	250	98%	83%	28%
Female	111	97%	74%	13%	111	99%	85%	29%
Male	105	97%	82%	19%	139	97%	81%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	-	-
Black or African American	3	_	_	-	4	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	_	-	5	100%	60%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	_	_	2	-	_	_
White	207	98%	79%	15%	238	99%	84%	29%
Small Group Totals	9	89%	56%	22%	7	71%	57%	14%
General-Education Students	190	99%	83%	17%	218	99%	89%	32%
Students with Disabilities	26	85%	38%	4%	32	91%	44%	6%
English Proficient	214	-	_	-	249	_	_	-
Limited English Proficient	2		_	_	1	_	-	<u> </u>
Economically Disadvantaged	58	97%	72%	12%	51	92%	61%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	158	97%	80%	17%	199	99%	88%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	216	97%	78%	16%	250	98%	83%	28%


NOTES


Other	2005-06 S c	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at level(s		l(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_		_	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	т		_		2			_	
Regents Science	29	29	29	23	24	24	24	19	


District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.








	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	14	116	141	13	284	698
Jan 2004	15	151	104	21	291	697
Jan 2003	15	136	105	12	268	694


District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 4 20 133 85 242 670 May 2005 32 154 70 257 664 May 2004 1 May 2003 3 25 131 70 229 664



	Number o	of students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	35	90	149	12	286	715
May 2004	54	95	140	16	305	711
May 2003	43	86	125	24	278	715

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	291	80%	72%	26%	274	76%	69%	25%
Female	145	83%	75%	34%	125	79%	74%	30%
Male	146	78%	69%	17%	149	72%	64%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_				
Black or African American	11	91%	82%	27%	3	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	7	_	_	-	6	83%	67%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	_	_	4	_	_	-
White	269	81%	73%	26%	261	75%	69%	25%
Small Group Totals	11	55%	45%	18%	7	86%	71%	29%
General-Education Students	269	87%	78%	28%	249	83%	76%	27%
Students with Disabilities	22	5%	0%	0%	25	4%	4%	0%
English Proficient	288	-	-	-	273	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	3	_		_	1	_	_	<u> </u>
Economically Disadvantaged	42	76%	62%	24%				
Not Disadvantaged	249	81%	74%	26%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Migrant	2	-	_	-				
Not Migrant	289	_	-	<u> </u>			•••••	•••••


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	oe Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Assossments	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number Number scoring at level(s):			(s):		
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0					

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2001 Cohor	t*					
•	Number	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	291	80%	73%	13%	274	76%	68%	15%
Female	145	82%	74%	14%	125	75%	67%	15%
Male	146	77%	73%	13%	149	77%	68%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	11	91%	91%	18%	3	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino	7	_	<u> </u>	_	6	67%	50%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_	4	_	_	_
White	269	80%	74%	14%	261	76%	67%	14%
Small Group Totals	11	55%	45%	0%	7	86%	86%	43%
General-Education Students	269	85%	78%	14%	249	83%	73%	16%
Students with Disabilities	22	14%	9%	0%	25	12%	8%	0%
English Proficient	288	_	_	-	273	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	3	_	_	_	1	_		-
Economically Disadvantaged	42	76%	69%	10%				
Not Disadvantaged	249	80%	74%	14%		•••••	•••••	•••••
Migrant	2	_	_	-				
Not Migrant	289	_	_	-	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002	Cohort
2001	Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	291	75%	2%	6%	9%	9%
	2001	274	72%	3%	4%	9%	12%
Female	2002	145	78%	2%	6%	6%	8%
	2001	125	74%	2%	4%	6%	13%
Male	2002	146	72%	1%	5%	12%	9%
	2001	149	69%	3%	5%	12%	11%
American Indian	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	11	64%	0%	9%	27%	0%
African American	2001	3	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	7	_	_	_	····-	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	2001	6	83%	0%	0%	0%	17%
Asian or Native	2002	3	_	_	_	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	4	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	269	76%	1%	5%	9%	9%
	2001	261	71%	3%	5%	10%	12%
Small Group Totals	2002	11	55%	9%	18%	0%	18%
•	2001	7	71%	14%	0%	14%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	269	81%	0%	5%	6%	8%
	2001	249	78%	0%	4%	7%	11%
Students with Disabilities	2002	22	0%	23%	18%	45%	14%
	2001	25	8%	32%	8%	32%	20%
English Proficient	2002	288	_	_	_	_	_
5	2001	273	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2002	3	_	_	_	_	_
J	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	42	69%	5%	7%	14%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	249	76%	1%	6%	8%	9%
Migrant	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
Not Migrant	2002	289				···· <u>·</u>	

NOTES

District SAUGERTIES CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	277	75%	3%	5%	1%	16%
Female	127	76%	2%	5%	0%	17%
Male	150	74%	3%	5%	3%	15%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	4	_	_	_	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	6	83%	0%	0%	0%	17%
Asian or Native	4	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	263	75%	2%	5%	1%	17%
Small Group Totals	8	75%	13%	0%	13%	0%
General-Education Students	252	81%	0%	4%	1%	14%
Students with Disabilities	25	12%	28%	12%	8%	40%
English Proficient	276	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	24	75%	8%	0%	0%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	253	75%	2%	6%	2%	16%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	277	75%	3%	5%	1%	16%

NOTES